

# Thesis: Sixth meeting

All models completed (almost) and Model Assessment

Georgy Gomon

October 29, 2021

## Models so far

- In order to compare all models using model assessment all models were rewritten into similar form.
- All models (except for some type 3 models) are implemented

# Model 0

## No association

$i = 1, \dots, N; j = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{cases} y_{i,j}^1 = (\beta_0^1 + u_{0,i}^1) + \beta_x^1 \cdot x_i + (\beta_t^1 + u_{t,i}^1) \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^1 \\ y_{i,j}^2 = (\beta_0^2 + u_{0,i}^2) + \beta_x^2 \cdot x_i + (\beta_t^2 + u_{t,i}^2) \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{0,i}^1 \\ u_{t,i}^1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,0}^2 & \sigma_{1,(0,t)} \\ \sigma_{1,(t,0)} & \sigma_{1,t}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]; \quad \begin{bmatrix} u_{0,i}^2 \\ u_{t,i}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{2,0}^2 & \sigma_{2,(0,t)} \\ \sigma_{2,(t,0)} & \sigma_{2,t}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_i^1 \\ \epsilon_i^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_{2j}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_{2j})$$

# Model 1A

## Association via residual errors

$i = 1, \dots, N; j = 1, 2$

$$\begin{cases} y_{i,j}^1 = \beta_0^1 + \beta_x^1 \cdot x_i + \beta_t^1 \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^1 \\ y_{i,j}^2 = \beta_0^2 + \beta_x^2 \cdot x_i + \beta_t^2 \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{i,1}^1 \\ \epsilon_{i,2}^1 \\ \epsilon_{i,1}^2 \\ \epsilon_{i,2}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,1}^2 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \sigma_{1,2}^2 & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \sigma_{2,1}^2 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \sigma_{2,2}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

# Model 2A

## Random Intercept only

$i = 1, \dots, N; j = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{cases} y_{ij}^1 = (\beta_0^1 + u_{0,i}^1) + \beta_x^1 \cdot x_i + \beta_t^1 \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{ij}^1 \\ y_{ij}^2 = (\beta_0^2 + u_{0,i}^2) + \beta_x^2 \cdot x_i + \beta_t^2 \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{ij}^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{0,i}^1 \\ u_{0,i}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,0}^2 & \sigma_{(1,2),0} \\ \sigma_{(2,1),0} & \sigma_{2,0}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]; \quad \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_i^1 \\ \epsilon_i^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_{2j}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_{2j})$$

# Model 2B

## Random Intercept & correlated residuals

$i = 1, \dots, N; j = 1, 2$

$$\begin{cases} y_{i,j}^1 = (\beta_0^1 + u_{0,i}^1) + \beta_x^1 \cdot x_i + \beta_t^1 \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^1 \\ y_{i,j}^2 = (\beta_0^2 + u_{0,i}^2) + \beta_x^2 \cdot x_i + \beta_t^2 \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{0,i}^1 \\ u_{0,i}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,0}^2 & \sigma_{(1,2),0} \\ \sigma_{(2,1),0} & \sigma_{2,0}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]; \quad \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{i,1}^1 \\ \epsilon_{i,2}^1 \\ \epsilon_{i,1}^2 \\ \epsilon_{i,2}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,1}^2 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \sigma_{1,2}^2 & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \sigma_{2,1}^2 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \sigma_{2,2}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

# Model 2C1

## Random Slope & Intercept: Independent

$i = 1, \dots, N; j = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{cases} y_{i,j}^1 = (\beta_0^1 + u_{0,i}^1) + \beta_x^1 \cdot x_i + (\beta_t^1 + u_{t,i}^1) \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^1 \\ y_{i,j}^2 = (\beta_0^2 + u_{0,i}^2) + \beta_x^2 \cdot x_i + (\beta_t^2 + u_{t,i}^2) \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{0,i}^1 \\ u_{0,i}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,0}^2 & \sigma_{(1,2),0} \\ \sigma_{(2,1),0} & \sigma_{2,0}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]; \quad \begin{bmatrix} u_{t,i}^1 \\ u_{t,i}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,t}^2 & \sigma_{(1,2),t} \\ \sigma_{(2,1),t} & \sigma_{2,t}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_i^1 \\ \epsilon_i^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_{2j}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_{2j})$$

## Model 2C2

### Random Slope & Intercept: Dependent

$i = 1, \dots, N; j = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{cases} y_{ij}^1 = (\beta_0^1 + u_{0,i}^1) + \beta_x^1 \cdot x_i + \left( \beta_t^1 + u_{t,i}^1 \right) \cdot \text{Period}_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}^1 \\ y_{ij}^2 = (\beta_0^2 + u_{0,i}^2) + \beta_x^2 \cdot x_i + \left( \beta_t^2 + u_{t,i}^2 \right) \cdot \text{Period}_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{0,i}^1 \\ u_{0,i}^2 \\ u_{t,i}^1 \\ u_{t,i}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_4 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,0}^2 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \sigma_{1,t}^2 & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \sigma_{2,0}^2 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \sigma_{2,2}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]; \quad \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_i^1 \\ \epsilon_i^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_{2j}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_{2j})$$



# Model 3A1

$Y_1$  scaled entirely with independent Slope & Intercept

$i = 1, \dots, N; j = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{cases} m_{ij} = (\beta_0^1 + u_{0,i}^1) + \beta_x^1 \cdot x_i + (\beta_t^1 + u_{t,i}^1) \cdot Period_{i,j} \\ y_{i,j}^1 = m_{ij} + \epsilon_{i,j}^1 \\ y_{i,j}^2 = \gamma \cdot m_{ij} + (\beta_0^2 + u_{0,i}^2) + \beta_x^2 \cdot x_i + (\beta_t^2 + u_{t,i}^2) \cdot Period_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$u_{0,i}^1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{1,0}^2); \quad u_{t,i}^1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{1,t}^2); \quad \begin{bmatrix} u_{0,i}^2 \\ u_{t,i}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{2,0}^2 & \sigma_{2,(0,t)} \\ \sigma_{2,(t,0)} & \sigma_{2,t}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right];$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_i^1 \\ \epsilon_i^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_{2j}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_{2j})$$

