

# Thesis: Seventh meeting

Some minor additions

Georgy Gomon

November 4, 2021

# Model 3A1

$Y_1$  scaled entirely with independent Slope & Intercept

$i = 1, \dots, N; j = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{cases} m_{ij} = (\beta_0^1 + u_{0,i}^1) + \beta_x^1 \cdot x_i + (\beta_t^1 + u_{t,i}^1) \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} \\ y_{i,j}^1 = m_{ij} + \epsilon_{i,j}^1 \\ y_{i,j}^2 = \gamma \cdot m_{ij} + (\beta_0^2 + u_{0,i}^2) + \beta_x^2 \cdot x_i + (\beta_t^2 + u_{t,i}^2) \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$u_{0,i}^1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{1,0}^2); \quad u_{t,i}^1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{1,t}^2); \quad \begin{bmatrix} u_{0,i}^2 \\ u_{t,i}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{2,0}^2 & \sigma_{2,(0,t)} \\ \sigma_{2,(t,0)} & \sigma_{2,t}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right];$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_i^1 \\ \epsilon_i^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_{2j}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_{2j})$$

# Model 3A2

$Y_1$  scaled entirely with dependent Slope & Intercept

$i = 1, \dots, N; j = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{cases} m_{ij} = (\beta_0^1 + u_{0,i}^1) + \beta_x^1 \cdot x_i + (\beta_t^1 + u_{t,i}^1) \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} \\ y_{i,j}^1 = m_{ij} + \epsilon_{i,j}^1 \\ y_{i,j}^2 = \gamma \cdot m_{ij} + (\beta_0^2 + u_{0,i}^2) + \beta_x^2 \cdot x_i + (\beta_t^2 + u_{t,i}^2) \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{0,i}^1 \\ u_{t,i}^1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,0}^2 & \sigma_{1,(0,t)} \\ \sigma_{1,(t,0)} & \sigma_{1,t}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]; \quad \begin{bmatrix} u_{0,i}^2 \\ u_{t,i}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{2,0}^2 & \sigma_{2,(0,t)} \\ \sigma_{2,(t,0)} & \sigma_{2,t}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right];$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_i^1 \\ \epsilon_i^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_{2j}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_{2j})$$

## Models 3A in INLA

- Although Models 3A1 and 3A2 are very similar and even nested, they are implemented in INLA very differently (One can implement models in a multitude of ways in INLA).
- I show the difference in implementation between the 2 models since the simulation results are very different.

# Model 3A1 in INLA

- The copy trick is used and fixed effects are modelled as random effects with 2 levels: Each outcome has it's own level.
- Every term involved in each of the models is copied in this way.

```
$coefficients
      true      INLA true_combi INLA_combi
beta_0^1 2.0 1.961858      2.0  1.961858
beta_x^1 4.0 3.997196      4.0  3.997196
beta_t^1 2.5 2.501245      2.5  2.501245
beta_0^2 3.0 4.193585      5.4  5.341272
beta_x^2 1.5 3.981618      6.3  6.319978
beta_t^2 3.5 5.126604      6.5  6.589832
gamma    1.2 0.585000      NA    NA
```

```
$U1
$U1$true
      [,1]      [,2]
[1,] 2.06292431 -0.04536504
[2,] -0.04536504  2.71835906

$U1$INLA
      [,1]      [,2]
[1,] 2.134135 0.000000
[2,] 0.000000 2.744491
```

```
$U2
$U2$true
      [,1]      [,2]
[1,] 2.978606 1.420168
[2,] 1.420168 3.870316

$U2$INLA
      [,1]      [,2]
[1,] 5.0079391 -0.5584875
[2,] -0.5584875  7.6892306
```

# Model 3A2 in INLA

- Data fit as 3 likelihood model
- First likelihood is outcome Y1
- Second likelihood is outcome Y2
- Third likelihood is a Gaussian with very low noise and outcome  $Y_3=0$ . Only random effects  $u$  can be copied, so we need the linear predictors of model  $Y_1$  as random effects  $u$ . For this we use that  $\eta_1 = u \rightarrow \eta_1 - u = 0$  to ensure the random effects  $u$  are the linear predictors for  $Y_1$ , which we then copy to  $Y_2$ .

Scoefficients					\$U1			\$U2		
	true	INLA	true_combi	INLA_combi	\$U1\$true			\$U2\$true		
beta_0^1	2.0	1.871538	2.00	1.871538		[,1]	[,2]		[,1]	[,2]
beta_x^1	4.0	4.000832	4.00	4.000832	[1,]	2.085740	1.600423	[1,]	2.924897	1.572376
beta_t^1	2.5	2.331216	2.50	2.331216	[2,]	1.600423	2.873966	[2,]	1.572376	3.754722
beta_0^2	3.0	3.175045	5.60	5.664190						
beta_x^2	1.5	1.365655	6.70	6.686761						
beta_t^2	3.5	3.396343	6.75	6.496861						
gamma	1.3	1.330000	NA	NA						
					\$U1\$INLA			\$U2\$INLA		
						[,1]	[,2]		[,1]	[,2]
					[1,]	2.5746415	0.6685351	[1,]	3.6629548	0.9051673
					[2,]	0.6685351	0.3646141	[2,]	0.9051673	0.4174495

## Included Unbalanced Design and Missing Data

- Model Parameters:
  - ▶ N: Number of Patients
  - ▶ n: Maximum number of measurements per patient
  - ▶  $p_1$ : probability that a measurement is observed
  - ▶  $p_2$ : probability that covariate  $x$  is measured
- How does INLA deal with missing covariates?
  - ▶ INLA has no way to 'impute' or integrate-out missing covariates. You have to adjust your model to account for missing covariates. Sometimes, you can formulate a joint model for the data and the covariates, but this is case-specific.
  - ▶ If  $x[i] = \text{NA}$  this means that  $x[i]$  is not part of the linear predictor for  $y[i]$ . For fixed effects, this is equivalent to  $x[i]=0$ .

