nnotation Guidelines:

Rhetorical Frames Project: Competition

Last update: 12 February 2020

Contents

Overview	2
Definitions	2
1. Artificial Intelligence (AI)	2
2. Competition Frame	2
3. Article	3
4. Paragraph	3
5. Purpose of frame	3
Structured competition	3
Critique	4
Motivation	4
Explanation	5
Process of annotating purpose	5
Annotation Tasks	6
Document level annotation	6
Document Task 1. Determine whether the article contains a discussion of AI	6
Document Task 2. Determine whether the Competition frame occurs in the document	6
Document Task 3. Determine the overall purpose of the frame.	6
Document Task 4. Annotate author(s) and affiliation(s)	7
Paragraph level annotation	7
Paragraph Task 1: Determine whether the paragraph contains the frame.	
Paragraph Task 2: Identify all instances of the frame.	7
Paragraph Task 3: Determine the purpose of each rhetorical frame	
Paragraph Task 4: Annotate names.	8
Co-reference between paragraphs	9
Extended Examples with Explanations	10
Additional examples	16
Not a Competitive frame	16
Explanation purpose	17

Overview

This annotation project aims to:

- a. Capture the rhetorical frame of *Competition* between governments or companies in the field of Artificial Intelligence (AI). This frame may be expressed in military terms (ex. arms race, Cold War, battle for supremacy, etc.) or non-military (ex. win, versus, race, compete with, etc.).
- b. Assign a purpose to the use of the rhetorical frame for each occurrence of the frame, and for the document as a whole.
- c. Capture the names of the author and of each person mentioned in each article, along with their affiliations, if available.

Definitions

1. Artificial Intelligence (AI)

An exact mention of the phrase "Artificial Intelligence" or "AI" is required for an article to be included for annotation. Mentions of sub-fields, such as facial recognition, robotics, 5G, autonomous cars, etc., are not sufficient alone to say that AI is mentioned in the article.

If the phrase "Artificial Intelligence" or "AI" is mentioned, any discussion of sub-fields of AI may be used to invoke the Competition frame. Examples include:

- robotics arms race
- battle for talent in facial recognition
- cyberwarfare dominance
- 5G race

2. Competition Frame

The Competition frame is defined as: an expression that describes AI development as a competition between two or more actors, such as governments or companies. Valid concepts include frames describing:

- a military competition ("arms race")
- an analogy to historical competition ("Cold War" or "Sputnik Moment")
- a territorial competition ("supremacy in Europe")
- a competition for resources ("battle for talent")
- any other type of competition ("two-man contest" or "AI rivalry")

Table 1. Competition frame examples

Text:	Rationale:
it is a great power <u>rivalry</u> focusing on	The phrase rivalry focusing on technological
technological supremacy and which side has	supremacy occurs in a discussion about AI
the best development model.	and indicates a competition between two or
	more actors
Chinese AI company <u>iFlyTek</u> often <u>beats</u>	The phrase beats Facebook, Alphabet's
Facebook, Alphabet's DeepMind, and IBM's	DeepMind, and IBM's Watson in
Watson in competitions to process natural	competitions describes a competition between
speech	two or more actors in the context of AI
China is <u>outpacing</u> other countries in the	Although only one country is <i>outpacing</i> , this
development of 5G today.	term entails a race, or competition, between
	more than one actor, with the relative gains
	accruing to one side over another.

The following are NOT examples of a Competition frame:

Table 2. Competition frame counter-examples

Text:	Rationale:
US-China trade war is making China stronger	This is NOT an AI competition frame,
	because it is not used in the context of AI.
His company finds itself <u>under fire</u> , <u>besieged</u>	This is NOT an AI competition frame,
by a U.S. effort to get key allies to	because <i>under fire</i> and <i>besieged</i> only describe
ban its networking equipment.	the actions of one actor; they do not entail
_	competition or relative advantage.

3. Article

The article includes: the title, captions, and body. Links, comments, and citations are not annotated.

4. Paragraph

The article title and photo captions are annotated as individual paragraphs. Each paragraph in the article is annotated.

5. Purpose of frame

The annotator identifies the purpose of the frame for the overall document, and for each occurrence of the frame. The frame may be invoked for the purpose of *motivation*, *explanation*, *critique*, or reporting on *structured* competition. Each of the four purposes is described below.

