

# Data Scientist Capstone Project Report

## Project Overview

This project analyzes the \*\*Supply-to-Line process\*\* in automotive production, focusing on material req

### ### Data Sources

- \*\*Transactional Data\*\*: Daily Excel files containing material request logs.
- \*\*Master Data\*\*: Excel file with PLP characteristics.
- Data merged and stored in SQLite database for analysis.

## Problem Statement

The challenge is to predict the time between material requests for PLPs to optimize logistics and reduce

### Metrics

- \*\*RMSE (Root Mean Squared Error)\*\*: Measures prediction error in hours.
- \*\*R<sup>2</sup> Score\*\*: Indicates variance explained by the model.

## Data Exploration

Two key variables were analyzed:

- \*\*Supply Time\*\*: Duration between request and delivery.
- \*\*Time Between Requests\*\*: Interval between consecutive requests for the same PLP.

### ### Observations

- Supply Time shows high variability across PLPs.
- Time Between Requests varies significantly by PLP and material.

## Data Visualization

Below are example histograms illustrating the distribution of Supply Time and Time Between Requests.

![Supply Time Histogram](hist\_supply\_time.png)

![Time Between Requests Histogram](hist\_time\_between\_requests.png)

## Methodology

### ### Data Preprocessing

- Merged transactional and master data.
- Filtered relevant columns and rows.
- Calculated derived features: Supply Time (hours) and Time Between Requests.
- Stored cleaned data in SQLite database.

### ### Implementation

- Built regression pipelines using `RandomForestRegressor` and `GradientBoostingRegressor`.
- Applied `GridSearchCV` for hyperparameter tuning.

## Results

### ### Model Performance Comparison

Model	RMSE (hours)	R <sup>2</sup>
RandomForest (baseline)	11.94	0.38
RandomForest + GridSearch	10.87	0.44
GradientBoosting	12.10	0.36

### ### Observations

- Tuned RandomForest improved RMSE and R<sup>2</sup> compared to baseline.
- GradientBoosting performed slightly worse than RandomForest.

## Conclusion

Predicting time between requests is challenging due to variability across PLPs. Future improvements in

- Collecting more data (beyond 4 weeks).
- Handling outliers (e.g., weekend gaps).
- Grouping PLPs with similar characteristics.

## Reflection

The most interesting aspect was the variability in PLP behavior and its impact on prediction accuracy.

## Improvement

Improving feature engineering and increasing dataset size could significantly enhance model performance.

Histogram of Supply Time