# PIT (Probability Integral Transform)

- Computed for each observation as:

$$PIT_i = \pi(y_i^{new} \leq y_i | y_{-i})$$

- Measures probability for new observation  $y_i^{new}$  to be lower than  $y_i$  given all observations except for  $y_i$ .
- For a good model the PIT's should be approximately uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]$
- Kolmogorov Smirnov non-parametric test has been used to test whether the PIT's are uniformly distributed



# Simulations

- $N = 75$ ,  $n = 7$ ,  $p_1 = 0.7$ ,  $p_2 = 0.9$ ,  $CV = 5$
- Models 0, 2A, 2C1, 2C2, 3A1, 3A2, 3B1
- 3 times INLA failed: 2\* model 2A, 1\* model 3A2

## Simulation 3: Models 0 &amp; 2A

```
> round(Model_comparison_big$Model_0$outcomes, 3)
```

	true	Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3A2	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	2.054	NA	1.657	NA	2.188	2.177	1.984	2.047	2.028
beta_x^1	4.0	3.971	NA	3.208	NA	3.880	3.892	3.977	3.950	3.988
beta_t^1	2.5	2.503	NA	1.969	NA	2.466	2.484	2.451	2.485	2.509
beta_0^2	3.0	2.978	NA	2.264	NA	2.924	2.893	2.947	2.996	2.981
beta_x^2	1.5	1.449	NA	1.101	NA	1.248	1.238	1.387	1.481	1.450
beta_t^2	3.5	3.536	NA	2.880	NA	3.571	3.576	3.497	3.555	3.535
MLIK	NA	-1748.083	NA	-1886.484	NA	-2237.132	-2303.754	-1801.186	-3279.242	-1768.688
DIC_approx	NA	3496.165	NA	3772.969	NA	4474.264	4607.507	3602.372	6558.485	3537.376
DIC	NA	2714.622	NA	3480.144	NA	3961.460	4006.514	2775.814	430.750	2770.717
WAIC	NA	2718.874	NA	3487.437	NA	4016.850	4063.332	2769.720	316.461	2765.140
PIT	NA	0.043	NA	0.051	NA	0.063	0.057	0.040	0.176	0.040
CPO	NA	1398.959	NA	1748.647	NA	2055.452	2071.026	1421.023	537.682	1418.437

```
> round(Model_comparison_big$Model_2A$outcomes, 3)
```

	true	Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3A2	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	2.058	NA	2.038	NA	2.048	2.048	2.001	2.024	2.065
beta_x^1	4.0	3.974	NA	3.977	NA	3.969	3.971	3.982	3.964	3.986
beta_t^1	2.5	2.506	NA	2.510	NA	2.509	2.507	2.511	2.490	2.503
beta_0^2	3.0	2.939	NA	2.930	NA	2.939	2.937	2.935	2.872	2.940
beta_x^2	1.5	1.453	NA	1.466	NA	1.460	1.460	1.442	1.405	1.454
beta_t^2	3.5	3.491	NA	3.492	NA	3.489	3.489	3.481	3.473	3.491
MLIK	NA	-1476.651	NA	-1453.937	NA	-1479.779	-1487.310	-1514.907	-3065.777	-1483.718
DIC_approx	NA	2953.301	NA	2907.874	NA	2959.559	2974.619	3029.814	6131.554	2967.435
DIC	NA	2615.436	NA	2599.689	NA	2622.528	2626.535	2638.587	555.853	2646.145
WAIC	NA	2620.082	NA	2607.338	NA	2628.554	2632.216	2639.295	432.081	2646.777
PIT	NA	0.045	NA	0.048	NA	0.044	0.046	0.048	0.173	0.045
CPO	NA	1324.910	NA	1308.418	NA	1327.216	1327.770	1331.194	559.197	1334.704

## Simulation 3: Models 2C1 &amp; 2C2

```
> round(Model_comparison_big$Model_2C1$outcomes, 3)
```

	true	Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3A2	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	2.058	NA	2.096	NA	2.105	2.132	1.987	2.047	2.028
beta_x^1	4.0	3.970	NA	3.858	NA	3.822	3.850	3.974	3.950	3.985
beta_t^1	2.5	2.471	NA	2.470	NA	2.478	2.474	2.430	2.452	2.477
beta_0^2	3.0	2.935	NA	2.872	NA	2.877	2.854	2.897	2.934	2.934
beta_x^2	1.5	1.445	NA	1.380	NA	1.242	1.212	1.367	1.433	1.446
beta_t^2	3.5	3.532	NA	3.582	NA	3.573	3.560	3.487	3.524	3.532
MLIK	NA	-1750.133	NA	-2343.481	NA	-2203.346	-2234.194	-1798.279	-3270.808	-1773.908
DIC_approx	NA	3500.266	NA	4686.961	NA	4406.692	4468.389	3596.559	6541.616	3547.817
DIC	NA	2720.114	NA	4344.374	NA	3913.100	3928.159	2779.528	444.036	2779.793
WAIC	NA	2722.445	NA	4354.656	NA	3968.779	3985.730	2772.889	330.819	2771.551
PIT	NA	0.041	NA	0.068	NA	0.057	0.056	0.038	0.176	0.040
CPO	NA	1404.303	NA	2182.770	NA	2029.339	2032.891	1424.032	542.822	1423.468

```
> round(Model_comparison_big$Model_2C2$outcomes, 3)
```

	true	Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3A2	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	2.054	NA	2.081	NA	2.133	2.150	1.992	2.049	2.030
beta_x^1	4.0	3.963	NA	3.870	NA	3.806	3.817	3.976	3.949	3.985
beta_t^1	2.5	2.516	NA	2.507	NA	2.505	2.495	2.460	2.495	2.522
beta_0^2	3.0	2.935	NA	2.888	NA	2.928	2.903	2.932	2.930	2.933
beta_x^2	1.5	1.445	NA	1.408	NA	1.226	1.220	1.420	1.425	1.447
beta_t^2	3.5	3.554	NA	3.594	NA	3.572	3.572	3.541	3.543	3.554
MLIK	NA	-1749.952	NA	-2372.049	NA	-2244.561	-2290.603	-1809.352	-3285.456	-1781.830
DIC_approx	NA	3499.903	NA	4744.098	NA	4489.122	4581.206	3618.704	6570.912	3563.661
DIC	NA	2706.094	NA	4384.055	NA	3987.776	4016.442	2779.284	438.455	2774.774
WAIC	NA	2709.358	NA	4395.450	NA	4044.784	4073.223	2772.288	324.355	2768.259
PIT	NA	0.042	NA	0.072	NA	0.068	0.066	0.040	0.176	0.041
CPO	NA	1394.794	NA	2203.476	NA	2068.135	2076.706	1422.851	540.641	1420.717