Structured competition

This frame is invoked to report on organized, structured competition. This frame, describing a literal competition, grand challenge or event, is likely to involve companies, rather than governments, as actors.

Table 3. Structured

Text:	Rationale:
Chinese AI company iFlyTek often beats	The phrase beats Facebook, Alphabet's
Facebook, Alphabet's DeepMind, and IBM's	DeepMind, and IBM's Watson in
Watson in competitions to process natural	competitions describes results from organized
speech.	competition between two or more actors in
	the context of AI.

Critique

This frame is invoked in order to condemn the use of the frame itself. When the purpose is critique, there is an explicit rejection of the frame, not just a rejection of the world/reality it describes. This option includes language <u>about</u> the frame and why using it can fuel negative dynamics, instability, escalation risks, and/or result in unintended consequences.

Table 4. Critique

Text	Rationale
Those who take the arms-race view are more	This is a critique of the frame itself, the vision
interested in political posturing than the	of the relation as an arms race.
flourishing of humanity.	
However, the framing of this measure in	This is a critique of the frame, viewing China
terms of "foreign adversaries" also	and Chinese companies as <i>adversaries</i> , which
represents a missed opportunity for the U.S.	entails competition.
government to present stronger arguments	
that reflect the systemic concerns involved,	
which go far beyond Huawei.	
An AI arms race would be a grave mistake.	This text critiques the use of the competitive
The AI boom is more akin to the spread of	frame (arms race, nuclear weapons) as
electricity in the early Industrial Revolution	opposed to a beneficial non-competitive
than nuclear weapons during the Cold War.	frame (spread of electricity).
But the emerging narrative of an "AI arms	The use of AI arms race is criticized as a
race" reflects a mistaken view of the risks	dangerous frame.
from AI—and introduces significant new	
risks as a result.	

Motivation

This frame is invoked to justify new policies, investments, or force postures that will allow one side to maintain a competitive edge or to "win" the race. In some cases, frame is invoked to justify new policies and investments that will have positive-sum effects and benefit all sides.

Table 5. Motivation

Text	Rationale

By this metric, a Sputnik moment doesn't	The possibility of universal benefit serves
have to be cause for alarm, and the race to build	as a motivation, with benefit to all sides.
better AI could still benefit us all.	
The head of the country's technology policy	Invocation of the Competition frame is
stated that China is now quickly tightening the	used to motivate the US to maintain its
race for global artificial intelligence dominance	competitive edge.
and that it may one day overtake the United	
States. Kratsios further elaborated that the US	
should not be complacent in the fact that it is the	
current leader when it comes to AI.	
The AI rivalry that could give clues about the	The Competition frame is invoked to
future strength of the two economies certainly	motivate greater spending on AI.
matters a lot, especially taking into account the	
worsening trade situation. () China needs to	
scale up its effective AI investment to turn the	
tech buzzword into an economic engine. That	
means greater spending on AI research,	
hardware, talent and adoption.	

Explanation

This frame is invoked as a characterization of the world or of reality and as a description of events, including events that may occur in the future, and events that are dangerous or could lead to great instability and conflict.

Table 6. Explanation

Text	Rationale
This rivalry between the world's two largest	The frame is used to describe a relationship
economies is the subject of the new book AI	between two actors, without motivating action
Superpowers by Kai Fu Lee.	or criticizing its use.
China, the United States, and Russia are each negotiating this fraught landscape differently, in ways responsive to their unique economic and military situations. Governments are motivated to pursue leadership in AI by the promise of gaining a strategic advantage .	The pursuit of a strategic advantage entails a competition between the actors. This phrase is descriptive of the governments' motivation, but this description is not used for the purpose of motivation.
If AI becomes an arms race , then this is	The frame is used to describe the competition
extremely bad news for all humans.	as potentially dangerous, without critiquing
	the use of the frame "arms race" or
	"competition" in AI.

