

Jeffrey Stopple's Primer of Analytic Number Theory Book

Stefanus Koesno¹

¹ Somewhere in California

San Jose, CA 95134 USA

(Dated: February 20, 2017)

Abstract

Very good book, had a lot of fun

Saw this somewhere, show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n(n+1)} = 1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{10} + \dots = 2$$

historically this was first proved by Pietro Mengoli in 1650, the real gist of the story is that Mengoli couldn't deduce the value of the sum $\sum_n 1/n^2$ which is similar to the one above (this quadratic harmonic series was finally solved by Euler, neither Leibnitz nor Bernoulli could do it). So back to the original problem, my approach was to split $\frac{2}{n(n+1)}$ into a sum of two fractions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{n(n+1)} &= \frac{A}{n} + \frac{B}{n+1} \\ &= \frac{An + A + Bn}{n(n+1)} \end{aligned}$$

this means that $A = 2$ and $B = -2$ and thus the series can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n(n+1)} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{n} - \frac{2}{n+1} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{1} - \frac{2}{2} \right) + \left(\frac{2}{2} - \frac{2}{3} \right) + \left(\frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{4} \right) + \left(\frac{2}{4} - \dots \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{1} + \left(-\frac{2}{2} + \frac{2}{2} \right) + \left(-\frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \right) + \left(-\frac{2}{4} + \frac{2}{4} \right) + \dots \\ &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

so the series more or less “telescopes” and we are left with just the first term although I believe the method above is not legit as we turned an (absolutely?) convergent series into a conditionally convergent series? $\frac{2}{n(n+1)}$ but to then again it might still be ok because if we denote

$$\begin{aligned} H_n &= \frac{2}{n} - \frac{2}{n+1} \\ \rightarrow S(k) &= \sum_{n=1}^k H_n \\ &= \frac{2}{1} - \frac{2}{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

and if we take the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S(k) &= \frac{2}{1} - \frac{2}{\infty} \\ &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

the only trick remaining is showing that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n(n+1)} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S(k)$$

which can be shown by noting that if the sum is not infinite the two agree, *i.e.*

$$\sum_{n=1}^k \frac{2}{n(n+1)} = S(k)$$

so if we take both sides to infinity we get

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{2}{n(n+1)} &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S(k) \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n(n+1)} &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

sounds a lot like a roundabout way of saying the same thing LOL $\neg \setminus (^{\circ} _ o) / \neg$

 =====

Exercise 1.2.1, Page 12, Imitate this argument to get a formula for the hexagonal numbers $h(n)$.

A triangular number, t_n is a number where $t_1 = 1$ and $t_2 = 3$ and because it's 3 it's a triangular number, the explicit formula is

$$t_n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

which is just $1 + 2 + \dots + n$.

For the hexagonal number we have $\Delta^2 h(n) = 6 - 2 = 4$ and so $\Delta h(n) = 4n + C$ such that

$$\Delta^2 h(n) = \Delta(\Delta h(n)) = \Delta(4n + C) = (4(n+1) + C) - (4n + C) = 4$$

This means that $h(n)$ should contain $Cn + D$ such that $\Delta h(n) = (C(n+1) + D) - (Cn + D) = C$ but this should not be the only term $h(n)$ contains, it should also contain a term whose difference is $4n$ because $\Delta h(n)$ contains $4n$ and that is provided by

$$\Delta(4t(n-1)) = 4t(n) - 4t(n-1) = 4 \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 4 \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = 4n$$

so

$$h(n) = 4t(n-1) + Cn + D = 2n(n-1) + Cn + D$$

applying initial conditions $h(1) = 1$ and $h(2) = 6$

$$0 + C + D = 1$$

$$4 + 2C + D = 6$$

giving $C = 1$ and $D = 0$, so

$$h(n) = 2n(n-1) + n = n(2n-1)$$

This seems to be correct since it gives

$$\begin{aligned} h(n) &: 1 \ 6 \ 15 \ 28 \ 45 \ 66 \ 91 \ 120 \ \dots, \\ \Delta h(n) &: 5 \ 9 \ 13 \ 17 \ 21 \ 25 \ 29 \ 33 \ \dots, \\ \Delta^2 h(n) &: 4 \ 4 \ 4 \ 4 \ 4 \ 4 \ 4 \ 4 \ \dots \end{aligned}$$

and in general ...

