

Definition 1. For a parameter n , Let $L \subset \mathbb{N}^+$ be the set of non-periodic words over the alphabet $\Sigma = \mathbb{N}$ that are bigger in Arabic (right-to-left) lexicographical order than all of their rotations. Let L_n be the set of all the words in L whose length divides n .

Definition 2. For a word $w = w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}w_n$ let $R(w) = w_n w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}$ be the rotation of w to the right. Then, the nested invocation $R^m(w)$ is the m letter rotation to the right and its inverse $R^{-m}(w)$ is the m letter rotation to the left.

1 Forward and backwards transformations

Definition 3. For a word w whose length is smaller or equal than n , let $f(w)$ be the transformation defined by successive applications of the following steps to w :

- f_1 : Increase the first letter of the word by one.
- f_2 : Pad with zeros on the left to get a word of length n .
- f_3 : Apply the substitution rules $u(vu)^+ \mapsto vu$ and then $w^+ \mapsto w$, with the longest possible u and the shortest possible w .

Definition 4. For a word w whose length is smaller or equal than n , let $b(w)$ be the transformation defined by successive applications of the following steps to w :

- b_1 : Expand w to uw^m where $m = \lfloor n/|w| \rfloor$ and u is the suffix of length $n - m|w|$ of w .
- b_2 : Remove leading zeros.
- b_3 : Decrease the first letter by one.

Observation 1. For any $w \in L_n$, $f(b(w)) = b(f(w)) = w$.

Proposition 2. *If we start with $w(0) = 0$ and generate a sequence of words by $w(i+1) = f(w(i))$, we get an enumeration of all the words in L whose length is smaller or equal to n .*

Proof. This is a version of Duval's algorithm with a reversed order of the alphabet and a reversed order of letters in a word. \square

Definition 5. Let $f^*(w)$ be the first word in $f(w), f(f(w)), \dots$ whose length divides n and, similarly, let $b^*(w)$ be the first word in $b(w), b(b(w)), \dots$ whose length divides n .

Definition 6. Let $w(0), w(1), \dots$ be the sequence generated by starting with $w(0) = 0$ and then continuing ad infinitum by $w(i+1) = f^*(w(i))$ and let $w^\infty \in \mathbb{N}^\omega$ be the concatenation of all these words.

2 Where can I find w as a sub-word of w^∞ ?

In this section we point at the position of an arbitrary word w as a sub-word of w^∞ relative to the position of the a corresponding word in L_n . This is given in Proposition 6 and in Proposition 7. Towards the proofs of these propositions, we first establish some technical results about the functions b and b^* specified, respectively, in Definition 4 and in Definition 5.

Proposition 3. *If $w \in L_n$ and $|w| \neq n$ then $b(w) = uw$ for some non-empty word u .*

Proof. The first transformation b_1 extends w to the left producing the word $b_1(w) = uw^m$ where u is a tail of w . Since $w \in L_n$ and because it contains a letter σ that is not zero, we have, by maximality of w among its rotations in right-to-left lexicographical order, that its last letter is not zero. The last letter of u is the last letter of w so it is also not zero. This gives us that the next transformation b_2 , that deletes trailing zeros, leaves at least the last copy of w and the last letter of the before-last (full or partial) copy at the tail of $b_1(w)$. Thus, $b_2(b_1(w)) = uw$ where u is a non-empty word whose first letter is not zero. Then, the last transformation b_3 only decreases the first letter of u by one which gives us that $b(w) = b_3(b_2(b_1(w))) = vw$ for some non-empty word v . \square

Proposition 4. *For any $w = 0^l \sigma \hat{w} \in L_n$ where σ is a non-zero letter there is a non-empty word u such that $b(w) = u\hat{w}$.*

Proof. If $|w| \neq n$ the proof follows by Proposition 3. If $|w| = n$ then $b_1(w) = w$, $b_2(b_1(w)) = \sigma \hat{w}$, and $b_3(b_2(b_1(w))) = (\sigma - 1)\hat{w}$ and the claim follows as well. \square

