
## Muon pair production

Muon pair production is the process  $e^- + e^+ \rightarrow \mu^- + \mu^+$ .



The following center-of-mass momentum vectors have  $p = \sqrt{E^2 - m^2}$  and  $\rho = \sqrt{E^2 - M^2}$ .

$$p_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} E \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ p \end{pmatrix} \qquad p_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} E \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -p \end{pmatrix} \qquad p_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} E \\ \rho \sin \theta \cos \phi \\ \rho \sin \theta \sin \phi \\ \rho \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \qquad p_{4} = \begin{pmatrix} E \\ -\rho \sin \theta \cos \phi \\ -\rho \sin \theta \sin \phi \\ -\rho \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

Spinors for  $p_1$ .

$$u_{11} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E+m}} \begin{pmatrix} E+m\\0\\p\\0\\\text{spin up} \end{pmatrix} \qquad u_{12} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E+m}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\E+m\\0\\-p\\\text{spin down} \end{pmatrix}$$

Spinors for  $p_2$ .

$$v_{21} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E+m}} \begin{pmatrix} -p\\0\\E+m\\0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad v_{22} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E+m}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\p\\0\\E+m \end{pmatrix}$$
spin down

Spinors for  $p_3$ .

$$u_{31} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E+M}} \begin{pmatrix} E+M\\0\\p_{3z}\\p_{3x}+ip_{3y} \end{pmatrix} \qquad u_{32} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E+M}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\E+M\\p_{3x}-ip_{3y}\\-p_{3z}\\\text{spin down} \end{pmatrix}$$

Spinors for  $p_4$ .

$$v_{41} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E+M}} \begin{pmatrix} p_{4z} \\ p_{4x} + ip_{4y} \\ E+M \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad v_{42} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E+M}} \begin{pmatrix} p_{4x} - ip_{4y} \\ -p_{4z} \\ 0 \\ E+M \end{pmatrix}$$
spin up
$$v_{41} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E+M}} \begin{pmatrix} p_{4x} - ip_{4y} \\ -p_{4z} \\ 0 \\ E+M \end{pmatrix}$$
spin down

The scattering amplitude  $\mathcal{M}_{abcd}$  for spin state abcd is

$$\mathcal{M}_{abcd} = \frac{e^2}{s} (\bar{u}_{3c} \gamma^{\mu} v_{4d}) (\bar{v}_{2b} \gamma_{\mu} u_{1a})$$

Symbol s is the Mandelstam variable

$$s = (p_1 + p_2)^2 = 4E^2$$

In component form

$$\mathcal{M}_{abcd} = \frac{e^2}{s} \left[ (\bar{u}_{3c})_{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu\alpha}{}_{\beta} (v_{4d})^{\beta} \right] \left[ (\bar{v}_{2b})_{\rho} \gamma_{\mu}{}^{\rho}{}_{\sigma} (u_{1a})^{\sigma} \right]$$

The expected probability density  $\langle |\mathcal{M}|^2 \rangle$  is the sum of squared amplitudes divided by the number of inbound states.

$$\langle |\mathcal{M}|^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{abcd} |\mathcal{M}_{abcd}|^2$$
$$= \frac{e^4}{64E^4} \sum_{abcd} |(\bar{u}_{3c} \gamma^{\mu} v_{4d})(\bar{v}_{2b} \gamma_{\mu} u_{1a})|^2$$

The Casimir trick uses matrix arithmetic to sum over spin states.

$$\langle |\mathcal{M}|^2 \rangle = \frac{e^4}{64E^4} \operatorname{Tr} \left[ (\not p_3 + M) \gamma^{\mu} (\not p_4 - M) \gamma^{\nu} \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[ (\not p_2 - m) \gamma_{\mu} (\not p_1 + m) \gamma_{\nu} \right]$$

The result is

$$\langle |\mathcal{M}|^2 \rangle = e^4 \left( 1 + \cos^2 \theta + \frac{m^2 + M^2}{E^2} \sin^2 \theta + \frac{m^2 M^2}{E^4} \cos^2 \theta \right)$$

For  $E\gg M$  a useful approximation is

$$\langle |\mathcal{M}|^2 \rangle = e^4 \left( 1 + \cos^2 \theta \right)$$

## Cross section

The differential cross section is

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\langle |\mathcal{M}|^2 \rangle}{4(4\pi\varepsilon_0)^2 s}$$

where

$$s = (p_1 + p_2)^2 = 4E^2$$

For high energy experiments we have

$$\langle |\mathcal{M}|^2 \rangle = e^4 \left( 1 + \cos^2 \theta \right)$$

Hence

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{e^4}{4(4\pi\varepsilon_0)^2 s} \left(1 + \cos^2\theta\right)$$

Noting that

$$e^2 = 4\pi\varepsilon_0 \alpha \hbar c$$

we have

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^2 (\hbar c)^2}{4s} \left( 1 + \cos^2 \theta \right)$$

Noting that

$$d\Omega = \sin\theta \, d\theta \, d\phi$$

we also have

$$d\sigma = \frac{\alpha^2 (\hbar c)^2}{4s} \left( 1 + \cos^2 \theta \right) \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\phi$$

Let  $S(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  be the following integral of  $d\sigma$ .

