Spatio-temporal statistics (MATH4341)

Michaelmas term, 2023

Handout 4: Aerial unit data / spatial data on lattices

Lecturer & author: Georgios P. Karagiannis georgios.karagiannis@durham.ac.uk

Aim. To introduce Aerial unit data modeling: the basic building models.

Reading list & references:

- [1] Cressie, N. (2015; Part II). Statistics for spatial data. John Wiley & Sons.
- [2] Gaetan, C., & Guyon, X. (2010; Ch 3). Spatial statistics and modeling (Vol. 90). New York: Springer.

Specialized reading.

[3] Kent, J. T., & Mardia, K. V. (2022). Spatial analysis (Vol. 72). John Wiley & Sons. (on Spatial analysis)

Part 1. Basic stochastic models & related concepts for model building

Note 1. Recall from Section 2.2 of "Handout 1: Types of spatial data" that modeling aerial unit / lattice data types involves the use of random field models with a discrete index set. Such data are collected over areal units such as pixels, census districts or tomographic bins. Often, there is a natural adjacency relation or neighborhood structure.

Note 2. This means we need to introduce new basic building models able to acceptably represent the characteristics of the underline data generating mechanisms. These as the "Discrete Random Fields".

1. Discrete Random Fields

Note 3. We re-introduce the definition of the random field adjusting it to the aerial unit data framework.

Definition 4. A random field $Z = (Z_s; s \in \mathcal{S})$ on a set of indexes \mathcal{S} taking values in $\mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{S}}$ is a family of random variables $\{Z_s := Z_s(\omega); s \in \mathcal{S}, \omega \in \Omega\}$ where each $Z_s(\omega)$ is defined on the same probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \operatorname{pr})$ and taking values in \mathcal{Z} .

Note 5. In aerial unite data modeling, the (spatial) set of sites S, at which the process is defined, is discrete, it can be finite or infinite (e.g. $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$), regular (e.g. pixels of an image) or irregular (states of a country).

Note 6. The general state space \mathcal{Z} of the random field can be quantitative, qualitative or mixed. E.g., $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{R}^+$ in a Gamma random field, $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{N}$ in a Poisson random field, $\mathcal{Z} = \{0, 1\}$ in a binary random field.



FIGURE 1.1. Lattice of spatial sites for North Carolina SIDS data. Each county is a site. Each site is coded according to its alphabetical order. The collection of sites is the lattice of sites.

Note 7. If \mathcal{Z} is finite or countably infinite, the (joint) distribution of Z has a PMF

$$\operatorname{pr}_{Z}(z) = \operatorname{pr}(Z = z) = \operatorname{pr}(\{Z_{s} = z_{s}; s \in \mathcal{S}\}), \ \forall z_{s} \in \mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{S}}$$

otherwise if $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ and Z continuous we will use the joint PDF.

Definition 8. The discrete set of sites $S = \{s_i; i = 1, ..., n\}$ is often called lattice of sites.

Notation 9. We will more often use the notation Z_s instead of Z(s) or Z_i instead of $Z(s_i)$. Hence, since $S = \{s_i; i = 1, ..., n\}$, we can consider a more convenient notation

$$Z = (Z_s; s \in \mathcal{S})^{\top} = (Z_i = Z(s_i); i = 1, ...n)^{\top}.$$

Notation 10. The notation $i \sim j$ between two sites $i, j \in \mathcal{S}$ means that "sites i and j are adjacent".

Example 11. Recall the North Carolina SIDS data Ex 24 in Handout 1. Fig 1.1 presents the sites and the lattice of sites. Each county is a site. Each site is coded according to its alphabetical order. The collection of sites is the lattice of sites coded according to alphabetical order of the county name. One may define the "adjacency between sites $i \sim j$ " as the counties that share common boarders. Then for site i = 43, $i \sim j$ involves any $j \in \{63, 53, 19, 92, 51, 82, 26, 47\}$ in Fig 1.1.