## Model 3B1

Random  $Y_1$ -effects scaled independently; independent Slope & Intercept

$i = 1, \dots, N; j = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{cases} y_{i,j}^1 = (\beta_0^1 + u_{0,i}^1) + \beta_x^1 \cdot x_i + (\beta_t^1 + u_{t,i}^1) \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^1 \\ y_{i,j}^2 = \gamma_1 \cdot u_{0,i}^1 + \gamma_2 \cdot u_{t,i}^1 + (\beta_0^2 + u_{0,i}^2) + \beta_x^2 \cdot x_i + (\beta_t^2 + u_{t,i}^2) \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$u_{0,i}^1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{1,0}^2); \quad u_{t,i}^1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{1,t}^2); \quad \begin{bmatrix} u_{0,i}^2 \\ u_{t,i}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{2,0}^2 & \sigma_{2,(0,t)} \\ \sigma_{2,(t,0)} & \sigma_{2,t}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right];$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_i^1 \\ \epsilon_i^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_{2j}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_{2j})$$

# Marginal Assessment methods in INLA

- Within INLA the following Model assessment criteria exist:
  - ▶ MLIK (Marginal Likelihood)
  - ▶ DIC (Deviance Information Criterion)
  - ▶ WAIC (Watanabe-Akaike Information Criterion)
  - ▶ CPO (Conditional Predictive Ordinates)
  - ▶ PIT (Predictive Integral Transform)

## Marginal Likelihood $\pi(y)$

- Probability of observed data under given model
- In INLA approximated as:

$$\tilde{\pi}(y) = \int \frac{\pi(\theta, x, y)}{\tilde{\pi}_G(x|\theta, y)} \Big|_{x=x^*(\theta)} d\theta$$

- When considering set of M models  $\{\mathcal{M}_m\}_{m=1}^M$ , the marginal likelihoods are  $\pi(y|\mathcal{M}_m)$ .
- Posterior can be computed via model priors:  $\pi(\mathcal{M}_m|y) \propto \pi(y|\mathcal{M}_m)\pi(\mathcal{M}_m)$
- Can be used to compute Bayes factor for models  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$ :

$$\frac{\pi(\mathcal{M}_1|y)}{\pi(\mathcal{M}_2|y)} = \frac{\pi(y|\mathcal{M}_1)\pi(\mathcal{M}_1)}{\pi(y|\mathcal{M}_2)\pi(\mathcal{M}_2)}$$

# DIC & WAIC

- DIC

- ▶ Given by:

$$DIC = D(\hat{x}, \hat{\theta}) + 2p_D$$

- ▶ Takes into account goodness of fit ( $D(\hat{x}, \hat{\theta})$ ) and penalty for number of parameters ( $2p_D$ ).
- ▶  $D$  is the deviance with  $\hat{x}$  the posterior mean and  $\hat{\theta}$  the posterior mode (might be skewed).
- ▶  $p_D = \mathbb{E}[D(x, \theta)] - D(\hat{x}, \hat{\theta})$
- WAIC is similar to DIC but  $p_D$  is calculated differently

# CPO (Conditional Predictive Ordinates)

- Computed for each observation as:

$$CPO_i = \pi(y_i | y_{-i})$$

- Posterior probability of observing  $y_i$  when model is fit without  $y_i$ .
- Low value may indicate outlier
- Summarized over all data as:

$$CPO = - \sum_{i=1}^N \ln(CPO_i)$$

# PIT (Predictive Integral Transform)

- Computed for each observation as:

$$PIT_i = \pi(y_i^{new} \leq y_i | y_{-i})$$

- Measures probability for new observation  $y_i^{new}$  to be lower than  $y_i$  given all observations except for  $y_i$ .
- For a good model the PIT's should be approximately uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]$

# Simulations

- Data was generated from each of the 8(6) models. In total 8(6) datasets were generated.
- Each dataset was fit by every model 8(6) (only in INLA). The coefficients and Model Assessment criteria were recorded.
- This was done 2 times:
  - ①  $N = 750$ ,  $n = 2$ . All models participated
  - ②  $N = 500$ ,  $n = 4$ . Models 1A and 2B did not participate,
    - ★ They model association via an unstructured variance-covariance matrix for the residual errors, which can only be modelled in INLA for  $n = 2$ .



## Simulation 2

$N = 500$ ,  $n = 4$

Models 0, 2A, 2C1, 2C2, 3A1, 3B1

## Simulation 2: Models 0 & 2A

```
$Model_0$Model_0_df
      true      Model_0      Model_2A      Model_2C1      Model_2C2      Model_3A1      Model_3B1
beta_0^1 2.0      2.129866      2.127995      2.129451      2.129671      2.113251      2.129382
beta_x^1 4.0      4.015218      3.986687      4.008675      4.012158      4.008108      4.007623
beta_t^1 2.5      2.599241      2.600256      2.599472      2.599348      2.594592      2.599510
beta_0^2 3.0      3.112763      3.119732      3.111930      3.112714      3.110197      3.112676
beta_x^2 1.5      1.520176      1.629831      1.507061      1.519394      1.515059      1.518827
beta_t^2 3.5      3.684672      3.680893      3.685136      3.684700      3.681410      3.684719
MLIK      NA      -8381.279613 -10622.260731 -8456.818098 -8421.715350 -8462.436164 -8442.100242
DIC      NA      12865.935243 19833.113670 12862.875267 12878.800789 12859.426281 12865.640575
WAIC      NA      12843.868420 19877.082468 12803.035691 12856.227218 12817.404958 12823.729900
CPO      NA      6773.535911 9977.461558 6828.089741 6773.182060 6799.374018 6802.389827

$Model_2A$Model_2A_df
      true      Model_0      Model_2A      Model_2C1      Model_2C2      Model_3A1      Model_3B1
beta_0^1 2.0      2.097733      2.097733      2.097734      2.097732      2.090256      2.097732
beta_x^1 4.0      4.002521      4.000894      4.001353      4.000890      4.000405      4.000966
beta_t^1 2.5      2.480511      2.480513      2.480512      2.480513      2.480947      2.480513
beta_0^2 3.0      2.954926      2.954938      2.954935      2.954935      2.952751      2.954934
beta_x^2 1.5      1.453171      1.456217      1.455051      1.455108      1.440928      1.454644
beta_t^2 3.5      3.474924      3.474920      3.474921      3.474922      3.468346      3.474922
MLIK      NA      -6897.256372 -6847.404247 -6871.094009 -6879.428477 -6903.710711 -6877.569841
DIC      NA      12113.799456 12143.023504 12112.394116 12108.052727 12123.662912 12122.342153
WAIC      NA      12147.357605 12184.018332 12146.199373 12145.191976 12159.782075 12159.436708
CPO      NA      6156.133611 6131.611256 6147.268539 6146.035832 6140.935794 6140.782805
```