Process of annotating purpose

To avoid confusion when annotating paragraphs or documents that appear to use the Competition frame for more than one purpose, the annotators should ask themselves the following questions, in the following order:

- 1. Is the frame used to describe a structured competition? If so, it is labelled *Structured*, and annotation of this frame is finished. If not,
- 2. Is the language of the frame itself rejected? If so, it is labelled *Critique*, and annotation of this frame is finished. If not,
- 3. Is the frame used to motivate some policy? If so, it is labelled *Motivation*, and annotation of this frame is finished. If not,
- 4. The frame is descriptive or explanatory, and it is labelled *Explanation*.

Annotation Tasks

Annotation is performed at the document level and at the paragraph level.

Document level annotation

For document level annotation, values are assigned to the following fields as shown in the table below:

Table 7. Document level annotation

Field	Values
ContainsAI	Yes, No
CONTAINSFRAME	Yes, No
OVERALLPURPOSE	Structured, Critique, Motivation, Explanation
AUTHORFIRSTNAME	The first name of the author
AUTHORLASTNAME	The last name of the author
AUTHORAFFILIATION	The affiliation of the author

Document Task 1. Determine whether the article contains a discussion of Al.

Annotation: If the article contains a discussion of AI, the value Yes is assigned to CONTAINSAI. Otherwise, the annotator assigns the value No to CONTAINSAI and continues to the next article. It is not necessary that AI be the main focus of the document; if there is any mention of AI the value Yes is assigned.

Document Task 2. Determine whether the Competition frame occurs in the document.

Annotation: If the article contains at least one occurrence of the Competition frame with reference to AI, the value Yes is assigned to ContainsFrame. Otherwise, the annotator assigns No to ContainsFrame and continues to the next article.

Document Task 3. Determine the overall purpose of the frame.

Annotation: The annotator indicates the overall purpose for the frame in the document. One of the values {Motivation, Explanation, Critique or Structured} is assigned to OVERALL PURPOSE.

If the frame is used for more than one purpose in the document, look for the purpose of the author. If the purpose of the author's use of the frame is unclear, look at the title, first and last paragraphs to see if the overall purpose stands out. If the document level purpose is still unclear, consider the purpose that is used most frequently in the document. For example, if the

Competition frame is invoked once for Explanation and five times for Motivation, the overall purpose is probably Motivation.

Document Task 4. Annotate author(s) and affiliation(s)

Annotation: The author's given name, surname and affiliation are assigned to AUTHORFIRSTNAME, AUTHORLASTNAME and AUTHORAFFILIATION, respectively. Include all authors. AUTHORAFFILIATION is the organization listed with the author's name.

Paragraph level annotation

For paragraph level annotation, values are assigned to the following fields as shown in the table below:

Table 8. Paragraph level annotation

Field	Values
PARCONTAINSFRAME	Yes, No
FRAMESPAN	The frame as expressed in the text, ex. <i>rivalry</i>
FRAMEPURPOSE	Motivation, Explanation, Critique, Structured
QUOTEDFIRSTNAME	The first name of a person quoted in the paragraph
QUOTEDLASTNAME	The last name of a person quoted in the paragraph
QUOTEDAFFILIATION	The affiliation of a person quoted in the paragraph
MENTIONEDFIRSTNAME	The first name of a person mentioned in the paragraph
MENTIONEDLASTNAME	The last name of a person mentioned in the paragraph
MENTIONEDAFFILIATION	The affiliation of a person mentioned in the paragraph

Paragraph Task 1: Determine whether the paragraph contains the frame.

Annotation:

Determine whether each paragraph contains an instance of the Competition frame. If yes, assign Yes to PARCONTAINSFRAME. If no, assign No to PARCONTAINSFRAME.

Paragraph Task 2: Identify all instances of the frame.

Annotation: The annotator assigns each instance of the frame to FRAMESPAN.

The annotator identifies all occurrences of the competitive frame used in the discussion of AI. Common instantiations of this frame include: arms race, competition, trade war, technology war, battle, rivalry, Cold War.

Table 9. Frame span examples

Text:	Rationale
Each major power will attract others to its	An arms race indicates a competition between
orbit or camp, causing conflict of ideologies	two or more actors
to turn into an arms race_based on the	
development of artificial intelligence ()	

This has opened the gate wide open for a	A race indicates a competition between two or
technological race for superiority in	more actors
artificial intelligence at the international level.	