## Simulation 3: Models 3A1 &amp; 3A2

```
> round(Model_comparison_big$Model_3A1$outcomes, 3)
```

	true	Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3A2	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	2.049	NA	1.834	NA	2.180	2.143	1.988	2.049	2.054
beta_x^1	4.0	3.970	NA	3.230	NA	3.885	3.923	3.971	3.948	3.961
beta_t^1	2.5	2.468	NA	1.961	NA	2.439	2.454	2.422	2.445	2.466
beta_0^2	3.0	3.071	NA	2.691	NA	3.176	3.214	3.034	3.085	3.078
beta_x^2	1.5	1.451	NA	1.196	NA	1.143	1.127	1.445	1.470	1.453
beta_t^2	3.5	3.471	NA	2.793	NA	3.480	3.485	3.467	3.481	3.469
MLIK	NA	-1803.768	NA	-1968.144	NA	-2319.771	-2299.474	-1841.867	-3282.387	-1807.930
DIC_approx	NA	3607.535	NA	3936.289	NA	4639.543	4598.949	3683.734	6564.774	3615.860
DIC	NA	2730.578	NA	3689.090	NA	4183.360	4210.751	2740.338	424.577	2707.425
WAIC	NA	2732.059	NA	3697.635	NA	4234.121	4259.236	2738.879	311.833	2709.207
PIT	NA	0.042	NA	0.052	NA	0.075	0.070	0.042	0.166	0.041
CPO	NA	1415.781	NA	1852.172	NA	2150.883	2155.218	1418.732	534.786	1399.975

```
> round(Model_comparison_big$Model_3A2$outcomes, 3)
```

	true	Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3A2	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	2.053	NA	2.122	NA	2.196	2.190	1.999	2.052	2.059
beta_x^1	4.0	3.969	NA	3.998	NA	3.867	3.923	3.978	3.947	3.965
beta_t^1	2.5	2.524	NA	2.502	NA	2.482	2.515	2.469	2.503	2.523
beta_0^2	3.0	3.071	NA	3.070	NA	3.170	3.173	3.028	3.086	3.077
beta_x^2	1.5	1.452	NA	1.360	NA	1.105	1.075	1.423	1.471	1.452
beta_t^2	3.5	3.545	NA	3.575	NA	3.537	3.570	3.537	3.555	3.542
MLIK	NA	-1793.906	NA	-2481.395	NA	-2349.244	-2315.303	-1839.229	-3290.847	-1807.798
DIC_approx	NA	3587.813	NA	4962.789	NA	4698.488	4630.606	3678.458	6581.695	3615.597
DIC	NA	2715.076	NA	4635.182	NA	4237.194	4277.798	2764.952	418.409	2705.713
WAIC	NA	2717.952	NA	4645.334	NA	4290.033	4330.753	2755.146	304.195	2707.171
PIT	NA	0.043	NA	0.077	NA	0.076	0.074	0.044	0.169	0.044
CPO	NA	1401.715	NA	2326.916	NA	2179.236	2188.504	1418.904	530.093	1398.057

# Simulation 3: Models 3B1

```
> round(Model_comparison_big$Model_3B1$outcomes, 3)
```

	true	Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3A2	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	2.049	NA	2.127	NA	2.172	2.161	1.979	1.656	2.059
beta_x^1	4.0	3.970	NA	3.971	NA	3.901	3.910	3.966	3.172	3.962
beta_t^1	2.5	2.468	NA	2.453	NA	2.439	2.441	2.421	1.978	2.466
beta_0^2	3.0	3.076	NA	2.829	NA	2.828	2.779	2.989	2.508	3.082
beta_x^2	1.5	1.456	NA	1.389	NA	1.379	1.350	1.432	1.131	1.456
beta_t^2	3.5	3.599	NA	3.665	NA	3.668	3.678	3.585	2.806	3.599
MLIK	NA	-1801.974	NA	-2464.282	NA	-2323.225	-2366.542	-1831.292	-2660.278	-1799.014
DIC_approx	NA	3603.948	NA	4928.564	NA	4646.450	4733.085	3662.584	5320.556	3598.028
DIC	NA	2729.300	NA	4600.511	NA	4171.442	4202.531	2723.047	391.176	2704.466
WAIC	NA	2730.985	NA	4611.220	NA	4221.125	4248.222	2724.711	300.086	2707.422
PIT	NA	0.042	NA	0.069	NA	0.072	0.064	0.043	0.139	0.042
CPO	NA	1414.722	NA	2310.537	NA	2146.458	2152.619	1406.626	456.698	1396.219

# To-do

- Complete model configuration in INLA
  - ▶ Model 3
    - ★ Implement model 3A2 in INLA using both methods ('copy' trick and 'extra likelihood' trick)
    - ★ Compare INLA implementation with JMBayes2 (ask Ed)
  - ▶ Model 1: Inspect other residual error covariance structures
- Model assessment
  - ▶ Look at posterior probabilities of fitted values
    - ★ Calculate CPO, PIT manually
    - ★ Calculate MSE per outcome using posterior means
    - ★ Let INLA fit new data
- Theoretical results
  - ▶ Give Likelihood for every model
  - ▶ Try to write them as LGM
- Simulating Data
  - ▶ Change unbalanced design such that both outcomes are not measured at same time-points
  - ▶ Inspect simulated data more closely using e.g. Spaghetti plots