## Simulation 2: Models 2C1 & 2C2

```

$Model_2C1$Model_2C1_df
      true      Model_0      Model_2A      Model_2C1      Model_2C2      Model_3A1      Model_3B1
beta_0^1 2.0      2.097733      2.097618      2.097731      2.097731      2.089620      2.097734
beta_x^1 4.0      4.002780      3.980313      4.000695      4.000915      4.001743      4.001821
beta_t^1 2.5      2.399231      2.399276      2.399225      2.399224      2.391553      2.399231
beta_0^2 3.0      2.954909      2.954914      2.954923      2.954922      2.950497      2.954916
beta_x^2 1.5      1.448278      1.472289      1.451368      1.451349      1.437566      1.449916
beta_t^2 3.5      3.323889      3.323887      3.323878      3.323878      3.317111      3.323887
MLIK      NA -8406.869297 -10450.343520 -8349.866095 -8393.631079 -8450.716190 -8418.578527
DIC      NA 12922.015663 19776.053749 12895.802346 12909.911187 12918.496001 12904.086970
WAIC      NA 12871.429198 19818.415774 12848.019719 12867.785330 12859.350676 12853.248810
CPO      NA 6843.523154 9941.981285 6819.326053 6821.665613 6838.080212 6830.165684

$Model_2C2$Model_2C2_df
      true      Model_0      Model_2A      Model_2C1      Model_2C2      Model_3A1      Model_3B1
beta_0^1 2.0      2.097729      2.097649      2.097733      2.097724      2.088923      2.097735
beta_x^1 4.0      4.003316      3.996762      4.001333      4.002722      4.001716      4.002305
beta_t^1 2.5      2.457149      2.457178      2.457145      2.457143      2.449463      2.457155
beta_0^2 3.0      2.954909      2.954935      2.954923      2.954924      2.942093      2.954916
beta_x^2 1.5      1.448775      1.481918      1.451457      1.451896      1.420161      1.450891
beta_t^2 3.5      3.370851      3.370839      3.370842      3.370836      3.352603      3.370846
MLIK      NA -8378.421490 -10554.654633 -8373.751226 -8345.385805 -8460.479877 -8435.561154
DIC      NA 12848.046465 19806.962333 12828.597370 12830.850387 12838.283823 12831.525107
WAIC      NA 12808.011012 19850.700034 12766.348677 12798.189518 12771.364590 12772.385227
CPO      NA 6764.142319 9961.687452 6783.885676 6731.922986 6784.445274 6780.338331
  
```

## Simulation 2: Models 3A1 & 3B1

```
$Model_3A1$Model_3A1_df
      true      Model_0      Model_2A      Model_2C1      Model_2C2      Model_3A1      Model_3B1
beta_0^1 2.0      2.097735      2.097606      2.097727      2.097727      2.089939      2.097729
beta_x^1 4.0      4.003397      3.980725      4.002853      4.003129      4.002232      4.003454
beta_t^1 2.5      2.441049      2.441096      2.441041      2.441040      2.433857      2.441050
beta_0^2 3.0      2.982998      2.982961      2.982995      2.982988      2.979523      2.982987
beta_x^2 1.5      1.455043      1.476305      1.452601      1.452923      1.453170      1.452393
beta_t^2 3.5      3.306117      3.306132      3.306121      3.306113      3.304296      3.306120
MLIK      NA      -8485.784612      -10695.676210      -8455.652933      -8470.112374      -8525.897037      -8480.428140
DIC      NA      12915.629262      20175.325401      12874.087816      12893.804790      12904.742217      12887.659605
WAIC      NA      12866.076356      20218.309156      12815.501148      12852.513669      12855.291505      12840.831961
CPO      NA      6832.886151      10143.175916      6817.070009      6798.283022      6831.933536      6803.806640

$Model_3B1$Model_3B1_df
      true      Model_0      Model_2A      Model_2C1      Model_2C2      Model_3A1      Model_3B1
beta_0^1 2.0      2.097735      2.097585      2.097724      2.097751      2.089780      2.097729
beta_x^1 4.0      4.003409      3.983855      4.001807      4.014631      4.002131      4.003408
beta_t^1 2.5      2.441049      2.441091      2.441027      2.441008      2.434081      2.441052
beta_0^2 3.0      2.982996      2.982820      2.982996      2.982976      2.979989      2.982981
beta_x^2 1.5      1.454259      1.456724      1.452645      1.451898      1.447676      1.451559
beta_t^2 3.5      3.276508      3.276577      3.276500      3.276479      3.275273      3.276509
MLIK      NA      -8637.959223      -11115.931909      -8471.794750      -8526.312867      -8678.940036      -8629.961380
DIC      NA      12933.011639      21187.604996      12861.907384      13414.745128      12926.460545      12896.425117
WAIC      NA      12880.063870      21227.207876      12804.146016      13366.191114      12870.271504      12848.227873
CPO      NA      6856.409569      10639.158957      6801.257805      6954.081126      6857.957757      6816.796811
```

# Simulation 1

$N = 750$ ,  $n = 2$

Models 0, 1A, 2A, 2B, 2C1, 2C2, 3A1, 3B1

## Simulation 1: Models 0 & 1A

\$Model\_0\$Model\_0\_df

	true	Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	2.062555	2.062551	2.062406	2.062524	2.062554	2.062547	2.058499	2.062551
beta_x^1	4.0	3.994878	3.995314	4.013629	3.998129	3.994915	3.995740	3.994106	3.995384
beta_t^1	2.5	2.529890	2.529896	2.530182	2.529940	2.529890	2.529903	2.522164	2.529898
beta_0^2	3.0	3.138011	3.138012	3.138134	3.138012	3.138044	3.138011	3.138730	3.138004
beta_x^2	1.5	1.481725	1.481527	1.466400	1.481810	1.477839	1.481640	1.484109	1.482418
beta_t^2	3.5	3.529583	3.529580	3.529364	3.529584	3.529526	3.529581	3.530829	3.529594
MLIK	NA	-6473.577169	-6517.192965	-6758.467139	-6540.734366	-6468.938935	-6423.443748	-6483.441570	-6493.339563
DIC	NA	11061.126033	-33486.369224	12434.664974	-33486.369217	-18794.330685	11148.574887	-13208.705298	-2850.634205
WAIC	NA	10978.342196	-34406.926848	12459.289241	-34406.926809	-18120.952881	11053.801535	-14225.961044	-3013.651656
CPO	NA	5962.388187	-14505.925676	6361.865738	-14505.925265	-6297.703708	5961.902173	-4414.884958	107.657495

