# Nystrom Elementary School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2018-19 School Year

**Published During 2019-20** 

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/">https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/</a>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/">https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/</a>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <a href="https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/">https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</a> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

#### **About This School**

School Contact Information (School Year 2019-20)

Entity	Contact Information
School Name	Nystrom Elementary School
Street	230 Harbour Way South
City, State, Zip	Richmond, CA 94804-2428
Phone Number	(510) 231-1406
Principal	James Allardice
Email Address	jallardice@wccusd.net
Website	www.wccusd.net/nystrom
County-District-School (CDS) Code	07617966004881

Entity	Contact Information
District Name	West Contra Costa Unified School District
Phone Number	(510) 231-1100
Superintendent	Matthew Duffy
Email Address	matthew.duffy@wccusd.net
Website	www.wccusd.net

#### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2019-20)

We are nurturing a community of people who are kind, curious, and resilient. We support each other and share a love for learning.

In order to achieve this, we are committed to building our collective efficacy towards:

- Positive School Culture: We work to deliberately build relationships with students and each other in order to
  create a positive school community, celebrate successes as a community, and are committed to restorative
  practices.
- High Expectations: We believe that our students, staff, and families are capable of greatness. We bring urgency to our work, focused on rigorous, engaging, standards-based lessons in every class, every day.
- Continued Learning: Our adults lead with a commitment to ongoing learning and reflection. We learn through collaborating, professional learning communities, engaging in data-driven instruction cycles, and aren't afraid to struggle, so long as we learn from it.
- Exemplary Citizenship: In order to thrive, Nystrom students must develop character and academic skills. We explicitly teach our core values of kindness, hope, perseverance, self-control, and compassion.
- Family Involvement: We strive to welcome all families into the Nystrom community, earn their trust, and partner with them to better our school and the broader community.

#### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2018-19)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	93
Grade 1	64
Grade 2	69
Grade 3	72
Grade 4	90
Grade 5	66
Grade 6	66
Total Enrollment	520

#### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	17.7
Asian	2.5
Filipino	0.6
Hispanic or Latino	72.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1.7
White	3.3
Two or More Races	1.3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	94
English Learners	56.9
Students with Disabilities	7.7
Homeless	5.8

## A. Conditions of Learning

#### **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they
  are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

#### **Teacher Credentials**

Teachers		School 2018-19	School 2019-20	District 2019-20
With Full Credential	20	19	19	1241
Without Full Credential	3	1	5	111
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	21

#### **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	1

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. \*Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

#### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2019-20)

Year and month in which data were collected: October 2019

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	McGraw Hill Treasures & Triumphs, c2010 - adopted 2012 McGraw Hill Treasures ELD Wonders, c2010 - adopted 2012 Teachers College Reading, Writing & Phonics, c2015 - adopted 2019	Yes	0%
Mathematics	McGraw Hill My Math, grades TK-5, c2013 - adopted 2016 Larsen Brothers Big Ideas Math, grade 6, c2015 - adopted 2017	Yes	0%
Science	Scott Foresman Science, c2008 - adoped 2008	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	McGraw Hill California Vistas, c2007 - adoptd 2007	Yes	0%

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

WCCUSD takes great effort to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the school uses the Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) developed by the State of California OPSC. Maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The school personnel also works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

#### School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the most recently collected FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: January 2019

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks,	Good	
Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer		

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Poor	Remove the paper that was wrapped around the vent when the room was painted in room 235. It was never removed.  Replace the paint on the floor at the speed line in the cafeteria.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Fair	Repair the light fixture in room 209.
		Check all emergency lights.
		Repair or replace the broken fire door holders at the lobby.
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Fair	The magnetic door holders on the second floor by the stairway by room 235 need to be checked.
		Repair or replace the broken fire door holders at the lobby.
		Tell all teachers not to keep chemicals (i.e. Ajax, Comet, ant spray, etc.) under the sink. They must be out of reach of the students
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Fair	Repair the door in the hall by room 235. It sticks at the top and is hard to open.
Doors, Gates, Ferrees		The door is stuck to the stairs by the girls' restroom by room 139. One door can not be opened.
		The magnetic door holders on the second floor by the stairway by room 235 need to be checked.
		Repair or replace the broken fire door holders in the lobby.
Overall Rating	Fair	