Proposition 5. *Let w be an arbitrary word in \mathbb{N}^n and let $\bar{w} = f_3(w)$. Let l be the (possibly zero) number of trailing zeros (from the left) in w . Then, for all $0 \leq i \leq |w| - l - 1$, the word $R^i(\bar{w})$ comes $i + n - |w|$ letters before w as a sub-word of w^∞ .*

Proposition 6. *For a given $w \in L_n$, let l be the number of trailing zeros (from the left) in w and let $\bar{w} = b_1(w)$. Then, for all $0 \leq i \leq |w| - l - 1$, the word $R^i(\bar{w})$ comes $i + n - |w|$ letters before w as a sub-word of w^∞ .*

Proof. By Proposition ?? the words that come before w ends with the last $|w| - l$ letters of w . In particular, the n letter word that starts $i + n - |w|$ before w is $R^i(\bar{w})$. \square

Proposition 7. *For a given $w \in L_n$, let l be the number of trailing zeros (from the left) in w and let $\bar{w} = b_1(w)$. Then, for all $|w| - l \leq i \leq n - 1$ the word $R^i(\bar{w})$ comes $i - (n - |f_3(u)| \pmod{n})$ letters before the first $u \in \langle 0^{m-1}(\bar{w}_m + 1)\bar{w}_{m+1} \cdots \bar{w}_n \rangle_{m=i+1}^n$ that is in L_n .*

Proposition 8. *The word w^∞ contains all the words in \mathbb{N}^n as subwords.*

Proof. Any word of length n is a rotation of the expansion of a word in L_n . \square

Proposition 9. For any k the prefix $w_1^\infty \cdots w_{k^n}^\infty$ is an n -order de Bruijn sequence. Moreover, it is the reversed of the n -order prefer-max sequence on the alphabet $\langle 0, \dots, k-1 \rangle$ (in this order).

Proof. Counting argument + arguing that if $|w| = n-1$ and $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$ then $w\sigma_1$ comes before $w\sigma_2$ as subwords of w^∞ . \square

Proposition 10. For $w \in \mathbb{N}^n$, let i be the minimal index such that $R^{-i}(w) \in L$ and let $\bar{w} = R^{-i}(w)$. Let $\bar{w}^+ = \bar{w}_{1..i}(\bar{w}_{i+1} + 1)\bar{w}_{(i+2)..n}$, i.e., the word obtained by increasing the $(i+1)$ th letter of \bar{w} by one. Then, the function

$$\text{next}(w) = \begin{cases} f^*(f_3(w))_1 & \text{if } w \in L; \\ w_1 + 1 & \text{if } \bar{w}_{1..i} = 0^i \wedge \bar{w}^+ \in L \wedge \max(\bar{w}_{1..(n-1)}^+) \leq \max(w); \\ 0 & \text{if } \bar{w}_{1..i} = 0^i \wedge (\bar{w}^+ \notin L \vee \max(\bar{w}_{1..(n-1)}^+) > \max(w)); \\ w_1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

represents the mapping of a word w to the letter that follows the (one and only) occurrence of w as a subword of w^∞ .

Definition 7. Let $w(0) = 0, w(1) = f^*(w(0)), \dots, w(i) = f^*(w(i-1)), \dots$ be our enumeration of all the words in L_n . Let $w^{(i)} = w(0) \cdots w(i)$ be the concatenation of the first i words in this enumeration and let $u(j) = w_{j-n+1}^{(i)} \cdots w_j^{(i)}$ be the “window” of length n before the j th letter in $w^{(i)}$.

Proposition 11. For a word $w = w(i)$ be the i th word in the above enumeration. Let l be the number of leading zeros in w and let $\hat{w} = R^{-l}(b_1(b^*(w)))$. Then, inserting the cycle $(R^{-s}(b_1(w)))_{s=0}^{n-1}$ after the word $\hat{w}_{1..(n-l)}0^l$ in $\langle u(j) \rangle_{j=0}^{i-1}$ yields the sequence $\langle u(j) \rangle_{j=0}^i$.

3 Where can I find w as a sub-word of w^∞ ? (second try...)

Definition 8. For a word w , $\max(w)$ is the maximal digit in w .

