

Master Thesis Specification

Michal Staniaszek (michalst@kth.se)

Supervisor: John Folkesson

February 6, 2015

1 Problem Definition

In this project, we will study techniques for searching point clouds generated using RGB-D sensors such as the Microsoft Kinect. We are interested in being able to extract query objects from large clouds, such as those generated by a robot wandering around a corridor with offices. An important part of the task is that “interesting” objects are unknown. Thus, when analysing the cloud it will be necessary to find objects without any prior knowledge, which may require some form of segmentation — we don’t want to waste time defining walls and floors as objects, for example. It may be possible to get good results by simply removing planes from the cloud. To retrieve objects from the cloud it will be necessary to describe objects in some way and add them to a database in such a way that it is possible to distinguish between different objects. The specifics of query objects are variable, but they could be either sub-clouds from a single frame, or a full cloud generated by scanning the object. The former would be the ideal case but may complicate things. The query objects will probably be extracted manually from clouds, but this process could also be automated using segmentation. The final goal of the project is to have a system which can segment large clouds, extract features of some kind from the objects in the cloud, add them to a data structure, and then query the structure for features similar to the ones generated from a query object.

2 Literature Study

The literature study will use an initial pool of the papers cited in this section, and will expand its scope to other relevant papers found in the process. We will focus on 2D and 3D feature descriptors which can be used to compress the point clouds into more manageable sizes, and to use later in object queries [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Segmentation methods will also be studied in order to better understand the available techniques, but whether or not the project will make use of segmentation is unknown. It may be possible to combine 2D and 3D segmentation methods for better results [6, 7, 8]. In addition, we will look at methods for efficient storage and retrieval of feature descriptor data [9, 10].

3 Problem Solving Methods

For segmentation, some sort of oversegmentation and recombination or clustering methods such as k-means will be used. As mentioned, it may not be necessary to do the segmentation directly. Plane extraction can be done using built in ROS libraries which use RANSAC. It may be possible to use existing code for parts of the project which are not the main focus in order to save time.

4 Required Resources

- Ubuntu 14.04 machine with ROS install
- Dataset with large registered point cloud map, along with individual point clouds used to construct the map
- Access to Kinect/PrimeSense (maybe)

5 Boundaries

The project will be limited to finding query objects in the map. While it could be possible to put the system onto a working robot, this will probably not be necessary as the retrieval is the most focus of the project.

| Week # | Start date | Activity |
|--------|------------|---|
| 1 | Jan 19 | Gathering papers, developing understanding of project aims |
| 2 | Jan 26 | |
| 3 | Feb 2 | Literature study (writing introduction and background sections of final report) |
| 4 | Feb 9 | |
| 5 | Feb 16 | |
| 6 | Feb 23 | |
| 7 | March 2 | Dataset acquisition, system setup, understanding existing code (if any) |
| 8 | March 9 | Extraction of objects from the full cloud, initial naive method implementation |
| 9 | March 16 | Finish naive method, do some experiments |
| 10 | March 23 | Write up naive method in report, start more complex method |
| 11 | March 30 | Continue with more complex method |
| 12 | April 6 | complex method |
| 13 | April 13 | complex method |
| 14 | April 20 | complex method |
| 15 | April 27 | finish complex method, experiments |
| 16 | May 4 | Write up complex method |
| 17 | May 11 | report and presentation, method tweaks/improvements |
| 18 | May 18 | report and presentation, method tweaks/improvements |
| 19 | May 25 | report and presentation, method tweaks/improvements |
| 20 | Jun 1 | report and presentation, method tweaks/improvements |

Table 1: Timetable for thesis work

References

- [1] Kate Saenko et al. “Practical 3-D object detection using category and instance-level appearance models”. In: *Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), 2011 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on*. IEEE. 2011, pp. 793–800.
- [2] Kevin Lai et al. “A Scalable Tree-Based Approach for Joint Object and Pose Recognition.” In: *AAAI*. 2011.
- [3] Walter Wohlking and Markus Vincze. “Shape-based depth image to 3D model matching and classification with inter-view similarity”. In: *Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), 2011 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on*. IEEE. 2011, pp. 4865–4870.
- [4] C.A. Mueller, K. Pathak, and A. Birk. “Object recognition in RGBD images of cluttered environments using graph-based categorization with unsupervised learning of shape parts”. In: *Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), 2013 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on*. 2013, pp. 2248–2255.
- [5] Liefeng Bo, Xiaofeng Ren, and Dieter Fox. “Hierarchical matching pursuit for image classification: Architecture and fast algorithms”. In: *Advances in neural information processing systems*. 2011, pp. 2115–2123.
- [6] K. Haris et al. “Hybrid image segmentation using watersheds and fast region merging”. In: *Image Processing, IEEE Transactions on* 7.12 (1998), pp. 1684–1699.
- [7] H Woo et al. “A new segmentation method for point cloud data”. In: *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture* 42.2 (2002), pp. 167–178.
- [8] Tahir Rabbani, Frank van den Heuvel, and G Vosselmann. “Segmentation of point clouds using smoothness constraint”. In: *International Archives of Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences* 36.5 (2006), pp. 248–253.
- [9] David Nister and Henrik Stewenius. “Scalable recognition with a vocabulary tree”. In: *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2006 IEEE Computer Society Conference on*. Vol. 2. IEEE. 2006, pp. 2161–2168.
- [10] James Philbin et al. “Object retrieval with large vocabularies and fast spatial matching”. In: *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2007. CVPR’07. IEEE Conference on*. IEEE. 2007, pp. 1–8.