#### **B. Pupil Outcomes**

#### **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

# CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	District 2017-18	District 2018-19	State 2017-18	State 2018-19
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	13	19	36	36	50	50
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	13	19	24	25	38	39

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

# CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	292	274	93.84	6.16	18.98
Male	159	145	91.19	8.81	18.62
Female	133	129	96.99	3.01	19.38
Black or African American	46	41	89.13	10.87	19.51
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	225	213	94.67	5.33	20.19
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White					

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	275	260	94.55	5.45	17.31
English Learners	211	201	95.26	4.74	17.41
Students with Disabilities	33	30	90.91	9.09	3.33
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					
Foster Youth					
Homeless	13	11	84.62	15.38	18.18

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	292	280	95.89	4.11	19.00
Male	159	151	94.97	5.03	22.00
Female	133	129	96.99	3.01	15.50
Black or African American	46	42	91.30	8.70	14.63
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	225	218	96.89	3.11	18.35
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White					
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	275	265	96.36	3.64	17.42
English Learners	211	204	96.68	3.32	18.14
Students with Disabilities	33	29	87.88	12.12	3.57
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
Foster Youth					
Homeless	13	12	92.31	7.69	16.67

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

### **CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students**

#### Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

#### Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School	School	District	District	State	State
	2017-18	2018-19	2017-18	2018-19	2017-18	2018-19
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: This is a placeholder for the California Science Test (CAST) which was administered operationally during the 2018-19 school year. However, these data are not available for inclusion in the 2018-19 SARC posting due February 1, 2020. These data will be included in the 2019-20 SARC posting due February 1, 2021.

#### **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

#### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2018-19)

Grade <i>Level</i>	Percentage of Students	Percentage of Students	Percentage of Students
	Meeting Four of Six	Meeting Five of Six	Meeting Six of Six
	Fitness Standards	Fitness Standards	Fitness Standards
5	16.9	29.2	23.1

Note: Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### C. Engagement

#### **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

#### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2019-20)**

Nystrom provides a variety of ways for parents to be involved:

- We host citizenship classes and ESL classes in partnership with the Adult Education Dept.
- Parent University is a series of workshops where parents can learn about how to support their child's education and be involved in school decision making.
- Monthly Coffee Chats: These are opportunities for parents and families to learn about available community resources.
- School Site Council (SSC): The role of the SSC is to give parents, faculty, and staff the opportunity to collaborate, give input and make crucial decisions that promote and benefit student learning and achievement school-wide. Every School Site Council must be composed of five parents or community members, the principal, three classroom teachers, and one other staff member (Education Code Section 52852). The SSC's primary responsibility is to develop, implement, revise, and monitor the School Plan for Student Achievement.
- English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC): The ELAC discusses issues relevant to English Language Learners. This committee is an advisory board to the principal and School Site Council.
- African American Site Advisory Team (AASAT): A local parent group that discusses issues relevant to African American student achievement. This committee is an advisory board to the principal and School Site Council.

#### **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- · Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School 2016-17	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	District 2016-17	District 2017-18	District 2018-19	State 2016-17	State <b>2017-18</b>	State 2018-19
Suspensions	4.0	2.1	3.8	5.9	5.8	5.6	3.6	3.5	3.5
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

#### School Safety Plan (School Year 2019-20)

The purpose of the School Site Safety Plan is to provide a unified template for school sites to respond and recover from an emergency, disaster, or event resulting in the disruption of normal school operations.

The School Site Safety Plan guides a coordinated school staff response to an emergency, disaster, or event and aids in directing emergency response activities toward reaching an agreed-upon goal. School Site Safety Plan procedures are scalable in order to address incidents that may develop over time and those that may occur without warning.