Definition 9. A word $u \in \mathbb{N}^n$ corresponds to $w \in L_n$ if u is a rotation of $w^{\frac{n}{|w|}}$. Note that each $u \in \mathbb{N}^n$ corresponds to exactly one word $w \in L_n$.

Proposition 12. If $w \in L_n$ and $|w| < n$, then $f^*(w) = f(w) = 0^{n-|w|}x$ for some word x .

Proof. Write $f_1(w) = x, f_2(x) = 0^{n-|w|}x$. Since $w \in L_n$ and $|w| < n, n - |w| \geq \frac{n}{2}$. Moreover, the last digit in x is not zero. Hence, $f(w) = f_3(0^{n-|w|}x) = 0^{n-|w|}x$. Since $|0^{n-|w|}x| = n$, we have $f(w) = f^*(w) = 0^{n-|w|}x$. \square

Proposition 13. Take $|w| < n$ so that $w = w'k$ where $0 < k = \max(w)$, then $b(w) = uw$ and $\max(u) \leq \max(w)$.

Proof. Write $w = w'k$. Thus, $b_1(w) = xk(w'k)^r$, $r > 0$. $b_2(xk(w'k)^r) = y(w'k)^r$. $b_3(y(w'k)^r) = uw'k = uw$. It is easy to see that $\max u \leq k$. \square

Proposition 14. *If $w \in L_n$, $|w|^m = n$, $m > 1$ and $w = 0^l \sigma \hat{w}$ such that $\sigma \neq 0$, then $b^*(w) = u\hat{w}w^{m-1}$ for some u .*

Proof. Since $w \in L_n$ and $w \neq 0$, $b(w)$ is defined and

$$b(w) = (\sigma - 1)\hat{w}w^{m-1}.$$

If $b(w) \in L_n$ we are done, and otherwise $|b(w)| < n$ and several invocations of the previous proposition provide the required. \square

Proposition 15. *Assume that $u \in \mathbb{N}^n$ corresponds to $w \in L_n$ such that $|w| < n$. then, u is a subword of w^∞ .*

Proof. If $u = 0^n$, then u is a prefix of w^∞ and we are done. Otherwise, $w = 0^l \sigma \hat{w}$ where $\sigma \neq 0$. Take m such that $|w|^m = n$. Note that $m > 1$. By Propositions 12 and 14, $b^*(w)wf^*(w) = x\hat{w}w^{m-1}w0^{|w|}y$, which is also a subword of w^∞ . Hence,

$$\hat{w}(0^l \sigma \hat{w})^m 0^l \text{ is a subword of } w^\infty.$$

u is a rotation of w^m thus u is a subword of $\hat{w}(0^l \sigma \hat{w})^m 0^l$ which implies that u is a subword of w^∞ . \square

Proposition 16. *Assume that $u = yx \in \mathbb{N}^n$ corresponds to $w = xy \in L_n$ where $|w| = n$. If $x \neq 0^r$, then u is a subword of w^∞ .*

Proof. We show that $u = yx$ is a subword of $b^*(w)w$. Write $x = 0^l \sigma z$ where $\sigma \neq 0$. Thus, since $|w| = n$, $b(w) = (\sigma - 1)zy$. If $b(w) = b^*(w)$, then

$$b^*(w)w = (\sigma - 1)zyx$$

and we get that u is a subword of $b^*(w)b(w)$. Otherwise, $|(\sigma - 1)zy|$ does not divide n , and in particular, $|(\sigma - 1)zy| < n$. By applying Proposition 13 several times, we get that $b^*(w) = v(\sigma - 1)zy$ for some v , and $u = yx$ is a subword of $b^*(w)w = v(\sigma - 1)zyxyx$. \square

Lemma 17. *Assume that $w = 0^l v \in L_n$ and $|w| = n$. Write $w = 0^l z_1 \sigma z_2$ where σ is the first digit in v such that $0^{l+|z_1|}(\sigma + 1)z_2$ is lexicographically maximal among its rotations. Take $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a suffix of (σz_2) , u such that $|u(\sigma z_2)^{k+1}| = |z_1(\sigma z_2)|$. Then, $u(\sigma z_2)^{k+1} = z_1(\sigma z_2)$.*

