

# School Accountability Report Card for School Year 2018-2019

Published in 2019-2020




District Office:  
2310 Aldergrove Ave.  
Escondido, CA 92029  
(760) 432-2400  
[www.eusd.org](http://www.eusd.org)  
CDS code: 37-68098-6038251

## Board members

Joan Gardner, *President*  
Dr. Joe Muga, *Vice President*  
Doug Paulson, *Clerk*  
Georgine Tomasi, *Member*  
Vacant, *Member*

## Administration

Luis Rankins-Ibarra,  
*Superintendent*  
[libarra@eusd.org](mailto:libarra@eusd.org)  
Leila Sackfield,  
*Deputy Superintendent,*  
*Human Resources*  
Dr. Laura Philyaw,  
*Assistant Superintendent,*  
*Educational Services*  
Michael Taylor,  
*Assistant Superintendent,*  
*Business Services*  
Kelly Prins,  
*Assistant Superintendent,*  
*Special Education/ISS*



## Rose School

906 N. Rose Street  
Escondido, CA 92027  
(760) 432-2495  
Grades K-5  
Lisa Pitard, Principal  
[lpitard@eusd.org](mailto:lpitard@eusd.org)

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC. .

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

---

## DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

---

## Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

# ABOUT THIS SCHOOL

## A Message from the Principal . . .

Academic achievement and a collective commitment to a growth mindset are the focus for both staff and students at Rose School. Our classified and certificated staff works collectively to create an environment that establishes high expectations for both student achievement and positive school behavior.

Our staff continues to implement researched-based proven instructional strategies to meet the needs of each child. We believe that every child has a right to a quality education, and we are committed to providing it. We all share the goal of meeting the needs of our English Learners with the expectation of them becoming fluent English speakers, readers, and writers. We continue to build a strong home-school relationship, knowing there is a direct correlation between parent involvement and effective schools. We also encourage active participation by the community in our programs and activities.

Lisa Pitard, Proud Principal  
Rose School

---

## Mission Statement (School Year 2019-20)

Our school, in partnership with our children, employees, parents and community members is committed to universal student achievement.

## Vision:

The Rose School community vision is for families, teachers, and staff to work together to provide a learning environment where all children have the opportunity to reach their full potential.

---

## Student Enrollment (School Year 2018-19)

Student Enrollment By Grade Level		Student Enrollment By Student Group	
Kindergarten	109	Black or African American	1.50 %
Grade 1	85	American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00 %
Grade 2	92	Asian	2.10 %
Grade 3	84	Filipino	1.00 %
Grade 4	78	Hispanic or Latino	86.50 %
Grade 5	76	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.00%
Total Enrollment	524	White	5.90 %
		Two or More Races	1.70 %
		<b>Other</b>	
		Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	87.40 %
		English Learners	51.10 %
		Students with Disabilities	9.40 %
		Foster Youth	0.00 %
		Homeless	12.40 %

## A. CONDITIONS OF LEARNING

### STATE PRIORITY: BASIC

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

#### Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District 2019-2020
	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020	
With Full Credential	31	30	30	838
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	4
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	----

#### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English learners.

#### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2019-20)

*The resolution to approve certification of compliance with the EC 60119 and Williams Settlement Legislation was approved on 10/10/19.*

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks & instructional materials	Year of adoption	From most recent adoption?	Percent students lacking own assigned copy
Reading/Language Arts	Benchmark Education - <i>Advance, Adelante</i>	2016	Yes	0%
Reading Intervention	Benchmark Education - <i>Advance, Adelante</i>	2016	Yes	0%
Mathematics	<i>Eureka Math/Engage NY</i>	2016	Yes	0%
Science	McMillan/McGraw-Hill <i>CA Science, CA Ciencias</i>	2008	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	Scott Foresman <i>History-Social Science for CA</i> <i>Historia-CienciasSociades</i> <i>Para California</i>	2006	Yes	0%

## A. CONDITIONS OF LEARNING (cont.)

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Below is information about the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities, including the condition and cleanliness of the school grounds, buildings, and restrooms. This school was opened in 1961. The condition of this school's buildings, grounds, and restrooms meets the good repair standard as defined in Education Code Sections 17014, 17032.5, 17070.75(a). Recent facility improvements include, but are not limited to: Installation new HVAC equipment (MPR), new playground equipment, limited asphalt & concrete replacement and partial front office renovation. (FIT DATED 08/29/19)

The district focuses cooperative attention through every department and every employee to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. The team approach to interdisciplinary problem solving makes Escondido Union School District a child-friendly learning environment.