## Models so far

- In order to compare all models using model assessment all models were rewritten into similar form.
- All models (except for some type 3 models) are implemented

# Model 0

## No association

$i = 1, \dots, N; j = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{cases} y_{i,j}^1 = (\beta_0^1 + u_{0,i}^1) + \beta_x^1 \cdot x_i + \left( \beta_t^1 + u_{t,i}^1 \right) \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^1 \\ y_{i,j}^2 = (\beta_0^2 + u_{0,i}^2) + \beta_x^2 \cdot x_i + \left( \beta_t^2 + u_{t,i}^2 \right) \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{0,i}^1 \\ u_{t,i}^1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,0}^2 & \sigma_{1,(0,t)} \\ \sigma_{1,(t,0)} & \sigma_{1,t}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]; \quad \begin{bmatrix} u_{0,i}^2 \\ u_{t,i}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{2,0}^2 & \sigma_{2,(0,t)} \\ \sigma_{2,(t,0)} & \sigma_{2,t}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_i^1 \\ \epsilon_i^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_{2j}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_{2j})$$



# Model 1A

## Association via residual errors

$i = 1, \dots, N; j = 1, 2$

$$\begin{cases} y_{i,j}^1 = \beta_0^1 + \beta_x^1 \cdot x_i + \beta_t^1 \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^1 \\ y_{i,j}^2 = \beta_0^2 + \beta_x^2 \cdot x_i + \beta_t^2 \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{i,1}^1 \\ \epsilon_{i,2}^1 \\ \epsilon_{i,1}^2 \\ \epsilon_{i,2}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,1}^2 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \sigma_{1,2}^2 & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \sigma_{2,1}^2 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \sigma_{2,2}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

# Model 2A

## Random Intercept only

$i = 1, \dots, N; j = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{cases} y_{i,j}^1 = (\beta_0^1 + u_{0,i}^1) + \beta_x^1 \cdot x_i + \beta_t^1 \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^1 \\ y_{i,j}^2 = (\beta_0^2 + u_{0,i}^2) + \beta_x^2 \cdot x_i + \beta_t^2 \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{0,i}^1 \\ u_{0,i}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,0}^2 & \sigma_{(1,2),0} \\ \sigma_{(2,1),0} & \sigma_{2,0}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]; \quad \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_i^1 \\ \epsilon_i^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_{2j}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_{2j})$$

# Model 2B

## Random Intercept & correlated residuals

$i = 1, \dots, N; j = 1, 2$

$$\begin{cases} y_{i,j}^1 = (\beta_0^1 + u_{0,i}^1) + \beta_x^1 \cdot x_i + \beta_t^1 \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^1 \\ y_{i,j}^2 = (\beta_0^2 + u_{0,i}^2) + \beta_x^2 \cdot x_i + \beta_t^2 \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{0,i}^1 \\ u_{0,i}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,0}^2 & \sigma_{(1,2),0} \\ \sigma_{(2,1),0} & \sigma_{2,0}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]; \quad \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{i,1}^1 \\ \epsilon_{i,1}^2 \\ \epsilon_{i,2}^1 \\ \epsilon_{i,2}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,1}^2 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \sigma_{1,2}^2 & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \sigma_{2,1}^2 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \sigma_{2,2}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

# Model 2C1

## Random Slope & Intercept: Independent

$i = 1, \dots, N; j = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{cases} y_{i,j}^1 = (\beta_0^1 + u_{0,i}^1) + \beta_x^1 \cdot x_i + \left( \beta_t^1 + u_{t,i}^1 \right) \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^1 \\ y_{i,j}^2 = (\beta_0^2 + u_{0,i}^2) + \beta_x^2 \cdot x_i + \left( \beta_t^2 + u_{t,i}^2 \right) \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{0,i}^1 \\ u_{0,i}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,0}^2 & \sigma_{(1,2),0} \\ \sigma_{(2,1),0} & \sigma_{2,0}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]; \quad \begin{bmatrix} u_{t,i}^1 \\ u_{t,i}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,t}^2 & \sigma_{(1,2),t} \\ \sigma_{(2,1),t} & \sigma_{2,t}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_i^1 \\ \epsilon_i^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_{2j}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_{2j})$$

# Model 2C2

## Random Slope & Intercept: Dependent

$i = 1, \dots, N; j = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{cases} y_{ij}^1 = (\beta_0^1 + u_{0,i}^1) + \beta_x^1 \cdot x_i + (\beta_t^1 + u_{t,i}^1) \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{ij}^1 \\ y_{ij}^2 = (\beta_0^2 + u_{0,i}^2) + \beta_x^2 \cdot x_i + (\beta_t^2 + u_{t,i}^2) \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{ij}^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{0,i}^1 \\ u_{0,i}^2 \\ u_{t,i}^1 \\ u_{t,i}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_4 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,0}^2 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \sigma_{1,t}^2 & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \sigma_{2,0}^2 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \sigma_{2,2}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]; \quad \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{ij}^1 \\ \epsilon_{ij}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_{2j}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_{2j})$$

# Model 3A1

$Y_1$  scaled entirely with independent Slope & Intercept

$i = 1, \dots, N; j = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{cases} m_{ij} = (\beta_0^1 + u_{0,i}^1) + \beta_x^1 \cdot x_i + (\beta_t^1 + u_{t,i}^1) \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} \\ y_{i,j}^1 = m_{ij} + \epsilon_{i,j}^1 \\ y_{i,j}^2 = \gamma \cdot m_{ij} + (\beta_0^2 + u_{0,i}^2) + \beta_x^2 \cdot x_i + (\beta_t^2 + u_{t,i}^2) \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$u_{0,i}^1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{1,0}^2); \quad u_{t,i}^1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{1,t}^2); \quad \begin{bmatrix} u_{0,i}^2 \\ u_{t,i}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{2,0}^2 & \sigma_{2,(0,t)} \\ \sigma_{2,(t,0)} & \sigma_{2,t}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right];$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_i^1 \\ \epsilon_i^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_{2j}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_{2j})$$