Language that invokes the action of a single actor is not included in this frame. For example, *besieged*, *attacked*, and *under fire* describe the actions or condition of only one actor, not a relationship between two rivals or competitors. Therefore, these words do not invoke the Competition frame.

If the Competition frame is not used to describe AI, it is not annotated, as in the counter-example below.

Table 10. No frame

Text:	
we examine Imperial Germany's naval	This sentence is in close proximity to a
rearmament in the context of its naval <u>rivalry</u>	mention of "artificial intelligence" in the
with Great Britain from 1890 to 1916.	original article, however, the rivalry is
	historical and does NOT refer to AI.
	Therefore, this is NOT a Competition frame.

Paragraph Task 3: Determine the purpose of each rhetorical frame.

Annotation: For each occurrence of the rhetorical frame, assign a FRAMEPURPOSE. The four purposes are Motivation, Explanation, Critique or Structured. (See 5. Purpose of frame, page 3 for examples.)

Paragraph Task 4: Annotate names.

Annotation:

- 1) The annotator identifies names of all people quoted in the paragraph, and assigns the first name, last name, and affiliation to QUOTEDFIRSTNAME, QUOTEDLASTNAME and QUOTEDAFFILIATION, respectively.
- 2) The annotator identifies names of all people mentioned but not quoted in the paragraph, and assigns the first name, last name, and affiliation to MentionedFirstName, MentionedLastName and MentionedAffiliation, respectively.

Normally, the title is not included with the affiliation. However, if there is no organization name but the title denotes the organization, then the title may be used.

If a quote is attributed to an organization, with no person name, the organization is entered as QUOTEDAFFILIATION, and QUOTEDFIRSTNAME and QUOTEDLASTNAME are left blank.

Likewise, there may be no first name or affiliation provided in the paragraph, in which case the corresponding fields are not populated.

Table 11. Name annotation

Text Annotation	Annotation
that's just the start for AI, said Col. Drew Cukor,	QUOTEDFIRSTNAME=Drew
who leads the Pentagon team charged with laying	QUOTEDLASTNAME=Cukor
out a plan	QUOTEDAFFILIATION=Pentagon
One company that has received criticism recently	QUOTEDFIRSTNAME=Kent
for partnering with Chinese researchers is Google.	QUOTEDLASTNAME=Walker
On Tuesday, Kent Walker, the company's Senior	QUOTEDAFFILIATION=Google
Vice President for Global Affairs told the crowd	
In this photo from May 9, 2018, Sen. Brian Schatz,	MENTIONEDFIRSTNAME=Brian
D-Hawaii, puts a question to then-Defense	MENTIONEDLASTNAME E=Schatz
Secretary Jim Mattis	MENTIONEDAFFILIATION=Sen.
	MENTIONEDFIRSTNAME=Jim
	MENTIONEDLASTNAME E=Mattis
	MENTIONEDAFFILIATION=Defense
"The government's meaningful engagement is	QUOTEDFIRSTNAME=
necessary, but not sufficient, for the nation to	QUOTEDLASTNAME=
maintain its leadership in this competitive realm,"	QUOTEDAFFILIATION=NIST
NIST said.	

Co-reference between paragraphs

There may be instances in which a noun or pronoun in a paragraph is understood in the context of a preceding paragraph. In these cases, the noun phrase or pronoun should be annotated.

In the example below, the second paragraph contains the frame (AI arms race). Although the name Allen is not mentioned in the second paragraph, it refers to the Allen previously mentioned. Therefore, for paragraph 2:

QUOTEDFIRSTNAME=Gregory
QUOTEDLASTNAME=Allen
QUOTEDAFFILIATION= CNAS' Technology and National Security Program

Paragraph 1: An adjunct senior fellow in CNAS' Technology and National Security Program, **Gregory Allen** based his report on four trips to Chinese "diplomatic, military, and private-sector" AI conferences, and discussions with industry executives and scientists.

Paragraph 2: **He** reports that the Chinese Communist Party and the People's Liberation Army are keenly interested in — read: pouring money into — research into AI-based surveillance technology and lethal autonomous weapons, even though the latter "runs counter to China's stated goals of avoiding an AI arms race."

