

Problem class sheet 2

Lecturer & author: Georgios P. Karagiannis

georgios.karagiannis@durham.ac.uk

Exercise 1. Let $(Z_s)_{s \in \mathcal{S}}$ be a specified statistical model. Assume that $(Z_s)_{s \in \mathcal{S}}$ is weakly stationary with unknown constant mean $\mu = E(Z(s))$ and known covariogram $c(\cdot)$. Assume there is available a dataset $\{(s_i, Z_i := Z(s_i))\}_{i=1}^n$ and assume they are realizations of $(Z_s)_{s \in \mathcal{S}}$. Assume that the matrix C such as $[C]_{i,j} = c(\|s_i - s_j\|)$ has an inverse. Consider the “Kriging” estimator μ_{KM} of μ as the BLUE (Best Linear Unbiased Estimator)

$$\mu_{\text{KM}} = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i Z(s_i) = w^\top Z,$$

for some unknown $\{w_i\}$ that we need to learn.

- (1) Find sufficient conditions on $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ so that the Kriging estimator μ_{KM} to be unbiased.
- (2) Assume C is invertible. Compute the MSE of μ_{KM} as a function of $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ and C
- (3) Derive the Kriging estimator μ_{KM} of μ as a function of C
- (4) Derive the Kriging standard error as $\sigma_{\text{KM}} = \sqrt{E(\mu_{\text{KM}} - \mu)^2}$ as a function of C

Solution 2. The method is called Kriging the Mean, and hence we denote it as KM.

- (1) It is

$$\mu_{\text{KM}} = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i Z(s_i) = w^\top Z,$$

where $\{w_i\}$ is a set of unknown weights to be learned.

We assume that assume zero systematic error (unbiasness), hence

$$E(\mu_{\text{KM}} - \mu) = E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i Z(s_i) - \mu\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \overset{=1}{\cancel{E(Z(s_i))}} - \overset{=\mu}{\cancel{\mu}}$$

which is satisfied given the assumption

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1 \iff 1^\top w = 1 \quad (\text{ASSUMPTION})$$

- (2) It is

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\mu_{\text{KM}} - \mu)^2 &= E(\mu_{\text{KM}}^2 + \mu^2 - 2\mu_{\text{KM}}\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j E(Z(s_i) Z(s_j)) - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \mu \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j (c(s_i - s_j) - \mu) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j c(s_i - s_j) = w^\top C w
\end{aligned}$$

(3) To learn the unknown weights $\{w_i\}$ we need to solve

$$w^{\text{KM}} = \arg \min_w E(\mu_{\text{KM}} - \mu)^2, \text{ subject to } \sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$$

The Lagrange function is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{L}(w, \lambda) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j c(s_i - s_j) - 2\lambda \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i - 1 \right) \\
&= w^\top C w - 2\lambda (1^\top w - 1)
\end{aligned}$$

The Kriging to mean equations are $0 = \nabla_{w, \lambda} \mathfrak{L}(w, \lambda)$ producing

$$\begin{cases} 0 = 2 \sum_{j=1}^n w_j^{\text{KM}} c(s_i - s_j) - 2\lambda & \forall i = 1, \dots, n \\ 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{\text{KM}} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} 2Cw^{\text{KM}} - 2\lambda 1 = 0 \\ 1^\top w^{\text{KM}} = 1 \end{cases}$$

Given that C^{-1} exists, I multiply by $1^\top C^{-1}$ and I get

$$21^\top C^{-1} C w^{\text{KM}} - 21^\top C^{-1} \lambda 1 = 0$$

so

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{1^\top C^{-1} 1}$$

I substitute and I get

$$w^{\text{KM}} = \frac{C^{-1} 1}{1^\top C^{-1} 1}$$

So

$$\mu_{\text{KM}} = \left(\frac{C^{-1} 1}{1^\top C^{-1} 1} \right)^\top Z$$

(4) It is

$$\sigma_{\text{KM}} = \sqrt{E(\mu_{\text{KM}} - \mu)^2} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{C^{-1} 1}{1^\top C^{-1} 1} \right)^\top C \frac{C^{-1} 1}{1^\top C^{-1} 1}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1^\top C^{-1} 1}}$$