\$Model\_1A\$Model\_1A\_df

	true	Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	1.947935	1.947892	1.947931	1.947934	1.947919	1.947934	1.940167	1.947929
beta_x^1	4.0	4.032881	4.038846	4.035294	4.033802	4.038607	4.033792	4.034340	4.035810
beta_t^1	2.5	2.545497	2.545544	2.545502	2.545506	2.545513	2.545506	2.543746	2.545504
beta_0^2	3.0	3.041790	3.041723	3.041807	3.041801	3.041817	3.041804	3.046431	3.041805
beta_x^2	1.5	1.519116	1.535394	1.514392	1.515780	1.510932	1.514896	1.520036	1.515016
beta_t^2	3.5	3.449960	3.450000	3.449947	3.449956	3.449938	3.449953	3.453001	3.449949
MLIK	NA	-6413.163102	-7395.154646	-6379.644607	-6236.679591	-6374.434150	-6311.517456	-6420.020553	-6398.109654
DIC	NA	12276.846445	-33486.368549	12307.525312	-33486.368669	12122.223687	8850.825051	12271.401998	12286.438357
WAIC	NA	12308.244717	-34406.925124	12333.652038	-34406.926257	12133.540017	8346.635439	12307.466984	12315.622331
CPO	NA	6247.565414	-14505.919316	6202.012515	-14505.923884	6153.812560	6009.408817	6197.178540	6202.414744

## Simulation 1: Models 2A & 2B

\$Model_2A\$Model_2A_df									
	true	Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	1.955696	1.955707	1.955709	1.955706	1.955706	1.955708	1.950829	1.955707
beta_x^1	4.0	4.020166	4.017974	4.017217	4.017997	4.017823	4.017529	4.016688	4.017643
beta_t^1	2.5	2.531249	2.531243	2.531240	2.531243	2.531242	2.531241	2.530182	2.531242
beta_0^2	3.0	2.980848	2.980857	2.980860	2.980857	2.980860	2.980859	2.967714	2.980859
beta_x^2	1.5	1.519238	1.517028	1.516225	1.516982	1.516246	1.516328	1.486431	1.516397
beta_t^2	3.5	3.475404	3.475399	3.475396	3.475398	3.475396	3.475396	3.456185	3.475396
MLIK	NA	-5623.561814	-5619.900372	-5587.065983	-5480.472438	-5590.733690	-5603.848759	-5633.463099	-5605.276716
DIC	NA	9620.788523	-33486.369651	9784.697084	-33486.369667	9654.370843	9731.584817	9705.418215	9684.344051
WAIC	NA	9637.660147	-34406.927145	9802.080993	-34406.927165	9661.558879	9736.742625	9690.361150	9687.131617
CPO	NA	5083.410842	-14505.927214	5058.243902	-14505.927262	5061.136981	5061.755725	5060.561054	5060.202948
\$Model_2B\$Model_2B_df									
	true	Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	1.926926	1.926926	1.926934	1.926926	1.926917	1.926926	1.919334	1.926931
beta_x^1	4.0	4.034246	4.035865	4.033621	4.035664	4.038539	4.035717	4.031976	4.034335
beta_t^1	2.5	2.545501	2.545511	2.545497	2.545511	2.545515	2.545511	2.540654	2.545500
beta_0^2	3.0	3.006339	3.006346	3.006360	3.006346	3.006361	3.006348	3.000786	3.006358
beta_x^2	1.5	1.514451	1.513085	1.509271	1.513016	1.508440	1.512585	1.492554	1.510016
beta_t^2	3.5	3.449947	3.449948	3.449933	3.449948	3.449932	3.449947	3.438864	3.449935
MLIK	NA	-6849.963356	-6811.244393	-6819.210427	-6684.695111	-6806.489301	-6771.620631	-6864.370687	-6840.018325
DIC	NA	12610.671284	-33486.368685	12681.946793	-33486.368694	12285.088363	11899.246840	12648.105376	12652.690583
WAIC	NA	12637.450311	-34406.926271	12710.735544	-34406.926280	12257.484441	11839.618817	12680.988466	12676.876908
CPO	NA	6487.543230	-14505.924018	6463.083064	-14505.923997	6381.703660	6307.621430	6465.126718	6464.026157

## Simulation 1: Models 2C1 & 2C2

\$Model_2C1\$Model_2C1_df									
	true	Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	1.955868	1.955875	1.955943	1.955877	1.955878	1.955873	1.951153	1.955865
beta_x^1	4.0	3.966042	3.964373	3.942856	3.963773	3.963621	3.965017	3.943641	3.967733
beta_t^1	2.5	2.607244	2.607234	2.607184	2.607232	2.607232	2.607236	2.603580	2.607248
beta_0^2	3.0	2.980952	2.980922	2.980967	2.980924	2.980929	2.980924	2.981361	2.980937
beta_x^2	1.5	1.486335	1.496275	1.482183	1.495729	1.494285	1.495670	1.483777	1.491427
beta_t^2	3.5	3.415953	3.415976	3.415949	3.415974	3.415970	3.415974	3.414693	3.415967
MLIK	NA	-6436.800701	-6434.293272	-6543.466389	-6419.819028	-6398.947179	-6388.958380	-6497.078009	-6449.312907
DIC	NA	11880.936110	-33486.368935	12445.207333	-33486.368935	10758.427525	11690.009414	12009.445033	11443.256350
WAIC	NA	11845.161995	-34406.926554	12479.318102	-34406.926546	10576.818072	11650.886131	11935.768859	11340.267668
CPO	NA	6185.831802	-14505.925115	6314.207829	-14505.925051	6092.884596	6084.854690	6195.681026	6157.586279
\$Model_2C2\$Model_2C2_df									
	true	Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	1.955796	1.955816	1.955897	1.955812	1.955814	1.955818	1.949278	1.955802
beta_x^1	4.0	3.987327	3.980462	3.956155	3.981061	3.982928	3.979929	3.983381	3.986389
beta_t^1	2.5	2.581123	2.581100	2.581044	2.581098	2.581106	2.581099	2.573173	2.581121
beta_0^2	3.0	2.980923	2.980898	2.980930	2.980877	2.980903	2.980902	2.980561	2.980919
beta_x^2	1.5	1.495044	1.503940	1.493211	1.506495	1.501500	1.502642	1.488733	1.496805
beta_t^2	3.5	3.382313	3.382331	3.382317	3.382327	3.382325	3.382327	3.379775	3.382317
MLIK	NA	-6471.173412	-6448.167363	-6679.595361	-6452.594694	-6439.453617	-6323.306268	-6503.568057	-6478.627661
DIC	NA	11470.117769	-33486.369206	12501.519073	-33486.369199	6396.364226	11217.180452	-1628.298306	-1861.511312
WAIC	NA	11407.622734	-34406.926862	12531.072933	-34406.926745	6439.324195	11176.406842	-2606.110799	-2243.681927
CPO	NA	6097.650133	-14505.925416	6370.785045	-14505.925079	5958.275713	5969.357302	212.923448	418.585437



