LEITCH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

47100 Fernald Street • Fremont, CA 94539 • (510) 657-6100 • Grades K-3

Tammy Pachote, Principal

tpachote@fusdk12.net

www.fremont.k12.ca.us

2018-19 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2019-20 School Year



Fremont Unified School District

4210 Technology Drive Fremont, CA 94538 (510) 657-2350 www.fremont.k12.ca.us

District Governing Board

Desrie Campbell

Larry Sweeney

Ann Crosbie

Michele Berke, Ph.D.

Dianne Jones

<u>District Administration</u>
Kim Wallace, Ed.D.
<u>Superintendent</u>

Marcus Battle
Associate Superintendent
Debbie Ashmore
Assistant Superintendent,
Instruction

Raul M. Zamora, Ed.D.

Assistant Superintendent, Human

Resources

School Description

Welcome to James Leitch Elementary School, where we have made great progress toward enriching student learning and developing student potential. Our school is unique in being the only TK-2nd school in our district. We also are privileged to have four Special Day Classes at our site. Our parents are actively involved in our school, and the staff is collaborative in setting SMART goals, focusing on priority standards, and designing lessons/common assessments to promote student achievement. We were a California Distinguished School in 2010 and 2014, California Gold Ribbon School in 2016, and a National Blue Ribbon School in 2011.

School Vision: James Leitch Elementary School is a safe, nurturing, and motivating educational environment where students, parents, and teachers form a community of life-long learners who will demonstrate a love for learning, strong self-esteem, and good citizenship.

School Mission: Our mission at Leitch Elementary School is to work together both as Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) among school staff and with parents and students to ensure that all of our students are learning the high level of academic skills included within the California State Standards, and to promote our students' well-rounded development so that they become independent, critical thinkers and respectful citizens.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact
 the school principal or the district office.

2018-19 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	272
Grade 1	277
Grade 2	308
Total Enrollment	857

2018-19 Student Enrollment by Group

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.5
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2
Asian	89.5
Filipino	2.1
Hispanic or Latino	3.6
White	2
Two or More Races	1.4
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	4.9
English Learners	23.2
Students with Disabilities	4.8
Foster Youth	0.1
Homeless	0.1

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials

For the School	17-18	18-19	19-20
With Full Credential	47	45	38
Without Full Credential	0	0	2
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0

For the District	17-18	18-19	19-20
With Full Credential	•	*	1628
Without Full Credential	•	•	49
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	•	*	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

For the School	17-18	18-19	19-20
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Notes:

- 1) "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.
- 2) Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2019-20)

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for describes whether schools have enough books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are presenting what the California Content Standards call for.

All of our textbooks are the most recently approved by the State Board of Education or our Local Governing Agency.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials

Year and month in which data were collected: 11/13/2019

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	Benchmark/Adelante, Benchmark Education Adopted 2018
	Spanish Dual Immersion Core Curriculum: (Blacow, Grimmer & Vallejo Mill only) Me llamo Maria Isabel, Atheneum Books for Young Readers Esperanza Renace, Scholastic
	Cajas de Carton, Houghton Mifflin Adopted 2014
	Big Day for PreK, Scholastic Adopted 2012
	Mandarin Language Arts Immersion: Mei Zhou(Azevada only) Adopted 2011
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Mathematics	CA Math Expressions Common Core, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Adopted 2014
	SpringBoard Course 1, College Board Adopted 2014
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Science	STEMscopes for 6th grade Adopted 2017
	Mystery Science Adopted 2016
	California Science, Houghton Mifflin Adopted 2007
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
History-Social Science	History -Social Science for CA, Scott Foresman Adopted 2006
	World History: Ancient Civilizations, Holt Adopted 2006
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Health	Rights, Respect, Responsibility (3 R's) Adopted 2019
	The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes
	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Our classrooms were built in 1965. Eleven new classrooms have been established on our campus since 1999. We also added 9 new portables in summer, 2014. Our classrooms are spacious and in fairly good condition, and wireless access is available at the entire school. We have one day custodian and two full-time night custodians who clean our facilities on a daily basis. Every kindergarten classroom has one restroom. We also have three boys' and three girls' restrooms for grades one, and two, which have been modified to meet state standards.