It may also be possible for a pronoun or noun phrase to refer to the Competition frame. Consider the following example:

Title: Don't Call It an 'Arms Race': US-China AI Competition Is Not Winner-Takes-All

Paragraph 1: The most common framing of the two countries' artificial-intelligence development is dangerous.

In the example above, "the most common framing" refers back to 'Arms Race". Therefore, "the most common framing" is annotated as a FRAMESPAN (with purpose Critique).

Extended Examples with Explanations

The following examples illustrate some of the decisions made in the annotation process. The entire article in each case may be accessed through the link provided.

1) Nicholas Thompson, "Will Artificial Intelligence Enhance or Hack Humanity," WIRED, April 28, 2019, https://www.wired.com/story/will-artificial-intelligence-enhance-hack-humanity/

Document Task 1: Does this article mention AI?

Yes, it is mentioned in the title. The annotator assigns Yes to the CONTAINSAI field.

Document Task 2: Does the rhetorical frame appear in the article?

Yes. The annotator assigns Yes to the CONTAINSFRAME field

Document Task 3. What is the overall purpose of the frame?

The frame is invoked at the document level for Explanation.

The author asks:

These two countries at some point are going	Explanation. The author uses the frame to
to come in conflict and I'm going to guess	pose a question, using the frame to request
that Country B's technology might be ahead	clarification in describing a situation.
of Country A's. Is that a concern?	

Yuval Harari replies, invoking it as a description of an emerging dynamic that he sees as dangerous and destabilizing:

Yeah, that's always the concern with arms	Explanation. He does not explicitly critique or
races, which become a race to the bottom in	reject the competition frame itself. He
the name of efficiency and domination.	compares great power competition in AI to an
	arms race and says that it could lead to a race
	to the bottom

If this document consisted of only these two paragraphs, the annotator would assign a value of *Explanation* to the OVERALLPURPOSE field.

Document Task 4: Who is the author?

Nicholas Thompson, Wired Editor in Chief is the author.

AUTHORFIRSTNAME= Nicholas

AUTHORLASTNAME = Thompson AUTHORAFFILIATION= Wired

Paragraph Task 1: Does the paragraph contain the Competition frame? For each paragraph below, PARCONTAINSFRAME is Yes.

Paragraph Task 2: Tag each instance of the frame.

In the following two paragraphs, each competition frame is marked in bold. Each of the valid instances of the frame should be marked FRAMESPAN. (False positives are starred and underlined below. The annotator should ignore false positives.)

YNH: Yeah, that's always the concern with arms races (1), which become a race (2) to the bottom in the name of efficiency and domination. I mean, what is extremely problematic or dangerous about the situation now with AI, is that more and more countries are waking up to the realization that this could be the technology of domination in the 21st century. So you're not talking about just any economic competition (*3) between the different textile industries or even between different oil industries, like one country decides to we don't care about the environment at all, we'll just go full gas ahead and the other countries are much more environmentally aware. The situation with AI is potentially much worse, because it could be really the technology of domination in the 21st century. And those left behind could be dominated, exploited, conquered by those who forge ahead. So nobody wants to stay behind. And I think the only way to prevent this kind of catastrophic arms race (4) to the bottom is greater global cooperation around AI. Now, this sounds utopian because we are now moving in exactly the opposite direction of more and more rivalry (5) and competition (6). But this is part of, I think, of our job, like with the <u>nuclear arms race (*7)</u>, to make people in different countries realize that this is an arms race (8), that whoever wins, humanity loses. And it's the same with AI. If AI becomes an arms race (9), then this is extremely bad news for all humans. And it's easy for, say, people in the US to say we are the good guys in this race (10), you should be cheering for us. But this is becoming more and more difficult in a situation when the motto of the day is America First. How can we trust the USA to be the leader in AI technology, if ultimately it will serve only American interests and American economic and political domination? So I think, most people when they think arms race (11) in AI, they think USA versus (12) China, but there are almost 200 other countries in the world. And most of them are far, far behind. And when they look at what is happening, they are increasingly terrified. And for a very good reason.

