# Sun Terrace Elementary School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2018-19 School Year

**Published During 2019-20** 

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/">https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/</a>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <a href="https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/">https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</a> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

### **About This School**

### School Contact Information (School Year 2019-20)

Entity	Contact Information
School Name	Sun Terrace Elementary School
Street	2448 Floyd Lane
City, State, Zip	Concord, CA 94520
Phone Number	(925) 682-4861
Principal	Kristan Martin-Meyer
Email Address	martinmeyerk@mdusd.org
Website	sunterrace.mdusd.org
County-District-School (CDS) Code	07-61754-6004329

Entity	Contact Information
District Name	Mt. Diablo Unified School District
Phone Number	(925) 682-8000
Superintendent	Dr. Robert Martinez
Email Address	martinezr@mdusd.org
Website	www.mdusd.org

# School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2019-20)

Sun Terrace is a school of about 480 students. We are a very diverse community. We have both general education classes and special education classes.

Sun Terrace Mission Statement is:

Sun Terrace Community will:

STRIVE to be our best in all we do

RESPECT self, others and the environment

CONNECT with our class, our school and our community.

Sun Terrace is also a STEM (Science Technology Engineering Math) magnet school in Mt Diablo Unified School District as of August 2017.

### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2018-19)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	80
Grade 1	57
Grade 2	85
Grade 3	81
Grade 4	71
Grade 5	82
Total Enrollment	456

#### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	3.1
Asian	3.1
Filipino	1.8
Hispanic or Latino	59
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1.8
White	13.4
Two or More Races	7.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	71.3
English Learners	33.3
Students with Disabilities	13.6
Foster Youth	0.4
Homeless	0.2

# A. Conditions of Learning

### **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they
  are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- · School facilities are maintained in good repair

# **Teacher Credentials**

Teachers	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	School 2019-20	District 2019-20
With Full Credential	32	30	24	1599
Without Full Credential	1	2	1	69
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

### **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	1.0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. \*Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2019-20)

Year and month in which data were collected: August 2019

Supplemental resources are used in addition to the adopted materials. Math- Bridges, Science- EIE and Smithsonian kits.

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy	
Reading/Language Arts	McGraw-Hill: Reading Wonders 2017 - Adopted 2017	Yes	0	
Mathematics	Houghton Mifflin: Houghton Mifflin California Math - Adopted 2009	Yes	0	
Science	Macmillian/McGraw-Hill: California Science - Adopted 2007	Yes	0	
History-Social Science	Harcourt Brace: California Reflections 2006 - Adopted 2006	Yes	0	

# School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The District takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the District uses a facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction. The results of this survey are available through the Maintenance and Operations Department.

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

### School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the most recently collected FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 08/09/2019

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	C2 - AUTO DOOR CLOSER NEEDS ADJUSTMENT; E2 DOOR SWEEP NEEDS TO BE REATTACHED;
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	D5 BALLAST OUT
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	b WING - BOYS BATHROOM LEAKY TOILET, WALLS NEED PAINT; K2- ONE TOILET FLUSHED TO LONG
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	ROOFING PROJECT - CAMPUS IS DUSTY
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	BLACK TOP PROJECT - CAMPUS IS DUSTY
Overall Rating	Exemplary	

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

### **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to
  the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs
  of study.

# CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	District 2017-18	District 2018-19	State 2017-18	State 2018-19
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	35	23	51	50	50	50
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	19	19	39	39	38	39

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

# CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	231	227	98.27	1.73	23.35
Male	117	114	97.44	2.56	21.93
Female	114	113	99.12	0.88	24.78
Black or African American	14	14	100.00	0.00	28.57

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	146	143	97.95	2.05	18.18
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White	36	35	97.22	2.78	45.71
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	173	171	98.84	1.16	18.13
English Learners	116	115	99.14	0.86	16.52
Students with Disabilities	59	57	96.61	3.39	8.77
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					
Foster Youth					
Homeless					

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

# CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	231	230	99.57	0.43	19.13
Male	117	116	99.15	0.85	18.10
Female	114	114	100.00	0.00	20.18
Black or African American	14	14	100.00	0.00	21.43
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	146	145	99.32	0.68	13.10
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
White	36	36	100.00	0.00	38.89
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	173	172	99.42	0.58	16.28
English Learners	116	116	100.00	0.00	15.52
Students with Disabilities	59	58	98.31	1.69	8.62
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					
Foster Youth					
Homeless					

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

# CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

### Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School	School	District	District	State	State
	2017-18	2018-19	2017-18	2018-19	2017-18	2018-19
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: This is a placeholder for the California Science Test (CAST) which was administered operationally during the 2018-19 school year. However, these data are not available for inclusion in the 2018-19 SARC posting due February 1, 2020. These data will be included in the 2019-20 SARC posting due February 1, 2021.