## Simulation 1: Models 3A1 & 3B1

\$Model_3A1\$Model_3A1_df									
	true	Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	1.955716	1.955703	1.955722	NA	1.955702	1.955694	1.951377	1.955701
beta_x^1	4.0	4.013707	4.016508	4.010417	NA	4.016969	4.019107	4.021408	4.017387
beta_t^1	2.5	2.480880	2.480884	2.480875	NA	2.480885	2.480892	2.475474	2.480891
beta_0^2	3.0	3.083040	3.083038	3.083150	NA	3.083050	3.083031	3.075170	3.083028
beta_x^2	1.5	1.506024	1.506122	1.470687	NA	1.502925	1.508065	1.498191	1.509141
beta_t^2	3.5	3.393346	3.393342	3.393263	NA	3.393335	3.393349	3.385820	3.393355
MLIK	NA	-6545.895839	-6548.561624	-6705.595984	NA	-6505.596862	-6541.381528	-6579.721299	-6547.787680
DIC	NA	11698.771557	-33486.369077	12577.732176	NA	-3355.980072	11673.875652	11355.651221	11267.087081
WAIC	NA	11630.697743	-34406.926731	12608.341609	NA	-3304.003118	11617.742379	11246.386888	11132.139616
CPO	NA	6167.753134	-14505.925633	6395.637957	NA	48.978159	6126.917631	6177.789901	6152.630117
\$Model_3B1\$Model_3B1_df									
	true	Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	1.955716	1.956119	1.955716	1.955698	1.955696	1.955694	1.950879	1.955699
beta_x^1	4.0	4.013723	3.897429	4.011802	4.017805	4.018933	4.019703	4.022540	4.017945
beta_t^1	2.5	2.480880	2.480469	2.480879	2.480878	2.480881	2.480883	2.474315	2.480892
beta_0^2	3.0	3.083033	3.083536	3.083178	3.083046	3.083057	3.083044	3.079319	3.083025
beta_x^2	1.5	1.508197	1.365191	1.461668	1.503214	1.500601	1.504111	1.508898	1.510566
beta_t^2	3.5	3.355581	3.355086	3.355474	3.355557	3.355552	3.355560	3.354027	3.355590
MLIK	NA	-6703.762707	-7332.338520	-6876.675075	-6603.582489	-6576.167425	-6558.040635	-6735.277017	-6698.642638
DIC	NA	12054.506284	-33486.368881	13085.770921	-33486.368919	-3203.768879	11176.560685	11762.491301	11656.606677
WAIC	NA	11973.207654	-34406.925965	13118.930882	-34406.926552	-3444.098004	11046.685107	11637.582631	11493.347321
CPO	NA	6390.051954	-14505.922939	6621.167449	-14505.924678	-122.309984	6115.235178	6411.125399	6374.150934

# To-do

- Complete model configuration in INLA
  - ▶ Complete model 3: dependent copied random effects
  - ▶ Model 1: Inspect other residual error covariance structures
- Theoretical results
  - ▶ Give Likelihood for every model
  - ▶ Try to write them as LGM
- Implement models on Dataset
  - ▶ Open dataset
    - ★ Back to PBC?
  - ▶ Duchenne
  - ▶ COVID

# Simulated Data

- To better test the models simulated data was used for every setting

# Model 1: Simulated Data

## Correlated errors

$i=1, \dots, 1000; j=1,2$

$$\begin{cases} y_{i,j}^1 = 2 + 3 \cdot x_i + \epsilon_{i,j}^1 \\ y_{i,j}^2 = 4 + 2 \cdot x_i + \epsilon_{i,j}^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{i,1}^1 \\ \epsilon_{i,2}^1 \\ \epsilon_{i,1}^2 \\ \epsilon_{i,2}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} 5.16 & 2.06 & -0.93 & -0.39 \\ 2.06 & 4.22 & -0.48 & -1.42 \\ -0.93 & -0.48 & 4.01 & 1.04 \\ -0.39 & -1.42 & 1.04 & 3.86 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

# Model 1: Correlated Errors

```

      true  gls MCMCgllmm INLA
beta_0^1    2 2.06      2.05 2.06
beta_x^1    3 2.98      2.99 2.98
beta_0^2    4 4.02      4.02 4.02
beta_x^2    2 1.95      1.96 1.95

```

```

$true
      [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]
[1,]  5.16  2.06 -0.93 -0.39
[2,]  2.06  4.22 -0.48 -1.42
[3,] -0.93 -0.48  4.01  1.04
[4,] -0.39 -1.42  1.04  3.86

```

```

$INLA
      [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]
[1,]  5.11  2.04 -0.92 -0.37
[2,]  2.04  4.18 -0.47 -1.41
[3,] -0.92 -0.47  3.96  1.03
[4,] -0.37 -1.41  1.03  3.82

```

```

$MCMCgllmm
      [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]
[1,]  5.20  2.23 -0.92 -2.30
[2,]  2.23  4.23  0.02 -1.42
[3,] -0.92  0.02  4.03 -1.74
[4,] -2.30 -1.42 -1.74  3.85

```

```

$gls
Marginal variance covariance matrix
      [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]
[1,]  5.17  2.06 -0.93 -0.39
[2,]  2.06  4.23 -0.48 -1.42
[3,] -0.93 -0.48  4.00  1.04
[4,] -0.39 -1.42  1.04  3.86

```

## Model 2: Simulated Data

Only random intercept

$i=1, \dots, 1000; j=1, \dots, 3$

$$\begin{cases} y_{ij}^1 = 3 + 2 \cdot x_i + \mathbf{u}_i^1 + \epsilon_{ij}^1 \\ y_{ij}^2 = 4 + 3 \cdot x_i + \mathbf{u}_i^2 + \epsilon_{ij}^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_i^1 \\ \mathbf{u}_i^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} 1.98 & -0.9 \\ -0.9 & 2.93 \end{pmatrix} \right]; \quad \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_i^1 \\ \epsilon_i^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_6(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_6)$$

