# Flowery Elementary School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2018-19 School Year

**Published During 2019-20** 

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/">https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/</a>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <a href="https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/">https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</a> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

## **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## **About This School**

School Contact Information (School Year 2019-20)

Entity	Contact Information
School Name	Flowery Elementary School
Street	17600 Sonoma Hwy.
City, State, Zip	Sonoma, CA 95476
Phone Number	707-935-6060
Principal	Esmeralda Sanchez Moselely
Email Address	emoseley@sonomaschools.org
Website	www.floweryschool.org
County-District-School (CDS) Code	49-70953-6052278

Entity	Contact Information
District Name	Sonoma Valley Unified School District
Phone Number	707-935-6000
Superintendent	Socorro Shiels
Email Address	msilvi@sonomaschools.org
Website	www.sonomaschools.org

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2019-20)

Welcome to Flowery School! At Flowery we are proud of our dual immersion (DI) program. Imagine a school.....in which children from all backgrounds excel. Imagine a school that treats all children as gifted, building on their strengths by integrating technology, garden, music, library and art into the core subjects. Imagine a school that does this in both English and Spanish. Imagine a school in which all members of the school community contribute to the vision of their ideal school and in which they collaborate to achieve that dream. Imagine a school where ideas count and where students are educated for success in a global society. Let your imagination go as far as it can, and you have discovered Flowery School.

#### Our Mission

Flowery School will foster students who:

- have strong literacy skills and are capable of reaching high academic standards;
- are productive members of society to whom all choices for higher education, employment and life-long learning are open;
- are responsible citizens who have the necessary skills to live cooperatively in a diverse world;
- will have the opportunity to acquire a second language.

All members of the Flowery community – parents, staff, neighbors and students - collaborate in creating program choices to respond to student need.

## Our Core Values:

- We believe in students, their families and the community and value its diversity.
- We believe that adults and students work better as part of a team.
- We value the dedication and commitment of our unique staff.
- We believe that making curriculum meaningful, creative and accessible reaches all levels of learners.
- We value our traditions and positive experiences that foster life-long learning and create bilingual, bicultural students.
- Each child matters and deserves physical and emotional safety.
- There is mutual respect among students and adults.
- We are dedicated to developing responsible behavior among all students.

## Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2018-19)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	69
Grade 1	64
Grade 2	59
Grade 3	40
Grade 4	55
Grade 5	52
Total Enrollment	339

## Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Asian	0.6
Filipino	0.3
Hispanic or Latino	66.1
White	27.1
Two or More Races	3.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	64
English Learners	46.3
Students with Disabilities	11.8
Homeless	

## A. Conditions of Learning

## **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- · School facilities are maintained in good repair

## **Teacher Credentials**

Teachers		School 2018-19	School 2019-20	District 2019-20
With Full Credential		17	21	207
Without Full Credential		1	1	12
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)		0	0	4

## **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners		0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*		0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions		0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. \*Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2019-20)

Year and month in which data were collected: August 2016

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Macmillan/McGraw Hill Treasures/Tesoros de Lectura Adopted May 2010 K-5 Writing: Heineman, Lucy Calkins Units of Study Writing, Adopted October 2015	Yes	0
Mathematics	Bridges, Math Learning Center Adopted May, 2017	Yes	0
Science	Macmillan/McGraw Hill California Science, Adopted May 2007	Yes	0
History-Social Science	K-2: Houghton-Mifflin History-Social Science, Adopted May 2006 Gr. 3-5: Scott Foresman History-Social Science for CA, Adopted May 2006 Dual Immersion Spanish, Grades K-5: Pearson Scott Foresman's Siencias Sociales para California, Adopted December 2007	Yes	0
Health	Macmillan Health and Wellness, Adopted May 2005	Yes	0

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Flowery facilities are in excellent condition. A total of 10 classrooms had new flooring installed and one wing of portables received new roofing in 2014. All rooms received new window coverings in 2014 and new colorful murals were added to the kindergarten wing.

## **School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)**

Using the most recently collected FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 08/22/2019

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	Room 4.0: Stained ceiling tiles Work request assigned
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	None
Electrical: Electrical	Good	None
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	None
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	None
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	None
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	Room 4.0: Door not securing. Work request assigned.
Overall Rating	Good	

## **B. Pupil Outcomes**

## **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

# CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	District 2017-18	District 2018-19	State 2017-18	State 2018-19
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	38	42	42	43	50	50
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	39	36	29	28	38	39

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

# CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	147	145	98.64	1.36	42.07
Male	65	64	98.46	1.54	45.31
Female	82	81	98.78	1.22	39.51
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	103	102	99.03	0.97	30.39
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White	34	34	100.00	0.00	73.53

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100	99	99.00	1.00	25.25
English Learners	83	82	98.80	1.20	26.83
Students with Disabilities	23	22	95.65	4.35	13.64
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					
Foster Youth					
Homeless					

