

#### (iv) Asymptotic consistency and distribution of MLE- $\hat{\theta}$

Under some weak assumptions  
 with most important:  $\forall \theta \neq \theta_0: l(\theta) < l(\theta_0) \Rightarrow$  MLE- $\hat{\theta}$  is consistent estimator of  $\theta_0$ :  $\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} \theta_0$

Under some appropriate weak assumptions:  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{D} N(0, F_{\theta_0}^{-1})$  thus asymptotically the MLE  
 thus approximately (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ )  $MLE-\hat{\theta} \approx N(\theta_0, F_{\theta_0}^{-1}/n)$

$\rightarrow$  converges at rate  $n^{-1/2}$  with radius to  $\theta_0$   
 $\rightarrow$  follows a normal distribution with  
 $\rightarrow$  variance  $V \equiv F_{\theta_0}^{-1}$

asymptotical (R Lower) Bound:  $(\text{if } \tilde{\theta}: \text{unbiased estimator}) \Rightarrow \text{var}[\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\theta} - \theta_0)] \geq F_{\theta_0}^{-1}$   $\Rightarrow$  The asymptotic variance of MLE- $\hat{\theta}$  is the best possible among all the unbiased estimators of  $\theta_0$

But the variance  $V \equiv F_{\theta_0}^{-1} \equiv H_{\theta_0}^{-1}$  is non-calculable as it requires the knowledge of  $\theta_0$  (that we are looking for!).  
 Thus we must replace it with appropriate consistent estimator  $\hat{V}$ :

(\*)  $H_{\theta_0} \equiv -\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} l(\theta) \big|_{\theta=\theta_0} \rightarrow \hat{H}_{\theta_0} \equiv -\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} l(\theta) \big|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}}$ , so  $\hat{V}_0 \equiv \hat{H}_{\theta_0}^{-1}$

$\hat{V}_1 \equiv \hat{H}_{\hat{\theta}}^{-1}$

(\*)  $H_{\theta_0} \equiv -\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} (E[\log f(X|\theta)]) \big|_{\theta=\theta_0} = E[-\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log f(X|\theta) \big|_{\theta=\theta_0}] \rightarrow \hat{H}_{\theta_0} \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log f(X_i|\theta) \big|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}} = -\frac{1}{n} \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} l_n(\theta) \big|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}}$ , so

(\*)  $F_{\theta_0} \equiv E[S^2] = E[(\frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(X|\theta) \big|_{\theta=\theta_0})^2] \rightarrow \hat{F}_{\theta_0} \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(X_i|\theta) \big|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}})^2$ , so  $\hat{V}_2 \equiv \hat{F}_{\theta_0}^{-1}$

All three estimators of  $V$  are consistent

$\begin{matrix} \rightarrow \hat{V}_0 & \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} & V \\ \rightarrow \hat{V}_1 & \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} & V \\ \rightarrow \hat{V}_2 & \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} & V \end{matrix}$