Sixth Grade Academy Charter at Petaluma Junior High
School Accountability Report Card
Reported Using Data from the 2018-19 School Year
Published During 2019-20

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest
DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access
Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

School Contact Information (School Year 2019-20)

Entity	Contact Information
School Name	Sixth Grade Academy Charter at Petaluma Junior High
Street	700 Bantam Way
City, State, Zip	Petaluma, CA 94952
Phone Number	707-778-4724
Principal	Kelly O'Neill Kriss
Email Address	kkriss@petk12.org
Website	https://www.petalumacityschools.org/site/Default.aspx?PageID=19
County-District-School (CDS) Code	49708540124339

Entity	Contact Information
District Name	Sixth Grade Academy at Petaluma Junior High School
Phone Number	(707) 778-4813
Superintendent	Gary Callahan
Email Address	gcallahan@petk12.org
Website	www.petalumacityschools.org

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2019-20)

Continuing with the Petaluma City School district's commitment to provide innovative and rigorous learning opportunities, The Sixth Grade Academy Charter School, established in 2011, focuses on integrated curriculum, elective offerings and technology-assisted learning. Two highly skilled and credentialed teachers work in concert to provide meaningful connections between the disciplines and curriculum with an emphasis on higher-order thinking skills. Students participate in an A and B block schedule of extended time, allowing for greater focus and depth of study. Each teacher is responsible for the thematic integration of either math and science or English and social studies. The two teachers prepare lessons together to insure high interest, student participation and challenge. In addition to the integrated core curriculum and a physical education class, students participate in an elective program. They are also able to participate with the PJHS band courses.

The school is housed on the PJHS campus in two adjoining classrooms, E-2 and E-3, located next to the main office. Both classrooms are fully- equipped science rooms, with wi-fi connectivity, flat screen TVs, and individual ipads.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2018-19)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 6	32
Total Enrollment	32

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Hispanic or Latino	28.1
White	68.8
Two or More Races	3.1
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	12.5
Homeless	

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic
The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):
- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	School 2019-20	District 2019-20
With Full Credential	6	3	3	274
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	14
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.
*Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2019-20)

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2018

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Hougton-Mifflin, novels, teacher-produced instructional materials.	Yes	0
Mathematics	College Preparatory Math (CPM)	Yes	0
Science	GEMS/Lawrence Hall of Science	Yes	0
History-Social Science	Pearson Scott-Foresman TCI	Yes	0
Health	Teacher created materials	Yes	0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Overall, the school facility is in good repair.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)
Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:
- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: July 2019

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	
Overall Rating	**Exemplary**	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven
Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	District 2017-18	District 2018-19	State 2017-18	State 2018-19
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	57	63	56	56	50	50
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	43	34	50	52	38	39

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	32	32	100.00	0.00	62.50
Male	13	13	100.00	0.00	23.08
Female	19	19	100.00	0.00	89.47
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White	22	22	100.00	0.00	59.09
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--	--
English Learners					
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					
Foster Youth					
Homeless					

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018-19)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	32	32	100.00	0.00	34.38
Male	13	13	100.00	0.00	30.77
Female	19	19	100.00	0.00	36.84
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	--	--	--
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White	22	22	100.00	0.00	40.91
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	--	--	--
English Learners					
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					
Foster Youth					
Homeless					

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students
Grades Five, Eight, and Ten
Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	District 2017-18	District 2018-19	State 2017-18	State 2018-19
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: This is a placeholder for the California Science Test (CAST) which was administered operationally during the 2018-19 school year. However, these data are not available for inclusion in the 2018-19 SARC posting due February 1, 2020. These data will be included in the 2019-20 SARC posting due February 1, 2021.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes
The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2018-19)

Grade *Level*	Percentage of Students Meeting Four of Six Fitness Standards	Percentage of Students Meeting Five of Six Fitness Standards	Percentage of Students Meeting Six of Six Fitness Standards
5			

Note: Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement
The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2019-20)

Parents are asked to take an active role as well, participating and volunteering their talents to school projects.