Example 12. (Logistic/Ising model) Consider Z_i denotes a characteristic presence coded as 1 or absence coded as 0 on a region labeled by $i \in \mathcal{S}$. Then $\mathcal{Z} = \{0, 1\}$. The Ising model is defined by the (joint) PMF

(1.1)
$$\operatorname{pr}_{Z}(z) \propto \exp\left(\alpha \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} z_{i} + \beta \sum_{\{i,j\}: i \sim j} z_{i} z_{j}\right), \ \forall z \in \mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{S}}$$

E.g., it can model a black & white image noisy image, where S denotes the labels of the image pixels, and Z_i denotes the presence of a black pixel $(Z_i = 1)$ or its absence $(Z_i = 0)$. Under Ising model, the characteristic is observed with probability $\operatorname{pr}_{Z_i}(z_i = 1) = \frac{\exp(\alpha)}{1+\exp(\alpha)}$ Page 2 Created on 2023/11/21 at 18:51:22 by Georgios Karagiannis

when $\beta = 0$. The characteristic's present is encouraged in neiboghring sites when $\beta > 0$, and discouraged when $\beta < 0$.

Notation 13. We use notation, for $A \subset S$

$$\operatorname{pr}_{\mathcal{A}}(z_{\mathcal{A}}|z_{\mathcal{S}\setminus\mathcal{A}}) = \operatorname{pr}(Z_{\mathcal{A}} = z_{\mathcal{A}}|Z_{\mathcal{S}\setminus\mathcal{A}} = z_{\mathcal{S}\setminus\mathcal{A}})$$

Definition 14. Local characteristics of a random field Z on S with values in Z are the conditionals

$$\operatorname{pr}_{i}\left(z_{i}|z_{\mathcal{S}-i}\right) = \operatorname{pr}_{\left\{i\right\}}\left(z_{\left\{i\right\}}|z_{\mathcal{S}\setminus\left\{i\right\}}\right), \ i \in \mathcal{S}, \ z \in \mathcal{Z}$$

Example 15. The characteristics of the Ising model in (1.1) are

$$\operatorname{pr}_{i}\left(z_{i}=1|z_{\mathcal{S}-i}\right)=\frac{\exp\left(\alpha+\beta\sum_{\{i,j\}:i\sim j}z_{j}\right)}{1+\exp\left(\alpha+\beta\sum_{\{i,j\}:i\sim j}z_{j}\right)}$$

2. Compatibility of conditional distributions

Note 16. Essentially, we attempt to answer the following question. Under what conditions a parameterized family $\{\pi_i(z_i|z_{S-i}); i \in S\}$ of distributions on S conditioned on z_{S-i} can represent conditional distributions of a joint distribution $\operatorname{pr}_Z(\cdot)$?

Note 17. To answer the above we need to be able to specify partially or wholly the joint and conditional distribution of pr_Z . However, an arbitrary chosen set of conditional distributions $\{\pi_i(\cdot|\cdot)\}$ is not generally compatible, and hence we need to impose conditions.

Proposition 18. (Compatibility condition) Let F be a joint distribution with dF(x,y) = f(x,y) d(x,y) on $S_x \times S_y$. Let candidate condition distributions

G with
$$dG(x|y) = g(x|y) dx$$
, on $x \in \mathcal{S}_x$

Q with
$$dQ(y|x) = q(y|x) dy$$
, on $y \in S_y$

and let $N_g = \{(x,y) : g(x|y) > 0\}$ and $N_q = \{(x,y) : q(y|x) > 0\}$. A distribution F with conditionals exists iff

- $(1) N_g = N_q = N$
- (2) there exist functions u and v where $g\left(x|y\right)/q\left(y|x\right)=u\left(x\right)v\left(y\right)$ for all $\left(x,y\right)\in N$ and $\int u\left(x\right)dG\left(x|y\right)<\infty$

Arnold, B. C., & Press, S. J. (1989). Compatible conditional distributions. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 84(405), 152-156.

Note 19. Essentially the above conditions guarantee that

$$\mathbf{k}(y) g(x|y) = f(x,y) = \mathbf{h}(x) q(y|x)$$

where k, g, h, q are densities.