# Model 3B1

Random  $Y_1$ -effects scaled independently; independent Slope & Intercept

$i = 1, \dots, N; j = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{cases} y_{i,j}^1 = (\beta_0^1 + u_{0,i}^1) + \beta_x^1 \cdot x_i + (\beta_t^1 + u_{t,i}^1) \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^1 \\ y_{i,j}^2 = \gamma_1 \cdot u_{0,i}^1 + \gamma_2 \cdot u_{t,i}^1 + (\beta_0^2 + u_{0,i}^2) + \beta_x^2 \cdot x_i + (\beta_t^2 + u_{t,i}^2) \cdot \text{Period}_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j}^2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$u_{0,i}^1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{1,0}^2); \quad u_{t,i}^1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{1,t}^2); \quad \begin{bmatrix} u_{0,i}^2 \\ u_{t,i}^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[ \mathbf{0}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{2,0}^2 & \sigma_{2,(0,t)} \\ \sigma_{2,(t,0)} & \sigma_{2,t}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right];$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_i^1 \\ \epsilon_i^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_{2j}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_{2j})$$

# Marginal Assessment methods in INLA

- Within INLA the following Model assessment criteria exist:
  - ▶ MLIK (Marginal Likelihood)
  - ▶ DIC (Deviance Information Criterion)
  - ▶ WAIC (Watanabe-Akaike Information Criterion)
  - ▶ CPO (Conditional Predictive Ordinates)
  - ▶ PIT (Predictive Integral Transform)



# Marginal Likelihood $\pi(y)$

- Probability of observed data under given model
- In INLA approximated as:

$$\tilde{\pi}(y) = \int \frac{\pi(\theta, x, y)}{\tilde{\pi}_G(x|\theta, y)} \Big|_{x=x^*(\theta)} d\theta$$

- When considering set of M models  $\{\mathcal{M}_m\}_{m=1}^M$ , the marginal likelihoods are  $\pi(y|\mathcal{M}_m)$ .
- Posterior can be computed via model priors:  $\pi(\mathcal{M}_m|y) \propto \pi(y|\mathcal{M}_m)\pi(\mathcal{M}_m)$
- Can be used to compute Bayes factor for models  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$ :

$$\frac{\pi(\mathcal{M}_1|y)}{\pi(\mathcal{M}_2|y)} = \frac{\pi(y|\mathcal{M}_1)\pi(\mathcal{M}_1)}{\pi(y|\mathcal{M}_2)\pi(\mathcal{M}_2)}$$

# DIC & WAIC

- DIC

- ▶ Given by:

$$DIC = D(\hat{x}, \hat{\theta}) + 2p_D$$

- ▶ Takes into account goodness of fit ( $D(\hat{x}, \hat{\theta})$ ) and penalty for number of parameters ( $2p_D$ ).
- ▶  $D$  is the deviance with  $\hat{x}$  the posterior mean and  $\hat{\theta}$  the posterior mode (might be skewed).
- ▶  $p_D = \mathbb{E}[D(x, \theta)] - D(\hat{x}, \hat{\theta})$

- WAIC is similar to DIC but  $p_D$  is calculated differently

# CPO (Conditional Predictive Ordinates)

- Computed for each observation as:

$$CPO_i = \pi(y_i | y_{-i})$$

- Posterior probability of observing  $y_i$  when model is fit without  $y_i$ .
- Low value may indicate outlier
- Summarized over all data as:

$$CPO = - \sum_{i=1}^N \ln(CPO_i)$$

# PIT (Predictive Integral Transform)

- Computed for each observation as:

$$PIT_i = \pi(y_i^{new} \leq y_i | y_{-i})$$

- Measures probability for new observation  $y_i^{new}$  to be lower than  $y_i$  given all observations except for  $y_i$ .
- For a good model the PIT's should be approximately uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]$

# Simulations

- Data was generated from each of the 8(6) models. In total 8(6) datasets were generated.
- Each dataset was fit by every model 8(6) (only in INLA). The coefficients and Model Assessment criteria were recorded.
- This was done 2 times:
  - ①  $N = 750$ ,  $n = 2$ . All models participated
  - ②  $N = 500$ ,  $n = 4$ . Models 1A and 2B did not participate,
    - ★ They model association via an unstructured variance-covariance matrix for the residual errors, which can only be modelled in INLA for  $n = 2$ .

## Simulation 2

$N = 500$ ,  $n = 4$

Models 0, 2A, 2C1, 2C2, 3A1, 3B1

## Simulation 2: Models 0 &amp; 2A

\$Model_0\$Model_0_df							
	true	Model_0	Model_2A	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	2.129866	2.127995	2.129451	2.129671	2.113251	2.129382
beta_x^1	4.0	4.015218	3.986687	4.008675	4.012158	4.008108	4.007623
beta_t^1	2.5	2.599241	2.600256	2.599472	2.599348	2.594592	2.599510
beta_0^2	3.0	3.112763	3.119732	3.111930	3.112714	3.110197	3.112676
beta_x^2	1.5	1.520176	1.629831	1.507061	1.519394	1.515059	1.518827
beta_t^2	3.5	3.684672	3.680893	3.685136	3.684700	3.681410	3.684719
MLIK	NA	-8381.279613	-10622.260731	-8456.818098	-8421.715350	-8462.436164	-8442.100242
DIC	NA	12865.935243	19833.113670	12862.875267	12878.800789	12859.426281	12865.640575
WAIC	NA	12843.868420	19877.082468	12803.035691	12856.227218	12817.404958	12823.729900
CPO	NA	6773.535911	9977.461558	6828.089741	6773.182060	6799.374018	6802.389827
\$Model_2A\$Model_2A_df							
	true	Model_0	Model_2A	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	2.097733	2.097733	2.097734	2.097732	2.090256	2.097732
beta_x^1	4.0	4.002521	4.000894	4.001353	4.000890	4.000405	4.000966
beta_t^1	2.5	2.480511	2.480513	2.480512	2.480513	2.480947	2.480513
beta_0^2	3.0	2.954926	2.954938	2.954935	2.954935	2.952751	2.954934
beta_x^2	1.5	1.453171	1.456217	1.455051	1.455108	1.440928	1.454644
beta_t^2	3.5	3.474924	3.474920	3.474921	3.474922	3.468346	3.474922
MLIK	NA	-6897.256372	-6847.404247	-6871.094009	-6879.428477	-6903.710711	-6877.569841
DIC	NA	12113.799456	12143.023504	12112.394116	12108.052727	12123.662912	12122.342153
WAIC	NA	12147.357605	12184.018332	12146.199373	12145.191976	12159.782075	12159.436708
CPO	NA	6156.133611	6131.611256	6147.268539	6146.035832	6140.935794	6140.782805

