

# Northwood Elementary School

## School Accountability Report Card

### Reported Using Data from the 2018-19 School Year

#### Published During 2019-20

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## About This School

#### School Contact Information (School Year 2019-20)

Entity	Contact Information
School Name	Northwood Elementary School
Street	2214 Berks Street
City, State, Zip	Napa, CA 94558
Phone Number	(707) 253-3471
Principal	Sarah Knox
Email Address	sknox@nvusd.org
Website	<a href="https://northwood.nvusd.org">https://northwood.nvusd.org</a>
County-District-School (CDS) Code	28662666026892

Entity	Contact Information
<b>District Name</b>	Napa Valley Unified School District
<b>Phone Number</b>	(707) 253-3511
<b>Superintendent</b>	Dr. Rosanna Mucetti
<b>Email Address</b>	rmucetti@nvusd.org
<b>Website</b>	www.nvusd.org

### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2019-20)

The students at Northwood Elementary School are served by nineteen fully credentialed teachers and a support staff that includes resource, intervention, physical education, visual art and instrumental music specialists, three speech therapists, a part-time school psychologist, and a nurse. As a true neighborhood school, we take great pride in the active involvement of our parents, the offering of a well-rounded curriculum that meets the needs of all students and an atmosphere of teamwork on a beautiful and safe campus. Our vision is every child is known, safe, inspired, challenged and empowered. Our motto is Dream Big - Work Hard - Soar High - Go Eagles!

### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2018-19)

Grade Level	Number of Students
<b>Kindergarten</b>	54
<b>Grade 1</b>	80
<b>Grade 2</b>	54
<b>Grade 3</b>	63
<b>Grade 4</b>	80
<b>Grade 5</b>	66
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	397

### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
<b>Black or African American</b>	0.8
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	1
<b>Asian</b>	0.8
<b>Filipino</b>	1.5
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	40.6
<b>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander</b>	0.5
<b>White</b>	53.4
<b>Two or More Races</b>	1.5
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	40.6
<b>English Learners</b>	14.1
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	19.1
<b>Foster Youth</b>	1
<b>Homeless</b>	1.3

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

### Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	School 2019-20	District 2019-20
With Full Credential	18	20	19	749
Without Full Credential	2	1	1	29
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\*Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2019-20)

Year and month in which data were collected: September 2018

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Q: Good C: Benchmark Advance A: All students	Yes	0%
Mathematics	Q: Good C: Bridges in Mathematics A: All students	Yes	0%

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Science	Q: Good C: Harcourt Brace: Harcourt Science (K-5) - 2006 A: All students	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	Q: Good C: Pearson Scott Foresman: History-Social Studies for California (K-5) - 2005 A: All students	Yes	0%

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The present office wing, multi-use room, and rooms 1-14 were constructed in 1962 and were modernized in the summer of 1998. The “portable” rooms 15 and 16 were constructed in the early 70’s. 4 portables were constructed between 1991 and 1997. The library/multi-media center was constructed in 2002. Heating and air conditioning, as well as Internet wiring, was completed in 1998. New playground areas were completed around 2001 through the combined efforts of community, staff, and parents. Northwood currently has a dedicated art classroom. A new computer lab was built in 2013. 3 Functional Skills classes, a TLC classroom with an attached therapy room and sensory room were constructed in 2017.

### School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

**Year and month of the most recent FIT report:** 12/22/17

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	Fair	
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	Fair	
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	
<b>Overall Rating</b>	Good	

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

### CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students

#### Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

#### Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	District 2017-18	District 2018-19	State 2017-18	State 2018-19
<b>English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)</b>	57	62	47	48	50	50
<b>Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)</b>	52	64	34	35	38	39

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

### CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

#### Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
<b>All Students</b>	196	196	100.00	0.00	62.24
<b>Male</b>	105	105	100.00	0.00	60.00
<b>Female</b>	91	91	100.00	0.00	64.84
<b>Black or African American</b>	--	--	--	--	--

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	81	81	100.00	0.00	44.44
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--
White	102	102	100.00	0.00	74.51
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	81	81	100.00	0.00	44.44
English Learners	39	39	100.00	0.00	28.21
Students with Disabilities	26	26	100.00	0.00	34.62
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

### CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	196	196	100.00	0.00	63.78
Male	105	105	100.00	0.00	65.71
Female	91	91	100.00	0.00	61.54
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	81	81	100.00	0.00	51.85
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
White	102	102	100.00	0.00	72.55
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	81	81	100.00	0.00	45.68
English Learners	39	39	100.00	0.00	33.33
Students with Disabilities	26	26	100.00	0.00	34.62
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

### Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

#### Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	District 2017-18	District 2018-19	State 2017-18	State 2018-19
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: This is a placeholder for the California Science Test (CAST) which was administered operationally during the 2018-19 school year. However, these data are not available for inclusion in the 2018-19 SARC posting due February 1, 2020. These data will be included in the 2019-20 SARC posting due February 1, 2021.