## Model 2: Only random intercept

	true	lmer	nlme	INLA	MCMCglmm
$\beta_0^1$	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
$\beta_x^1$	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.02
$\beta_0^2$	4.00	3.97	3.97	3.97	3.97
$\beta_x^2$	3.00	3.05	3.05	3.05	3.07
$u_1$	1.98	1.98	1.98	1.99	1.76
$u_2$	2.93	2.96	2.96	2.94	2.84
$u_{12}$	-0.90	-0.90	-0.90	-0.90	-0.88

## Model 2: Simulated Data

### Random intercept & Random Errors

$i=1, \dots, 1000; j=1,2$

$$\begin{cases} y_{ij}^1 = 3 + 2 \cdot x_i + \mathbf{u}_i^1 + \epsilon_{ij}^1 \\ y_{ij}^2 = 4 + 3 \cdot x_i + \mathbf{u}_i^2 + \epsilon_{ij}^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_i^1 \\ \mathbf{u}_i^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} 1.98 & -0.9 \\ -0.9 & 2.93 \end{pmatrix} \right]; \quad \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{i,1}^1 \\ \epsilon_{i,2}^1 \\ \epsilon_{i,1}^2 \\ \epsilon_{i,2}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} 5.16 & 2.06 & -0.93 & -0.39 \\ 2.06 & 4.22 & -0.48 & -1.42 \\ -0.93 & -0.48 & 4.01 & 1.04 \\ -0.39 & -1.42 & 1.04 & 3.86 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$



## Model 2: Random intercept & Random Errors

	true	nlme	INLA
beta_0^1	3.00	3.04	3.04
beta_x^1	2.00	2.06	2.06
beta_0^2	4.00	4.18	4.18
beta_x^2	3.00	3.02	3.02
u_1	2.14	4.06	2.58
u_2	3.23	4.23	1.91
u_12	-1.06	-1.90	1.26

\$true

	[,1]	[,2]	[,3]	[,4]
[1,]	4.98	2.06	-1.02	-0.43
[2,]	2.06	4.19	-0.44	-1.46
[3,]	-1.02	-0.44	4.21	0.96
[4,]	-0.43	-1.46	0.96	4.05

\$nlme

	1	2	3	4
1	2.90	0.04	-0.20	0.33
2	0.04	2.22	0.50	-0.58
3	-0.20	0.50	3.04	-0.16
4	0.33	-0.58	-0.16	2.99

\$INLA

	[,1]	[,2]	[,3]	[,4]
[1,]	4.28	1.49	-3.41	-2.85
[2,]	1.49	3.67	-2.72	-3.69
[3,]	-3.41	-2.72	5.42	2.28
[4,]	-2.85	-3.69	2.28	5.32

## Model 2: Simulated Data

### Random intercept & Random Slope

$i=1, \dots, 1000; j=1,2$

$$\begin{cases} y_{i,j}^1 = (\beta_0^1 + u_{0,i}^1) + \beta_x^1 \cdot x_i + (\beta_t^1 + u_{t,i}^1) \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^1 \\ y_{i,j}^2 = (\beta_0^2 + u_{0,i}^2) + \beta_x^2 \cdot x_i + (\beta_t^2 + u_{t,i}^2) \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{0,i}^1 \\ u_{0,i}^2 \\ u_{t,i}^1 \\ u_{t,i}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_{2j} \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{0,1}^2 & \sigma_{0,(1,2)} & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_{0,(2,1)} & \sigma_{0,2}^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{t,1}^2 & \sigma_{t,(1,2)} \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{t,(2,1)} & \sigma_{t,2}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]; \quad \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_i^1 \\ \epsilon_i^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_{2j}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_{2j})$$

## Pain dataset

- Dataset given as example in Weiss [4].
  - Measurements on pain tolerance and pain rating on 63 children
  - 4 measurements per child
  - 2 outcomes: Pain tolerance & Pain rating
  - 3 covariates: Treatment, sex & ses (socio-economic status)

	id	ses	sex	treatment	pain_tolerance	pain_rating
2.1	2	74.70	female	distract	3.34	6
2.2	2	74.70	female	distract	3.19	5
2.3	2	74.70	female	distract	2.76	4
2.4	2	74.70	female	distract	3.01	6
3.1	3	81.50	female	none	2.48	7
3.2	3	81.50	female	none	2.30	2

## Method 2: Joint Mixed Model

### Joint Mixed Model

$$\begin{cases} y_i(t_{ij}) = \mathbf{v}_{yi}^T(t_{ij})\beta_{\mathbf{y}} + \mathbf{z}_{yi}^T(t_{ij})\mathbf{b}_{yi} + \epsilon_{yi}(t_{ij}) \\ x_i(t_{ij}) = \mathbf{v}_{xi}^T(t_{ij})\beta_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{z}_{xi}^T(t_{ij})\mathbf{b}_{xi} + \epsilon_{xi}(t_{ij}) \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_{yi} \\ \mathbf{b}_{xi} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{D}); \quad \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{yi} \\ \epsilon_{xi} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_{n_i}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma_i)$$

$$\epsilon_{yi}(t_{ij}) \perp\!\!\!\perp \mathbf{b}_{yi}, \epsilon_{xi}(t_{ij}) \perp\!\!\!\perp \mathbf{b}_{xi}$$

- Association measured via  $\mathbf{D}$  and  $\Sigma_i$ .

## Model 2 on Pain Data

### Random Intercept Model

$$\begin{cases} \text{Tolerance}_i(t_{ij}) = \beta_0^t + \beta_1^t \cdot \text{sex}_i + \beta_2^t \cdot \text{ses}_i + b_{i0}^t + \epsilon_{ij}^t \\ \text{Rating}_i(t_{ij}) = \beta_0^r + \beta_1^r \cdot \text{sex}_i + \beta_2^r \cdot \text{ses}_i + b_{i0}^r + \epsilon_{ij}^r \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_{yi} \\ \mathbf{b}_{xi} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_y^2 & \sigma_{y,x} \\ \sigma_{y,x} & \sigma_x^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]; \quad \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{yi} \\ \epsilon_{xi} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_2]$$

$$\epsilon_{yi}(t_{ij}) \perp\!\!\!\perp \mathbf{b}_{yi}, \epsilon_{xi}(t_{ij}) \perp\!\!\!\perp \mathbf{b}_{xi}$$

## Model 2 on Pain Data: LMER+NLME

```
model_lmer <- lmer( value ~ variable + variable : sex + variable : ses - 1 +  
                    ( variable - 1 | id ), na.action = na.omit ,  
                    data = data_long_melted )
```

```
model_lme <- lme( value ~ variable + variable : sex + variable : ses - 1 ,  
                  random = ~0 + variable | id , data = data_long_melted ,  
                  na.action = na.omit )
```

