# Belshaw Elementary School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2018-19 School Year

**Published During 2019-20** 

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/">https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/</a>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <a href="https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/">https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</a> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

#### **About This School**

School Contact Information (School Year 2019-20)

Entity	Contact Information
School Name	Belshaw Elementary School
Street	2801 Roosevelt Lane
City, State, Zip	Antioch, CA 94509
Phone Number	925.779.7495
Principal	Casey Lewis
Email Address	CaseyLewis@AntiochSchools.net
Website	https://www.antiochschools.net/Belshaw
County-District-School (CDS) Code	07 61648 6003578

Entity	Contact Information
District Name	Antioch Unified School District
Phone Number	925.779.7500
Superintendent	Stephanie Anello
Email Address	Stephanie Anello@antioch schools.net
Website	www.antiochschools.net

# School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2019-20)

In order for children to develop into contributing adults in our society, Belshaw School's mission is to: help our youth grow and achieve academically, socially, and morally; motivate students to reach their full potential as individuals; and provide students with a positive learning environment that promotes a sense of greater self-esteem.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2018-19)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	73
Grade 1	82
Grade 2	95
Grade 3	82
Grade 4	100
Grade 5	115
Grade 6	2
Total Enrollment	549

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	17.1
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.5
Asian	2
Filipino	2.2
Hispanic or Latino	47.9
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3.1
White	20.9
Two or More Races	6.2
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	78.9
English Learners	23.5
Students with Disabilities	14.6
Foster Youth	0.2
Homeless	3.6

# A. Conditions of Learning

#### **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

#### **Teacher Credentials**

Teachers	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	School 2019-20	District 2019-20
With Full Credential	26	25	24	702
Without Full Credential	0	2	0	29
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	93

# **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. \*Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

# Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2019-20)

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2019

Due to loss, damage and expanding student populations, textbooks and materials are purchased during the year.

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	K-2 (Reading Wonders, McGraw-Hill, © 2013) (Adopted 5/29/13) 3-5 (Reading Wonders, McGraw-Hill, © 2014) (Adopted 1/22/14) K-5 Reading Wonders for English Learners, McGraw-Hill, © 2016) (Adopted 3/9/16)	Yes	0%
Mathematics	My Math, McGraw-Hill, © 2014 (Adopted 3/12/14)	Yes	0%
Science	California Science, Pearson, © 2007 (Adopted 1/23/07)	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	My World Interactive, Pearson, © 2018 (Adopted 3/28/18)	Yes	0%
Health	Health Promotion Wave, Health Wave, Inc., © 2012 (Adopted 6/27/12)	Yes	0%

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

This school has 26 classrooms, a multi-purpose room, a library and an administration building. In addition, there are 7 portables on the site. Initial construction was completed in 1954. Wing II was constructed in 1956; Wing III and the multi-purpose room were constructed in 1957. The school opened in 1954.

The District takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the LEA uses the Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) developed by the Office of Public School Construction. The results of this survey are available at the school office, at the LEA office, or on the internet at https://www.antiochschools.net/SARC. Additionally, select school site staff have access to iServiceDesk to submit work requests. The Maintenance and Operations Department receives the iServiceDesk requests, reviews, and creates work orders in TMA which in turn are assigned to field personnel to address the actual issues.

## School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the most recently collected FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 2/7/2018

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Fair	Kitchen: 1 missing diffuser Office: Mens restroom has damaged wallboard. Portable 29: Egress blocked-rear brinds destroyed. Room 20: Fountain missing handle-broken pecil sharpener Room 23: Broken soap dispenser. Room 26: Broken pencil sharpener. Room 3: Wall damage in restroom. Room 5: Broken pencil sharpene-Pealing paint on wall in restroom and floor. Room 8: Broken pencil sharpener Wing 1 Girls Restroom: Broken light diffuser Wing 2 Boys Restroom: Damaged ceiling tile
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	Kitchen: 3 bad ballasts Office: Lounge has 1 bad ballast. Mens restroom has damaged wallboard. Portable 33: 1 bad ballast Room 10: 1 bad ballast Room 15: 1 bad ballast Room 20: 1 bad ballast

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
		Room 24: 1 bad ballast Room 25: 1 bad ballast Room 9: 1 bad ballast
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	Room 18: Low fountain pressure Room 20: Fountain missing handle-broken pecil sharpener
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	Portable 29: Egress blocked-rear brinds destroyed.
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	Concrete Arcade: Numerous cracks in concrete ERP Prevously Submitted
Overall Rating	Good	

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

#### **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

# CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	District 2017-18	District 2018-19	State 2017-18	State 2018-19
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	23	22	32	30	50	50
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	18	15	19	18	38	39

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

# CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	280	279	99.64	0.36	21.86
Male	139	138	99.28	0.72	18.84
Female	141	141	100.00	0.00	24.82
Black or African American	44	44	100.00	0.00	11.36
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	140	139	99.29	0.71	23.74
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White	65	65	100.00	0.00	21.54

