The following data is from "Note on the spectral lines of hydrogen" by J. J. Balmer dated 1885. Numerical values are hydrogen line wavelengths in units of  $10^{-10}$  meter. (Data for  $H_I$  is not included because  $H_I$  is not a hydrogen line. The  $H_I$  data is for Fraunhofer line H which is ionized calcium.)

	$H_{\alpha}$	$H_{\beta}$	$H_{\gamma}$	$H_{\delta}$	$H_{\epsilon}$	$H_{\zeta}$	$H_{\eta}$	$H_{\vartheta}$	$H_{\iota}$
Van der Willigen	6565.6	4863.94	4342.80	4103.8	_	_	_	_	_
Angstrom	6562.10	4860.74	4340.10	4101.2	_	_	_	_	_
Mendenhall	6561.62	4860.16	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Mascart	6560.7	4859.8	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Ditscheiner	6559.5	4859.74	4338.60	4100.0	_	_	_	_	_
Huggins	_	_	_	_	_	3887.5	3834	3795	3767.5
Vogel	_	_	_	_	3969	3887	3834	3795	$3769^{\dagger}$

(†The value given in the paper is 6769 which is an obvious typo.)

From this data, Balmer determined that

$$\hat{y} = \frac{m^2}{m^2 - 2^2} \times 3645.6 \times 10^{-10} \,\text{meter}$$

where  $\hat{y}$  is the predicted wavelength and m is determined by the hydrogen line according to the following table.

Just for the fun of it, use linear regression in R to compute the model coefficient.

```
 \begin{split} \mathbf{m} &= \mathbf{c}(3,3,3,3,3,4,4,4,4,4,5,5,5,6,6,6,7,8,8,9,9,10,10,11,11) \\ \mathbf{x} &= \mathbf{m}^2 \ / \ (\mathbf{m}^2 - 4) \\ \\ \mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{c}(\\ 6565.60, \ 6562.10, \ 6561.62, \ 6560.70, \ 6559.50, \\ 4863.94, \ 4860.74, \ 4860.16, \ 4859.80, \ 4859.74, \\ 4342.80, \ 4340.10, \ 4338.60, \ 4103.80, \ 4101.20, \\ 4100.00, \ 3969.00, \ 3887.50, \ 3887.00, \ 3834.00, \\ 3834.00, \ 3795.00, \ 3795.00, \ 3767.50, \ 3769.00) \\ \\ \mathbf{coef}(\mathbf{lm}(\mathbf{y}^{\ \sim} \ 0 + \mathbf{x})) \end{split}
```

The result is

3645.296

which is a little bit smaller than Balmer's value.

The actual value is now known to be

$$\frac{4}{R_H} = 3647.05 \times 10^{-10} \,\mathrm{meter}$$

where  $R_H$  is the Rydberg constant for hydrogen

$$R_H = 1.09677576 \times 10^7 \,\mathrm{meter}^{-1}$$