Example 20. The conditionals $x|y \sim N(a + by, \sigma^2 + \tau^2 y^2)$ and $y|x \sim N(c + dx, \tilde{\sigma}^2 + \tilde{\tau}^2 x^2)$ are compatible if $\tau^2 = \tilde{\tau}^2 = 0$ and $d/\tilde{\sigma}^2 = b/\sigma^2$.

Solution. See Ex 24 in the Exercise sheet.

Note 21. Proposition 18 can be extended to more dimensions.

Note 22. The following theorem shows that local characteristics can determine the entire distribution in certain cases.

Theorem 23. (Besag's factorization theorem; Brook's Lemma) Let Z be a Z valued random field taking values in Z^S where $S = \{1, ..., n\}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and such as $pr_Z(z) > 0$, $\forall z \in Z^S$. Then for all

(2.1)
$$\frac{pr_{Z}(z)}{pr_{Z}(z^{*})} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{pr_{i}(z_{i}|z_{1},...,z_{i-1},z_{i+1}^{*},...,z_{n}^{*})}{pr_{i}(z_{i}^{*}|z_{1},...,z_{i-1},z_{i+1}^{*},...,z_{n}^{*})}, \quad \forall z, z^{*} \in \mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{S}}$$

Proof. I will show that

$$\operatorname{pr}_{Z}(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\operatorname{pr}_{i}(z_{i}|z_{1},...,z_{i-1},z_{i+1}^{*},...,z_{n}^{*})}{\operatorname{pr}_{i}(z_{i}^{*}|z_{1},...,z_{i-1},z_{i+1}^{*},...,z_{n}^{*})} \operatorname{pr}_{Z}(z^{*})$$

It is

$$\operatorname{pr}_{Z}(z_{1},...,z_{n}) = \frac{\operatorname{pr}_{n}(z_{n}|z_{1},...,z_{n-2},z_{n-1})}{\operatorname{pr}_{n}(z_{n}^{*}|z_{1},...,z_{n-2},z_{n-1})} \operatorname{pr}_{Z}(z_{1},...,z_{n-1},z_{n}^{*})$$

Let proposition P_i be

$$\operatorname{pr}_{Z}(z) = \prod_{i=n-j}^{n} \frac{\operatorname{pr}_{i}\left(z_{i}|z_{1},...,z_{i-1},z_{i+1}^{*},...,z_{n}^{*}\right)}{\operatorname{pr}_{i}\left(z_{i}^{*}|z_{1},...,z_{i-1},z_{i+1}^{*},...,z_{n}^{*}\right)} \operatorname{pr}_{Z}\left(z_{1},...,z_{n-j-1},z_{n-j}^{*},...,z_{n}^{*}\right)$$

Proposition P_0 is true

(2.2)
$$\operatorname{pr}_{Z}(z) = \frac{\operatorname{pr}_{n}(z_{n}|z_{1},...,z_{n-1})}{\operatorname{pr}_{n}(z_{n}^{*}|z_{1},...,z_{n-1})} \operatorname{pr}_{Z}(z_{1},...,z_{n-1},z_{n}^{*})$$

Proposition P_1 is true

$$\operatorname{pr}_{Z}\left(z_{1},...,z_{n-1},z_{n}^{*}\right) = \frac{\operatorname{pr}_{n-1}\left(z_{n-1}|z_{1},...,z_{n-2},z_{n}^{*}\right)}{\operatorname{pr}_{n-1}\left(z_{n-1}^{*}|z_{1},...,z_{n-2},z_{n}^{*}\right)} \operatorname{pr}_{Z}\left(z_{1},...,z_{n-2},z_{n-1}^{*},z_{n}^{*}\right)$$