## Model 2 on Pain Data: MCMCglmm

```
prior = list(R = list(V = diag(2), n = 4),
             G = list(G1=list(V = matrix(c(10, -0.50, -0.50, 0.45),
             nrow=2), n = 1)))
```

```
m_pain<-MCMCglmm(cbind(pain_tolerance, pain_rating) ~
  trait+trait:sex+trait:ses - 1,
  random = ~ us(trait):units, rcov = ~ idh(trait):units,
  family = rep("gaussian", 2), prior=prior, nitt = 10000,
  burnin = 1000, thin=25, data = data_long)
```

## Model 2 on Pain Data: INLA

- One can indeed calculate transformation of hyperparameter
- I did not understand the prior specification properly

```
formula.model_2=Y~1+f(Intercept1, model='linear')+
  f(Intercept2, model='linear')+f(ses1, model='linear')+
  f(ses2, model='linear')+ f(sex1, model='linear')+
  f(sex2, model='linear')+
  f(ID1, model="iid2d", n=2*212, hyper = list(theta1 =
    list(prior = "wishart2d", param =c(4,0.1,2.0, 0))))
final_model_2<-inla(formula.model_2, family =
  c("gaussian", "gaussian"),data = final_data)
```



## Model 2 on Pain Data: Results

	lmer	lmer_sd	nlme	nlme_sd	INLA	INLA_sd	MCMC	MCMC_sd
beta_0~t	3.20	0.16	3.20	0.16	3.01	0.32	3.20	0.10
beta_sf~t	0.18	0.20	0.18	0.20	0.18	0.19	0.19	0.13
beta_ses~t	-0.23	0.10	-0.23	0.10	-0.23	0.09	-0.23	0.07
beta_0~r	5.68	0.38	5.68	0.38	6.04	0.63	5.68	0.24
beta_sf~r	-0.37	0.48	-0.37	0.48	-0.37	0.37	-0.37	0.30
beta_ses~r	-0.24	0.23	-0.24	0.23	-0.24	0.18	-0.24	0.15
u_t^2	0.15		0.15		0.39		0.70	
u_r^2	2.50		2.50		2.84		2.15	
rho_rt	-0.43		-0.42		-0.77		-0.21	

## Method 2: Sources

- Books
  - ▶ G. Fitzmaurice, M. Davidian, G. Verbeke, Longitudinal Data Analysis, 2009, Chapters 13 & 16
- Papers
  - ▶ S. Fieuws, G. Verbeke, Pairwise Fitting of Mixed Models for the Joint Modeling of Multivariate Longitudinal Profiles, 2006, Biometrics
  - ▶ G. Verbeke, S. Fieuws, The analysis of multivariate longitudinal data: A review, 2012, Stat Methods Med Res

## Method 3: Joint Mixed Model with latent variable

### Joint Mixed Model with latent variable

$$\begin{cases} x_i(t_{ij}) = m_i(t_{ij}) + \epsilon_{xi}(t_{ij}) \\ y_i(t_{ij}) = \mathbf{w}_{yi}^T \boldsymbol{\alpha}_y + \gamma \cdot m_i(t_{ij}) + \mathbf{v}_{yi}^T(t_{ij}) \boldsymbol{\beta}_y + \mathbf{z}_{yi}^T(t_{ij}) \mathbf{b}_{yi} + \epsilon_{yi}(t_{ij}) \end{cases}$$

with

$$m_i(t_{ij}) = \mathbf{w}_{xi}^T \boldsymbol{\alpha}_x + \mathbf{v}_{xi}^T(t_{ij}) \boldsymbol{\beta}_x + \mathbf{z}_{xi}^T(t_{ij}) \mathbf{b}_{xi}$$

and

$$\mathbf{b}_{xi} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{D}_x), \mathbf{b}_{yi} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{D}_y)$$

$$\epsilon_{yi}(t_{ij}) \sim \mathcal{N}_{n_i}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_y^2), \epsilon_{xi}(t_{ij}) \sim \mathcal{N}_{n_i}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_x^2)$$

$$\epsilon_{yi}(t_{ij}) \perp\!\!\!\perp \mathbf{b}_{yi}, \epsilon_{xi}(t_{ij}) \perp\!\!\!\perp \mathbf{b}_{xi}$$

## Model 3 on Pain Data

### Random ses + Intercept Model

$$\begin{cases} \text{Tolerance}_i(t_{ij}) = \beta_0^t + b_1^t \cdot \text{ses}_i + \epsilon_{ij}^t \\ \text{Rating}_i(t_{ij}) = \beta_0^r + \gamma_1 \cdot b_1^t \cdot \text{ses}_i + \epsilon_{ij}^r \end{cases}$$

## Model 3 on Pain Data: LM, LME & INLA

```
model_lm_3<-lm(value~variable+variable:sess-1,
               data=data_long_melted, na.action=na.omit)
```

```
model_lme_3<-lme(value~variable-1,random = ~0+sess | variable,
                 data=data_long_melted, na.action=na.omit)
```

```
formula_comparison_INLA_2=Y~-1+
  f(Intercept1, model='linear')+f(Intercept2, model='linear')+
  f(ses, sess, model="iid")+
  f(sesrat, sess, copy="ses", hyper = list(
    beta = list(fixed=FALSE)))
```

## Model 3 on Pain Data: Results

	lm	nlme	INLA
$\beta_0^t$	3.313	3.313	3.313
$\beta_0^r$	5.448	5.448	5.448
$u_{\text{ses}}^t$	-0.223	-0.172	-0.180
$u_{\text{ses}}^r$	-0.241	-0.186	-0.184

- Did not manage to combine multiple parameters with one  $\gamma$ .