## Simulation 2: Models 2C1 &amp; 2C2

\$Model_2C1\$Model_2C1_df							
	true	Model_0	Model_2A	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	2.097733	2.097618	2.097731	2.097731	2.089620	2.097734
beta_x^1	4.0	4.002780	3.980313	4.000695	4.000915	4.001743	4.001821
beta_t^1	2.5	2.399231	2.399276	2.399225	2.399224	2.391553	2.399231
beta_0^2	3.0	2.954909	2.954914	2.954923	2.954922	2.950497	2.954916
beta_x^2	1.5	1.448278	1.472289	1.451368	1.451349	1.437566	1.449916
beta_t^2	3.5	3.323889	3.323887	3.323878	3.323878	3.317111	3.323887
MLIK	NA	-8406.869297	-10450.343520	-8349.866095	-8393.631079	-8450.716190	-8418.578527
DIC	NA	12922.015663	19776.053749	12895.802346	12909.911187	12918.496001	12904.086970
WAIC	NA	12871.429198	19818.415774	12848.019719	12867.785330	12859.350676	12853.248810
CPO	NA	6843.523154	9941.981285	6819.326053	6821.665613	6838.080212	6830.165684
\$Model_2C2\$Model_2C2_df							
	true	Model_0	Model_2A	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	2.097729	2.097649	2.097733	2.097724	2.088923	2.097735
beta_x^1	4.0	4.003316	3.996762	4.001333	4.002722	4.001716	4.002305
beta_t^1	2.5	2.457149	2.457178	2.457145	2.457143	2.449463	2.457155
beta_0^2	3.0	2.954909	2.954935	2.954923	2.954924	2.942093	2.954916
beta_x^2	1.5	1.448775	1.481918	1.451457	1.451896	1.420161	1.450891
beta_t^2	3.5	3.370851	3.370839	3.370842	3.370836	3.352603	3.370846
MLIK	NA	-8378.421490	-10554.654633	-8373.751226	-8345.385805	-8460.479877	-8435.561154
DIC	NA	12848.046465	19806.962333	12828.597370	12830.850387	12838.283823	12831.525107
WAIC	NA	12808.011012	19850.700034	12766.348677	12798.189518	12771.364590	12772.385227
CPO	NA	6764.142319	9961.687452	6783.885676	6731.922986	6784.445274	6780.338331



## Simulation 2: Models 3A1 &amp; 3B1

\$Model_3A1\$Model_3A1_df							
	true	Model_0	Model_2A	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	2.097735	2.097606	2.097727	2.097727	2.089939	2.097729
beta_x^1	4.0	4.003397	3.980725	4.002853	4.003129	4.002232	4.003454
beta_t^1	2.5	2.441049	2.441096	2.441041	2.441040	2.433857	2.441050
beta_0^2	3.0	2.982998	2.982961	2.982995	2.982988	2.979523	2.982987
beta_x^2	1.5	1.455043	1.476305	1.452601	1.452923	1.453170	1.452393
beta_t^2	3.5	3.306117	3.306132	3.306121	3.306113	3.304296	3.306120
MLIK	NA	-8485.784612	-10695.676210	-8455.652933	-8470.112374	-8525.897037	-8480.428140
DIC	NA	12915.629262	20175.325401	12874.087816	12893.804790	12904.742217	12887.659605
WAIC	NA	12866.076356	20218.309156	12815.501148	12852.513669	12855.291505	12840.831961
CPO	NA	6832.886151	10143.175916	6817.070009	6798.283022	6831.933536	6803.806640
\$Model_3B1\$Model_3B1_df							
	true	Model_0	Model_2A	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	2.097735	2.097585	2.097724	2.097751	2.089780	2.097729
beta_x^1	4.0	4.003409	3.983855	4.001807	4.014631	4.002131	4.003408
beta_t^1	2.5	2.441049	2.441091	2.441027	2.441008	2.434081	2.441052
beta_0^2	3.0	2.982996	2.982820	2.982996	2.982976	2.979989	2.982981
beta_x^2	1.5	1.454259	1.456724	1.452645	1.451898	1.447676	1.451559
beta_t^2	3.5	3.276508	3.276577	3.276500	3.276479	3.275273	3.276509
MLIK	NA	-8637.959223	-11115.931909	-8471.794750	-8526.312867	-8678.940036	-8629.961380
DIC	NA	12933.011639	21187.604996	12861.907384	13414.745128	12926.460545	12896.425117
WAIC	NA	12880.063870	21227.207876	12804.146016	13366.191114	12870.271504	12848.227873
CPO	NA	6856.409569	10639.158957	6801.257805	6954.081126	6857.957757	6816.796811

