

Chapter 3

Variance reduction

3.1 Control Variate

Suppose we want to compute $m_X = \mathbb{E}(X)$

$$m_X = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \bar{X}_M \quad \left(\bar{X}_M = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^M X_k \right)$$

$$\text{CLT: } \sqrt{M}(\bar{X}_M - m_X) \xrightarrow{M \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}(0, \text{Var}(X))$$

So for all $\eta > 0$ (and M large enough)

$$\mathbb{P}\left(m_X \in \left[\bar{X}_M - \eta \sqrt{\frac{V_M}{M}}, \bar{X}_M + \eta \sqrt{\frac{V_M}{M}} \right]\right) \approx 2\Phi_0(\eta) - 1$$

(Φ_0 is the cumulative distribution function of $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$)

Imagine we have a target / prescribed accuracy $\varepsilon > 0$:
we want \bar{X}_M to be in a confidence interval $[m_X - \varepsilon, m_X + \varepsilon]$
with a confidence level $\alpha = 2\Phi_0(\eta) - 1$ (if we fix α , this prescribes the value of η). We need to perform a
 M -C simulation of size: $M \geq M^*(\varepsilon, \alpha) = \eta^2 \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{\varepsilon^2}$

(in practice, we replace $\text{Var}(X)$ by V_M).

This shows that, a confidence level being fixed, the size of a M -C simulation grows:

- linearly with the variance of X ,
- quadratically as the inverse of the target accuracy.

3.1.1. Control Variate

Imagine we have a random variable $\Xi \in L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ such that:

- i) $\mathbb{E}(\Xi)$ is known (or can be computed at a low cost)
- ii) The r.v. $X - \Xi$ can be simulated (with same cost as X)
- iii) $\text{Var}(X - \Xi) < \text{Var}(X)$

Then Ξ is called a control variate for X .

Example: using parity equations to produce control variates

$$\begin{cases} S_t = \text{risky asset} \\ S_t^0 = e^{rt}, \text{ the riskless asset} \quad (S_0^0 = s_0) \end{cases}$$

We work under the risk-neutral probability:

$$(e^{-rt} S_t)_{t \in [0, T]} \text{ is a martingale on } (\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$$

Vanilla Call-Put parity ($d=1$)

$$\begin{cases} \text{Call}_0(k, T) = e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}((S_T - k)_+) \\ \text{Put}_0(k, T) = e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}((k - S_T)_+) \end{cases}$$

$$(S_T - k)_+ - (k - S_T)_+ = S_T - k$$

$$\text{Let us set: } X := e^{-rT} (S_T - k)_+; \quad X' = e^{-rT} (k - S_T)_+ + e^{-rT} k$$

$$\text{We have: } \text{Call}_0(k, T) - \text{Put}_0(k, T) = s_0 - e^{-rT} k$$

$$\text{We have: } \text{Call}_0(k, T) = \mathbb{E}(X) = \mathbb{E}(X') \text{ so we set}$$

$$\Xi = X - X' = e^{-rT} k - s_0 \quad (\text{so } \mathbb{E}(\Xi) = 0)$$

$$\text{We compute: } \overline{V}_X, \overline{V}_{X'}. \text{ Imagine } \overline{V}_{X'} \leq \overline{V}_X.$$

$$\text{Then we estimate } \mathbb{E}(X - \Xi) = \mathbb{E}(X') \text{ by } \overline{X}'_n$$

$$\text{and estimate } \mathbb{E}(X) \text{ by } \overline{X}'_n + \mathbb{E}(\Xi)$$

3.2. Rao-Blackwell method (in a simple case)

Assume that $X = g(z_1, z_2)$, $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2), \mathbb{P}_{z_1, z_2})$

with z_1, z_2 two independent vectors. Set

$\mathcal{B} = \sigma(z_2)$. We have:

$$\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{B})) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{B})^2) - \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{B}))^2$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X^2|\mathcal{B})) - \mathbb{E}(X)^2$$

$$(\text{convexity}) \leq \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(X^2|\mathcal{B})) - \mathbb{E}(X)^2$$

$$= \text{Var}(X)$$

We have $\mathbb{E}(X) = \mathbb{E}(G(z_2))$

where $G(z_2) = \mathbb{E}(g(z_1, z_2) | z_2 = z_2)$

We suppose that we have a closed-form expression for h
 if z_2 can be simulated with the same complexity as X

then the Π -C method associated to $\mathbb{E}(G(z_2))$ has a lower variance than the Π -C method associated to $\mathbb{E}(X)$.