It is important that families and schools work together to help students achieve high academic and character standards. The following are agreed upon roles and responsibilities that we as partners will carry out to support student success in school and life. We understand that fulfilling these responsibilities is considered an important way for all of us to support our school.

Parents or guardians are requested and encouraged to:
- Demonstrate to their child through their actions and deeds that learning and education are important.
- Commit to the academic calendar and ensure their child's regular school attendance.
- Uphold expectations set by the school.
- Support and participate in school activities (ex: volunteering in the classrooms, facilitating literature circles with students, chaperoning fieldtrips, staying as camp counselors on the yearly outdoor education camp).
- Keep updated about their child's progress through AERIES, and if I have questions or concerns, promptly contact the staff
- Help their child to set goals for learning and participation in the school community, and support the work needed to achieve those goals.
- Show respect to everyone.
- Volunteer a total of ten (10) hours in support of the school.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School 2016-17	School 2017-18	School 2018-19	District 2016-17	District 2017-18	District 2018-19	State 2016-17	State 2017-18	State 2018-19
Suspensions	7.5	2.2	6.1	4.1	3.4	3.0	3.6	3.5	3.5
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2019-20)

The 6th Grade Academy at Petaluma Junior High School Safety Plan is incorporated in the Petaluma Junior High School plan and is annually reviewed and practiced each year as part of an on going effort to update our procedures and introduce new staff to the protocol. In collaboration with a district level safety grant, fire, earthquake, and lockdown drills involving the entire staff and student body are conducted monthly. The Principal Advisory Committee reviews the plan with input from the two 6th Grade Academy teachers and provides opportunities for parent review and input. District and local emergency agencies are invited to attend each drill, monitor, and provide feedback on the effectiveness of the drills. The principal also meets with law enforcement to review needs for the campus.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2016-17 Average Class Size	2016-17 # of Classes* Size 1-20	2016-17 # of Classes* Size 21-32	2016-17 # of Classes* Size 33+	2017-18 Average Class Size	2017-18 # of Classes* Size 1-20	2017-18 # of Classes* Size 21-32	2017-18 # of Classes* Size 33+	2018-19 Average Class Size	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 1-20	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 21-32	2018-19 # of Classes* Size 33+
6	26		10		21	1	8		32		5	
Other**												

*Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).
** "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Ratio of Academic Counselors to Pupils (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Ratio
Academic Counselors*	0

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Student Support Services Staff (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0
Psychologist	0
Social Worker	0
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	$11,432.68	$2,399.45	$9,033.23	$69,458
District	N/A	N/A	$1,053.38	$71,087
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	158.2	-2.3
State	N/A	N/A	$7,506.64	$80,680
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	18.5	-14.9

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	$43,289	$49,084
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	$59,449	$76,091
Highest Teacher Salary	$83,968	$95,728
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	$106,400	$118,990
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	$103,789	$125,674
Average Principal Salary (High)	$111,062	$137,589
Superintendent Salary	$197,667	$230,096

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	34.1%	34.62%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5.6%	5.61%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Measure	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	5	4	4

Four (4) professional development days are built into the school calendar. District staff were surveyed to collect teacher professional development interest/need. The area of highest interest/need were selected as topics for the professional development days. Professional development days were divided into elementary and secondary topics, and the PD was delivered in a workshop model with a variety of sessions offered. Some of the workshop sessions offered included, math implementation, site-level collaboration, technology support, Maker/3-D printing, inquiry and project-based learning, effective influences on student learning, classroom management, accessing/discerning reliable information, and environmental literacy. Teachers also attended workshops, trainings, and conferences provided by the Sonoma County Office of Education, among other outside providers. To support implementation, several committees have been formed and meet regularly to plan for continued teacher support. The K-12 Curriculum/Staff Development committee met and planned the professional development days. All teachers participated as members of Professional Learning Networks (PLNs) and met monthly to further their collaborative work. Teachers also are provided time on early-release Wednesdays district wide for teachers to participate in collaboration, professional development, and staff meetings.