

## **Cedar Grove Elementary School**

2702 Sugarplum Drive • San Jose, CA 95148 • (408) 270-4958 • Grades K-6

Lea Peery, Principal

Ipeery@eesd.org

http://cedargrove.eesd.org/

2018-19 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2019-20 School Year



## Evergreen Elementary School District

3188 Quimby Road San Jose CA, 95148 (408) 270-6800 www.eesd.org

## **District Governing Board**

Leila Welch, President

Jim Zito, President Pro-Tem

Christopher Corpus, Clerk

Bonnie Mace, Trustee

Marisa Hanson, Trustee

#### **District Administration**

Dr. Emy Flores **Superintendent** 

Dan Deguara
Assistant Superintendent,
Educational Services

Cesar Torrico
Assistant Superintendent, Human
Resources

Delores Perley
Chief Business Officer

Kim Kianidehkian

**Director, Educational Services** 

Rick Navarro

**Director, Operations** 

Patrinia Redd

**Director, Pupil Services** 

Tonya Trim

**Director, Educational Services** 

Johanna Villareal

Director,Board and Administrative Services

## Principal's Message

Cedar Grove Elementary School is located in the Evergreen area at the base of the East San Jose foothills. Our school was established in 1969 and has a history of wonderful traditions and accomplishments in the Evergreen School District. It has been recognized as a California Distinguished School and National Blue Ribbon School of Excellence.

The Cedar Grove community of learners is committed to life-long learning. Our dedicated educators have participated in numerous professional development opportunities focused on 21st Century Skills (communication, collaboration, critical thinking, and creativity), Career and College Readiness, and Common Core State Standards. Our students participate in rigorous curriculum and are engaged with new knowledge by practicing the 21st century skills in the daily instruction.

Cedar Grove is proud to be involved in many projects that support our theme, "Developing 21st Century Learners." Students are given opportunities to make positive choices and demonstrate responsibilities that will create contributing members of our greater community.

#### **School Mission Statement**

At Cedar Grove School, we are dedicated to providing the best educational experience for our students. We strive to ensure academic and social success by using a variety of teaching strategies based upon quality research and successful practice. Our school is a place where children feel valued within a safe and nurturing environment and will achieve to the best of their ability. Our students are given opportunities to make positive choices and take responsibilities that enable them to become contributing members of our community. As our children develop a positive self-image and intellectual capacities, they will become successful in school and in their future.

## **Community & School Profile**

Evergreen School District, located in the city of San Jose, is comprised of fifteen elementary schools and three middle schools. Once a small farming city, San Jose became a magnet for suburban newcomers between the 1960s and the 1990s, and is now the third largest city in California. The city is located in Silicon Valley, at the southern end of the San Francisco Bay Area, and is home to more than 973,000 residents.

Cedar Grove Elementary School is located in the northeastern section of the district's borders. The school provides a family-oriented, nurturing, and safe place for students to learn, grow, and develop intellectually. Teachers, staff, and administrators continue to act on the principle that students come first.

#### **About the SARC**

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/">https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/</a>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### 2018-19 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	101
Grade 1	81
Grade 2	76
Grade 3	75
Grade 4	79
Grade 5	93
Grade 6	85
Total Enrollment	590

## 2018-19 Student Enrollment by Group

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	2
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.8
Asian	46.1
Filipino	14.2
Hispanic or Latino	28.1
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.5
White	3.9
Two or More Races	3.9
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	43.4
English Learners	28.3
Students with Disabilities	10.7
Foster Youth	0.3
Homeless	0.5

## A. Conditions of Learning

## **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials for Cedar Grove	17-18	18-19	19-20
With Full Credential	23	24	24
Without Full Credential	1	1	1
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0

Teacher Credentials for Evergreen Elementary	17-18	18-19	19-20
With Full Credential	<b>*</b>	+	26
Without Full Credential	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	1
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	•	+	0

## Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at Cedar Grove Elementary School

Indicator	17-18	18-19	19-20
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

<sup>\*</sup>Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2019-20)

Evergreen School District held a Public Hearing on October 10, 2010, and determined that each school within the district has sufficient and good quality textbooks and instructional materials pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California.

All students, including English Learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. All textbooks and instructional materials used within the district must be aligned with the California State Content Standards, with final approval by the Board of Trustees. Textbooks and supplementary materials are typically adopted according to a cycle developed by the California Department of Education. The table displays information collected in September 2018 about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school. The district does not have a formal textbook adoption for Health or for Visual and Performing Arts.

The California State Board of Education adopted science programs at its November 2018 public meeting. Evergreen will review materials in 2019-20 to determine next steps. The California State Board of Education adopted Social Science materials at its November 2017 public meeting aligned to the new California Framework. The California Social Science standards remain unchanged.