## Method 3: Sources

- <https://groups.google.com/g/r-inla-discussion-group/c/C1NV1x1lgwY>
- <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1210.0333.pdf>

# Method 1: Multivariate Normal Model

## Multivariate Normal Model

$$\begin{cases} y_i(t_{ij}) = \mathbf{v}_{yi}^T(t_{ij})\beta_{\mathbf{y}} + \epsilon_{yi}(t_{ij}) \\ x_i(t_{ij}) = \mathbf{v}_{xi}^T(t_{ij})\beta_{\mathbf{x}} + \epsilon_{xi}(t_{ij}) \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{yi} \\ \epsilon_{xi} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_{n_i}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma_i)$$

- Association measured via  $\Sigma_i$



# Model 1 on Pain Data

## Random Intercept Model

$$\begin{cases} \text{Tolerance}_i(t_{ij}) = \beta_0^t + \beta_1^t \cdot \text{sex}_i + \beta_2^t \cdot \text{ses}_i + \epsilon_{ij}^t \\ \text{Rating}_i(t_{ij}) = \beta_0^r + \beta_1^r \cdot \text{sex}_i + \beta_2^r \cdot \text{ses}_i + \epsilon_{ij}^r \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{yi} \\ \epsilon_{xi} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 [\mathbf{0}, \text{Unstructured}_8]$$

## Model 1 on Pain Data: INLA

```
gls_unstructured<-gls( value~variable+variable:ses+variable:sex-1,
  correlation=corSymm( form=~1|id ),
  weights= varIdent( form=~1|Period ),
  data=data_long_melted, na.action=na.omit)
```

```
m_pain<-MCMCglmm(cbind(pain_tolerance , pain_rating) ~
  trait+trait:sex+trait:ses - 1,
  rcov = ~ us(Period:trait):units ,
  family = rep("gaussian", 2),prior=prior ,
  nitt = 10000, burnin = 1000,
  thin=25, data = data_long)
```

# Model 1 on Pain Data: Results

	glS	glS_sd	MCMCglmm	MCMCglmm_sd
beta_0~t	5.25	0.00	5.30	0.03
beta_sf~t	0.82	0.01	0.24	0.01
beta_ses~t	-0.03	0.06	-0.03	0.00
beta_0~r	7.50	0.00	6.70	0.32
beta_sf~r	-0.54	0.07	-0.62	0.05
beta_ses~r	-0.02	0.25	-0.01	0.01

## Model 1 Results

marginal variance covariance matrix

	[,1]	[,2]	[,3]	[,4]	[,5]	[,6]	[,7]	[,8]
[1,]	1.000000	0.9646700	0.934000	0.880790	-0.146390	0.31915	0.011592	0.0085110
[2,]	0.964670	1.0000000	0.915690	0.897470	-0.084704	0.31812	0.077383	0.0020768
[3,]	0.934000	0.9156900	1.000000	0.923760	-0.183270	0.28127	-0.034945	-0.0365370
[4,]	0.880790	0.8974700	0.923760	1.000000	-0.135120	0.24249	0.020602	-0.0749610
[5,]	-0.146390	-0.0847040	-0.183270	-0.135120	1.000000	0.53617	0.435130	0.2272000
[6,]	0.319150	0.3181200	0.281270	0.242490	0.536170	1.000000	0.373270	0.2487200
[7,]	0.011592	0.0773830	-0.034945	0.020602	0.435130	0.37327	1.000000	0.3341800
[8,]	0.008511	0.0020768	-0.036537	-0.074961	0.227200	0.24872	0.334180	1.0000000

Standard Deviations: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

	[,1]	[,2]	[,3]	[,4]	[,5]	[,6]	[,7]	[,8]
[1,]	1.0000000	0.7923632234	0.2757604	-0.16859774	-0.32375237	0.3394998084	0.7253773	0.53763412
[2,]	0.7923632	1.0000000000	0.4762324	0.06301609	-0.58650226	-0.0005261689	0.6880497	0.60665062
[3,]	0.2757604	0.4762324384	1.0000000	0.76345228	-0.47261506	0.3155500984	-0.1407778	0.44914344
[4,]	-0.1685977	0.0630160916	0.7634523	1.00000000	-0.01470108	0.1720782933	-0.4947750	-0.06233257
[5,]	-0.3237524	-0.5865022575	-0.4726151	-0.01470108	1.00000000	0.1274419714	-0.3406734	-0.74698798
[6,]	0.3394998	-0.0005261689	0.3155501	0.17207829	0.12744197	1.0000000000	0.1558983	0.16098986
[7,]	0.7253773	0.6880497328	-0.1407778	-0.49477504	-0.34067340	0.1558983051	1.0000000	0.58234240
[8,]	0.5376341	0.6066506239	0.4491434	-0.06233257	-0.74698798	0.1609898619	0.5823424	1.00000000

## Method 1: Correlation of residuals in INLA

- Correlation of residuals seems not to be available in INLA (Spatial models also use random effects with multivariate normal distribution)
- I asked on the INLA forum whether correlated residuals can be implemented:
  - ▶ INLA only support conditional independent data, but for Gaussian response, you can always just expand the latent field (or linear predictor) to have define dependent data. sometimes this involve setting the likelihood to have fixed high precision, like having AR1 dependency in the observations. In these cases, you'll end up with a 'fake' linear predictor, for which a subset of it, is the real one.

There are also generic iid models in dimension up to 10, with general covariance structure, that can be used for this (see `inla.doc("iidkd")` for a new testing version)

Best H

# Model 1 on Simulated data

## Random Intercept Model

$$\begin{cases} y_{ij}^1 = \beta_0^t + \beta_1^t \cdot \text{sex}_i + \beta_2^t \cdot \text{ses}_i + \epsilon_{ij}^t \\ y = \beta_0^r + \beta_1^r \cdot \text{sex}_i + \beta_2^r \cdot \text{ses}_i + \epsilon_{ij}^r \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{yi} \\ \epsilon_{xi} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 [\mathbf{0}, \text{Unstructured}_8]$$

## Method 1: Sources

- Usually mentioned briefly as introduction
- Books
  - ▶ G. Fitzmaurice, M. Davidian, G. Verbeke, Longitudinal Data Analysis, 2009, Chapters 13
- Papers
  - ▶ H. Cho, The analysis of multivariate longitudinal data using multivariate marginal models, Journal of Multivariate analysis, 2016
  - ▶ G. Verbeke, S. Fieuws, The analysis of multivariate longitudinal data: A review, 2012, Stat Methods Med Res

# To-do

- I need to write a Thesis proposal: What will be the main direction of research?
  - 1 Correlated Residuals
    - ★ Do we need correlated residuals? Many Marginal Models (correlated residuals) can be implemented by using Mixed Models (random effects)
    - ★ Do we want to implement correlated residuals in INLA? Maybe with generic package?
  - 2 Apply methods on COVID-dataset





H. Rue, S. Martino, Approximate Bayesian inference for latent Gaussian models by using integrated nested Laplace approximations, 2009, JRSS B



J. van Niekerk, H. Bakka, H. Rue, Joint models as latent Gaussian models - not reinventing the wheel, 2019, arXiv



J. van Niekerk, H. Bakka, H. Rue, Competing risks joint models using R-INLA, 2021, Statistical Modelling



R. Weiss, Modelling Longitudinal Data, 2005, Chapter 13