Proof. Assume for a contradiction that the claim is false, and hence $z_1 \neq u(\sigma z_2)^k$. Therefore, there are $\tau \neq \tau'$ in \mathbb{N} and a word y , such that $\tau'y$ is a suffix of σz_2 , and

$$z_1 = x\tau y(\sigma z_2)^r, (\sigma z_2)^k = x'\tau'y(\sigma z_2)^r.$$

Clearly, $\tau < \tau'$ since otherwise, $\tau' < \tau$, and we get that $w = 0^l z_1 \sigma z_2 = 0^l x\tau y(\sigma z_2)^{r+1}$. However, if we assume that $\tau' < \tau$, $w' = (\sigma z_2)^r 0^l x\tau y$ is lexicographically larger than w , in contradiction to $w \in L_n$. \square

Corrolary 18. Assume that $w = 0^l v \in L_n$ and $|w| = n$. Write $w = 0^l z_1 \sigma z_2$ where σ is the first digit in such that $0^{l+|z_1|}(\sigma+1)z_2$ is lexicographically maximal among its rotations. Then, there are words x, y such that $z_2 = xy$, $w = 0^l y(\sigma xy)^{r+1}$ and $z_1 = y(\sigma xy)^r$.

Proof. This is a consequence of the previous Lemma and the fact that $|0^l z_1| = |x(\sigma z_2)|^m$. \square

Lemma 19. If $uv = vu$ and $u, v \neq \varepsilon$, then there is some word w , such that $u, v \in \{w\}^*$.

Proof. By induction on $|u| + |v|$. If $|u| = |v|$, $u = v$ and we are done. Otherwise, assume w.l.o.g. that $|u| > |v|$ and write $u = vx$ (since $uv = vu$). Then, $ux = vxv = vvx = vu$. We see that $xv = vx$. By the induction hypothesis, $x = w^k$ and $v = w^l$. Hence, $u = w^{l+k}$ as required. \square

Lemma 20. Let $w = 0^l y(x 0^l y)^{r+1}$ be an n -length word such that $y \notin \{0\}^*$. Then, $w \notin L_n$.

Proof. Assume for a contradiction that w is a key-word of length n , and take a maximal $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x 0^l y = x'(0^l y)^{t+1}$. First, we note that $x' \neq \varepsilon$. Indeed, if $x' = \varepsilon$, then $w = (0^l y)(0^l y)^{(t+1)(r+1)}$, a periodic word, and then $w \notin L_n$.

Now we claim that $|x'| < |0^l y|$. For verifying this claim, assume that $|x'| \geq |0^l y|$ and write $x' = x'_1 x'_2$, where $|x'_2| = |0^l y|$. By maximality of t , $x'_2 \neq 0^l y$, and since $w \in L_n$, $x'_2 <_{lex} 0^l y$. Therefore,

$$w' = (x 0^l y)^r x'_1 x'_2 (0^l y)^{t+1} 0^l y$$

is a rotation of w which is lexicographically larger than w , in contradiction to $w \in L_n$.

To summary our conclusions, we have $w = 0^l y(x'(0^l y)^{t+1})^{r+1} \in L_n$, and $|x'| < |0^l y|$. Write $0^l y = z_1 z_2$ where $|x'| = |z_2|$. Therefore,

$$w = z_1 z_2 (z_2 (z_1 z_2)^{t+1}) \dots (z_2 (z_1 z_2)^{t+1}).$$

We look now at rotation of w , $w' = (z_2 (z_1 z_2)^{t+1}) \dots (z_2 (z_1 z_2)^{t+2})$. Since $w \in L_n$, w is lexicographically larger than w' and in particular, $(z_1 z_2 z_2 (z_1 z_2)^{t+1}) \geq_{lex} (z_2 (z_1 z_2)^{t+2})$ which implies that $z_1 z_2 z_2 \geq_{lex} z_2 z_1 z_2$, and hence

$$z_1 z_2 \geq_{lex} z_2 z_1.$$

In addition, $z_2 z_1 z_2$ is a suffix of w while $z_1 z_2 z_2$ is a subword of w . Hence, as $w \in L_n$ we have, $z_2 z_1 z_2 \geq_{lex} z_1 z_2 z_2$, and hence

$$z_2 z_1 \geq_{lex} z_1 z_2.$$

As a result, $z_2 z_1 = z_1 z_2$ and then by Lemma 19, $z_1 = z^{l_1}$ and $z_2 = z^{l_2}$ for some non empty word z . Therefore, $w = z^m$ for some $z > 0$ in contradiction to $w \in L_n$. \square