Example: Exchange spread options.

$$X_T^i = x_i \exp\left((r - \frac{\sigma_i^2}{2})T + \sigma_i W_T^i\right) \quad x_i, \sigma_i > 0 \quad (i=1,2)$$

two Brownian motions: W_t^i ($i=1,2$) with correlation $\rho > 0$

Exchange spread option with payoff: $h_T = (X_T^1 - X_T^2 - K)_+$
 $(W_T^1, W_T^2) = \sqrt{T}(\sqrt{1-\rho^2} z_1 + \rho z_2, z_1)$ avec $(z_1, z_2) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_2)$

$$e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}(h_T | z_2) = e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}((X_T^1 - X_T^2 - K)_+ | z_2)$$

$$= \text{Call}_{BS}(x_1 e^{-\frac{\sigma_1^2 T}{2} + r_1 \sqrt{T} z_2}, x_2 e^{\frac{(\sigma_2^2 - \sigma_1^2)T}{2} + r_2 \sqrt{T} z_2} + K)$$

init. price

strike

interest rate

vol.

maturity

So we have an explicit formula for $E(h_T | Z_1)$.
 We have: Premium_{BS} ($x_1, x_2, K, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, r, T$)

$$= E(E(e^{-rT} h_T | Z_1))$$

3.3 Stratified sampling

We want to compute an integral of the form $I = E(g(X))$.
 The r.v. X has a density f (say, with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d). We set: $\sigma = \text{Var}(g(X))$

Suppose D is partitioned into D_1, D_2, \dots, D_m . We can
 re-write: $I = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i I_i$ where $\begin{cases} p_i = P(X \in D_i) \\ I_i = E(g(X) | X \in D_i) \end{cases}$

Let Π be the global "budget" allocated to the computation
 of I . We split this budget into m groups by setting:

$$\Pi_i = q_i \Pi$$

to be the allocated budget to compute $E(g(X) | X \in D_i)$.
 This leads us to define the following (unbiased)
 estimator:

$$\widehat{g(X)}_m := \sum_{i=1}^m p_i \times \frac{1}{\Pi_i} \sum_{k=1}^{\Pi_i} E(g(X_k^{(i)})) \quad \begin{matrix} \hookrightarrow \text{i.i.d. of law} \\ \mathcal{L}(X | X \in D_i) \end{matrix}$$

Then, elementary computation shows that

$$\text{Var}(\widehat{g(X)}_m) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{p_i^2}{q_i} \sigma_i^2 \quad \text{where:}$$

$$\sigma_i^2 = \text{Var}(g(X) | X \in D_i)$$

We want to solve the following optimization problem:

$$\min_{(q_i) \in \mathcal{J}} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{p_i^2}{q_i} \sigma_i^2 \quad \text{where } \mathcal{J} = \left\{ (q_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m} \in (0,1)^m \right. \\ \left. \text{s.t. } \sum_{i=1}^m q_i = 1 \right\}$$

We have :

$$\sum_{i=1}^m p_i \sigma_i = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{p_i \sigma_i}{\sqrt{q_i}} \sqrt{q_i} \stackrel{\text{Cauchy-Schwarz}}{\leq} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{p_i^2 \sigma_i^2}{q_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m q_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \boxed{19}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{p_i^2 \sigma_i^2}{q_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times 1$$

And we know we have equality in $*$ if and only if

$$\exists \lambda \text{ s.t. } \forall i: \lambda \frac{p_i \sigma_i}{\sqrt{q_i}} = \sqrt{q_i}$$

$$\text{i.e.: } \lambda p_i \sigma_i = q_i$$

$$\text{as } \sum_{i=1}^m q_i = 1, \text{ this leads to: equality } \Leftrightarrow q_i = \frac{p_i \sigma_i}{\sum_{j=1}^m p_j \sigma_j} =: q_i^*$$

Remark: The σ_i^2 may not be known explicitly. This makes the implementation less straightforward.

