DEFINING A FAILURE SURFACE FOR FUSED FILAMENT FABRICATION PARTS USING A NOVEL FAILURE CRITERION

Gerardo A. Mazzei Capote

A report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy (Mechanical Engineering)

at the

University of Wisconsin-Madison

2020

Approval

The following thesis, Defining a failure surface for FFF parts using a failure criterion, developed at the University of Wisconsin-Madison has	
approved by:	

Date

Professor Tim A. Osswald

Signature

Department of Mechanical Engineering College of Engineering University of Wisconsin-Madison

Abstract

Fused Filament Fabrication (FFF) is arguably the most widely available Additive Manufacturing technology at the moment. Offering the possibility of producing complex geometries in a compressed product development cycle and in a plethora of materials, it comes as no surprise that FFF is attractive to multiple industries, including the automotive and aerospace segments. However, the high anisotropy of parts developed through this technique implies that part failure prediction is extremely difficult—a requirement that must be satisfied to guarantee the safety of the final user. For this reason, this work applies a novel criterion to define a failure surface that could prove an invaluable tool in formalizing the embrace of FFF in industry, since part failure prediction can finally be performed. Multiple mechanical tests are executed on coupons developed in a traditional FFF printer, as well as a customized, 6-axis robotic printer necessary to produce specimens in out of ordinary orientations. The results of these tests are used to populate the parameters of the mathematical function that describes the failure envelope. Results indicate strong interaction between axial loads, and a considerable interaction between shear stresses and loads applied in a direction perpendicular to the beads. The interaction between shear stresses and axial loads in the bead direction was different than zero, but smaller than expected.

Keywords: FFF, FDM, Failure Criteria, Off-axis Printing, Mechanical Testing.

Table of Contents

Front Matter	i
Abstract	i
Nomenclature	ii
List of Figures	iii
List of Tables	iv
ntroduction	1
Bibliography	3

List of Figures

List of Tables

Introduction

Additive Manufacturing (AM) is an umbrella term that encompasses all fabrication techniques where the final geometry of the part is obtained through superposition of material in a layer-by-layer basis [1]. Developed in the 1980s, this manufacturing technique permits immensely shorter part development cycles, since the transition from a 3D Computer Aided Design (CAD) to part fabrication only requires one intermediate step: the use of a slicing engine that converts the geometry of the object into machine instructions [1]. For this reason, AM technologies were initially employed exclusively for prototype development and were referred to as Rapid Prototyping techniques (RP). However, recent innovations in the field have caused AM to be considered as a legitimate manufacturing technology since it is also capable of reproducing complex geometries unattainable through traditional methods [1].

While offering great advantages over traditional part fabrication methods, AM comes with its own set of limitations and disadvantages: First and foremost, the use of a stratified build approach tends to produce extremely anisotropic parts. Secondly, the geometric accuracy of the object produced is highly dependent of process parameters, particularly, the thickness of the layers. Finally, as of the time of this writing, AM lacks the standardization and scrutiny that are associated to most traditional manufacturing techniques [1].

Fused Filament Fabrication (FFF), also known under the trademark Fused Deposition Modeling (FDMTM), represents perhaps the most prevalent AM technique in the market due to the advent of low-cost, desktop 3D printers in the early 2010s [2]. Due to the broad availability of machines and relatively low costs of material, there is a surging interest in optimizing FFF to produce small batches of end-user grade parts. Success stories are varied, but examples include vacuum form molds, fixtures, jigs, and tools used to aid assembly lines in the automotive industry [3, 4, 5]. However, this technology still faces the challenges and limitations that currently affect the field of AM as a whole. Namely, anisotropy introduced through the layer-by-layer build approach makes it difficult to assess the expected mechanical behavior of FFF parts when subjected to important mechanical stresses [2]. For these reasons, multiple attempts have been made to characterize the anisotropy of FFF manufactured objects. Recent studies performed by Koch et al. [6] and Rankouhi et al. [7] show that the ultimate tensile strength of FFF coupons is sensitive to process parameters such as the layer thickness and, in particular, the orientation in which the plastic strands are laid during

2 Introduction

the build process -henceforth referred to as the bead orientation. However, literature related to preventing failure through design is scarce, given the difficulty of using commercially available FFF machines to produce test coupons with unconventional bead orientations, as well as the limitations inherent to commonly used failure criteria that make it difficult to develop an accurate failure surface.

This research applies a novel criterion, tailored for anisotropic materials, to develop a failure surface for FFF parts through mechanical testing of coupons under various types of loading conditions. Certain test specimens were produced using a unique off-axis 3D printer developed in-house that allows production of coupons in unconventional configurations. Such a surface can be an invaluable tool in part design, since catastrophic failure can be prevented in the early stages of part development. This could potentially allow a broader embrace of FFF as a legitimate manufacturing technique in highly demanding engineering fields, such as the aerospace or automotive industries where part failure is to be avoided at all costs.

This work offers a comprehensive overview of AM technologies, FFF and shortcomings of current failure criteria in Chapter ??. Chapter ?? details the failure criterion used throughout this work, as well as outlining its advantages over similar models. Chapters ?? through ?? detail the experimental setup followed, as well as outlining noteworthy results. Finally, conclusions and recommendations are given in Chapter ?? in the hopes of guiding future work on the topic.

Bibliography

- [1] Ian Gibson, David Rosen, and Brent Stucker. Additive Manufacturing Technologies. 2nd Ed. Springer, 2015. ISBN: 978-1-4939-2112-6. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4939-2113-3. URL: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4939-2113-3.
- [2] G A Mazzei Capote, A Redmann, C Koch, and N Rudolph. "Towards a Robust Production of FFF End-User Parts with Improved Tensile Properties". In: *Proceedings of the 28th Annual International Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium*. Austin, TX, 2017, pp. 507-518. URL: http://sffsymposium.engr.utexas.edu/sites/default/files/2017/Manuscripts/TowardsaRobustProductionofFFFEndUserParts.pdf.
- [3] Cole Hartman and Veronica de la Rosa. Benefits of 3D Printing Vacuum Form Molds. 2014. URL: http://studiofathom.com/wp-content/uploads/Vacuum-Forming-White-Paper-F001-5-1-2014.pdf (visited on 02/06/2018).
- [4] Luke Van Hulle. "Robotic Off-Axis Fused Filament Fabrication". Master Thesis. University of Wisconsin-Madison, 2017.
- [5] Caspar de Vries. Volkswagen Autoeuropa: Maximizing production efficiency with 3D printed tools, jigs, and fixtures. 2017. URL: https://ultimaker.com/en/stories/43969-volkswagen-autoeuropa-maximizing-production-efficiency-with-3d-printed-tools-jigs-and-fixtures (visited on 02/02/2018).
- [6] Carsten Koch, Luke Van Hulle, and Natalie Rudolph. "Investigation of mechanical anisotropy of the fused filament fabrication process via customized tool path generation". In: *Additive Manufacturing* 16 (2017), pp. 138–145. ISSN: 22148604. DOI: 10.1016/j.addma.2017.06.003. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2017.06.003.
- [7] Behzad Rankouhi, Sina Javadpour, Fereidoon Delfanian, and Todd Letcher. "Failure Analysis and Mechanical Characterization of 3D Printed ABS With Respect to Layer Thickness and Orientation". In: *Journal of Failure Analysis and Prevention* 16.3 (2016), pp. 467–481. ISSN: 15477029. DOI: 10.1007/s11668-016-0113-2.