## State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

## California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2018-19)

Grade Level	Percentage of Students Meeting Four of Six Fitness Standards	Percentage of Students Meeting Five of Six Fitness Standards	Percentage of Students Meeting Six of Six Fitness Standards
5	16.9	26.2	40.0

Note: Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## C. Engagement

### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2019-20)

Parents are informed about programs through monthly Coffee with the Principal forums, our website, the biweekly Northwood Eagle newsletter, social media pages and our ParentSquare school to home communication platform. Our bilingual Parent & Community Liaison also organizes parent outreach events including Coffee with the Principal, and volunteer nights. Formal monthly meetings where parents and community members get involved and give input include School Site Council (SSC), our Parent Faculty Club (PFC) and our English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC). Volunteer opportunities are plentiful, with parents assisting in the classroom, in the library, at recess, on field trips, and at special events. We hold informational workshops and family nights for parents throughout the year on a variety of topics including AVID, helping with math at home, positive behavioral reinforcement, social skills and more.

### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

### Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School 2016-17	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	District 2016-17	District 2017-18	District 2018-19	State 2016-17	State 2017-18	State 2018-19
Suspensions	1.4	1.3	1.2	3.6	3.1	3.2	3.6	3.5	3.5
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

### School Safety Plan (School Year 2019-20)

A school-wide focus on safety, health, and well-being has resulted in a campus that is virtually free of violence, drugs, and crime. Grounds are kept litter-free and supervised by a full-time custodian. Inspections by the Napa Fire Department address code compliance and assure that facilities are safe for students and staff. Our Comprehensive School Safety Plan (CSSP) modeled on the Incident Command System addresses all components of SBI87. The School Site Council that includes staff and parents reviews the CSSP annually. Emergency equipment has been supplied for each classroom and for the school as a whole. Monthly emergency drills include practice for fire, earthquake, and lock-downs. Discipline follows the NVUSD "Standards for Student Behavior."

## D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.



### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2016-17 Average Class Size	2016-17 # of Classes* Size 1-20	2016-17 # of Classes* Size 21-32	2016-17 # of Classes* Size 33+	2017-18 Average Class Size	2017-18 # of Classes* Size 1-20	2017-18 # of Classes* Size 21-32	2017-18 # of Classes* Size 33+	2018-19 Average Class Size	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 1-20	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 21-32	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 33+
K	22		2		20	1	3		19	1	2	
1	22		2		23		2		24		3	
2	23		3		24		2		25		2	
3	27		2		24		3		23		2	
4	29		2		28		2		29		3	
5	23	1		2	18	2	2		23	1	2	
Other**					8	1			8	2		

\*Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

\*\* "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

### Ratio of Academic Counselors to Pupils (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Ratio
Academic Counselors*	.0

\*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

### Student Support Services Staff (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	1.0
Social Worker	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1.0
Other	2.0

\*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$6,838	\$484	\$6,354	\$82,268
District	N/A	N/A	\$6,632	\$78,177
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-4.3	5.4
State	N/A	N/A	\$11,101	\$82,465
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-11.4	3.2

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

## Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2018-19)

Base funding covers basic operational costs. State supplemental funding supports ELD Curriculum for Grades K- 5, AVID Elementary professional development, social skills education including mindfulness, a supplemental online conceptual math program, an inquiry-based online science curriculum, and a digital keyboarding program. Federal Title I funding Parent/family literacy workshops, aide support for small group interventions, and extended day programs for reading intervention K-5.

## Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$53,601	\$51,374
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$75,145	\$80,151
Highest Teacher Salary	\$97,792	\$100,143
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$127,381	\$126,896
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$134,954	\$133,668
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$142,305	\$143,746
Superintendent Salary	\$258,343	\$245,810
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	38%	35%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

## Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Measure	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	2.5	2.5	4.5

Full-day professional development training focused on problem-based math, inquiry-driven learning and school-wide AVID Elementary implementation. Staff meets twice per month in the afternoon as a whole staff for professional development. Topics include writing, rigor and critical thinking in mathematics, inquiry-based learning strategies, integrated ELD and data-driven small group instruction. Teachers along with the site administrator attend the AVID Summer Institute each summer. Teachers meet weekly with their grade level to share best practices in response to student data. We have a 70% academic specialist who coaches teachers on best practices, provides in-class coaching, modeling through demonstration lessons and assists with assessments.