The objectives of the School Site Safety Plan are as follows:

- 1. Protect the safety and welfare of students, school staff, and visitors.
- 2. Provide for a safe and coordinated response to emergencies.
- 3. Protect the District's facilities and properties.
- 4. Enable the District to restore normal conditions with minimal confusion in the shortest time possible.
- 5. Provide for the interface and coordination between sites and the District Emergency Operations Center (DEOC).
- 6. Provide for the interface and coordination between the District EOC and the Cities of Richmond, El Cerrito, San Pablo, Pinole, Hercules, and Contra Costa County Emergency Operations Center (EOC).
- 7. Provide for the orderly conversion of pre-designated District sites to mass care sites, when necessary.

The Comprehensive School Safety Plan is updated annually. Our school safety plan was last annually reviewed, updated, and discussed with school faculty and a student representative in January 2020. A copy of the Comprehensive School Safety plan will be available for review on the district's website in February 2020.

#### D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

#### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2016-17 Average Class Size	# of	# of	2016-17 # of Classes* Size 33+	Average	# of	# of	# of	Average	# of	# of	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 33+
K	23		4		23		4		23		4	
1	23		3		23		3		21	1	2	
2	22		4		22		3		23		3	
3	24		3		22		4		24		3	
4	33			2	34			2	30		3	
5	33			2	33			2	33			2
6	25		2		33		1	1	33			2
Other**												

<sup>\*</sup>Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

#### Ratio of Academic Counselors to Pupils (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Ratio
Academic Counselors*	.0

<sup>\*</sup>One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

#### Student Support Services Staff (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	

<sup>\*\* &</sup>quot;Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1.0
Other	

<sup>\*</sup>One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

#### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$5,695.01	\$1,046.10	\$4,648.91	\$60,872.04
District	N/A	N/A	\$7,641.88	\$70,833.00
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-48.7	-15.1
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,506.64	\$82,403.00
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-47.0	-30.1

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

#### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2018-19)

The following are programs/services available at the school that support and assist students:

- AMAZON.COM
- BAY AREA COMMUNITY R
- CHARTER BROS INC
- DICK BLICK COMPANY
- EAST BAY CENTER FOR
- EXPLORELEARNING
- FUN EXPRESS
- LAKESHORE LEARNING
- MICHAELS TRANSPORTAT
- MINDFUL PROJECT
- OAKLAND ZOO
- RPAL
- SCHOOL TIME MUSIC
- SOUTHERN COMPUTER WA
- SOUTHWEST SCHOOL & O

#### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$46,360	\$48,612
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$72,730	\$74,676
Highest Teacher Salary	\$94,500	\$99,791
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$106,868	\$125,830
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$116,689	\$131,167
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$129,673	\$144,822
Superintendent Salary	\$260,000	\$275,796
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	27%	34%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

#### Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Measure	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	10	12	12

During 2019/20 Nystrom's professional development focus is teacher collaboration focused on data-driven instruction. Data-Driven Instruction is a philosophy predicated on one question: are students learning? Using data, we are able to analyze what our students have learned by looking at ongoing (formative) data sources and use that to decide our next steps.

Instructionally, our focus is on justification, meaning students explain their thinking both when they are correct and incorrect. The answer isn't the end goal - expressing your thinking is ... so that teacher and classmates have insight into what you're thinking - can expand on it, adjust misconceptions.

These focus areas were developed with our Instructional Leadership Team (ILT) reviewing student data and progress on both interim and summative assessments.

- The site-based math coach provides ongoing and regular coaching and feedback for targeted grade levels.
- Regular professional development for the entire staff on CCSS-best practices, including multiple methods, data analysis training, etc.
- Increased number of professional developments through optional PDs for staff.
- Regular data-analysis meetings with grade-level teams to review common assessment data and create action plans.
- Grade level planning days each trimester for grade levels to review data and develop an action plan.

Additionally, teachers have access to a wide range of Professional Developments through the district.