# ROBOTIC OFF-AXIS FUSED FILAMENT FABRICATION

Gerardo A. Mazzei Capote

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science (Mechanical Engineering)

at the

University of Wisconsin-Madison

2018

Final Oral Examination: August 11th, 2018

## Approval

The following thesis, Robotic Off-Axi	*	veloped
at the University of Wisconsin-Mad	ison has been approved by:	
Signature	Date	

Professor Tim A. Osswald
Department of Mechanical Engineering
College of Engineering
University of Wisconsin-Madison

#### Abstract

Yada Yada Yada

#### Acknowledgments

Thank you Prof. Osswald and Prof. Rudolph for your trust and patience. I couldn't hope for better advisors.

Thank you Tom for your extrusion expertise and polymer knowledge. I suppose the sass was OK too.

Thank you Luke for building the tools necessary for this whole project to work. And for answering all my random grammar questions.

Thank you Alec for being an ever-present helping hand, sounding board, and a great classmate. Hope you make ND proud.

Thank you to Thibaut, Colby and Brendan. This thesis would look like very different without your contributions.

Thank you to the entire PEC family for creating a great learning environment. I've grown so much with all of you. I hope that you've learned a thing or two with me as well.

Thank you to Ben, Josh, Diego, Iván and José for your friendship. Madison is an amazing city but you made it a lot more fun. I wish you the best, wherever your future leads you.

Gracias a mi familia, a quienes les debo todo.

Gracias Sonya por tu cariño, por tus consejos y por tu madurez. Te quiero.

### Table of Contents

Fr	cont Matter	j
	Abstract	j
	Acknowledgments	i
	Nomenclature	iv
1	Introduction	1

# Symbols and Acronyms

#### Acronyms

AM Additive Manufacturing

CAD Computer Aided Design

FDM Fused Deposition Modeling  $^{\mathrm{TM}}$ 

FFF Fused Filament Fabrication

RP Rapid Prototyping

#### 1 Introduction

Additive Manufacturing (AM) is an umbrella term that encompasses all fabrication techniques where the final geometry of the part is obtained through superposition of material in a layer-by-layer basis [1]. Developed in the 1980s, this manufacturing technique permits immensely shorter part development cycles, since the transition from a 3D Computer Aided Design (CAD) to part fabrication only requires one intermediate step: the use of a slicing engine that converts the geometry of the object into machine instructions [1]. For this reason, AM technologies were initially employed exclusively for prototype development and were referred to as Rapid Prototyping techniques (RP). However, recent innovations in the field have caused AM to be perceived as a legitimate manufacturing technology since it is also capable of reproducing complex geometries unattainable through other means [1].

While offering great advantages over traditional part fabrication methods, AM comes with its own set of limitations and disadvantages: First and foremost, the use of a stratified build approach tends to produce extremely anisotropic parts. Secondly, the geometric accuracy of the object produced is highly dependent of process parameters, particularly of the thickness of the layers. Finally, as of the time of this writing, AM lacks the standardization and scrutiny that are associated to most traditional manufacturing techniques [1].

Fused Filament Fabrication (FFF), also known under the trademark Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM<sup>TM</sup>), represents perhaps the most prevalent AM technique in the market due to the advent of low-cost, desktop 3D printers in the early 2010s [2]. Due to the broad availability of machines and relatively low costs of material, there's a surging interest in optimizing FFF to produce small batches of end-user grade parts. Success stories are varied, but examples include vacuum form molds, fixtures, jigs, and tools used to aid assembly lines in the automotive industry [3, 4, 5]. However, this technology still faces the challenges and limitations that currently affect the field of AM as a whole. Namely, anisotropy introduced through the layer-by-layer build approach makes it difficult to assess the expected mechanical behavior of FFF parts when subjected to important mechanical stresses [2]. For these reasons, multiple attempts have been made to characterize the anisotropy of FFF objects. Recent studies performed by Koch et al. [6] and Rankouhi et al. [7] show that the ultimate tensile strength of FFF coupons is sensitive to process parameters such as the layer thickness and, in particular, the orientation in which the plastic strands are laid during the build process

2 1. Introduction

-henceforth referred to as the bead orientation. However, literature related to preventing failure through design is scarce, given the difficulty of using commercially available FFF machines to produce test coupons with unconventional bead orientations, as well as the limitations inherent to commonly used failure criteria that make it difficult to develop an accurate failure surface.

This research applies a novel criterion, tailored for anisotropic materials, to develop a failure surface for FFF parts through mechanical testing of coupons under various types of loading conditions. Certain test specimens were produced using a unique, in house developed off-axis 3D printer that allowed production of coupons in unconventional configurations. Such surface can be an invaluable tool in part design, since catastrophic failure can be prevented in the early stages of part development. This could potentially allow a broader embrace of FFF as a legitimate manufacturing technique in highly demanding engineering fields, such as the aerospace or automotive industries -where part failure is to be avoided at all costs.

This work offers a comprehensive overview of AM technologies and FFF in Chapter 2. Chapter 3 details the failure criterion used, as well as outlining its advantages over similar criteria. Chapters 4 through? detail the experimental setup followed, as well as outlining noteworthy results. Finally, Conclusions and Recommendations are given in Chapter?? in the hopes of guiding future work on the topic.

#### Bibliography

- Ian Gibson, David Rosen, and Brent Stucker. Additive Manufacturing Technologies. 2nd Ed. Springer, 2015. ISBN: 978-1-4939-2112-6. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4939-2113-3. URL: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4939-2113-3.
- [2] G A Mazzei Capote et al. "Towards a Robust Production of FFF End-User Parts with Improved Tensile Properties". In: *Proceedings of the 28th Annual International Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium*. Austin, TX, 2017, pp. 507-518. URL: http://sffsymposium.engr.utexas.edu/sites/default/files/2017/Manuscripts/TowardsaRobustProductionofFFFEndUserParts.pdf.
- [3] Cole Hartman and Veronica de la Rosa. Benefits of 3D Printing Vacuum Form Molds. 2014. URL: http://studiofathom.com/wp-content/uploads/Vacuum-Forming-White-Paper-F001-5-1-2014.pdf (visited on 06/02/2018).
- [4] Luke Van Hulle. "Robotic Off-Axis Fused Filament Fabrication". Master Thesis. University of Wisconsin-Madison, 2017.
- [5] Caspar de Vries. Volkswagen Autoeuropa: Maximizing production efficiency with 3D printed tools, jigs, and fixtures. 2017. URL: https://ultimaker.com/en/stories/43969-volkswagen-autoeuropa-maximizing-production-efficiency-with-3d-printed-tools-jigs-and-fixtures (visited on 02/02/2018).
- [6] Carsten Koch, Luke Van Hulle, and Natalie Rudolph. "Investigation of mechanical anisotropy of the fused filament fabrication process via customized tool path generation". In: *Additive Manufacturing* 16 (2017), pp. 138–145. ISSN: 22148604. DOI: 10.1016/j.addma.2017.06.003. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2017.06.003.
- [7] Behzad Rankouhi et al. "Failure Analysis and Mechanical Characterization of 3D Printed ABS With Respect to Layer Thickness and Orientation". In: *Journal of Failure Analysis and Prevention* 16.3 (2016), pp. 467–481. ISSN: 15477029. DOI: 10.1007/s11668-016-0113-2.