Exercise 3. Let $(Z_s)_{s \in \mathcal{S}}$ be a specified statistical model. Assume that $(Z_s)_{s \in \mathcal{S}}$ is an intrinsic stationary process with unknown constant mean $\mu(s) = E(Z(s))$ and known semi-variogram $\gamma(\cdot)$. Assume there is available a dataset $\{(s_i, Z_i := Z(s_i))\}_{i=1}^n$. Consider the “Kriging” estimator $Z_{\text{OK}}(s_0)$ of $Z(s_0)$ at any unseen spatial location s_0 as the BLUE (Best Linear Unbiased Estimator)

$$Z_{\text{OK}}(s_0) = w_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n w_i Z(s_i) = w_{n+1} + w^\top Z$$

for some unknown $\{w_i\}$ that we need to learn, and $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_n)^\top$. Let $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^\top$.

- (1) Find sufficient conditions on $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ so that the Kriging estimator $Z_{\text{OK}}(s_0)$ to be unbiased.
- (2) Derive the MSE of $Z_{\text{OK}}(s_0)$ as

$$E(Z_{\text{OK}}(s_0) - Z(s_0))^2 = -w^\top \Gamma w + 2w^\top \gamma_0$$

where $\gamma_0 = (\gamma(s_0 - s_1), \dots, \gamma(s_0 - s_n))^\top$ and Γ with $[\Gamma]_{i,j} = \gamma(s_i - s_j)$

- (3) Assume Γ is invertible matrix. Derive the Kriging estimator of $Z(s_0)$ as

$$Z_{\text{OK}}(s_0) = \Gamma^{-1} \left(\gamma_0 + \frac{1 - 1^\top \Gamma^{-1} \gamma_0}{1^\top \Gamma^{-1} 1} 1 \right) Z$$

- (4) Derive the Kriging standard error of $Z_{\text{OK}}(s_0)$ as

$$\sigma_{\text{SK}} = \sqrt{\gamma_0^\top \Gamma^{-1} \gamma_0 - \frac{(1 - 1^\top \Gamma^{-1} \gamma_0)^2}{1^\top \Gamma^{-1} 1}}$$

Solution. The method is called Ordinary Kriging, and hence we denote it as OK.

- (1) It is

$$Z_{\text{OK}}(s_0) = w_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n w_i Z(s_i) = w_{n+1} + w^\top Z,$$

where $\{w_i\}$ is a set of unknown weights to be learned.

$$E(Z_{\text{OK}}(s_0)) = w_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n w_i E(Z(s_i)) \Leftrightarrow \mu = w_{n+1} + \mu \sum_{i=1}^n w_i$$

Unbiasness is satisfied given the assumption $w_{n+1} = 0$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1 \Leftrightarrow 1^\top w = 1 \quad (\text{ASSUMPTION})$$

(2) The MSE of $Z_{\text{OK}}(s_0)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{MSE}(Z_{\text{OK}}(s_0)) &= \mathbb{E}(Z_{\text{OK}}(s_0) - Z(s_0))^2 = \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i Z(s_i) - \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i}_{=1} Z(s_0)\right)^2 \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i (Z(s_i) - Z(s_0))\right)^2 \\
&= -\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j (Z(s_i) - Z(s_j))^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} w_i (Z(s_i) - Z(s_0))^2\right) \\
&= -\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}(Z(s_i) - Z(s_j))^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}(Z(s_i) - Z(s_0))^2 \\
&= -\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \gamma(s_i - s_j) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \gamma(s_i - s_0) \\
&= -w^\top \mathbf{\Gamma} w + 2w^\top \boldsymbol{\gamma}_0
\end{aligned}$$

where $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^\top$, $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_0 = (\gamma(s_0 - s_1), \dots, \gamma(s_0 - s_n))^\top$, and $[\mathbf{\Gamma}]_{i,j} = \gamma(s_i - s_j)$.