Pursuant to California Education Code (EC) Section 60210, a school district may utilize instructional materials not adopted by the SBE, so long as the materials are aligned to state standards and a majority of the participants of any review process conducted by the district are classroom teachers who are assigned to the subject area or grade level of the materials being reviewed.

#### **Textbooks and Instructional Materials**

Year and month in which data were collected: September 2019

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption  Adopted in April 14, 2016 (Grade K-5): CA Wonders McGraw Hill, Adopted in 2016 (Grade 6-8) Springboard Collegeboard				
Reading/Language Arts					
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes			
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%			
Mathematics	Adopted in April 16, 2015 (Grade K-3): Engage NY Adopted in April 16, 2015 (Grade 4-5): Bridges Mathematic Adopted in April 16, 2015 (Grade 6-8): College Preparatory				
	Adopted in April 16, 2015 (Grade 6-8). Conege Preparatory	Mathematics, Math Vision Project			
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes			
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%			
Science	Adopted in 2007 (Grade K): Pearson Scott Forseman				
	Adopted in 2007 (Grade 1-5): MacMillan/McGraw Hill				
	Adopted in 2007 (Grade 6-8): Holt, Rhinehart, Winston				
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes			
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%			
History-Social Science	Adopted in 2006: (Kinder): Pearson Scott Forseman				
	Adopted in 2006: (Grade 1-6): Houghton Mifflin				
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	No			
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%			

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Cedar Grove Elementary School was originally constructed in 1969. School facilities were recently upgraded with the construction of a new campus featuring multi-level new buildings. The chart displays the results of the most recent facilities inspection.

#### Cleaning Process:

Cedar Grove Elementary School provides a safe and clean environment for students, staff, and volunteers. The district has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. Basic cleaning operations are performed on a daily basis throughout the school year with emphasis on classrooms and restrooms. A joint effort between students and staff helps keep the campus clean and litter-free. The principal works daily with the school's custodial staff to develop sanitation schedules that ensure a clean, safe, and functional learning environment.

#### Maintenance & Repair:

A scheduled maintenance program is administered by Cedar Grove Elementary School's custodial staff on a regular basis, with heavy maintenance functions occurring during vacation periods. Additionally, a scheduled maintenance program is administered by the district to ensure that school grounds and facilities remain in excellent repair. A work order process is used when issues arise that require immediate attention. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority; repair requests are completed efficiently and in the order in which they are received.

#### Deferred Maintenance Budget:

The State School Deferred Maintenance Program provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems. In the Budget Act of July 2009, the State Legislature provided school districts with flexibility in using these funds to meet general fund needs. In an effort to mitigate the effects of declining state revenues, the Evergreen School District has taken advantage of this flexibility. The district will utilize bond funds and other appropriate sources to ensure its facilities are clean, safe and in good repair.

# School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: November 20, 2019

real and month in which data were confected. November 20, 2019					
System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned			
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good				
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good				
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good				
Electrical: Electrical	Good				
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good				
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good				
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good				
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good				
Overall Rating	Exemplary				

## **B. Pupil Outcomes**

## **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

## CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 17-18	School 18-19	District 17-18	District 18-19	State 17-18	State 18-19
ELA	49	53	65	66	50	50
Math	41	44	61	61	38	39

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

## CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School	School	District	District	State	State
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: This is a placeholder for the California Science Test (CAST) which was administered operationally during the 2018-19 school year. However, these data are not available for inclusion in the 2018-19 SARC posting due February 1, 2020. These data will be included in the 2019-20 SARC posting due February 1, 2021.

#### **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

## 2018-19 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards

Grade Level	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	18.5	25.0	20.7

Note: Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2018-19 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	331	325	98.19	52.92
Male	184	180	97.83	53.33
Female	147	145	98.64	52.41
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	161	157	97.52	70.06
Filipino	42	42	100.00	61.90
Hispanic or Latino	89	89	100.00	26.97
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	11	11	100.00	54.55
Two or More Races	15	15	100.00	33.33
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	131	129	98.47	41.86
English Learners	134	130	97.01	53.08
Students with Disabilities	45	45	100.00	22.22

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

#### School Year 2018-19 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	331	329	99.40	44.07
Male	184	182	98.91	45.05
Female	147	147	100.00	42.86
Black or African American	-			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1		1	
Asian	161	159	98.76	61.64
Filipino	42	42	100.00	50.00
Hispanic or Latino	89	89	100.00	17.98
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1		1	
White	11	11	100.00	54.55
Two or More Races	15	15	100.00	26.67
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	131	130	99.24	31.54
English Learners	134	134	100.00	43.28
Students with Disabilities	45	45	100.00	26.67

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3—Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## C. Engagement

#### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site

## Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2019-20)

At Cedar Grove Elementary School, parents are encouraged to be part of their child's educational experience. Parents are encouraged to volunteer in the classroom, serve on our School Site Council, and become active members in the Cedar Grove PTA.