To determine the condition of our facilities, our district sent experts from our facilities team to perform an inspection using a survey called the Facilities Inspection Tool, which is issued by the Office of Public School Construction. Based on that survey, we have answered the questions you see on this report. Please note that the information reflects the condition of our buildings as of the date of the report. Since that time, those conditions may have changed.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)
Year and month in which data were collected: 10/30/2018

System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	
Overall Rating	Exemplary	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School	School	District	District	State	State
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Notes:

- 1) Cells with N/A values do not require data.
- 2) The 2017–18 data is not available. The CDE developed a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The CAST was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST was administered operationally for the first time during the 2018–19 school year. However, official results were not released in time for inclusion in the 2018-19 SARC. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (in 2016–17 and 2017–18), and was field-tested in 2018–19.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2019-20)

Parents are a vital element in student success, and at Leitch Elementary they are actively involved in our various committees, assisting in classrooms, chaperoning field trips, participating in school-wide events, volunteering in the library, computer lab, valet duty, and much more. Our PTA sponsors the annual fundraising event the "Fall Stampede." If you would like to volunteer at our school, please call the principal's office at (510) 657-6100.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Staff and parent volunteers monitor students for 15 minutes before and 15 minutes after school as well as during all recesses and lunchtime. Visitors and volunteers are required to sign in at the office and obtain a visitor or volunteer badge. Our custodians conduct a security check of the campus every morning and evening. Teachers regularly review the rules for safe, responsible behavior in class and on the playground. Students receive lessons designed to address the needs of our diverse population. We want to ensure the emotional and physical safety of our students.

We revise our Comprehensive Site Safety Plan annually; the last update was in March 2019. The safety plan includes procedures for emergencies, board policies related to student safety, evacuation routes, the chain of command, and goals for promoting campus and student safety.

Our Site Safety Committee reviews the plan annually and addresses any safety issues at our site. Staff and parents notify administration of safety concerns immediately. Custodial staff or district maintenance crews respond quickly to safety issues, and proper steps are taken to ensure student safety. Teachers review the emergency procedures at the beginning of each school year. We conduct monthly fire drills, and disaster drills occur four times each year. Our district Risk Management Department and PTA prepare the inventories, and replace our classroom emergency packs and school-wide emergency supplies.

Suspensions and Expulsions for the School	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	0.0	0.1	0.2
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0

Suspensions and Expulsions for the District	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	1.9	1.7	1.7
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0

Suspensions and Expulsions for the State	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	3.6	3.5	3.5
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Ratio of Academic Counselors to Pupils (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Ratio
Academic Counselor	.0

Student Support Services Staff (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1.0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	3.9
Other	1.0

Note: One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2016-17 Average Class Size	2016-17 # of Classes Size 1-20	2016-17 # of Classes Size 21-32	2016-17 # of Classes Size 33+	2017-18 Average Class Size	2017-18 # of Classes Size 1-20	2017-18 # of Classes Size 21-32	2017-18 # of Classes Size 33+	2018-19 Average Class Size	2018-19 # of Classes Size 1-20	2018-19 # of Classes Size 21-32	2018-19 # of Classes Size 33+
К	21	2	14		21	4	11		19	7	8	
1	24		12		24		12		22	1	11	
2	23		11		24		12		23		13	
Other	10	2			9	2			8	1		

Notes:

- 1) Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).
- 2) "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

Teachers take some time each year to improve their teaching skills and to extend their knowledge of the subjects they teach. Below is the amount of time we set aside for the past three years for their continuing education and professional development:

2016-2017: 3 days 2017-2018: 3 days 2018-2019: 3 days

Three days are set aside each year, but due to budget cuts and related negotiations these days are sometimes reduced.

FY 2017-18 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$65,398	\$48,612
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$87,958	\$74,676
Highest Teacher Salary	\$114,623	\$99,791
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$142,026	\$125,830
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$159,339	\$131,167
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$160,959	\$144,822
Superintendent Salary	\$295,000	\$275.796

Percent of District Budget	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Teacher Salaries	45%	34%
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

Note: For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

FY 2017-18 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

Level	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	7874	1331	6543	91525
District	N/A	N/A	7677	\$89,130.00
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,506.64	\$82,403.00

Percent Differences	Unrestricted	Average Teacher Salary
School Site/District	-15.9	4.5
School Site/ State	-4.2	14.6

Notes:

- 1) Cells with N/A values do not require data.
- The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that are reported on 2018-19 report cards.

Types of Services Funded

Categorical Funding for Supplemental Services:

- Federal Title I funds support eligible schools. Title I funds support academically at risk students and their families. Funds are used to support effective, evidence-based educational strategies that close the achievement gap and enable the students to meet the state's challenging academic standards.
- Federal Title III funds are used to provide district level services to support English Learners at the secondary level.

Examples of supplemental services include: before- and after-school intervention programs, intervention teachers that support students during the school day, intervention class during the school day, supplemental instructional materials, professional development for staff, and parent involvement activities.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.