# Jefferson Elementary School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2018-19 School Year

**Published During 2019-20** 

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/">https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/</a>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/">https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/</a>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <a href="https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/">https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</a> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

#### **About This School**

School Contact Information (School Year 2019-20)

Entity	Contact Information
School Name	Jefferson Elementary School
Street	504 E. South Street
City, State, Zip	Anaheim, CA 92805
Phone Number	(714) 517-8917
Principal	Sandra Song
Email Address	ssong@aesd.org
Website	https://anaheimelementary.org/jefferson/
County-District-School (CDS) Code	30-66423-6027437

Entity	Contact Information
District Name	Anaheim Elementary School District
Phone Number	(714) 517-7500
Superintendent	Christopher Downing
Email Address	cdowning@aesd.org
Website	https://anaheimelementary.org/

#### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2019-20)

Thomas Jefferson School is located in a densely populated area in the city of Anaheim. The school is surrounded by single family homes, condominiums, and apartment buildings. Our dedicated staff educates and supports over 560 students on a single track schedule. Our Transitional Kindergarten through Sixth grade general education classes are joined by two learning handicapped special day classes, Gifted and Talented education classes (grades 3-6), and a Korean Dual Language Immersion academy.

Thomas Jefferson School opened in 1950 with 28 classrooms, a library, and an administration building. Additional classrooms were added in 1953, 1958 and 1997. Currently, Jefferson serves Transitional Kindergarten through Sixth grade scholars that live in Downtown Anaheim as well as surrounding neighborhoods through interdistrict transfers.

Jefferson School is a STEAM school (Science, Technology, Engineering, the Arts, Math) that showcases PLTW (Project Lead the Way) STEM curriculum, providing an instructional program that is rigorous, challenging, and reflects high expectations for all students incorporating the 4Cs for global competency (collaboration, communication, creativity, critical thinking). Teachers engage in grade level Professional Learning Communities where they analyze student data, collaborate on upcoming assessments and best practices, and receive professional development. Teachers are focused on meeting students' academic and behavior needs and instruct every day with a focus on enriching the whole child through enrichment and activities that promote critical thinkers. Instructional practices are well-planned and include engaging and interactive activities that are grade level appropriate. Coordinated support services are provided to students who are not proficient in order to best meet their needs.

#### Vision

To equip all Jefferson students to reach their full academic and social potential for success in the 21st Century.

#### Mission

As a STEAM school, Thomas Jefferson Elementary School empowers scholars with the motivation and confidence to lead, think critically, and embrace innovation. We promote the life and social skills necessary to successfully navigate our dynamic world with an emphasis on science, technology, engineering, the arts, and math.

#### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2018-19)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	73
Grade 1	66
Grade 2	82
Grade 3	87
Grade 4	74
Grade 5	90
Grade 6	90
Total Enrollment	562

# Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.9
Asian	3.9
Filipino	1.1
Hispanic or Latino	89.7
White	2.8
Two or More Races	0.4
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	85.2
English Learners	58
Students with Disabilities	19.4
Foster Youth	0.5
Homeless	9.6

# A. Conditions of Learning

# **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they
  are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- · School facilities are maintained in good repair

#### **Teacher Credentials**

Teachers		School 2018-19	School 2019-20	District 2019-20
With Full Credential	24	25	23	695
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

# **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. \*Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2019-20)

Year and month in which data were collected: 08/2019

<u>Instructional Materials Resolution adopted on 08/14/2019.</u> The Anaheim Elementary School District follows the State's curriculum cycle to adopt updated, high quality textbooks and related materials. Students are provided with an adequate supply of Board-approved textbooks for all subject areas that are aligned with District and State content standards.

Subject	Subject Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption		Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	2017-18 Benchmark Advance and Benchmark Adelante, c2018	Yes	0%
Mathematics	McGraw-Hill MyMath, c2010, McGraw-Hill California Math, c2010 (6th)	Yes	0%
Science	Houghton Mifflin Science c2007	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	2006-07 California Vistas Macmillan/McGraw-Hill c2006	Yes	0%

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Inspection Date: 09/24/2019

Average Percentage of 8 categories evaluated: 98.92% Overall rating: GOOD

General: The District takes great effort to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the District uses a facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction. Safety inspections take place on a quarterly basis at all sites. Below is more specific information on the condition of the school and efforts made to ensure that students are provided with a clean, safe, and functioning learning environment.

Maintenance and Repair: District maintenance staff ensures that repairs necessary to keep the school maintained and in working order are completed in a timely manner. An electronic work order process is used to ensure timely and efficient service. Emergency repairs have highest priority. A maintenance worker is assigned to the site, and is usually on campus once every week. No emergency repair needs exist at this site.