Parents and students are informed of school expectations and discipline policies at the beginning of the school year and at the Back-to-School night. Ongoing school-to-home communication is facilitated through weekly newsletters, Back-to-School Night, Exhibition Night (Open House), parent-teacher conferences, emails, parent meetings, and the school website.

#### **Contact Information**

Parents or community members who wish to participate in leadership teams, school committees, school activities, or become a volunteer may contact the school at (408) 270-4958.

### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### School Safety Plan

The safety of students and staff is a primary concern of Cedar Grove Elementary School. Students are supervised before school, during recess, and after school by teachers and administrators. Lunch supervision is provided by noon-duty supervisors and parent volunteers. All visitors must sign in at the main office and wear a visitor's badge at all times during their stay on school grounds. There is also a designated area for student drop-off and pick-up at the front of the school.

The School Site Safety Plan was last reviewed and updated in January 2019 by the Emergency Response Team (ERT) and the Safety Committee. Any and all revisions to the plan are immediately communicated to classified and certificated staff. Key elements of the Safety Plan focus on the following:

- School rules & procedures
- Sexual harassment policy
- Current status of school crime
- Notification to teachers
- Child abuse reporting procedures
- Schoolwide dress code
- Policies related to suspension/expulsion
- Disaster procedures/routine & emergency drills
- Safe ingress & egress of pupils, parents, & school employees

The school is in compliance with the laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. The school's disaster preparedness plan includes steps for ensuring student and staff safety during a disaster. Fire, disaster, and lockdown drills are conducted on a rotating basis throughout the school year.

Suspensions and Expulsions for the School	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.5
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0

Suspensions and Expulsions for the District	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	0.4	0.6	1.8
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0

Suspensions and Expulsions for the State	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	3.6	3.5	3.5
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

### D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

#### Ratio of Academic Counselors to Pupils (School Year 2018-19)

	·	
	Title	Ratio
Academic Counselor*		.0

<sup>\*</sup> One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

### Student Support Services Staff (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1.0
Other	.5

One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2016-17 Average Class Size	2016-17 # of Classes* Size 1-20	2016-17 # of Classes* Size 21-32	2016-17 # of Classes* Size 33+	2017-18 Average Class Size	2017-18 # of Classes* Size 1-20	2017-18 # of Classes* Size 21-32	2017-18 # of Classes* Size 33+	2018-19 Average Class Size	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 1-20	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 21-32	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 33+
К	25		4		25		4		25		4	
1	22		3		25		3		25		3	
2	24		3		25		2		24		3	
3	24		4		25		4		22		4	
4	30		3		28		3		26		3	
5	33		1	2	32		1	1	28		3	
6	29		4		29	1	1	3	24	1	3	
Other**	9	1										

<sup>\*</sup>Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

#### **Professional Development provided for Teachers**

Measure	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	2	2	2

Staff members build teaching skills and concepts through participation in conferences, workshops, and staff development meetings throughout the year. For the past few years, the district has provided three staff development days annually where teachers are offered a broad-based variety of professional growth opportunities in curriculum, teaching strategies, and methodologies which include innovative PBL (Project-Based Learning) to increase critical thinking, communication, collaboration, and creativity.

FY 2017-18 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$56,010	\$45,741
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$90,633	\$81,840
Highest Teacher Salary	\$104,878	\$102,065
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$138,740	\$129,221
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$141,310	\$132,874
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$0	\$128,660
Superintendent Salary	\$191,425	\$224,581

Percent of District Budget	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Teacher Salaries	42%	36%
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/">www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/</a>.

FY 2017-18 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

Level	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$6,195	\$286	\$5,909	\$105,534
District	N/A	N/A	\$9,818	\$94,781.00
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,506.64	\$82,663.00

Percent Differences	Unrestricted	Average Teacher Salary
School Site/District	-49.7	10.5
School Site/ State	-18.7	26.4

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

<sup>\*\* &</sup>quot;Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

## **Types of Services Funded**

In addition to general state funding, all schools within Evergreen School District received state and federal categorical funding for the following support programs:

- LCAP funds or Supplemental Funds
- Title I, Targeted Assistance (for these schools: LeyVa, Dove Hill, Holly Oak, Katherine Smith, Montgomery, and O.B. Whaley)
- Title II, Staff Development
- Title III, Limited English Proficient

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <a href="https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/">https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</a> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

## **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at
libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length
of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print
documents.