

Lake Center Middle School

10503 South Pioneer Blvd. • Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670 • (562) 868-4977 • Grades 6-8

Jack Sokoloff, Principal

jsokoloff@llcsd.net

www.llcsd.net

2018-19 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2019-20 School Year

Little Lake City School District

10515 South Pioneer Blvd. Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670 (562) 868-8241 www.llcsd.net

District Governing Board

Richard Martinez

President

Gabriel Jimenez

Vice President

Hilda Zamora

Clerk

Janet Rock

Member

Dora Sandoval Member

District Administration

William Crean, Ed.D.

Superintendent

Sonya Cuellar

Assistant Superintendent, Personnel Services

Manuel Correa

Assistant Superintendent, Business Services

Monica Johnson

Assistant Superintendent, Educational Services

Lauren Hernandez

Director, Educational Services

Tony Valencia

Director, Special Education and Pupil Services

Heidi McDonald

Director, Nutrition Services

Brent Griffen

Director, Maintenance and Operations

Khrystyne Tat

Director, Fiscal Services

Ignacio Mejia

Director, Technology

School Description

Principal's Message

Lake Center Middle School is a part of the Little Lake City School District located in Santa Fe Springs, California. We are one of two middle schools in the district serving grades 6-8 and are the receiving or partner school to four (Cresson, Jersey, Lakeland, Lakeview) of the seven elementary schools.

Lake Center Middle School has been a central part of the Santa Fe Springs Community for over 50 years. Many students' parents and grandparents attended Lake Center themselves when they were middle school students. The Lake Center staff does an excellent job helping students successfully make the move from elementary school to middle school and then preparing these students to be successful at the high school level and beyond.

Lake Center is a learning community with excellent teachers, wonderful students and a very supportive parent community. Our school community values positive academic and social growth, believes in a rigorous well-rounded education, values diversity, and looks out for the best interest of children. As our mission statement says, "With a focus on learning, the Lake Center community will provide high quality, well-rounded instructional experiences to support student success every period, every day." As a result of our shared efforts, Lake Center was recently recognized as a 2019 PBIS Gold School, 2018 National School to Watch re-designation, 2015 Gold Ribbon School, 2015 Title I Achieving School and 2018 Honor Roll for California Business for Education Excellence (CBEE).

In recent parent survey results, almost 90% of respondents agreed with the statement, "In general, I am satisfied with my child's school," and the statement, "I am pleased with the progress my child is making toward meeting state standards."

Jack Sokoloff, Principal

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2018-19 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 6	318
Grade 7	314
Grade 8	274
Total Enrollment	906

2018-19 Student Enrollment by Group

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	2.4
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.1
Asian	1.7
Filipino	1
Hispanic or Latino	89.3
White	5
Two or More Races	0.6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	68
English Learners	8.7
Students with Disabilities	12.4
Foster Youth	0.3
Homeless	5.6

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials for Lake Center Middle	17-18	18-19	19-20
With Full Credential	42	41	41
Without Full Credential	2	3	3
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	3	3	3

Teacher Credentials for Little Lake City School	17-18	18-19	19-20
With Full Credential	*	+	203
Without Full Credential	*	+	7
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	•	*	6

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at Lake Center Middle School

Indicator	17-18	18-19	19-20
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

^{*}Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2019-20)

Textbooks and Instructional Materials

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2019

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional M	laterials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	Prentice Hall English Language Arts Adopted 2003-2004 School Year	
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0
Mathematics	Houghton Mifflin Go Math K - 8 Adopted 2014-2015 School Year	
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0
Science	Prentice Hall Science Adopted 2006-2007 School Year	
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0
History-Social Science	McDougal Littlell History Social Studies Adopted 2005-2006 School Year	
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0
Visual and Performing Arts	Students receive instruction in the VAPA Standards but we	do not use an adopted text.
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	No
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	100

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Lake Center Middle School takes a great deal of pride in the facility and the campus. The school was built in the late 1950s, is in excellent condition, and is maintained to ensure that teaching and learning occurs on a high level. Administration regularly tours the facility to inspect and ensure that the buildings are in satisfactory condition.

In 2013 the Physical Education locker rooms were completely renovated, classrooms in the 200 wing were also renovated. In addition, new exterior lighting was installed throughout the campus. Wireless internet access was also installed throughout campus. In 2014 all classrooms and restrooms were renovated along with exterior doors being replaced.

