

School Accountability Report Card for School Year 2018-2019

Published in 2019-2020

L.R. Green School

2824 Las Palmas Avenue

Escondido, CA 92025

(760) 432-2260

Grades K-5

Audrey Frank, Principal

afrank@eusd.org



District Office:

2310 Aldergrove Ave.

Escondido, CA 92029

(760) 432-2400

www.eusd.org

CDS code: 37-68098-6107882

Board members

Joan Gardner, *President*

Dr. Joe Muga, *Vice President*

Doug Paulson, *Clerk*

Georgine Tomasi, *Member*

Vacant, *Member*

Administration

Luis Rankins-Ibarra,

Superintendent

libarra@eusd.org

Leila Sackfield,

Deputy Superintendent,

Human Resources

Dr. Laura Philyaw,

Assistant Superintendent,

Educational Services

Michael Taylor,


Assistant Superintendent,

Business Services

Kelly Prins,

Assistant Superintendent,

Special Education/ISS



By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC. .

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

ABOUT THIS SCHOOL

A Message from the Principal . . .

Our vision of L.R. Green Elementary is that it is a place where each student and teacher is motivated to come to school and is inspired to learn. Students, parents, and staff have an equal partnership in the learning and social development of students. All members of the L.R. Green community work collaboratively to achieve our goals. L.R. Green is a place where students take ownership of their learning and become independent thinkers and life-long learners.

Audrey Frank, Principal
L. R. Green Elementary

Mission Statement (School Year 2019-20)

Our fundamental purpose is to maximize every child's learning potential. We will promote the value of life-long learning, develop self-worth, maintain a high level of quality instruction, and transition students to become productive and responsible participants in society.

Student Enrollment (School Year 2018-19)

Student Enrollment By Grade Level

Kindergarten	156
Grade 1	156
Grade 2	127
Grade 3	118
Grade 4	114
Grade 5	122
Grade 6	4
Grade 7	6
Grade 8	1
Total Enrollment	804

Student Enrollment By Student Group

Black or African American	1.60 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00 %
Asian	4.20 %
Filipino	1.90 %
Hispanic or Latino	45.10 %
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.20 %
White	40.00 %
Two or More Races	5.50 %
Other	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	46.50 %
English Learners	16.30 %
Students with Disabilities	15.70 %
Foster Youth	0.20 %
Homeless	5.20 %

A. CONDITIONS OF LEARNING

STATE PRIORITY: BASIC

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District 2019-2020
	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
With Full Credential	48	46	51	838
Without Full Credential	0	1	0	4
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	----

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2019-20)

The resolution to approve certification of compliance with the EC 60119 and Williams Settlement Legislation was approved on 10/10/19.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks & instructional materials	Year of adoption	From most recent adoption?	Percent students lacking own assigned copy
Reading/Language Arts	Benchmark Education - <i>Advance, Adelante</i>	2016	Yes	0%
Reading Intervention	Benchmark Education - <i>Advance, Adelante</i>	2016	Yes	0%
Mathematics	<i>Eureka Math/Engage NY</i>	2016	Yes	0%
Science	McMillan/McGraw-Hill <i>CA Science, CA Ciencias</i>	2008	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	Scott Foresman <i>History-Social Science for CA</i> <i>Historia-CienciasSociades</i> <i>Para California</i>	2006	Yes	0%

A. CONDITIONS OF LEARNING (cont.)

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Below is information about the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities, including the condition and cleanliness of the school grounds, buildings, and restrooms. This school was opened in 1989. The condition of this school's buildings, grounds, and restrooms meets the good repair standard as defined in Education Code Sections 17014, 17032.5, 17070.75(a). Recent facility improvements include, but are not limited to: : Limited roofing replacements, electrical repairs & limited landscape improvements. (FIT DATED (10/08/19))

The district focuses cooperative attention through every department and every employee to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. The team approach to interdisciplinary problem solving makes Escondido Union School District a child-friendly learning environment.

In March 2002, voters in the Escondido community passed Prop K, providing funding to schools for facilities improvements and long-needed projects. The Independent Citizens Oversight Committee (ICOC) meets with District staff regularly to collaborate on projects funded by Prop K, and promotes community involvement in the school district initiatives.

School Facility Good Repair Status

System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces		X		Ongoing maintenance and repairs.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical		X		Ongoing maintenance and repairs.
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains		X		Ongoing maintenance and repairs.
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials		X		Ongoing maintenance and repairs.
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	X			Installation of parking lot solar 2020.

Overall Facility Rate

FIT DATED: 10/8/19

	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
Overall Rating			X	

B. PUPIL OUTCOMES

STATE PRIORITY: PUPIL ACHIEVEMENT

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School		District		State	
	2017-18	2018-19	2017-18	2018-19	2017-18	2018-19
English Language Arts/ Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	58.0%	62.0%	44.0%	44.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	52.0%	56.0%	32.0%	33.0%	38.0%	39.0%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

B. PUPIL OUTCOMES (cont.)

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018–19)

Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	355	348	98.03%	1.97%	61.78%
Male	194	188	96.91%	3.09%	60.11%
Female	161	160	99.38%	0.62%	63.75%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian	16	15	93.75%	6.25%	93.33%
Filipino	--	--	--	--	
Hispanic or Latino	152	149	98.03%	1.97%	45.64%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White	151	148	98.01%	1.99%	72.97%
Two or More Races	17	17	100.00%	0.00%	70.59%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	182	177	97.25%	2.75%	49.15%
English Learners	85	82	96.47%	3.53%	45.12%
Students with Disabilities	42	41	97.62%	2.38%	24.39%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	
Homeless	25	25	100.00%	0.00%	40.00%