In March 2002, voters in the Escondido community passed Prop K, providing funding to schools for facilities improvements and long-needed projects. The Independent Citizens Oversight Committee (ICOC) meets with District staff regularly to collaborate on projects funded by Prop K, and promotes community involvement in the school district initiatives.

### School Facility Good Repair Status

System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			Ongoing maintenance and repairs.
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	X			Ongoing focus on this matter.
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains		X		Ongoing maintenance and repairs.
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

### Overall Facility Rate

FIT DATED: 08/29/19

	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
Overall Rating		X		

## B. PUPIL OUTCOMES

### STATE PRIORITY: PUPIL ACHIEVEMENT

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

#### CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School		District		State	
	2017-18	2018-19	2017-18	2018-19	2017-18	2018-19
English Language Arts/ Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	44.0%	44.0%	44.0%	44.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	35.0%	34.0%	32.0%	33.0%	38.0%	39.0%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

## B. PUPIL OUTCOMES (cont.)

### CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018–19)

Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	240	230	95.83%	4.17%	43.91%
Male	124	116	93.55%	6.45%	42.24%
Female	116	114	98.28%	1.72%	45.61%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian	--	--	--	--	
Filipino	--	--	--	--	
Hispanic or Latino	208	200	96.15%	3.85%	40.00%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White	19	18	94.74%	5.26%	66.67%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	211	202	95.73%	4.27%	41.09%
English Learners	163	154	94.48%	5.52%	40.26%
Students with Disabilities	27	26	96.30%	3.70%	15.38%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--	
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	
Homeless	35	30	85.71%	14.29%	43.33%

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## B. PUPIL OUTCOMES (cont.)

### CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018–19)

Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	240	232	96.67%	3.33%	34.48%
Male	124	117	94.35%	5.65%	36.75%
Female	116	115	99.14%	0.86%	32.17%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian	--	--	--	--	
Filipino	--	--	--	--	
Hispanic or Latino	208	202	97.12%	2.88%	32.18%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White	19	18	94.74%	5.26%	38.89%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	211	203	96.21%	3.79%	32.02%
English Learners	163	156	95.71%	4.29%	31.41%
Students with Disabilities	27	26	96.30%	3.70%	15.38%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--	
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	
Homeless	22	22	100.00%	0.00%	50.00%

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

### CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students Grade Five Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School		District		State	
	2017-18	2018-19	2017-18	2018-19	2017-18	2018-19
Science-grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: This is a placeholder for the California Science Test (CAST) which was administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. However, these data are not available for inclusion in the 2018–19 SARC posting due February 1, 2020. These data will be included in the 2019–20 SARC posting due February 1, 2021.

## B. PUPIL OUTCOMES (cont.)

### STATE PRIORITY: OTHER PUPIL OUTCOMES

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

#### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2018-19)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	23.1%	20.5%	20.5%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## C. ENGAGEMENT