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	147	145	98.64	1.36	35.86
Male	65	64	98.46	1.54	40.63
Female	82	81	98.78	1.22	32.10
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	103	102	99.03	0.97	26.47
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White	34	34	100.00	0.00	61.76
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100	99	99.00	1.00	23.23
English Learners	83	82	98.80	1.20	20.73
Students with Disabilities	23	22	95.65	4.35	9.09
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
Foster Youth					
Homeless					

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## **CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students**

## Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

## Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School	School	District	District	State	State
	2017-18	2018-19	2017-18	2018-19	2017-18	2018-19
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: This is a placeholder for the California Science Test (CAST) which was administered operationally during the 2018-19 school year. However, these data are not available for inclusion in the 2018-19 SARC posting due February 1, 2020. These data will be included in the 2019-20 SARC posting due February 1, 2021.

## **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

## California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2018-19)

Grade <i>Level</i>	Percentage of Students	Percentage of Students	Percentage of Students
	Meeting Four of Six	Meeting Five of Six	Meeting Six of Six
	Fitness Standards	Fitness Standards	Fitness Standards
5	17.3	30.8	40.4

Note: Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## C. Engagement

### **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

## **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2019-20)**

The School Site Council (SSC), which always includes parent members, approves our annual action plan and expenditures. The English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC) helps parents whose students are learning English feel welcome at our school. This group also provides input on student learning needs as we continually strive to improve our program. Another important support group is the Parent Teacher Organization (PTO). The PTO provides funds for field trips, teacher supplies, our ongoing Science in the Garden and Art programs. It also organizes such community events as the Halloween Carnival and Salsa Party. Parents also support our 20-year-old community garden. Our teachers are always looking for volunteers for the classroom, on field trips and supporting the organization of our community events. We welcome all parents and community members who want to help our students.

The contact persons for parent involvement are Nathaly Duffy & Erika Tantlinger, PTO Co-presidents Phone number: (707) 935-6060

## **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- · Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

Rate	School 2016-17	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	District 2016-17	District 2017-18	District 2018-19	State 2016-17	State 2017-18	State 2018-19
Suspensions	0.6	1.2	3.5	5.3	3.3	5.1	3.6	3.5	3.5
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1

## School Safety Plan (School Year 2019-20)

The School Site Council updates our comprehensive school safety plan each fall and makes it available for review. The School Safety Plan was updated and approved in November 2018. Staff members and noon supervisors patrol the playgrounds at recess and lunch. Emergency and disaster procedures were reviewed at the start of the school year. All teachers have copies of the playground guidelines and share them with students at the beginning of the year. Our monthly safety drills include fire, earthquake, and lockdown. The Larson Park gate is locked during school hours. All visitors and volunteers check in at the office before proceeding to classrooms. Regular volunteers have permanent badges provided by teachers.

## **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

## **Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)**

Grade Level	2016-17 Average Class Size	# of	# of	Average	# of	# of	# of	Average	# of	# of	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 33+
K	20	2	1	21		3		31		2	1
1	24		2	20	1	2		21		3	
2	19	3		22		2		29		2	
3	19	3		18	3			21	1	1	
4	27		2	28		2		28		2	
5	26		2	27		2		26		2	
Other**											

<sup>\*</sup>Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

## Ratio of Academic Counselors to Pupils (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Ratio
Academic Counselors*	423.8

<sup>\*</sup>One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

## **Student Support Services Staff (School Year 2018-19)**

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.8
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	2.0

<sup>\*</sup>One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

## Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$11,062	\$3,728	\$7,334	\$58,780.64

<sup>\*\* &</sup>quot;Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
District	N/A	N/A	\$4,055	\$65,909.00
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	80.9	-6.1
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,506.64	\$72,949.00
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	11.6	-15.6

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

## Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2018-19)

Flowery uses our site funds to support our students in many ways. Our academic coordinator organizes our intervention program. She handles scheduling, providing materials and training for aides in the before, during and after school programs that support our students. From these state and federal funds, Flowery pays for materials and training for aides, technology, professional development for teachers and our 3 day a week counselor as well as instructional materials for all classrooms.

## **Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)**

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$40,712	\$46,208
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$63,869	\$72,218
Highest Teacher Salary	\$79,852	\$92,742
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$106,000	\$134,864
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$111,076	\$118,220
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$129,096	\$127,356
Superintendent Salary	\$192,000	\$186,823
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	34%	33%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	6%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/">https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/</a>.

## **Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)**

Measure	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement			

Teachers received 5 professional development days and 2 curriculum planning days in the 2015-2016, 2016-2017, and 2017-2018 school year. The focus of professional development consisted of best practices and methodologies for instructing English language learners, new math curriculum adoption, and writing. In addition, teachers received training in developing and scoring common core based performance tasks in language arts and mathematics. Teachers worked in grade level teams to develop English language development lessons and incorporate new strategies for ELD. Staff continued discussions and collaboration in bi-monthly staff meetings and weekly grade level collaboration meetings.

2015-16 - 5 days 2016-17 - 5 days

2017-2018-5 days