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

B. PUPIL OUTCOMES (cont.)

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018–19)

Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	355	349	98.31%	1.69%	56.16%
Male	194	189	97.42%	2.58%	59.79%
Female	161	160	99.38%	0.62%	51.88%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian	16	16	100.00%	0.00%	81.25%
Filipino	--	--	--	--	
Hispanic or Latino	152	149	98.03%	1.97%	46.98%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White	151	148	98.01%	1.99%	62.16%
Two or More Races	17	17	100.00%	0.00%	52.94%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	182	179	98.35%	1.65%	40.78%
English Learners	85	83	97.65%	2.35%	43.37%
Students with Disabilities	42	41	97.62%	2.38%	24.39%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	
Homeless	25	25	100.00%	0.00%	36.00%

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students Grade Five Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School		District		State	
	2017-18	2018-19	2017-18	2018-19	2017-18	2018-19
Science-grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: This is a placeholder for the California Science Test (CAST) which was administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. However, these data are not available for inclusion in the 2018–19 SARC posting due February 1, 2020. These data will be included in the 2019–20 SARC posting due February 1, 2021.

B. PUPIL OUTCOMES (cont.)

STATE PRIORITY: OTHER PUPIL OUTCOMES

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2018-19)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	16.7%	31.7%	35.0%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. ENGAGEMENT

STATE PRIORITY: PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parent Involvement (School Year 2019–20)

- The L.R. Green Educational Foundation serves a very important function in ensuring our students' success. They support our students and staff through fund raising activities, which support a variety of enrichment activities, programs, and services.
- School Site Council meets regularly to review our school programs, the Single Plan for Student Achievement, and Comprehensive Safe School Plan.
- English Learner Advisory Council meets regularly to review our English Learner programs and to provide input to the Single Plan for Student Achievement.
- The Family Liaison who is located in our Family Engagement Center offers parent education through Parent University and provides interpreting and translation support to students, parents, and staff.
- Classroom Volunteers support us in many ways. Community volunteers and parents work in classrooms assisting students and teachers with daily lessons, special events, and field trips.

C. ENGAGEMENT

STATE PRIORITY: SCHOOL CLIMATE

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions	0.60%	0.20%	0.20%	2.60%	2.70%	2.70%	3.60%	3.50%	3.50%
Expulsions	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.10%	0.10%	0.10%	0.10%	0.10%

School Safety Plan (School Year 2019–20)

Each school annually participates in a review of their Comprehensive Safe School Plan. During this review, each site convenes a School Site Council meeting to review school safety policies in relation to board policy, administrative regulations and Education Code. Each school reviews and updates its comprehensive school safety plan, and the plans are annually presented and approved by the school district Board. The plans are updated using current discipline, attendance, health, and curricular data. The Comprehensive Safe School plan includes child abuse reporting procedures, disaster response procedures, suspension and expulsion policies, notification for teachers of dangerous pupils policy, discrimination and harassment policies, dress code procedures, safe ingress and egress procedures, site goals and objectives related to a safe and orderly environment, school discipline procedures, hate crime policies, and bullying prevention procedures and policies. All students, parents, and staff work together to ensure all schools have a safe and secure campus.

D. OTHER SARC INFORMATION

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

Grade Level	Avg. Class Size	2016-17 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2017-18 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2018-19 Number of Classes*		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	17.0	5	4		19.0	3	5		17.00	4	6	
1	23.0		5		21.0	1	5		23.00		6	
2	19.0	3	3		20.0	1	5		20.00	1	5	
3	23.0		5		20.0	1	5		23.00		5	
4	28.0		4		21.0	2	4		22.00	1	4	
5	29.0		3		27.0		4		22.00	2	4	
6					2.0	12						
Other**	6.0	4			6.0	3			8.00	1		

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

** "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

D. OTHER SARC INFORMATION (cont.)

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (cont.)

Subject	Avg. Class Size	2016-17 Number of Classes*			Class Size	2017-18 Number of Classes*			Class Size	2018-19 Number of Classes*		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	5.0	2			2.0	3			4.00	2		
Mathematics	3.0	4			2.0	4			2.00	3		
Science	3.0	4			2.0	4			2.00	3		
Social Science	5.0	2			2.0	3			4.00	2		

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Ratio of Academic Counselors to Pupils (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Rate
Academic Counselor*	0

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

Student Support Services Staff (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	0.98
Psychologist	1.0
Social Worker	1.0
Nurse	1.0
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	2.2
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0
Other - SAI (Specialized Academic Instruction) Teacher	1.5

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$7812.60	\$1277.90	\$6534.70	\$78049.69
District	—	—	\$8829.99	\$73708.96
Percent Difference—School Site and District	—	—	-20.96%	3.78%
State	—	—	\$7506.64	\$82663.00
Percent Difference—School Site and State	—	—	-9.45%	-3.86%

Note: Cells with “—” do not require data.

D. OTHER SARC INFORMATION (cont.)

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2018-19)

L.R. Green School receives Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) funding through the state of California to support teaching and learning for all students with an emphasis on English learners, low income students and foster youth. Services funded include interventions for students at risk, a family liaison, a social worker, a P.E. teacher, enrichment opportunities, and teacher professional development.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-2018)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$41,321	\$45,741
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$74,174	\$81,840
Highest Teacher Salary	\$98,658	\$102,065
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$115,472	\$129,221
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$120,675	\$132,874
Superintendent Salary	\$228,631	\$224,581
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	36.0%	36.0%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4.0%	5.0%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Professional Development

Measure	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	3	2.5	2