Exercise 1.2.4, Page 14, find a formula for polygonal numbers with a sides, for any a , *i.e.* a function $f(n)$ with

$$\Delta^2 f(n) = a - 2, \quad \text{with } f(1) = 1 \text{ and } f(2) = a.$$

Using the result from Exercise 1.2.1 the generic formula we have is

$$f(n) = (a-2)t(n-1) + Cn + D = (a-2)n(n-1)/2 + Cn + D$$

applying initial conditions

$$0 + C + D = 1$$

$$(a-2) + 2C + D = a$$

which means it's actually independent of a , interesting, and therefore $C = 1$ and $D = 0$ all the time ... and therefore

$$f(n) = n \left[\left(\frac{a}{2} - 1 \right) n - \frac{a}{2} + 2 \right]$$

the good thing about this is that it works for **any** a , zero, positive and negative.

Exercise 1.2.5, Page 16, verify that

$$n^1 + 3n^2 + n^3 = n^3$$

Now use this fact to find formulas for

$$\sum_{0 \leq k < n+1} k^3.$$

$$n^1 = n$$

$$3n^2 = 3n(n-1)$$

$$= 3n^2 - 3n$$

$$n^3 = n(n-1)(n-2)$$

$$= n^3 - 3n^2 + 2n$$

so it's obvious if we sum them we get n^3 , now we need to “integrate” these things

$$\Sigma(n^1 + 3n^2 + n^3) = \frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{3}{3} \frac{n^3}{3} + \frac{n^4}{4}$$

using this in the big sum we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 \leq k < n+1} k^3 &= \Sigma(n^1 + 3n^2 + n^3) \Big|_0^{n+1} \\ &= \frac{n^2}{2} + n^3 + \frac{n^4}{4} \Big|_0^{n+1} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)^2}{2} + (n+1)^3 + \frac{(n+1)^4}{4} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)n}{2} + (n+1)n(n-1) + \frac{(n+1)n(n-1)(n-2)}{4} \\ &= \frac{n(n+1)\{2 + 4(n-1) + (n-1)(n-2)\}}{4} \\ &= \frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4} \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 1.2.12, Page 21, Use the fact that if $\Delta f(k) = g(k)$ and a is any constant, then $\Delta(f(k+a)) = g(k+a)$, and the fact that $2(k-1)^{-2} = 1/t_k$ to find the sum of the reciprocals of the first n triangular numbers

$$\frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{1}{t_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{t_n}.$$

Next compute

$$\frac{1}{T_1} + \frac{1}{T_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{T_n},$$

the sum of the reciprocals of the first n tetrahedral numbers.

So what we want is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq k < n+1} \frac{1}{t_k} &= \Sigma \frac{1}{t_k} \Big|_1^{n+1} \\ &= \Sigma 2(k-1)^{-2} \Big|_1^{n+1} \\ &= \Sigma \frac{2(k-1)^{-1}}{-2+1} \Big|_1^{n+1} \\ &= -2 \left[(n)^{-1} - 0^{-1} \right] \\ &= -2 \left[\frac{1}{(n+1)} - \frac{1}{0+1} \right] \\ &= \frac{2n}{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

the thing to note here is that 0^{-1} is **not** zero it is actually $1/(0+1) = 1$. For the tetrahedral numbers we know that it is given in Eq. 1.4 and 1.5

$$\begin{aligned} T_n &= \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{6} \\ \rightarrow \frac{1}{T_n} &= 6 \frac{1}{n(n+1)(n+2)} \\ &= 6(n-1)^{-3} \end{aligned}$$