More facts about the condition of our school buildings are available in an online supplement to this report called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. What you will find is an assessment of more than a dozen aspects of our buildings: their structural integrity, electrical systems, heating and ventilation systems, and more. The important purpose of this assessment is to determine if our buildings and grounds are safe and in good repair. If anything needs to be repaired, this assessment identifies it and targets a date by which we commit to make those repairs. The guidelines for this assessment were written by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and were brought about by the Williams legislation. You can look at the six-page Facilities Inspection Tool used for the assessment on the Web site of the OPSC.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 12-19

System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	All HVAC systems are serviced and filters changed yearly.
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	Interior and exterior of campus is regularly inspected and treated as needed for pest,gophers
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	All fire extinguishers are serviced yearly. All kitchen fire suppression systems are serviced every 6 mo.
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	Trees were trimmed over Winter Break.
Overall Rating	Good	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 17-18	School 18-19	District 17-18	District 18-19	State 17-18	State 18-19
ELA	58	55	57	56	50	50
Math	40	37	43	43	38	39

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School	School	District	District	State	State
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: This is a placeholder for the California Science Test (CAST) which was administered operationally during the 2018-19 school year. However, these data are not available for inclusion in the 2018-19 SARC posting due February 1, 2020. These data will be included in the 2019-20 SARC posting due February 1, 2021.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

2018-19 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards

Grade Level	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
7	17.3	24.8	23.9

Note: Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2018-19 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	907	895	98.68	55.37
Male	452	449	99.34	48.55
Female	455	446	98.02	62.25
Black or African American	19	18	94.74	55.56
American Indian or Alaska Native			-	
Asian	17	16	94.12	81.25
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	812	802	98.77	54.31
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			-1	
White	43	43	100.00	60.47
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	616	608	98.70	51.24
English Learners	167	158	94.61	39.87
Students with Disabilities	108	105	97.22	5.71
Foster Youth				
Homeless	52	50	96.15	3.85

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

School Year 2018-19 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	907	901	99.34	36.96
Male	452	451	99.78	35.48
Female	455	450	98.90	38.44
Black or African American	19	19	100.00	26.32
American Indian or Alaska Native		1	1	
Asian	17	17	100.00	58.82
Filipino		1	-	
Hispanic or Latino	812	806	99.26	36.60
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		1	1	
White	43	43	100.00	37.21
Two or More Races		-		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	616	612	99.35	33.99
English Learners	167	164	98.20	26.22
Students with Disabilities	108	104	96.30	2.88
Foster Youth		-		
Homeless	52	51	98.08	1.92

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

· Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2019-20)

There are many opportunities for parents to be involved in their children's educational process at Lake Center Middle School. The School Site Council (Lake Center's governing committee), Parent Teacher Organization (PTO), English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports team and Music Club are all opportunities available for parent involvement and support. Further, particular classes and subject areas such as Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID) and science have evening parent events. Our evening parent events are partially supported through our Supplemental Concentration and Title I funds. We consult with parents about these expenditures through our School Site Council, English Language Advisory Committee, or by appointment.

Parents learn about these opportunities through the TeleParent automated phone dialer, the school website, letters and notices sent home, and on the marquee in front of the school. We urge community members and parents to take full advantage of all of the events and get involved in their students' education. Also, there are a variety of Parent Training and Parent Education classes through the City of Santa Fe Springs, Norwalk, and our District offered throughout the year.

In recent parent survey results, 92% of respondents agreed with the statement, "I feel welcomed by staff when I visit the school."

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- · Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Little Lake City School District and Lake Center Middle School considers the safety of students, staff, and the community to be of utmost importance. To that end, the school district and Lake Center Middle School update safety plans on a yearly basis. Each month, we conduct emergency drills to ensure that all of our students and staff know exactly what to do in case of an emergency. Fire drills, duck and cover drills, and even emergency lock down drills are rehearsed to ensure the safety of the Lake Center community. Twice each year, district-wide disaster drills are conducted that include emergency scenarios, like toxic gasses and huge earthquakes.

All staff members (teachers, administration, front office, custodial, cafeteria, etc.) have received "No Bully" training. This comprehensive training has given us all the tools to recognize and address campus bullying. In addition, a core of staff members received further training to become campus "No Bully" Solution Coaches. These teams are used to help students peacefully resolve bullying issues that occur here on campus. Moreover, students can utilize a range of reporting systems to inform staff members if they have concerns such as an online reporting system through our website, "Bully Box" for reporting situations, or they can consult with a teacher or staff member. This year, we have added Restorative Circles to our system of interventions to support the social and emotional well being of our students. In addition, our students participate in social-emotional learning weekly. This compliments our Positive Behavior Intervention and Support plan where we recognize students for following school wide expectations. These expectations can be found on page 2 of the student planner. Students are taught these expectations throughout the year and in various locations across campus.

In recent parent survey results, 90% of respondents agreed with the statement, "The school-wide discipline policy is effective," and 87% agreed with the statement, "The school provides a safe environment for students."