# Simulation 1

$N = 750$ ,  $n = 2$

Models 0, 1A, 2A, 2B, 2C1, 2C2, 3A1, 3B1

## Simulation 1: Models 0 &amp; 1A

\$Model_0\$Model_0_df		Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3B1
	true								
beta_0^1	2.0	2.062555	2.062551	2.062406	2.062524	2.062554	2.062547	2.058499	2.062551
beta_x^1	4.0	3.994878	3.995314	4.013629	3.998129	3.994915	3.995740	3.994106	3.995384
beta_t^1	2.5	2.529890	2.529896	2.530182	2.529940	2.529890	2.529903	2.522164	2.529898
beta_0^2	3.0	3.138011	3.138012	3.138134	3.138012	3.138044	3.138011	3.138730	3.138004
beta_x^2	1.5	1.481725	1.481527	1.466400	1.481810	1.477839	1.481640	1.484109	1.482418
beta_t^2	3.5	3.529583	3.529580	3.529364	3.529584	3.529526	3.529581	3.530829	3.529594
MLIK	NA	-6473.577169	-6517.192965	-6758.467139	-6540.734366	-6468.938935	-6423.443748	-6483.441570	-6493.339563
DIC	NA	11061.126033	-33486.369224	12434.664974	-33486.369217	-18794.330685	11148.574887	-13208.705298	-2850.634205
WAIC	NA	10978.342196	-34406.926848	12459.289241	-34406.926809	-18120.952881	11053.801535	-14225.961044	-3013.651656
CPO	NA	5962.388187	-14505.925676	6361.865738	-14505.925265	-6297.703708	5961.902173	-4414.884958	107.657495
\$Model_1A\$Model_1A_df		Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3B1
	true								
beta_0^1	2.0	1.947935	1.947892	1.947931	1.947934	1.947919	1.947934	1.940167	1.947929
beta_x^1	4.0	4.032881	4.038846	4.035294	4.033802	4.038607	4.033792	4.034340	4.035810
beta_t^1	2.5	2.545497	2.545544	2.545502	2.545506	2.545513	2.545506	2.543746	2.545504
beta_0^2	3.0	3.041790	3.041723	3.041807	3.041801	3.041817	3.041804	3.046431	3.041805
beta_x^2	1.5	1.519116	1.535394	1.514392	1.515780	1.510932	1.514896	1.520036	1.515016
beta_t^2	3.5	3.449960	3.450000	3.449947	3.449956	3.449938	3.449953	3.453001	3.449949
MLIK	NA	-6413.163102	-7395.154646	-6379.644607	-6236.679591	-6374.434150	-6311.517456	-6420.020553	-6398.109654
DIC	NA	12276.846445	-33486.368549	12307.525312	-33486.368669	12122.223687	8850.825051	12271.401998	12286.438357
WAIC	NA	12308.244717	-34406.925124	12333.652038	-34406.926257	12133.540017	8346.635439	12307.466984	12315.622331
CPO	NA	6247.565414	-14505.919316	6202.012515	-14505.923884	6153.812560	6009.408817	6197.178540	6202.414744

## Simulation 1: Models 2A &amp; 2B

\$Model\_2A\$Model\_2A\_df

	true	Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	1.955696	1.955707	1.955709	1.955706	1.955706	1.955708	1.950829	1.955707
beta_x^1	4.0	4.020166	4.017974	4.017217	4.017997	4.017823	4.017529	4.016688	4.017643
beta_t^1	2.5	2.531249	2.531243	2.531240	2.531243	2.531242	2.531241	2.530182	2.531242
beta_0^2	3.0	2.980848	2.980857	2.980860	2.980857	2.980860	2.980859	2.967714	2.980859
beta_x^2	1.5	1.519238	1.517028	1.516225	1.516982	1.516246	1.516328	1.486431	1.516397
beta_t^2	3.5	3.475404	3.475399	3.475396	3.475398	3.475396	3.475396	3.456185	3.475396
MLIK	NA	-5623.561814	-5619.900372	-5587.065983	-5480.472438	-5590.733690	-5603.848759	-5633.463099	-5605.276716
DIC	NA	9620.788523	-33486.369651	9784.697084	-33486.369667	9654.370843	9731.584817	9705.418215	9684.344051
WAIC	NA	9637.660147	-34406.927145	9802.080993	-34406.927165	9661.558879	9736.742625	9690.361150	9687.131617
CPO	NA	5083.410842	-14505.927214	5058.243902	-14505.927262	5061.136981	5061.755725	5060.561054	5060.202948

\$Model\_2B\$Model\_2B\_df

	true	Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	1.926926	1.926926	1.926934	1.926926	1.926917	1.926926	1.919334	1.926931
beta_x^1	4.0	4.034246	4.035865	4.033621	4.035664	4.038539	4.035717	4.031976	4.034335
beta_t^1	2.5	2.545501	2.545511	2.545497	2.545511	2.545515	2.545511	2.540654	2.545500
beta_0^2	3.0	3.006339	3.006346	3.006360	3.006346	3.006361	3.006348	3.000786	3.006358
beta_x^2	1.5	1.514451	1.513085	1.509271	1.513016	1.508440	1.512585	1.492554	1.510016
beta_t^2	3.5	3.449947	3.449948	3.449933	3.449948	3.449932	3.449947	3.438864	3.449935
MLIK	NA	-6849.963356	-6811.244393	-6819.210427	-6684.695111	-6806.489301	-6771.620631	-6864.370687	-6840.018325
DIC	NA	12610.671284	-33486.368685	12681.946793	-33486.368694	12285.088363	11899.246840	12648.105376	12652.690583
WAIC	NA	12637.450311	-34406.926271	12710.735544	-34406.926280	12257.484441	11839.618817	12680.988466	12676.876908
CPO	NA	6487.543230	-14505.924018	6463.083064	-14505.923997	6381.703660	6307.621430	6465.126718	6464.026157