so the sum is also pretty much the same

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq k < n+1} \frac{1}{T_k} &= \Sigma \frac{1}{T_k} \Big|_1^{n+1} \\ &= \Sigma 6(k-1)^{-3} \Big|_1^{n+1} \\ &= \Sigma \frac{6(k-1)^{-2}}{-3+1} \Big|_1^{n+1} \\ &= -3 \left[(n)^{-2} - 0^{-2} \right] \\ &= -3 \left[\frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)} - \frac{1}{(0+1)(0+2)} \right] \\ &= \frac{3(n^2+3n)}{2(n+1)(n+2)} \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 1.2.13, Page 23, Use Summation by Parts and the Fundamental Theorem to compute $\sum_{0 \leq k < n} H_k$. (Hint: You can write $H_k = H_k \cdot 1 = H_k \cdot k^0$.) Your answer will have Harmonic numbers in it of course.

$$\Sigma H_k = \Sigma(H_k \cdot 1) = \Sigma(H_k \cdot k^0)$$

I don't know how to integrate H_k (since that is the question we are trying to answer here) but I know how to differentiate it, the derivative is just k^{-1} so we'll take $u = H_k$ such that $\Delta u = k^{-1}$ and so we'll take $\Delta v = k^0$ such that $v = k^1$.

Next we need $\Sigma(\Delta u \cdot Ev)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(\Delta u \cdot Ev) &= \Sigma(k^{-1} \cdot (k+1)^1) \\ &= \Sigma\left(\frac{1}{(k+1)} \cdot (k+1)k\right) \\ &= \frac{k^2}{2} \end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(u \cdot \Delta v) &= uv - \Sigma(\Delta u \cdot Ev) \\ \rightarrow \Sigma(H_k \cdot k^0) &= H_k k^1 - \frac{k^2}{2} \end{aligned}$$

to verify let's differentiate it

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\left(H_k k^1 - \frac{k^2}{2}\right) &= k^{-1}(k+1)^1 + H_k k^0 - k^1 \\ &= \frac{(k+1)k}{k+1} + H_k - k \\ &= H_k \end{aligned}$$

note that the product rule here is different, there's a shift involved, see Page 22, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 \leq k < n} H_k \cdot k^0 &= \Sigma(u \cdot \Delta v)|_0^n \\ &= \left(H_k k^1 - \frac{k^2}{2}\right)\Big|_0^n \\ &= n\left(H_n - \frac{n-1}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 1.2.14, Page 23, Use Summation by Parts and the Fundamental Theorem to compute $\sum_{0 \leq k < n} k2^k$. (Hint: You need the first part of Exercise 1.2.9.)

The thing to note here is that 2^k is like e its derivative is itself

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta 2^k &= 2^{k+1} - 2^k \\ &= 2^k\end{aligned}$$

so we will want this to be $\Delta v = 2^k$ such that $v = 2^k$ and $u = k = k^1$ such that $\Delta u = k^0 = 1$ and so

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma(u \cdot \Delta v) &= uv - \Sigma(\Delta u \cdot Ev) \\ &= k^1 2^k - \Sigma(k^1 2^{k+1}) \\ &= k 2^k - \Sigma(2^{k+1}) \\ &= k 2^k - 2^{k+1} \\ &= 2^k(k - 2)\end{aligned}$$

to verify let's differentiate the above

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \{2^k(k - 2)\} &= 2^k((k + 1) - 2) + 2^k \\ &= k 2^k\end{aligned}$$

so it's correct and again the thing to note is that the product rule here now involves a shift, moving on

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{0 \leq k < n} k 2^k &= (2^k(k - 2)) \Big|_0^n \\ &= 2^n(n - 2) - (-2) \\ &= 2^n(n - 2) + 2\end{aligned}$$

=====

Exercise 2.1.7, Page 30, we know that $6 = 2^1 \cdot 3$ and $28 = 2^2 \cdot 7$ are perfect numbers. The next ones are $496 = 2^4 \cdot 31$ and $8128 = 2^6 \cdot 127$ (check this!). What is the pattern in $3, 7, 31, 127, \dots$? What is the pattern in the exponents $1, 2, 4, 6, \dots$? Try to make

a conjecture about perfect numbers. Euclid, in his *Elements*, proved a general theorem about perfect numbers around the year 300 B.C.

$$3 = 2^2 - 1$$

$$7 = 2^3 - 1$$

$$31 = 2^5 - 1$$

$$127 = 2^7 - 1$$

so the exponents are 2, 3, 5, 7 which are all primes and are all one more than the 1, 2, 4, 6 so it looks like the formula for even perfect numbers are

$$2^{p-1} \cdot (2^p - 1)$$

where p is prime.