$$S(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} d\sigma$$

The solution is

$$S(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{2\pi\alpha^2(\hbar c)^2}{4s} [I(\theta_2) - I(\theta_1)]$$

where

$$I(\theta) = -\frac{\cos^3 \theta}{3} - \cos \theta$$

The cumulative distribution function is

$$F(\theta) = \frac{S(0,\theta)}{S(0,\pi)} = \frac{I(\theta) - I(0)}{I(\pi) - I(0)} = -\frac{\cos^3 \theta}{8} - \frac{3\cos \theta}{8} + \frac{1}{2}, \quad 0 \le \theta \le \pi$$

The probability of observing scattering events in the interval  $\theta_1$  to  $\theta_2$  is

$$P(\theta_1 \le \theta \le \theta_2) = F(\theta_2) - F(\theta_1)$$

The probability density function is

$$f(\theta) = \frac{dF(\theta)}{d\theta} = \frac{3}{8} (1 + \cos^2 \theta) \sin \theta$$

## Data from SLAC PEP experiment

See www.hepdata.net/record/ins216031, Table 1,  $s = (29.0 \,\text{GeV})^2$ .

x	y
-0.925	67.08
-0.85	58.67
-0.75	54.66
-0.65	51.72
-0.55	43.70
-0.45	41.12
-0.35	39.71
-0.25	35.34
-0.15	33.35
-0.05	34.69
0.05	34.05
0.15	34.48
0.25	34.66
0.35	35.23
0.45	35.60
0.55	40.13
0.65	42.56
0.75	46.37
0.85	49.28
0.925	55.70

Data x and y have the following relationship with the differential cross section formula.

$$x = \cos \theta, \quad y = s \frac{d\sigma}{d\cos \theta} = 2\pi s \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$$

The cross section formula is

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^2}{4s} \left( 1 + \cos^2 \theta \right) \times (\hbar c)^2$$

To compute predicted values  $\hat{y}$ , multiply by  $10^{37}$  to convert square meters to nanobarns.

$$\hat{y} = 2\pi s \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\pi\alpha^2}{2} \left( 1 + x^2 \right) \times (\hbar c)^2 \times 10^{37}$$

The following table shows predicted values  $\hat{y}$ .

x	y	$\hat{y}$
-0.925	67.08	60.44
-0.85	58.67	56.10
-0.75	54.66	50.89
-0.65	51.72	46.33
-0.55	43.70	42.42
-0.45	41.12	39.17
-0.35	39.71	36.56
-0.25	35.34	34.61
-0.15	33.35	33.30
-0.05	34.69	32.65
0.05	34.05	32.65
0.15	34.48	33.30
0.25	34.66	34.61
0.35	35.23	36.56
0.45	35.60	39.17
0.55	40.13	42.42
0.65	42.56	46.33
0.75	46.37	50.89
0.85	49.28	56.10
0.925	55.70	60.44

The coefficient of determination  $\mathbb{R}^2$  measures how well predicted values fit the data.

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum (y - \hat{y})^2}{\sum (y - \bar{y})^2} = 0.87$$

The result indicates that the model  $d\sigma$  explains 87% of the variance in the data.

## Electroweak model

The following differential cross section formula from electroweak theory results in a better fit to the data.<sup>1</sup>

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = F(s)(1 + \cos^2\theta) + G(s)\cos\theta$$

where

$$\begin{split} F(s) &= \frac{\alpha^2}{4s} \left( 1 + \frac{g_V^2}{\sqrt{2}\pi} \left( \frac{m_Z^2}{s - m_Z^2} \right) \left( \frac{sG}{\alpha} \right) + \frac{(g_A^2 + g_V^2)^2}{8\pi^2} \left( \frac{m_Z^2}{s - m_Z^2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{sG}{\alpha} \right)^2 \right) \\ G(s) &= \frac{\alpha^2}{4s} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}g_A^2}{\pi} \left( \frac{m_Z^2}{s - m_Z^2} \right) \left( \frac{sG}{\alpha} \right) + \frac{g_A^2 g_V^2}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{m_Z^2}{s - m_Z^2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{sG}{\alpha} \right)^2 \right) \end{split}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See Quantum Field Theory Revised Edition by Mandl and Shaw, p. 316.

and

$$g_A = -0.5$$
  
 $g_V = -0.0348$   
 $m_Z = 91.17 \,\text{GeV}$   
 $G = 1.166 \times 10^{-5} \,\text{GeV}^{-2}$ 

The corresponding formula for  $\hat{y}$  is

$$\hat{y} = 2\pi \left[ F(s)(1+x^2) + G(s)x \right] \times (\hbar c)^2 \times 10^{37}$$

where  $\sqrt{s}=29\,\mathrm{GeV}$  is the center of mass collision energy. Here are the predicted values  $\hat{y}$  based on the above formula.

x	y	$\hat{y}$
-0.925	67.08	65.59
-0.85	58.67	60.84
-0.75	54.66	55.07
-0.65	51.72	49.96
-0.55	43.70	45.49
-0.45	41.12	41.69
-0.35	39.71	38.53
-0.25	35.34	36.02
-0.15	33.35	34.17
-0.05	34.69	32.97
0.05	34.05	32.42
0.15	34.48	32.53
0.25	34.66	33.28
0.35	35.23	34.69
0.45	35.60	36.75
0.55	40.13	39.47
0.65	42.56	42.83
0.75	46.37	46.85
0.85	49.28	51.52
0.925	55.70	55.45

The coefficient of determination  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is

$$R^{2} = 1 - \frac{\sum (y - \hat{y})^{2}}{\sum (y - \bar{y})^{2}} = 0.98$$

The result indicates that electroweak theory explains 98% of the variance in the data.