Suspensions and Expulsions for the School	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	1.0	1.7	1.7
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0

Suspensions and Expulsions for the District	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	0.5	0.7	1.2
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0

Suspensions and Expulsions for the State	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	3.6	3.5	3.5
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Ratio of Academic Counselors to Pupils (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Ratio
Academic Counselor*	906.0

^{*} One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Student Support Services Staff (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1.0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	.6

One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2016-17 Average Class Size	2016-17 # of Classes* Size 1-22	2016-17 # of Classes* Size 23-32	2016-17 # of Classes* Size 33+	2017-18 Average Class Size	2017-18 # of Classes* Size 1-22	2017-18 # of Classes* Size 23-32	2017-18 # of Classes* Size 33+	2018-19 Average Class Size	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 1-22	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 23-32	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 33+
English	26	3	20		26	5	15	2	27	5	15	2
Mathematics	25	4	19	1	25	5	16	2	27	5	14	3
Science	29	1	18	1	30	1	12	6	29	1	14	5
Social Science	25	6	18		26	5	14	3	27	6	12	4

^{*} Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

Measure	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	8	8	8

The staff at Lake Center participates in professional development throughout the year. Three professional development days are organized by the LLCSD Educational Services office. This year, teachers were trained in Depth of Knowledge (DOK) and applying the rigor for each DOK level in their classrooms. In addition, our science teachers continue to work with UCLA to refine lessons and provide real-world experiences for children. Our math teachers work with our district experts to refine learning experiences for children. This assists our ongoing efforts to refine our instruction. Moreover, our teachers regularly collaborate 2 days per week to design lessons and activities that are in alignment with Common Core state standards. These lessons increase the level of inquiry, collaboration, citing of textual evidence, primary source referencing, writing, practical applications of content and critical reading. These goals are further supported by our 1:1 ratio of Chromebooks in every classroom for every student. Technology is integrated into all aspects of classroom lessons to add depth to each activity.

Prior to Common Core, Lake Center staff participated in a great deal of training in Effective Instruction. Teachers were trained in the areas of "teaching to an objective," "active participation," and checking for understanding." These three elements of effective instruction have become standard practice here at Lake Center and have been naturally embedded into Common Core lessons.

As we move forward in 2019-2020, we continue to support our teachers through professional development. Lake Center teachers regularly attend Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID) workshops where they further enhance their instructional delivery practices. One of these practices is using Writing, Inquiry, Collaboration, Organization, and Reading (WICOR) across all subject matters. During this school year, we are focusing on the WICOR strategies with particular emphasis on focused note taking, using notes as an instructional tool, and responding in writing about those notes or class essential questions. Our professional development activities and their implementation, are monitored through Data Reflection Sessions 5 times per year. To help our teachers grow professionally as a team, Lake Center plans multiple site directed professional development sessions that cover such topics as PBIS, Special Education, LCAP, and the concept of rigor/depth of knowledge. Moreover, our Site Academic Leadership Team (SALT) provides support and additional professional development to staff. Our ability to continuously improve our practices with additional materials and professional development is generously supported through Supplemental Concentration funding.

FY 2017-18 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category	
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$47,948	\$49,378	
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$75,004	\$77,190	
Highest Teacher Salary	\$96,596	\$96,607	
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$105,540	\$122,074	
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$109,356	\$126,560	
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$0	\$126,920	
Superintendent Salary	\$181,373	\$189,346	

Percent of District Budget	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Teacher Salaries	36%	36%
Administrative Salaries	5%	6%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

FY 2017-18 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

Level	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	11,176	2,975	8,201	75,617
District	N/A	N/A	8,199	\$77,084.00
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,506.64	\$77,619.00

Percent Differences	Unrestricted	Average Teacher Salary
School Site/District	0.0	-1.7
School Site/ State	14.5	-1.3

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

Types of Services Funded

The Little Lake City School District provides additional services for students using federal funds (Title II, Title III) and LCAP funds. Title I funds are primarily used to support all students using a Response to Intervention (RtI) model. At the elementary sites, Interventionists are funded to provide differentiated instruction to meet the needs of all learners within the RtI model in ELA and mathematics during the school day. At the middle schools, Interventionists monitor student academic progress and determine placement for intervention based on student needs. Title I funds are also used to support parent involvement at both the site and district level. Title III is used to provide supplemental services to all English Learners including additional tutoring beyond the school day, and professional development for EL Coordinators. Title IV funds provide students with a well-rounded education and supports using phenomena in NGSS-designed lessons through the creation of NGSS kits. In addition, these funds support safe and healthy students through the implementation of a social emotional learning program, Second Step, which is a proactive approach to building essential social and behavioral skills. LCAP Funds are used to supplement services for English learners, low income students, and homeless/foster students. Some actions and services include additional counselors, professional development for teachers, supplemental materials, parent engagement opportunities, and student motivation activities.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.