**Definition 1.** For a parameter n, Let  $L \subset \mathbb{N}^+$  be the set of non-periodic words over the alphabet  $\Sigma = \mathbb{N}$  that are bigger in Arabic (right-to-left) lexicographical order than all of their rotations. Let  $L_n$  be the set of all the words in L whose length divides n.

**Definition 2.** For a word w whose length is smaller or equal than n, let f(w) be the transformation defined by successive applications of the following steps to w:

- $f_1$ : Increase the first letter of the word by one.
- $f_2$ : Pad with zeros on the left to get a word of length n.
- $f_3$ : Apply the substitution rules  $u(vu)^+ \mapsto vu$  and then  $w^+ \mapsto w$ , with the longest possible u and the shortest possible w.

**Definition 3.** For a a word w whose length is smaller or equal than n, let b(w) be the transformation defined by successive applications of the following steps to w:

- $b_1$ : Expand w to  $uw^m$  where  $m = \lfloor n/|w| \rfloor$  and u is the suffix of length n-m|w| of w.
- $b_2$ : Remove leading zeros.
- $b_3$ : Decrease the first letter by one.

**Observation 1.** For any  $w \in L_n$ , f(b(w)) = b(f(w)) = w.

**Observation 2.** For any  $w = 0^l w_{m-l} \cdots w_{m-1} w_m \in L_n$  where  $w_{m-l} \neq 0$  and for each  $0 \leq i < l$  we have  $b(w)_{|b(w)|-i} = w_{m-i}$ . If, in addition,  $m \neq n$  we also have  $b(w)_{|b(w)|-l} = w_{m-l}$ .

**Proposition 3.** If we start with w(0) = 0 and generate a sequence of words by w(i+1) = f(w(i)), we get an enumeration of all the words in L whose length in smaller or equal to n.

*Proof.* This is a version of Duval's algorithm with a reversed order of the alphabet and a reversed order of letters in a word.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 4.** Let  $f^*(w)$  be the first word in  $f(w), f(f(w)), \ldots$  whose length divides n and, similarly, let  $b^*(w)$  be the first word in  $b(w), b(b(w)), \ldots$  whose length divides n.

**Observation 4.** Observation 2 is true also when b is replaced with  $b^*$ .

*Proof.* We only apply b again if the length is not n, so after the first application of b, that may change the first letter in the tail  $w_{m-1} \cdots w_m$  when the length of w is n, the tail remains as it is.

**Definition 5.** Let w(0), w(1), ... be the sequence generated by starting with w(0) = 0 and then continuing ad infinitum by  $w(i+1) = f^*(w(i))$  and let  $w^{\infty}$  be the infinite word obtained by concatenating all the words in this sequence.

**Definition 6.** For a word  $w = w_1 \cdots w_{n-1} w_n$  let  $R(w) = w_n w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}$  be the rotation of w to the right. Then, the nested invocation  $R^m(w)$  is the m letter rotation to the right and its inverse  $R^{-m}(w)$  is the m letter rotation to the left.

**Proposition 5.** For a given  $w \in L_n$ , let l be the number of trailing zeros (from the left) in w and let  $\bar{w} = b_1(w)$ . Then For all  $0 \le i \le |w| - l - 1$  the word  $R^i(\bar{w})$  comes i + n - |w| letters before w as a sub-word of  $w^{\infty}$ .

*Proof.* If the length of w is n then  $\bar{w} = w$  and, by Observation 4, the word that precedes w ends with the last l-1 letters of w. In particular, the word  $R^i(\bar{w})$  comes i letters before w as claimed.

**Proposition 6.** For a given  $w \in L_n$ , let l be the number of trailing zeros (from the left) in w and let  $\bar{w} = b_1(w)$ . Then For all  $n - k \le i \le n - 1$  the word  $R^i(\bar{w})$  comes  $i - (n - |f_3(u)| \pmod{n})$  letters before the first  $u \in (0^{m-1}(\bar{w}_m + 1)\bar{w}_{m+1}\cdots\bar{w}_n)_{m=i}^n$  such that  $u \in L_n$  and  $\max\{u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}\} \le \max(w)$ .

**Proposition 7.** The word  $w^{\infty}$  contains all the words in  $\mathbb{N}^n$  as subwords.

*Proof.* Any word of length n is a rotation of the expansion of a word in  $L_n$ .  $\square$ 

**Proposition 8.** For any k the prefix  $w_1^{\infty} \cdots w_{k^n}^{\infty}$  is an n-order de Bruijn sequences. Moreover, it is the reversed of the n-order prefer-max sequence on the alphabet  $(0, \ldots, k-1)$  (in this order).

*Proof.* Counting argument + arguing that if |w| = n - 1 and  $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$  then  $w\sigma_1$  comes before  $w\sigma_2$  as subwords of  $w^{\infty}$ .

**Proposition 9.** For  $w \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , let i be the minimal index such that  $R^{-i}(w) \in L$  and let  $\bar{w} = R^{-i}(w)$ . Let  $\bar{w}^+ = \bar{w}_{1..i}(\bar{w}_{i+1} + 1)\bar{w}_{(i+2)..n}$ , i.e., the word obtained by increasing the (i+1)th letter of  $\bar{w}$  by one. Then, the function

$$next(w) = \begin{cases} f^*(f_3(w))_1 & \text{if } w \in L; \\ w_1 + 1 & \text{if } \bar{w}_{1..i} = 0^i \wedge \bar{w}^+ \in L \wedge \max{(\bar{w}_{1..(n-1)}^+)} \leq \max(w); \\ 0 & \text{if } \bar{w}_{1..i} = 0^i \wedge (\bar{w}^+ \notin L \vee \max{(\bar{w}_{1..(n-1)}^+)} > \max(w)); \\ w_1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

represents the mapping of a word w to the letter that follows the (one and only) occurrence of w as a subword of  $w^{\infty}$ .

**Definition 7.** Let  $w(0) = 0, w(1) = f^*(w(0)), \ldots, w(i) = f^*(w(i-1)), \ldots$  be our enumeration of all the words in  $L_n$ . Let  $w^{(i)} = w(0) \cdots w(i)$  be the concatenation of the first i words in this enumeration and let  $u(j) = w_{j-n+1}^{(i)} \cdots w_j^{(i)}$  be the "window" of length n before the jth letter in  $w^{(i)}$ .

**Proposition 10.** For a word w=w(i) be the ith word in the above enumeration. Let l be the number of leading zeros in w and let  $\hat{w}=R^{-l}(b_1(b^*(w)))$ . Then, inserting the cycle  $\langle R^{-s}(b_1(w))\rangle_{s=0}^{n-1}$  after the word  $\hat{w}_{1..(n-l)}0^l$  in  $\langle u(j)\rangle_{j=0}^{i-1}$  yields the sequence  $\langle u(j)\rangle_{j=0}^i$ .