Cleaning Process and Schedule: The District has standard cleaning procedures and guidelines used at each school site. Cleaning schedules are developed jointly between the principal and custodial staff to ensure a clean and safe school. Since January of 2006, the District has implemented the "General Deep Cleaning" crew procedure. On average, once every 10 months all schools are being deep cleaned on a rotation schedule.

The District's completed deferred maintenance plan is available at the Operations Center at 1411 S. Anaheim Blvd. Anaheim, CA 92805.

# **School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)**

Using the most recently collected FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 09/2019

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	Room 17: Stained ceiling tiles. W.O #23740
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	Room 14: Light sensor not working. W.O #23738 Room 15: Light sensor not working. W.O #23739
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	Room 1: Fire extinguisher needs to be moved. W.O #23741
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	
Overall Rating	Good	

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

#### **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

# CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	District 2017-18	District 2018-19	State 2017-18	State 2018-19
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	33	38	30	34	50	50
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	31	35	25	28	38	39

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

# CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	315	312	99.05	0.95	37.82
Male	166	164	98.80	1.20	33.54
Female	149	148	99.33	0.67	42.57
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	289	286	98.96	1.04	35.31
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White					

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	275	272	98.91	1.09	33.46
English Learners	259	256	98.84	1.16	35.55
Students with Disabilities	53	50	94.34	5.66	10.00
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					
Foster Youth					
Homeless	31	30	96.77	3.23	33.33

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

# CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	316	313	99.05	0.95	34.50
Male	166	164	98.80	1.20	32.93
Female	150	149	99.33	0.67	36.24
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	290	287	98.97	1.03	32.06
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White					
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	276	273	98.91	1.09	32.23
English Learners	260	257	98.85	1.15	30.74
Students with Disabilities	53	50	94.34	5.66	12.00
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
Foster Youth					
Homeless	31	30	96.77	3.23	20.00

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

# **CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students**

# Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

# Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School	School	District	District	State	State
	2017-18	2018-19	2017-18	2018-19	2017-18	2018-19
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: This is a placeholder for the California Science Test (CAST) which was administered operationally during the 2018-19 school year. However, these data are not available for inclusion in the 2018-19 SARC posting due February 1, 2020. These data will be included in the 2019-20 SARC posting due February 1, 2021.

# **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

## California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2018-19)

Grade <i>Level</i>	Percentage of Students	Percentage of Students	Percentage of Students
	Meeting Four of Six	Meeting Five of Six	Meeting Six of Six
	Fitness Standards	Fitness Standards	Fitness Standards
5	16.1	9.7	5.4

Note: Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# C. Engagement

#### **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

## **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2019-20)**

Monthly principal newsletters are shared digitally through the school app and parent email. Jefferson promotes usage of the school internet app for mobile devices provides instant communication for events and announcements. Jefferson has an active twitter account @JeffSchoolNews that highlights events and daily life at Jefferson School. Most classrooms use Class Dojo to communicate with parents.

Family Welcome Wednesdays, Family Fridays, Weekly volunteer program • Parent workshops• School Site Council Meetings, 6-7 meetings annually• PTA meetings, 5-6 meetings annually• Back to School Night, Open House, Parent Teacher Conferences, Awards Assemblies, Monthly Coffee Chats with Principal (various topics), Updating school forms and school plan with assistance from School Site Council & PTA members• Community Partnerships with: Anaheim Police Department, Angel's Baseball, Anaheim Public Library, City of Anaheim, Public Works, Anaheim Fire Department, Anaheim Public Utilities, Anaheim Assistance League, Kiwanis Club, Scholastic Books, Korean Education Center, Foundation for Korean Language and Culture, Consulate General's office (South Korea), Olson Homes, Anaheim YMCA, and the Volunteer Center of Orange County.

#### **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

Rate	School 2016-17	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	District 2016-17	District 2017-18	District 2018-19	State 2016-17	State 2017-18	State 2018-19
Suspensions	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.7	0.4	0.4	3.6	3.5	3.5
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

#### School Safety Plan (School Year 2019-20)

Most recent review date: 12/14/2018

Thomas Jefferson has developed a disaster and school safety plan to protect our students and staff in case of emergency. Each year Jefferson School reviews and updates its comprehensive School Safety Plan and forwards a copy to the District's Risk Management Office who then submits the School Safety Plan to the Board of Education for approval. The plan is designed in collaboration with both district and city officials. Our practices and procedures are reviewed during monthly drills. The school safety plan is a public document and will be readily available for inspection at the school and the district office.