Exercise 2.1.8, Page 30, #2 and #3 are the interesting ones since the exponents of the $2^p - 1$ are 11 and 13 and they are both prime so $2^{10}(2^{11} - 1)$ and $2^{12}(2^{13} - 1)$ are also prime, let's start with #2

$$\begin{aligned} 2096128 &= 2^{10}(2^{11} - 1) = 2^{10} \cdot 23 \cdot 89 \\ \rightarrow \sigma(2096128) &= \sigma(2^{10})\sigma(23)\sigma(89) \\ &= (2^{11} - 1)(23 + 1)(89 + 1) \\ &= 4421520 \\ \rightarrow s(2096128) &= \sigma(2096128) - 2096128 = 2325392 \\ &\neq 2096128 \end{aligned}$$

so even though 11, the exponent of $2^{11} - 1$ is prime, $2^{10}(2^{11} - 1)$ is not perfect, while

$$\begin{aligned}
 33550336 &= 2^{12}(2^{13} - 1) = 2^{12} \cdot 8191 \\
 \rightarrow \sigma(33550336) &= \sigma(2^{12})\sigma(8191) \\
 &= (2^{13} - 1)(8191 + 1) \\
 &= 8191 \cdot (8191 + 1) \\
 &= 67100672 \\
 \rightarrow s(33550336) &= \sigma(33550336) - 33550336 = 33550336
 \end{aligned}$$

so it is a perfect number, thus the formula has to be corrected to only include $2^p - 1$ that is prime (and p itself is also prime)

Exercise 2.1.12, Page 31, there is an interesting theorem about perfect numbers and sums of cubes. For example,

$$28 = 1^3 + 3^3$$

Try to make a conjecture about what is true. Ignore the first perfect number, 6; it doesn't fit the pattern.

$$\begin{aligned}
 28 &= 1^3 + 3^3 \\
 496 &= 1^3 + 3^3 + 5^3 + 7^3 \\
 8128 &= 1^3 + 3^3 + 5^3 + 7^3 + 9^3 + 11^3 + 13^3 + 15^3
 \end{aligned}$$

the pattern is given by the next exercise

Exercise 2.1.12, Page 31,

$$\begin{aligned}
 1^3 + 3^3 + 5^3 + \dots + (2N - 1)^3 &= (1^3 + 2^3 + 3^3 + \dots + (2N)^3) - (2^3 + 4^3 + 6^3 + \dots + (2N)^3) \\
 &= \frac{(2N)^2(2N + 1)^2}{4} - 2^3(1^3 + 2^3 + 3^3 + \dots + N^3) \\
 &= \frac{4N^2(2N + 1)^2}{4} - 2^3 \frac{N^2(N + 1)^2}{4} \\
 &= N^2(2N + 1)^2 - 2N^2(N + 1)^2 \\
 &= N^2(4N^2 + 4N + 1 - 2N^2 - 4N - 2) \\
 &= N^2(2N^2 - 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

so $N = 2^{(p-1)/2}$ where p is prime and $2^p - 1$ is also prime

Exercise 2.1.16, Page 33, Suppose m and n are integers such that $\sigma(m) = m + n = \sigma(n)$. What can you say is true about $s(m)$ and $s(n)$?

$$\begin{aligned}s(m) &= \sigma(m) - m \\ &= m + n - m \\ s(m) &= n\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}s(n) &= \sigma(n) - n \\ &= m + n - n \\ s(n) &= m\end{aligned}$$

so a pair of amicable numbers m and n are those such that $s(m) = n$ and $s(n) = m$ and therefore $\sigma(m) = \sigma(n) = m + n$