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
Two or More Races	13	13	100.00	0.00	30.77
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	220	219	99.55	0.45	21.92
English Learners	93	92	98.92	1.08	21.74
Students with Disabilities	44	44	100.00	0.00	2.27
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					
Foster Youth					
Homeless					

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

# CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	280	280	100.00	0.00	14.64
Male	139	139	100.00	0.00	14.39
Female	141	141	100.00	0.00	14.89
Black or African American	44	44	100.00	0.00	6.82
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	140	140	100.00	0.00	14.29
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White	65	65	100.00	0.00	18.46
Two or More Races	13	13	100.00	0.00	15.38
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	220	220	100.00	0.00	12.73
English Learners	93	93	100.00	0.00	15.05
Students with Disabilities	44	44	100.00	0.00	4.55
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
Foster Youth					
Homeless					

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

# **CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students**

# Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

#### Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School	School	District	District	State	State
	2017-18	2018-19	2017-18	2018-19	2017-18	2018-19
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: This is a placeholder for the California Science Test (CAST) which was administered operationally during the 2018-19 school year. However, these data are not available for inclusion in the 2018-19 SARC posting due February 1, 2020. These data will be included in the 2019-20 SARC posting due February 1, 2021.

# **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

## California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2018-19)

Grade <i>Level</i>	Percentage of Students	Percentage of Students	Percentage of Students
	Meeting Four of Six	Meeting Five of Six	Meeting Six of Six
	Fitness Standards	Fitness Standards	Fitness Standards
5	21.9	19.3	17.5

Note: Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# C. Engagement

#### **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

#### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2019-20)**

Belshaw offers several opportunities for parent involvement. There is a very active PTO which is always welcoming and accepting new members. Parents are encouraged to run for School Site Council and our ELAC committee. Many teachers welcome parents into the classroom as helpers as well as active participants and visitors. Parents are an integral part of their child's education and we appreciate their support and active involvement in their educational experiences.

For more information on how to participate at Belshaw Elementary School, please contact the school office at 925.779.7495.

#### **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

Rate	School 2016-17	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	District 2016-17	District 2017-18	District 2018-19	State 2016-17	State 2017-18	State 2018-19
Suspensions	1.6	3.9	4.1	8.3	7.3	8.7	3.6	3.5	3.5
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

#### School Safety Plan (School Year 2019-20)

Belshaw has a comprehensive safety plan which has been developed by a committee consisting of parents and staff, both classified and certificated. Procedures for school wide discipline, dress code, child abuse reporting, sexual harassment, and emergencies among others are outlined and included in accordance with state, district, and school policies.

# **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

# **Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)**

Grade Level	2016-17 Average Class Size	# of	# of	Average	# of	2017-18 # of Classes* Size 21-32	# of	Average		# of	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 33+
K	22	2	3	27		3		20	1	3	
1	27		3	24		4		27		3	
2	28		3	25		3		23		4	
3	28		4	27		3		26		3	
4	28		3	30		4		32		1	2
5	31		3	30		3		27		4	
6	10	1									
Other**				9	2			13	1		

<sup>\*</sup>Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

# Ratio of Academic Counselors to Pupils (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Ratio
Academic Counselors*	549.0

<sup>\*</sup>One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

# **Student Support Services Staff (School Year 2018-19)**

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1.0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	
Social Worker	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	.5

<sup>\*</sup>One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

#### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$7,438	\$913	\$6,524	\$84,240
District	N/A	N/A	\$9,036	\$79,380.00

<sup>\*\* &</sup>quot;Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-32.3	4.6
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,506.64	\$82,031.00
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-65.4	1.7

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

# Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2018-19)

- English Language Development Program
- Daily Reading Intervention Program
- After School Program
- Anti Bullying Program (Grades K-5)
- One Day at a Time Youth Advisory

# **Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)**

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$46,624	\$51,374
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$76,277	\$80,151
Highest Teacher Salary	\$96,906	\$100,143
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$124,648	\$126,896
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$131,978	\$133,668
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$144,450	\$143,746
Superintendent Salary	\$244,548	\$245,810
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	35%	35%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/">https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/</a>.

#### **Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)**

Measure	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	3	3	3

In the spring of 2019 teachers and administrators were involved in a collaborative process to redesign the content and delivery system of our three professional development days for the 2019-20 school year (August 5, 2019, November 1, 2019, January 6, 2020). As a result, the delivery system changed from prescriptive to a conference style, teacher choice format. The content for each learning session is organized into three domains: Academic Content, Social and Emotional Learning, and Technology. All certificated employees in the Antioch Unified School District participate in each of the three days of training. The first day included over 50 sessions for teachers to select from based on their identify area of interest or need. The second day was a blended approach with both a variety of sessions offered by the district and site selected and delivered sessions. The final day in January was hosted at the site level and a variety of resources were provided to sites to support their team's identified focus areas for training.

Below is a listing of prioritized content based:

- i-Ready Diagnostic Assessments
- Standards Based Learning and Grading
- NGSS content standards
- History-Social Framework
- Mindfulness
- AVID Strategies
- Google Classroom