### STATE PRIORITY: PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

#### Opportunities for Parent Involvement (School Year 2019–20)

- Classroom Volunteers - Parent and community volunteers are a valuable addition to our Rose School community. Numerous parents dedicate hours of service to our school each year; over 7,000 hours noted last year. Community involvement includes: PTA, Open Arms, Junior Achievement, Rotary, and Oasis Tutors. We also have a dedicated cadre of parent volunteers who support us in keeping our students safe with their participation in Safe Walk.
- School Site Council - Our governance body is comprised of elected staff and parents who serve to provide input and feedback for our academic programs and services. An elected DAC representative gathers District SSC agenda's and minutes to share with the Rose SSC. This committee meets throughout the year. An agenda and minutes from all meetings are posted on our Rose School website.
- Family Engagement - Family Engagement is a collaborative venture provided by our District, Family Liaison, and our partners at Vista Hill. A wide variety of instructional workshops including Parent University are offered to all interested members of our parent population, family members, and community.
- ELAC - Our English Learner Advisory Committee collaborates closely with our school administration. They provide her with perspectives which is factored into our decision making and also work to learn about the educational program we provide. Rose School parents represent the school by attending district level meetings such as DELAC and ELAC and subsequently disseminate the information gleaned with our entire parent population.
- Parent Language Classes - Rose School parents have the opportunity to participate in free English classes on our campus. This program has been supported through community donations and the generous volunteer efforts of Open Arms, a nonprofit agency that is the foundation of this successful venture.
- Home Access to Computers and the Internet - Cox Cable and Connect to Compete San Diego have joined us in a collective effort to close the digital divides. As a result, almost half of our families now have access to computers and Internet in their home. Our Family Liaison and Technology Coach have provided basic training for our parents

Parents, family, and community members interested in volunteer opportunities are encouraged to call their child's teacher, or Ms. Veronica Casanova, our Family Liaison at (760) 432-2495.



## C. ENGAGEMENT

### STATE PRIORITY: SCHOOL CLIMATE

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

### Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions	1.30%	1.40%	1.20%	2.60%	2.70%	2.70%	3.60%	3.50%	3.50%
Expulsions	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.10%	0.10%	0.10%	0.10%	0.10%

### School Safety Plan (School Year 2019–20)

Each school annually participates in a review of their Comprehensive Safe School Plan. During this review, each site convenes a School Site Council meeting to review school safety policies in relation to board policy, administrative regulations and Education Code. Each school reviews and updates its comprehensive school safety plan, and the plans are annually presented and approved by the school district Board. The plans are updated using current discipline, attendance, health, and curricular data. The Comprehensive Safe School plan includes child abuse reporting procedures, disaster response procedures, suspension and expulsion policies, notification for teachers of dangerous pupils policy, discrimination and harassment policies, dress code procedures, safe ingress and egress procedures, site goals and objectives related to a safe and orderly environment, school discipline procedures, hate crime policies, and bullying prevention procedures and policies. All students, parents, and staff work together to ensure all schools have a safe and secure campus.

## D. OTHER SARC INFORMATION

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

Grade Level	Avg. Class Size	2016-17			Avg. Class Size	2017-18			Avg. Class Size	2018-19		
		Number of Classes*				Number of Classes*				Number of Classes*		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	20.0	1	4		24.0		5		22.00	1	4	
1	21.0	1	3		24.0		3		24.00		3	
2	22.0		4		21.0	1	3		24.00		4	
3	25.0		3		21.0	1	3		23.00		4	
4	26.0		3		31.0		2		30.00		2	
5	24.0		4		32.0		2	1	31.00		3	
Other**												

\* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

\*\* "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

## D. OTHER SARC INFORMATION (cont.)

### Ratio of Academic Counselors to Pupils (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Rate
Academic Counselor*	0

\* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

### Student Support Services Staff (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	0.98
Psychologist	0.4
Social Worker	1.0
Nurse	1.0
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0
Other - SAI (Specialized Academic Instruction) Teacher	1.5

\* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/ Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/ Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$ 7229.30	\$ 585.10	\$ 6644.20	\$ 70580.10
District	—	—	\$8829.99	\$73708.96
Percent Difference—School Site and District	—	—	-19.76%	-2.91%
State	—	—	\$7506.64	\$82663.00
Percent Difference—School Site and State	—	—	-8.29%	-10.80%

Note: Cells with "--" do not require data.

## D. OTHER SARC INFORMATION (cont.)

### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2018-19)

Rose School receives Title I funding and Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) funding through the state of California to support teaching and learning for all students with an emphasis on English learners, low income students and foster youth. Services funded include interventions for students at risk, a family liaison, a social worker, a P.E. teacher, enrichment opportunities, and teacher professional development.

---

### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-2018)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$41,321	\$45,741
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$74,174	\$81,840
Highest Teacher Salary	\$98,658	\$102,065
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$115,472	\$129,221
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$120,675	\$132,874
Superintendent Salary	\$228,631	\$224,581
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	36.0%	36.0%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4.0%	5.0%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

---

### Professional Development

Measure	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	3	2.5	2