With $q_i = q_i^*$, we get the minimal variance:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{p_i^2}{q_i^*} \sigma_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j \sigma_j \right) p_i \sigma_i = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m p_i \sigma_i \right)^2$$

We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(g(X)) &= \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^m g(X) \mathbb{1}_{D_i}(X) \right)^2 \right) - \mathbb{E}(g(X))^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^m g(X) \mathbb{1}_{D_i}(X) \right)^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m p_i \mathbb{E}(g(X) | X \in D_i) \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E}(g(X)^2 \mathbb{1}_{D_i}(X)) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m p_i \mathbb{E}(g(X) | X \in D_i) \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ p_i \mathbb{E}(g(X)^2 | X \in D_i) - p_i \mathbb{E}(g(X) | X \in D_i)^2 \right\} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^m p_i \mathbb{E}(g(X) | X \in D_i)^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^m p_i \mathbb{E}(g(X) | X \in D_i) \right)^2}_{\geq 0 \text{ by Hinkovski's Inequality}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } \text{Var}(g(X)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \{ p_i \mathbb{E}(g(X)^2 | X \in D_i) - p_i \mathbb{E}(g(X) | X \in D_i)^2 \}$$

$$\geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^m p_i (\mathbb{E}(g(X)^2 | X \in D_i) - \mathbb{E}(g(X) | X \in D_i)^2) \right)^{1/2}$$

again Plinkovski's Inequality

remember if $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1, \lambda_i \geq 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x_i^2 \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x_i \right)^2$$

(It is also a convexity inequality)

$$\text{So } \text{Var}(g(X)) \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^m p_i r_i \right)^2$$

3.4 Importance sampling

We want to compute $\mathbb{E}(h(X))$ where X has a density $f: (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+))$ with respect to some reference measure μ .

We have (first approximation):

$$\mathbb{E}(h(X)) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^M h(X_k) \quad \textcircled{1}$$

ind. copies of X

For any probability density function g , we have:

$$\mathbb{E}(h(X)) = \int h(x) f(x) \mu(dx)$$

$$= \int \frac{h(x) f(x)}{g(x)} \cdot g(x) \mu(dx)$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{h(Y) f(Y)}{g(Y)} \right) \text{ with } Y \text{ of density } g$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{h(Y_k) f(Y_k)}{g(Y_k)} \quad \textcircled{2}$$

ind. copies of Y

In practice

* We need to be able to compute $\frac{h(x)f(x)}{g(x)}$ for all x .

* We need to be able to make a simulation of Y at a reasonable cost (same as for X). | 2 |

* Comparing the variances of methods ① and ② amounts to comparing the squared quadratic norms (since they have same expectation). So the new method is interesting if

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\left(\frac{h(Y) f(Y)}{g(Y)} \right)^2 \right) < \mathbb{E} (h(X)^2)$$

$$= \int \frac{h(y)^2 f(y)^2}{g(y)^2} \times g(y) \mu(dy)$$

$$= \int \frac{h(y)^2 f(y)}{g(y)} \times f(y) \mu(dy)$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{h(X)^2 f(X)}{g(X)} \right)$$

We have: $\mathbb{E} (h(X)^2)^2 = \left(\int \frac{h(x) f(x)}{\sqrt{g(x)}} \sqrt{g(x)} \mu(dx) \right)^2$

(C-S) $\leq \int \frac{(h(x) f(x))^2}{g(x)^2} g(x) \mu(dx)$

$= \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\frac{h(Y) f(Y)}{g(Y)} \right)^2 \right)$ $\times \int g(x) \mu(dx)$

with equality if and only if

$$g(x) \propto \frac{(h(x) f(x))^2}{g(x)}$$

"proportional to"

as g is a density, this means $g(x) = \frac{h(x) f(x)}{\int f(u) h(u) \mu(du)}$

$$= \frac{h(x) f(x)}{\mathbb{E} (h(X))}$$

This cannot be attained because we do not know $\mathbb{E} (h(X))$.

3.4.1 Parametric importance sampling

22

Example: We have a Black-Scholes model

$$\text{with } X_T^x = x e^{rT + \sigma \sqrt{T} Z}$$

$$= x e^{rT + \sigma \sqrt{T} Z}$$

→ density f
($Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$)

($x > 0, r > 0, \rho = r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}$). Then the premium of an option with payoff $h: (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ reads:

$$e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}(h(X_T^x))$$

$$\parallel$$
$$\mathbb{E}(Y(Z))$$

$$\parallel$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} Y(\beta) e^{-\beta^2/2} \frac{d\beta}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$

$$(Y(\beta) := e^{-rT} h(x e^{rT + \sigma \sqrt{T} \beta}))$$

We introduce a variable $Y_\theta \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, 1)$, density g_θ .