NT: The historical example you've made is a little unsettling. Because, if I heard your answer correctly, it's that we need global cooperation. And if we don't, we're going to need an arms race (13). In the actual <u>nuclear arms race(*14)</u>, we tried for global cooperation from, I don't know, roughly 1945 to 1950. And then we gave up and then we said, We're going full throttle in the United States. And then, Why did the <u>Cold War(*15)</u> end the way it did? Who knows but one argument would be that the United States and its relentless buildup of nuclear weapons helped to keep the peace until the Soviet Union collapsed. So if that is the parallel, then what might happen here is we'll try for global cooperation and 2019, 2020, and 2021 and then we'll be off in an arms race (16). A, is that likely and B, if it is, would you say well, then the US needs to really move full throttle on AI because it will be better for the liberal democracies to have artificial intelligence than totalitarian states?

In the above paragraphs, there are 16 occurrences of the competitive frame, but only 12 of these refer to AI. The starred* mentions refer to a different historical arms race, so these occurrences are not annotated.

The following occurrences of the phrase "arms race" are not competition frames, and would not be annotated.

Table 12. Counter-examples

Text	Rationale
So you're not talking about just any economic	The competition in this text does not refer to
competition between the different textile	AI
industries or even between different oil	
industries ()	
But this is part of, I think, of our job, like with	This is a historical reference to a different
the <u>nuclear arms race ()</u>	arms race; not referring to AI
In the actual nuclear arms race, we tried for	Historical reference; not referring to AI
global cooperation from, I don't know,	
roughly 1945 to 1950.	
Why did the Cold War end the way it did?	Historical reference; not referring to AI

Paragraph Task 3: What is the purpose of the frame?

The twelve mentions of the frame in the paragraphs above are all *Explanation*.

Yeah, that's always the concern with arms races	FRAMEPURPOSE=Explanation for both text
(1), which become a race (2) to the bottom in	spans. It is a description of the situation, in
the name of efficiency and domination.	this case, with dire consequences.
And I think the only way to prevent this kind	FRAMEPURPOSE=Explanation. It is a
of catastrophic arms race to the bottom is	description of the situation, again, with dire
greater global cooperation around AI.	consequences.
Now, this sounds utopian because we are now	FRAMEPURPOSE=Explanation. The use is
moving in exactly the opposite direction of more	descriptive.
and more rivalry and competition.	
But this is part of, I think, of our job, like with	FramePurpose=Explanation.
the nuclear arms race, to make people in	
different countries realize that this is an arms	
race, that whoever wins, humanity loses. And	
it's the same with AI.	
If AI becomes an arms race , then this is	FramePurpose= Explanation for both text
extremely bad news for all humans. And it's easy	spans.
for, say, people in the US to say we are the good	
guys in this race, you should be cheering for us.	
So I think, most people when they think arms	FRAMEPURPOSE= Explanation for both text
race in AI, they think USA versus China ()	spans.

Because, if I heard your answer correctly, it's	FramePurpose=Explanation.
that we need global cooperation. And if we	
don't, we're going to need an arms race.	
So if that is the parallel, then what might happen	FramePurpose=Explanation.
here is we'll try for global cooperation and	
2019, 2020, and 2021 and then we'll be off in an	
arms race.	

Paragraph Task 4: What names are mentioned?

In the first paragraph above, Yuval Harari is quoted. No other names are mentioned. The annotator makes the following assignments:

```
QUOTEDFIRSTNAME = Yuval
QUOTEDLASTNAME = Hariri
QUOTEDAFFILIATION = Null
```

No affiliation is provided, so the field QUOTEDAFFILIATION is left blank. No other person names are mentioned in the paragraph.

In the second paragraph above, Nicholas Thompson is quoted. No other names are mentioned. The annotator makes the following assignments:

```
QUOTEDFIRSTNAME = Nicholas
QUOTEDLASTNAME = Thompson
QUOTEDAFFILIATION = Wired
```

No other person names are mentioned, so the annotator moves on to the next paragraph.

2) Nathan Gardels, "The Great AI Duopoly," *Washington Post*, September 24, 2018, https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/theworldpost/wp/2018/09/24/artificial-intelligence-2/

Document Task 1: Does the article discuss AI?

Yes, it is mentioned in the title. The annotator assigns Yes to the CONTAINSAI field.

Document Task 2: Does the rhetorical frame appear in the article?

Yes. The annotator assigns Yes to the CONTAINSFRAME field

Document Task 3. What is the overall purpose of the frame?

