Apostol's Bag of Tricks

 $Stefanus^1$

¹ Samsung Semiconductor Inc San Jose, CA 95134 USA

(Dated: August 9, 2017)

Abstract

Just for fun:)

1. Page 158-159, a delta function for Polynomial, i.e. $D_{z_m}(z) = 0$ if $z \neq z_m$ and z = 1 only if $z = z_m$

$$D_{z_m}(z) = \frac{A_m(z)}{A_m(z_m)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z = z_m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where

$$A_m(z) = \frac{A(z)}{z - z_m}$$
 with $A(z) = (z - z_0)(z - z_1)\dots(z - z_{k-1})$

2. Swapping dummy variables $n \to n = cd$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{k} \sum_{d|k,d|n} = \sum_{d|k} \sum_{c=1/d}^{k/d} = \sum_{d|k} \sum_{c=1}^{k/d}$$

going to the second inequality we need to swap the sums because now c is a function of d so d has to be defined before we can specify c, also 1/d starts with 1 because d starts with 1

3. swapping $d \leftrightarrow \frac{k}{d}$

$$\sum_{d|k} f(k)g\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) = \sum_{d|k} f\left(\frac{k}{d}\right)g(k)$$

as long as we sum over all divisors of k if we put another constraint we can't do the above

$$\sum_{d|(n,k)} f(k)g\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) \neq \sum_{d|(n,k)} f\left(\frac{k}{d}\right)g(k) \quad \text{if } n \neq k$$

4. simplification on sums involving $\mu(d)$

$$\sum_{d|k} \mu(d) f(d) = \prod_{p|k} (1 - f(p))$$

as long as f(n) is multiplicative, also p|k only involves distinct p's, i.e. if k = 100 then the product is only for one copy of p = 2 and one copy of p = 5, $\prod_{p|100} (1 - f(p)) = (1 - f(2))(1 - f(5))$

5. For multiplicative functions

$$f(ab) = f(a)f(b)$$
 $f(a) = \frac{f(ab)}{f(b)}$ $f(b) = \frac{f(ab)}{f(a)}$

i.e. we can do "division" with them

6. Also for products involving "distinct" primes

$$\prod_{p|mk} f(p) = \prod_{p|m} f(p) \prod_{q|k} f(q) \qquad p \neq q$$

we can also do "division"

$$\prod_{p|m,p\nmid k} f(p) = \frac{\prod_{p|mk} f(p)}{\prod_{p|k} f(p)}$$

say $m=6, k=10, \prod_{p|6\cdot 10} f(p)=f(2)f(3)f(5)$, so we involve only distinct primes

- 7. Pigeon hole principle
- 8. $\int_1^x = \int_1^{\sqrt{x}} + \int_{\sqrt{x}}^x$, we might be able to get away with other powers, $x^{1/k}$, too
- 9. Consequences of being a finite group
 - if $a \in G$ then there is an $n \leq |G|$ such that $a^n = e$
 - for every subgroup G' of G, there is an indicator n of $a \in G$, such that $a^n \in G'$ this means that for k < n, $a^k \notin G'$
 - we can create a series of subgroups of G that has a nested structure, $G_1 \subset G_2 \subset \ldots G_{t+1} = G$ (Theorem 6.6 and 6.8), $G'' = \{xa^k : x \in G' \text{ and } k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, h-1\}$ where h is the indicator of a in G'
 - the character f(a) with $a \in G$, is just a root of unity because for some n, $a^n = e$
 - \bullet if G is abelian of order n there are exactly n characters

- 10. We can think of characters f_j of a finite abelian group as representations of the group since it's a one to one mapping, we can think of each character as a different representation although the main difference here is that we can take products of the characters, we can't take a product of different spins (different representations of su(2))
- 11. Dirichlet's theorem is about distribution of primes, *i.e.* is it possible for an arithmetic progression ak + b to contain no primes after certain point? The key is in using Dirichlet character $\sum \chi(n)f(n)$ because $\chi(n)$ is zero if n is not co-prime to k. This is actually in support of the randomness of primes, the probability of primes not hitting a single ak + b will be really low if primes are random, because then it will have equal probability to hit any number
- 12. Dirichlet characters $\chi(n)$ modulo k can be perfectly played by the Legendre's symbols $\binom{n}{k}$ as long as k is prime since

so the Legendre's symbols are roots of unity and they are zero if k|n, also it satisfies the multiplicative and non-zero properties of characters

$$\left(\frac{ab}{k}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{k}\right) \left(\frac{b}{k}\right)$$
$$\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) \neq 0 \qquad \text{for } (n,k) = 1$$

and so we can replace the Dirichlet characters in the gauss sum with Legendre's symbols (again as long as k is prime)

$$G(n,\chi) = \sum_{m=1}^{k} \chi(m)e^{2\pi i m n/k} \longrightarrow G(n,\chi) = \sum_{m=1}^{k} \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)e^{2\pi i m n/k}$$

13. When summing over mod $\sum_{n \mod k}$ we can extend the dummy variables

$$\sum_{m=1}^{k} f(m) = \sum_{m=1}^{k} f(am)$$
 as long as $(a, k) = 1$

14. Discrete delta function (non-normalized)

$$\sum_{m=1}^{k} e^{2\pi i m(n-d)/k} = k \delta_{n,d} \quad \text{whenever } 0 \le n, d < k$$

Using the above two items we can prove the following, for separable gauss sums $|G(1,\chi)|^2 = k$, first

$$|G(n,\chi)|^2 = |\overline{\chi}(n)G(1,\chi)|^2$$
$$= |\overline{\chi}(n)|^2 |G(1,\chi)|^2$$
$$= 1 \cdot |G(1,\chi)|^2$$

then

$$|G(1,\chi)|^{2} = |G(n,\chi)|^{2} = \sum_{w=1}^{k} \overline{\chi}(w)e^{-2\pi iwn/k} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \chi(m)e^{2\pi imn/k}$$

$$= \sum_{w=1}^{k} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \chi(w^{-1})\chi(m)e^{2\pi i(m-w)n/k}$$

$$= \sum_{w=1}^{k} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \chi(w^{-1}m)e^{2\pi i(m-w)n/k}$$

$$= \sum_{w=1}^{k} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \chi(w^{-1}(wm))e^{2\pi i(wm-w)n/k} \quad \text{using item } 13$$

$$= \sum_{w=1}^{k} \sum_{m=1}^{k} \chi(m)e^{2\pi iw(m-1)n/k}$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{k} \chi(m) \sum_{w=1}^{k} e^{2\pi iw(m-1)n/k}$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{k} \chi(m) k \delta_{m,1} \quad \text{using item } 14$$

$$|G(1,\chi)|^{2} = k \chi(1) = k$$

when using item 13 we multiply m by w but w runs from 1 to k and it's not always co-prime to k but this is all right since $\chi(wm) = 0$ if (w, k) > 1 and so the Dirichlet character took care of it

15. properties of gcd

$$(mk, ab) = (a, m)(k, b)$$
 if $(a, k) = (b, m) = 1$