We can rewrite:

$$\mathbb{E}(Y(Z)) = \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{Y(Y_\theta) f(Y_\theta)}{g_\theta(Y_\theta)}\right) \quad (f \text{ density of } Z)$$

We want to find θ minimizing:

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{Y(Z)^2 f(Z)}{g_\theta(Z)}\right)$$

$$\hookrightarrow \int \frac{Y(\beta)^2 e^{-\beta^2/2}}{e^{-(\beta-\theta)^2/2}} d\beta$$

3.4.2 Heuristic approach

* In the above example, suppose:

$$Y(\beta) = e^{-rT} (x e^{rT + \sigma \sqrt{T} \beta} - K)_+$$

with $x \ll K$ (deep-out-of-the-money option)

For most simulations of Z : $Y(Z(\omega)) = 0$

The idea is to "re-center the simulation" of X_T^x around K by replacing z by $z + \theta$ where θ satisfies $\mathbb{E}(x \exp(rT + \sigma\sqrt{T}(z + \theta))) = K$

as $\mathbb{E}(X_T^x) = x e^{rT}$, this leads to

$$\theta = - \frac{\log(x/K) + rT}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}$$

* As the ideal density is $g^*(x) = \frac{h(x)f(x)}{\mathbb{E}(h(X))}$, we

can look for a density $g \propto h \cdot f$ from which we are able to simulate a random variable.

3.4.3 Computing The Value-At-Risk

Let X be a real-valued r.v. (representing a loss). We suppose X has a continuous distribution ($F(x) := \mathbb{P}(X \leq x)$ is continuous). For a given confidence level $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ (close to 1), the value-at-risk at level α ($\text{VaR}_{\alpha, X}$) is any real number satisfying:

$$\mathbb{P}(X \leq \text{VaR}_{\alpha, X}) = \alpha \in (0, 1) \quad \boxed{\text{VaR}}$$

Naive approach: estimate the empirical distribution function at some points ξ (on a grid $P := \{\xi_i, i \in I\}$), namely

$$\widehat{F(\xi)}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{X_k \leq \xi} \quad (\xi \in P)$$

↳ iid sequence of X -distributed r.v.

We need to simulate extreme values of X since α is close to 1 (so the variance will not be good).

Assume, for example, that:

$$X = \varphi(z), \quad z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

$$\text{Then } \mathbb{P}(X \leq \xi) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_{\varphi(z) \leq \xi})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int \mathbb{1}_{\varphi(y) \leq \xi} \frac{e^{-y^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dy \\
 \text{(change of variable)} \quad &= \int \mathbb{1}_{\varphi(u+\theta) \leq \xi} \frac{e^{-(u+\theta)^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} du \\
 &= e^{-\theta^2/2} \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_{\varphi(z+\theta) \leq \xi} e^{-\theta z}) \\
 &= e^{\theta^2/2} \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_{\varphi(z+\theta) \leq \xi} e^{-\theta(z+\theta)})
 \end{aligned}$$

So Equation VaR becomes:

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_{\varphi(z+\theta) \leq \text{VaR}_{\alpha, X}} e^{-\theta z}) = e^{\theta^2/2} \alpha$$

We now want θ to minimize the variance in the neighborhood of $\text{VaR}_{\alpha, X}$.

Idea: if we can approximate correctly $x \mapsto \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_{\varphi(z+\theta) \leq x} e^{-\theta z})$ in the neighborhood of $\text{VaR}_{\alpha, X}$ then it will be easier to find $\text{VaR}_{\alpha, X}$

3.5. Antithetic variables

- i) Symmetric random variable $z \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} -z$
- ii) $[0, 1]$ -valued random variable such that $z \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} 1-z$

Example: We want to compute $\mathbb{E}(\varphi(z))$, $z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\varphi(z) + \varphi(-z)}{2}\right) = \mathbb{E}(\varphi(z))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E\left(\left(\frac{Y(z) + Y(-z)}{2}\right)^2\right) &= E\left(\frac{Y(z)^2 + Y(-z)^2 + 2Y(z)Y(-z)}{4}\right) \quad \left[\frac{25}{2} \right] \\
 &= \frac{E(Y(z)^2)}{2} + \frac{E(Y(z)Y(-z))}{2} \\
 &\leq \frac{E(Y(z)^2)}{2} + \frac{E(Y(z)^2)^{1/2} E(Y(-z)^2)^{1/2}}{2} \\
 &= E(Y(z)^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

So the variance is reduced