### **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2018-19)

Grade <i>Level</i>	Percentage of Students	Percentage of Students	Percentage of Students
	Meeting Four of Six	Meeting Five of Six	Meeting Six of Six
	Fitness Standards	Fitness Standards	Fitness Standards
5	22.2	9.9	7.4

Note: Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# C. Engagement

#### **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2019-20)**

Parents are always welcome at Sun Terrace. We give parents many opportunities to be part of the school. We encourage parents to volunteer and the site is willing to pay part of the fingerprinting expense in order to support parent volunteers. We have an active PTA, School Site Council and English Language Learner Committee that parents can get involved in. There are also opportunities to chaperone field trips and work within the classrooms.

The school is willing to pay for 1/2 of the finger printing cost through the district (\$17) in order to volunteer at the school. Information pertaining to organized opportunities for parental involvement can be found via the website, newsletters and or by contacting the school at (925) 682-4861.

### **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- · Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

Rate	School 2016-17	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	District 2016-17	District 2017-18	District 2018-19	State 2016-17	State 2017-18	State 2018-19
Suspensions	1.6	3.2	1.6	3.5	4.1	4.4	3.6	3.5	3.5
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

# School Safety Plan (School Year 2019-20)

The School Safety Plan is reviewed by staff and parents each year. The current School Safety Plan was approved by the School Site Council at a public hearing on 2018-19 school year.

Key elements included in the plan:

Updated teacher rosters and classroom supplies

Monthly drills

Designated personnel assigned responsibilities in crisis situations

Designated code for emergency situations to inform teachers and staff immediately

# **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

# **Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)**

Grade Level	2016-17 Average Class Size	# of	# of	Average	# of	2017-18 # of Classes* Size 21-32	# of	2018-19 Average Class Size	# of	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 21-32	# of
K	18	2	4	20	3	1		27		3	
1	24		3	21	2	2		23		2	
2	21	1	3	21	1	3		22		4	
3	24		3	19	1	3		20	1	3	
4	27		3	22	1	2		25	1	2	
5	24	1	3	24	1	3		22	1	3	
Other**	9	1									

<sup>\*</sup>Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

### Ratio of Academic Counselors to Pupils (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Ratio
Academic Counselors*	570.0

<sup>\*</sup>One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

# **Student Support Services Staff (School Year 2018-19)**

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.8
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	.5
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1.5
Other	

<sup>\*</sup>One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$ 13,261.00	\$ 4,543.93	\$ 8,717.07	\$74,895.00

<sup>\*\* &</sup>quot;Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
District	N/A	N/A	\$8,328.50	\$78,217.00
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-200.0	-4.3
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,506.64	\$82,403.00
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-200.0	-9.5

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2018-19)

Special Education Program support:

· Resource Specialist full time

Psychologist, 1 part time,

- · Speech Therapist full time
- Instructional Assistants RSP-1, SDC 1,

Counseling Enriched Program (general education and special education):

- Psychologist, full time (1)
- Instructional Assistants (5.1)
- Behaviorist, part time (1)
- Social Worker, part time (1)

Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) Supplemental Grant and Title 1 Funds

- RTI Intervention teachers, part time (1)
- Instructional Coach, part time (1)
- STEM Magnet Coach (district pays 70%)
- Afterschool Intervention Teachers
- Counselor part time (1)

English Language Development (ELD):

Noon supervisors:

Before School, Recess and Lunch

### **Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)**

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$53,339	\$48,612
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$73,641	\$74,676
Highest Teacher Salary	\$98,346	\$99,791

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$116,573	\$125,830
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$123,726	\$131,167
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$133,844	\$144,822
Superintendent Salary	\$280,000	\$275,796
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	35%	34%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

**Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)** 

Measure	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	3	3	3

The district coordinates a variety staff development program for teachers and administrators based on assessed needs and state mandates.

- 2 Wednesday release days Professional Development
- Technology
- BTSA for new teachers
- Google Docs
- First 20 days
- Assessments
- Edcamp
- Positive Behavior Intervention & Supports
- Development of 3 Thematic Units per grade level