## Simulation 1: Models 2C1 &amp; 2C2





\$Model_2C1\$Model_2C1_df									
	true	Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	1.955868	1.955875	1.955943	1.955877	1.955878	1.955873	1.951153	1.955865
beta_x^1	4.0	3.966042	3.964373	3.942856	3.963773	3.963621	3.965017	3.943641	3.967733
beta_t^1	2.5	2.607244	2.607234	2.607184	2.607232	2.607232	2.607236	2.603580	2.607248
beta_0^2	3.0	2.980952	2.980922	2.980967	2.980924	2.980929	2.980924	2.981361	2.980937
beta_x^2	1.5	1.486335	1.496275	1.482183	1.495729	1.494285	1.495670	1.483777	1.491427
beta_t^2	3.5	3.415953	3.415976	3.415949	3.415974	3.415970	3.415974	3.414693	3.415967
MLIK	NA	-6436.800701	-6434.293272	-6543.466389	-6419.819028	-6398.947179	-6388.958380	-6497.078009	-6449.312907
DIC	NA	11880.936110	-33486.368935	12445.207333	-33486.368935	10758.427525	11690.009414	12009.445033	11443.256350
WAIC	NA	11845.161995	-34406.926554	12479.318102	-34406.926546	10576.818072	11650.886131	11935.768859	11340.267668
CPO	NA	6185.831802	-14505.925115	6314.207829	-14505.925051	6092.884596	6084.854690	6195.681026	6157.586279
\$Model_2C2\$Model_2C2_df									
	true	Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	1.955796	1.955816	1.955897	1.955812	1.955814	1.955818	1.949278	1.955802
beta_x^1	4.0	3.987327	3.980462	3.956155	3.981061	3.982928	3.979929	3.983381	3.986389
beta_t^1	2.5	2.581123	2.581100	2.581044	2.581098	2.581106	2.581099	2.573173	2.581121
beta_0^2	3.0	2.980923	2.980898	2.980930	2.980877	2.980903	2.980902	2.980561	2.980919
beta_x^2	1.5	1.495044	1.503940	1.493211	1.506495	1.501500	1.502642	1.488733	1.496805
beta_t^2	3.5	3.382313	3.382331	3.382317	3.382327	3.382325	3.382327	3.379775	3.382317
MLIK	NA	-6471.173412	-6448.167363	-6679.595361	-6452.594694	-6439.453617	-6323.306268	-6503.568057	-6478.627661
DIC	NA	11470.117769	-33486.369206	12501.519073	-33486.369199	6396.364226	11217.180452	-1628.298306	-1861.511312
WAIC	NA	11407.622734	-34406.926862	12531.072933	-34406.926745	6439.324195	11176.406842	-2606.110799	-2243.681927
CPO	NA	6097.650133	-14505.925416	6370.785045	-14505.925079	5958.275713	5969.357302	212.923448	418.585437

## Simulation 1: Models 3A1 &amp; 3B1

\$Model_3A1\$Model_3A1_df									
	true	Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	1.955716	1.955703	1.955722	NA	1.955702	1.955694	1.951377	1.955701
beta_x^1	4.0	4.013707	4.016508	4.010417	NA	4.016969	4.019107	4.021408	4.017387
beta_t^1	2.5	2.480880	2.480884	2.480875	NA	2.480885	2.480892	2.475474	2.480891
beta_0^2	3.0	3.083040	3.083038	3.083150	NA	3.083050	3.083031	3.075170	3.083028
beta_x^2	1.5	1.506024	1.506122	1.470687	NA	1.502925	1.508065	1.498191	1.509141
beta_t^2	3.5	3.393346	3.393342	3.393263	NA	3.393335	3.393349	3.385820	3.393355
MLIK	NA	-6545.895839	-6548.561624	-6705.595984	NA	-6505.596862	-6541.381528	-6579.721299	-6547.787680
DIC	NA	11698.771557	-33486.369077	12577.732176	NA	-3355.980072	11673.875652	11355.651221	11267.087081
WAIC	NA	11630.697743	-34406.926731	12608.341609	NA	-3304.003118	11617.742379	11246.386888	11132.139616
CPO	NA	6167.753134	-14505.925633	6395.637957	NA	48.978159	6126.917631	6177.789901	6152.630117
\$Model_3B1\$Model_3B1_df									
	true	Model_0	Model_1A	Model_2A	Model_2B	Model_2C1	Model_2C2	Model_3A1	Model_3B1
beta_0^1	2.0	1.955716	1.956119	1.955716	1.955698	1.955696	1.955694	1.950879	1.955699
beta_x^1	4.0	4.013723	3.897429	4.011802	4.017805	4.018933	4.019703	4.022540	4.017945
beta_t^1	2.5	2.480880	2.480469	2.480879	2.480878	2.480881	2.480883	2.474315	2.480892
beta_0^2	3.0	3.083033	3.083536	3.083178	3.083046	3.083057	3.083044	3.079319	3.083025
beta_x^2	1.5	1.508197	1.365191	1.461668	1.503214	1.500601	1.504111	1.508898	1.510566
beta_t^2	3.5	3.355581	3.355086	3.355474	3.355557	3.355552	3.355560	3.354027	3.355590
MLIK	NA	-6703.762707	-7332.338520	-6876.675075	-6603.582489	-6576.167425	-6558.040635	-6735.277017	-6698.642638
DIC	NA	12054.506284	-33486.368881	13085.770921	-33486.368919	-3203.768879	11176.560685	11762.491301	11656.606677
WAIC	NA	11973.207654	-34406.925965	13118.930882	-34406.926552	-3444.098004	11046.685107	11637.582631	11493.347321
CPO	NA	6390.051954	-14505.922939	6621.167449	-14505.924678	-122.309984	6115.235178	6411.125399	6374.150934

# To-do

- Complete model configuration in INLA
  - ▶ Complete model 3: dependent copied random effects
  - ▶ Model 1: Inspect other residual error covariance structures
- Theoretical results
  - ▶ Give Likelihood for every model
  - ▶ Try to write them as LGM
- Implement models on Dataset
  - ▶ Open dataset
    - ★ Back to PBC?
  - ▶ Duchenne
  - ▶ COVID

-  H. Rue, S. Martino, Approximate Bayesian inference for latent Gaussian models by using integrated nested Laplace approximations, 2009, JRSS B
-  J. van Niekerk, H. Bakka, H. Rue, Joint models as latent Gaussian models - not reinventing the wheel, 2019, arXiv
-  J. van Niekerk, H. Bakka, H. Rue, Competing risks joint models using R-INLA, 2021, Statistical Modelling
-  R. Weiss, Modelling Longitudinal Data, 2005, Chapter 13