The following data is from "Note on the spectral lines of hydrogen" by J. J. Balmer dated 1885. The numerical values are wavelengths in units of  $10^{-10}$  meter.

	$H_{\alpha}$	$H_{\beta}$	$H_{\gamma}$	$H_{\delta}$	$H_{\epsilon}$	$H_{\zeta}$	$H_{\eta}$	$H_{\vartheta}$	$H_{\iota}$
Van der Willigen	6565.6	4863.94	4342.80	4103.8	_	_	_	_	_
Angstrom	6562.10	4860.74	4340.10	4101.2	_	_	_	_	_
Mendenhall	6561.2	4860.16	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Mascart	6560.7	4859.8	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Ditscheiner	6559.5	4859.74	4338.60	4100.0	_	_	_	_	_
Huggins	_	_	_	_	_	3887.5	3834	3795	3767.5
Vogel	_	_	_	_	3969	3887	3834	3795	$3769^{\dagger}$

(†The value given in the paper is 6769 which is an obvious typo.)

From this data, Balmer determined that

$$\hat{y} = \frac{m^2}{m^2 - 2^2} \times 3645.6 \times 10^{-10} \,\text{meter}$$

where  $\hat{y}$  is the predicted wavelength and m is determined by the hydrogen line according to the following table.

Just for the fun of it, use linear regression in R to compute the model coefficient.

```
 \begin{split} \mathbf{m} &= \mathbf{c}(3,3,3,3,3,4,4,4,4,4,5,5,5,6,6,6,7,8,8,9,9,10,10,11,11) \\ \mathbf{x} &= \mathbf{m}^2 \ / \ (\mathbf{m}^2 - 4) \\ \\ \mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{c}(\\ 6565.60,6562.10,6561.62,6560.70,6559.50,\\ 4863.94,4860.74,4860.16,4859.80,4859.74,\\ 4342.80,4340.10,4338.60,4103.80,4101.20,\\ 4100.00,3969.00,3887.50,3887.00,3834.00,\\ 3834.00,3795.00,3795.00,3767.50,3769.00) \\ \\ \mathbf{coef}(\mathbf{lm}(\mathbf{y} \ ^{\sim} \ 0 + \mathbf{x})) \end{split}
```

The result is

The actual value is now known from theory to be

$$3645.07 \times 10^{-10} \,\mathrm{meter}$$