Based on the paragraphs provided below, the frame is invoked primarily as an explanation. Although the interviewee critiques the frame, the author uses the frame for the purpose of explanation. Therefore, OVERALLPURPOSE=Explanation.

Text	Rationale
(Author): Given the parallel universes you	The author invokes the frame as an
describe aren't you concerned that the AI	Explanation, for clarifying the thoughts of the
superpowers will end up in an arms race?	interviewee.

Document Task 4: Who is the author?

The author is Nathan Gardels, journalist for WorldPost

AUTHORFIRSTNAME = Nathan
AUTHORLASTNAME = Gardels
AUTHORAFFILIATION = WorldPost

Paragraph Task 2: Does the paragraph contain the Competition frame? For each paragraph below, PARCONTAINSFRAME is Yes.

Paragraph Task 3: Find all Competition frames.

The following three paragraphs contain five instances of the Competition frame, marked in bold. (False positives are underlined and indicated with an asterisk*. Annotators should ignore false positives.)

WorldPost: Given the parallel universes you describe, aren't you concerned that the AI superpowers will end up in an **arms race** (1)? After all, China and the United States are in the midst of a mounting <u>trade war (*2)</u>. The hawks in the Trump administration have targeted the "Made in China 2025" industrial policy in order to prevent China's dominance in AI and robotics.

Lee: An AI arms race (3) would be a grave mistake. The AI boom is more akin to the spread of electricity in the early Industrial Revolution than nuclear weapons during the <u>Cold War</u> (*4). Those who take the arms-race (5) view are more interested in political posturing than the flourishing of humanity. The value of AI as an omni-use technology rests in its creative, not destructive, potential.

While there is **competition (6)** in commercial applications, those of us engaged in AI tend to be open about sharing basic research since the goal is advancing the field. Unlike many other sciences, AI experiments can be replicated and shared so each builds on the other's knowledge. In a way, having parallel universes should diminish **conflict (7)**. They can coexist while each can learn from the other. It is not a zero-sum game of winners and losers.

Paragraph Task 3: What is the purpose of the frame?

The first paragraph in the sample above uses the frame for the purpose of Explanation. In the second paragraph, both occurrences are Critique.

Table 13. Frame examples

Text	Rationale
Given the parallel universes you describe,	Explanation. The interviewer seeks
aren't you concerned that the AI superpowers	clarification.
will end up in an arms race?	
An AI arms race would be a grave mistake.	Critique. The use of the expression arms race
The AI boom is more akin to the spread of	is critiqued in contrast to the more benign
electricity in the early Industrial Revolution	frame, the spread of electricity.
than nuclear weapons during the Cold War.	
Those who take the arms-race view are more	<i>Critique</i> . The frame itself is critiqued as
interested in political posturing than the	public posturing.
flourishing of humanity.	
While there is competition in commercial	Explanation. Although the motivation to
applications, those of us engaged in AI tend to	share research is implied, this is in spite, not
be open about sharing basic research since the	because of, the competition.
goal is advancing the field.	

Paragraph Task 4: Identify person names.

The first paragraph contains one person name.

MENTIONEDFIRSTNAME= Null
MENTIONEDLASTNAME = Trump
MENTIONEDAFFILIATION= Null

No first name or affiliations are provided, so these fields are left blank.

The second paragraph quotes Lee.

QUOTEDFIRSTNAME = Kai-Fu
QUOTEDLASTNAME = Lee
QUOTEDAFFILIATION = Sinovation Ventures; Artificial Intelligence Institute

Lee's full name and affiliation are mentioned at the beginning of the article, so this information is also associated with his quotes throughout the article.

The third paragraph is a continuation of Lee's quote, so Lee is also annotated for the third paragraph:

QUOTEDFIRSTNAME=Kai-Fu
QUOTEDLASTNAME = Lee
QUOTEDAFFILIATION= Sinovation Ventures; Artificial Intelligence Institute

There are no other person names mentioned in the second and third paragraphs.