## **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

# Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2016-17 Average Class Size	# of	# of	Average	# of	2017-18 # of Classes* Size 21-32	# of	Average	# of	# of	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 33+
K	23		3	27		2		24		3	
1	29		3	23		3		20	2	1	
2	24		3	23	1	3		25		3	
3	25	1	3	20	1	3		20	2	3	
4	24		3	28		3		28		2	
5	26		3	24	1	2		27		3	
6	24	1	3	21	2	3		20	3	3	
Other**	13	3									

<sup>\*</sup>Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

# Ratio of Academic Counselors to Pupils (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Ratio
Academic Counselors*	562.0

<sup>\*</sup>One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

# **Student Support Services Staff (School Year 2018-19)**

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1.0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	
Social Worker	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	3.7
Other	1.0

<sup>\*</sup>One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

<sup>\*\* &</sup>quot;Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

## Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$7,985.24	\$179.68	\$7,805.56	\$97,387.67
District	N/A	N/A	\$644.56	\$90,647.00
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	169.5	4.3
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,506.64	\$82,663.00
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	3.7	15.1

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

#### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2018-19)

Jefferson School received funding from restricted sources such as Title I (federal) and Local Control Funding Formula (state) for supplementary education programs, materials, professional development, TOSAs, and parent and family engagement. A detailed description of programs and services provided to support student achievement is documented in the School Plan for Student Achievement located at https://sites.google.com/a/acsd.k12.ca.us/categorical-state-federal-projects/home/single-plan-for-student-achievement.

#### **Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)**

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$54,963	\$45,741
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$90,327	\$81,840
Highest Teacher Salary	\$108,168	\$102,065
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$140,100	\$129,221
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$0	\$132,874
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$0	\$128,660
Superintendent Salary	\$281,801	\$224,581
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	34%	36%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

## **Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)**

Measure	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	3	2	2

The vision of AESD is to create an exceptional learning environment that engages, challenges, and supports all students so that they thrive and achieve their academic potential every year, while preparing them to pursue college and career opportunities to become competitive global citizens. The vision for e<sup>2</sup>STEAM-D (equitable and engaging science, technology, engineering, art, math and dual language immersion) education in Anaheim Elementary School District is to empower school communities to integrate science, technology, engineering, arts, mathematics, and Dual Language Immersion through the process of critical thinking, communication, creativity, and collaboration to foster innovation not just for today, but the future.

Core Strategy: In order to increase the achievement of all students and close the achievement gap, all professionals will build meaningful student relationships and collaborate in data-informed learning communities to ensure the engagement of all students in a rigorous curriculum.

Professional Learning is offered in a variety of flexible formats and structures to meet the diverse professional and personal needs of teachers. Such structures include both in person and virtual training and are offered after school, during off track time, on Saturdays and/ or through the provision of a substitute when possible. Additionally, all schools are provided with on site specific professional development as needed through district curriculum specialist and off site conference attendance. Job embedded professional learning is provided by a site instructional coach and/or facilitated through both peer learning opportunities. All schools have a full time instructional coach who facilitate a student centered coaching framework and maximize individualized professional learning for teachers through lesson studies, coplanning/teaching, PLCs, peer learning walks and provide professional learning.

Professional Learning areas of focused are identified through analysis of both formative and summative data. All unduplicated student groups ELA and Math summative data as reported by summative and interim CAASPP test items indicate a gap of achievement from the standard met indicator.

To meet these identified students needs the following professional learning has transpired:

#### 2019-20

- All Second and Third grade teachers will have participated in a one day training on implementing Guided Reading in small groups as a complement to the district adopted English Language Arts Curriculum.
- All Second and Third grade teacher will have participated in a one day training around differentiated small group instruction.
- Teachers in grades 4-6 will be afforded the opportunity to participate in a one day training around differentiated small group instruction.

Selected schools and teachers in Grades K-2 will be trained in Cognitively Guided Instructional strategies.

#### 2018-19

- •
- All Kindergarten & First grade teachers participated in a one day training on implementing Guided Reading in small groups as a complement to the district adopted Benchmark curriculum-based skill instruction.
- All Kindergarten through Second grade teachers participated in a one day training around differentiated small group instruction.

#### 2017-18

- All staff, certificated and credentialed, received training in responding to students with Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) including use of Restorative Circles to support the district's instructional focus of social emotional
- All certificated educators participated in two days of professional learning around the the ELA/ELD Framework
  and our newly adopted Benchmark Advance Curriculum Materials. Day 1 focused on the five key themes of
  literacy and language in the CA ELD/ELA framework in addition to familiarizing themselves with the newly
  adopted ELA materials, instructional supports (i.e. objective, concept development, scope and sequence),
  pacing and assessment and technology. In day 2 participants explored how to use the framework to inform
  how best to use the new ELD curriculum as a tool to teach the ELD standards during Designated ELD.

Job embedded professional learning through peer support is orchestrated and provided by on site coaching opportunities. All schools have support from a full time or half time Curriculum Coach and shared Digital Learning coach to help apply professional learning through co-plan/co-teach opportunities, lesson studies, grade level calibrating and planning, peer learning walks and modeling of effective delivery of instruction.