Assume that P_j is true. Then proposition P_{j+1} is true as well, because

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{pr}_{Z}\left(z\right) &= \prod_{i=n-j}^{n} \frac{\operatorname{pr}_{i}\left(z_{i}|z_{1},...,z_{i-1},z_{i+1}^{*},...,z_{n}^{*}\right)}{\operatorname{pr}_{i}\left(z_{i}^{*}|z_{1},...,z_{i-1},z_{i+1}^{*},...,z_{n}^{*}\right)} \operatorname{pr}_{Z}\left(z_{1},...,z_{n-j-1},z_{n-j}^{*},...,z_{n}^{*}\right) \\ &= \prod_{i=n-j}^{n} \frac{\operatorname{pr}_{i}\left(z_{i}|z_{1},...,z_{i-1},z_{i+1}^{*},...,z_{n}^{*}\right)}{\operatorname{pr}_{i}\left(z_{i}^{*}|z_{1},...,z_{i-1},z_{i+1}^{*},...,z_{n}^{*}\right)} \\ &\times \frac{\operatorname{pr}_{n-j-1}\left(z_{n-j-1}|z_{1},...,z_{n-j-2},z_{n-j}^{*},...,z_{n}^{*}\right)}{\operatorname{pr}_{n-j-1}\left(z_{n-j-1}^{*}|z_{1},...,z_{n-j-2},z_{n-j}^{*},...,z_{n}^{*}\right)} \operatorname{pr}_{Z}\left(z_{1},...,z_{n-j-2},z_{n-j-1}^{*},...,z_{n}^{*}\right) \\ &= \prod_{i=n-(j+1)}^{n} \frac{\operatorname{pr}_{i}\left(z_{i}|z_{1},...,z_{i-1},z_{i+1}^{*},...,z_{n}^{*}\right)}{\operatorname{pr}_{i}\left(z_{i}^{*}|z_{1},...,z_{i-1},z_{i+1}^{*},...,z_{n}^{*}\right)} \operatorname{pr}_{Z}\left(z_{1},...,z_{n-(j+1)-1},z_{n-(j+1)}^{*},...,z_{n}^{*}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Then (2.1) is correct according to the induction principle.

Note 24. The theorem shows that the joint $\operatorname{pr}_Z(\cdot)$ can be constructed from its conditionals $\{\operatorname{pr}_i(\cdot|\cdot)\}$ if distributions $\{\operatorname{pr}_i(\cdot|\cdot)\}$ are compatible for $\operatorname{pr}_Z(\cdot)$, as this reconstruction has to be invariant wrt the coordinate permutation $\{1,...,n\}$ and the reference state z^* - these invariances correspond to the conditions in Proposition 18.

3. Gaussian Autoregressive models

Modeling snapshot

Definition 25. Adjacency matrix N is called a matrix N with $[N]_{i,j} = 1$ $(i \sim j)$ for some symmetric neighborhood relation \sim on \mathcal{S} . It aims at spatially connecting unites i and j.

Definition 26. Proximity matrix W is called a matrix W which aims at spatially connecting unites i and j in some fashion for some symmetric neighbourhood relation \sim on S. Usually $[W]_{i,i} = 0$

3.1. Conditional autoregressive models (CAR).

Definition 27. Assume a random field $Z = (Z_s; s \in \mathcal{S})$ on a set of indexes \mathcal{S} with values in \mathcal{Z} . We say that Z follows a conditional autoregressive model (CAR) if the distribution of each element Z_s of the random field Z is specified conditionally on the values at the neighboring sites of s.

3.1.1. Gaussian CAR.

Definition 28. Gaussian CAR assumes that the local characteristics $\{\operatorname{pr}_i(z_i|z_{\mathcal{S}-i})\}$ are Gaussian distributions

(3.1)
$$Z_{i}|z_{\mathcal{S}-i} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_{i} + \sum_{j \neq i} b_{i,j} \left(Z_{j} - \mu_{j}\right), \kappa_{i}\right)$$

with mean $\mathrm{E}\left(Z_{i}|Z_{\mathcal{S}-i}\right)=\mu_{i}+\sum_{j\neq i}b_{i,j}\left(Z_{j}-\mu_{j}\right)$ and variance $\mathrm{Var}\left(Z_{i}|Z_{\mathcal{S}-i}\right)=\kappa_{i}$ for $i\in\mathcal{S}$. Page 5 Created on 2023/11/21 at 18:51:22 by Georgios Karagiannis

4. Related Random Field Structures

Part 2. Model building & related parametric inference