Additional examples

Not a Competitive frame

Table 14. ParContainsFrame = No

Text:	Rationale:
The AI revolution will have two engines — China	There are two actors, but the frame is not
and the United States — pushing its progress	used. The text does not indicate whether
swiftly forward.	they are competing or cooperating.
Cornyn said the report found that "China is	The use of AI in military application
aggressively investing in future technologies that	does not denote competition in AI
will be foundational to future innovations across	development.
technology that will have commercial and	
military applications," including AI in particular.	
Even investors hoping to beat the market have	Applying AI/ML to solve a hard
increasingly turned to algorithms to pick stocks	problem isn't an invocation of the
with attractive prospects.	competition frame. If investment firms
	were competing with each other to
	develop AI trading systems and this
	were described as a race, that would be a
	valid positive example.
Europe is making major strides to eliminate	There is no indication that the
barriers that have held back the region from	competition is related to AI.
developing tech firms that can compete on the	
scale of global giants Alphabet Inc's	
Google, Amazon.com Inc or Tencent Holdings	
Inc, a report published on Thursday shows.	
In cram school-obsessed South Korea, students	The competition is between companies
fork out for classes in everything from K-pop	deploying AI systems and job-seekers
auditions to real estate deals. Now, top Korean	trying to game the algorithms. Only one
firms are rolling out artificial intelligence in hiring	side is using AI; it's being <i>used on</i> job
- and jobseekers want to learn how to beat the	applicants, who're then adapting to its
bots.	use.
As artificial intelligence races ahead, non-profits	Here, it is AI itself that is racing ahead.
have a special part to play by focusing the	"Races ahead" does not indicate
technology on society's most pressing problems,	competition between parties here; it
said Oren Etzioni, CEO of the Allen Institute for	indicates racing ahead of the current
Artificial Intelligence, a non-profit established in	level of technology. There's no indication
2014 by Microsoft Corp co-founder Paul Allen.	that companies are racing against each
	other as opposed to racing ahead
	together.

Explanation purpose

Table 15. FramePurpose = Explanation

Text:	Rationale:
() Huawei has been caught in the crosshairs of	The <i>trade war</i> is discussed in the context
the US-China trade war.	of AI, so this is a valid competition frame.
AI development should not proceed in the spirit	Negation of the frame is still an
of competition , but rather, in the spirit of	invocation.
cooperation.	
It forces start-ups to take extraordinary risks to	Maintain a competitive advantage implies
build extremely complex "moats" to maintain a	the existence of another actor that the
competitive advantage that will protect profits	start-up or company in question wants to
and market share over the long term	beat. The use is descriptive, therefore the
	purpose is Explanation.
AI will be used all the time. It's not something	Negation of the frame is still an
you have on the shelf for some Doomsday war .	invocation.
Yeah, that's always the concern with arms races,	Generic use of the frame is annotated,
which become a race to the bottom in the name	provided AI is mentioned in the context.
of efficiency and domination.	

Motivation Purpose

Table 16. FramePurpose = Motivation

Text:	Rationale:
The idea of a Chinese-U.S. arms race for artificial	This idea of a "hellscape" is used as
intelligence conjures up images of an army of	motivation to argue against Chinese
swarmbots defeating self-driving tanks on a	investment in U.S. technology
smoldering, depopulated hellscape. It's an idea so	
captivating that Sen. John Cornyn, R-Texas, wants	
to make it harder for the Chinese to invest	
in U.S. technology development, including in	
companies developing artificial intelligence, out of	
fear that Beijing will use small investment positions	
in Silicon Valley firms to erode U.S.	
national security and technological advantage.	
If the new board can foster internationally	The result, "Trump will no longer be able
competitive technologies, "Trump will no longer be	to choke us" is used as motivation for the
able to choke us," said Zhou Xiangyong, general	board to adopt a policy of fostering
manager of Guotai Asset Management, a Shanghai-	competitive technologies.
based mutual fund house."	

Text:	Rationale:
One big motivator of the new AI drive is China. The	Concern about losing the competitive
U.S. government worries about China's ambitions in	edge motivates restrictive export policies.
technology, and an ongoing push to rein in trade	
abuses is in part an attempt to ensure America	
doesn't lose its competitive edge. In November, the	
Commerce Department asked for public comment	
on a proposal to curb exports of certain	
technologies, including AI. Such rules would restrict	
U.S. businesses from sending some products	
overseas, including China.	