(3) The Lagrange multiplier function to minimize the MSE under the assumption is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{L}(w, \lambda) &= -\sum_{i=1}^n w_i w_j \gamma(s_i - s_j) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \gamma(s_0 - s_i) - \lambda \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i - 1\right) \\
&= -w^\top \mathbf{\Gamma} w + 2w^\top \boldsymbol{\gamma}_0 - \lambda (1^\top w - 1)
\end{aligned}$$

The OK system of equations is $0 = \nabla_{(\{w_i\}, \lambda)} L(w, \lambda)|_{(w, \lambda)}$ producing

$$\begin{cases} 0 = -2 \sum_{j=1}^n w_j^{\text{OK}} \gamma(s_i - s_j) + 2\gamma(s_0 - s_i) - \lambda, & i = 1, \dots, n \\ 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{\text{OK}} \end{cases} \iff$$

$$\begin{cases} 0 = -2\mathbf{\Gamma} w_{\text{OK}} + 2\boldsymbol{\gamma}_0 - \lambda_{\text{OK}} \mathbf{1} \\ 1 = \mathbf{1}^\top w_{\text{OK}} \end{cases}$$

Assuming $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ is invertible and multiplying by $\mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1}$ it is

$$0 = -2\mathbf{\Gamma} w_{\text{OK}} + 2\boldsymbol{\gamma}_0 - \lambda_{\text{OK}} \mathbf{1} \iff$$

$$0 = -2\mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1} \mathbf{\Gamma} w_{\text{OK}} + 2\mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_0 - \mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1} \lambda_{\text{OK}} \mathbf{1} \iff$$

$$\lambda_{\text{OK}} = 2 \frac{\mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_0 - 1}{\mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1} \mathbf{1}}$$

By substitution I get

$$w_{\text{OK}} = \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1} \left(\gamma_0 + \frac{1 - \mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1} \gamma_0}{\mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1} \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{1} \right)$$

Hence

$$Z_{\text{OK}}(s_0) = w_{\text{OK}} Z = \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1} \left(\gamma_0 + \frac{1 - \mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1} \gamma_0}{\mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1} \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{1} \right) Z$$

(4) It is

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\text{OK}}(s_0) &= \sqrt{\text{MSE}(Z_{\text{OK}}(s_0))} \\ &= \sqrt{-w^\top \mathbf{\Gamma} w + w^\top \gamma_0} \\ &= \sqrt{\gamma_0 \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1} \gamma_0 - \frac{(1 - \mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1} \gamma_0)^2}{\mathbf{1}^\top \mathbf{\Gamma}^{-1} \mathbf{1}}} \end{aligned}$$

Note regarding the calculations in MSE:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i (Z(s_i) - Z(s_0)) \right)^2 &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i (Z_i - Z_0) \right)^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 (Z_i - Z_0)^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} w_i (Z_i - Z_0) w_j (Z_j - Z_0) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j (Z_i - Z_0) (Z_j - Z_0) \\
&= 2 \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j (Z_i - Z_0) (Z_j - Z_0) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (Z_i - Z_0)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j (Z_j - Z_0)^2 \\
&\quad + 2 \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (Z_i - Z_0)^2 \\
&= - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \sum_{j=1}^n w_j [(Z_i - Z_0)^2 + (Z_j - Z_0)^2 - 2w_i w_j (Z_i - Z_0) (Z_j - Z_0)] \right) \\
&\quad + 2 \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (Z_i - Z_0)^2 \\
&= - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \sum_{j=1}^n w_j [(Z_i - Z_0) - (Z_j - Z_0)]^2 \right) + 2 \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (Z_i - Z_0)^2 \\
&= - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j (Z_i - Z_j)^2 + 2 \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (Z_i - Z_0)^2 \\
&= - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j (Z(s_i) - Z(s_j))^2 + 2 \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (Z(s_i) - Z(s_0))^2
\end{aligned}$$