Proposition 21. Assume that $v0^l \in \mathbb{N}^n$ corresponds to $w = 0^l v \in L_n$ where $|w| = n$ and $l > 0$. Then, $v0^l$ is a subword of w^∞ .

Proof. Write $w = 0^l z_1 \sigma z_2$ where $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ is the first digit in w so that $0^{l+|z_1|}(\sigma + 1)z_2$ is lexicographically maximal among its rotations. Note that such a digit exists since the last digit in w satisfies this requirement. Hence, $v = z_1 \sigma z_2$.

By Corollary 18, $z_2 = xy$ and $z_1 = y(\sigma xy)^r$. Now, since $|0^{l+|z_1|}(\sigma + 1)z_2| = n$ and $0^{l+|z_1|}(\sigma + 1)z_2$ is lexicographically maximal among its rotations, $0^{l+|z_1|}(\sigma + 1)z_2 = (w')^{k+1}$ where $w' \in L_n$. Note that $0^{l+|z_1|}$ is a prefix of w' . We consider three possibilities

Case 1. $\sigma z_2 \in L_n$. We show that in this case, $v0^l$ is a subword of $b^*(b^*(w'))(b^*(w'))w'$, which is a subword of w^∞ .

$b_1(w') = w'^{k+1} = 0^{l+|z_1|}(\sigma + 1)z_2$. Hence, $b(w') = b_3(b_2(0^{l+|z_1|}(\sigma + 1)z_1)) = \sigma z_2$. Since $\sigma z_2 \in L_n$, $b(w') = b^*(w') = \sigma z_2$ and in particular, $|(\sigma z_2)^{m+1}| = n$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe that $|z_1| \leq |\sigma z_2|^m$ and use Lemma 17 to conclude that z_1 is a suffix of $(\sigma z_2)^m$.

By invoking Proposition 13 several times, $b^*(\sigma z_2) = u(\sigma z_2)^m$ for some u . Hence, $v0^l = z_1 \sigma z_2 0^l$ is a subword of

$$b^*(b^*(w'))b^*(w')b(w') = u(\sigma z_2)^{m+1}0^{l+|z_1|}x'.$$

Before we deal with the other cases, we note that $b_1(\sigma z_2) = b_1(\sigma xy) = x'y(\sigma xy)^{r+1}$ for some x' that satisfies $|x'| = l > 0$.

Case 2. $\sigma z_2 \notin L_n$ and $x' \neq 0^l$. We show that in this case, $v0^l$ is a subword of $b^*(w')w'$, which is a subword of w^∞ .

Recall that $b(w') = \sigma z_2$ which is, by assumption, not a key-word. Since $x' \neq 0^l$, several invocations of Proposition 13 imply that $b^*(\sigma z_2) = x''y(\sigma z_2)^{r+1}$. Since $v = z_1 \sigma z_2 = y(\sigma z_2)^{r+1}$, we get that $v0^l$ is a subword of

$$b^*(w')w' = x''y(\sigma z_2)^{r+1}0^{l+|z_1|}u.$$

Case 3. $\sigma z_2 \notin L_n$ and $x' = 0^l$. In this case, $b_1(\sigma z_2) = 0^l y(\sigma xy)^{r+1}$. Note that $w = 0^l y(x''0^l y)^{r+1}$ and use Lemma 20 to obtain a contradiction.

□

Theorem 22. w^∞ is an infinite De-Bruijn sequence.

Proof. According to Propositions ??, every n -sequence is a subword of w^∞ . By the “onion theorem” and by the pigeonhole principle, every n -sequence appears only once at w^∞ . □