

Vladimir Putin — Behavioral Reality Big-Five Profile
(Scores reflect documented deeds, not public rhetoric.)

- Dimension Score (1-10) Evidence from Actions & High-Stakes Episodes
- Openness to Experience 4 / 10 • Selective innovation inside a rigid worldview. Ordered unconventional influence ops against the 2016 U.S. election—an escalation in hybrid warfare tactics.
Director of National Intelligence
- Approved Russia's first extra-regional expeditionary campaign since Afghanistan by sending forces to Syria in 2015, showing willingness to test new power-projection tools (airpower + Wagner PMC).
The New Yorker
NPR
 - Yet repeatedly returns to 19th-century irredentism (Crimea 2014, full-scale Ukraine invasion 2022), indicating narrow ideological horizons.
Wikipedia
Atlantic Council
Conscientiousness 8 / 10 • Long-range planning & disciplined execution. Engineered 2020 constitutional overhaul and follow-up 2021 law letting him rule to 2036—meticulous power-entrenchment spanning years.
NPR
CBS News
 - Phased military modernization (2008-2020) culminated in synchronized troop build-up and invasion orders issued 24 Feb 2022, reflecting detailed sequencing.
Atlantic Council
Extraversion 3 / 10 • Closed-circle, low-sociability leadership. Pandemic-era “long-table” protocol kept ministers & foreign leaders 15 ft away; aides describe increasingly solitary decision-making.
Business Insider
The Washington Post
The Guardian
 - Major crises (Wagner mutiny 2023, Ukraine war) handled through scripted broadcasts rather than interactive engagement, underscoring limited outward energy.
Reuters
Agreeableness 1 / 10 • Conflict-seeking, coercive pattern. Launched unprovoked wars (Georgia 2008, Crimea 2014, Ukraine 2022) and routine nuclear brinkmanship (27 Feb 2022 alert).
Wikipedia
Atlantic Council
The Guardian
 - Intensified domestic repression—e.g., Navalny 19-year extremism sentence, sweeping protest bans—demonstrating minimal conciliatory impulse.
AP News
Neuroticism 6 / 10 • Signs of threat-sensitivity and paranoia. Rapid move to high nuclear alert after just three days of combat in 2022 betrays anxious escalation triggers.
The Guardian
 - Harsh, highly personal response to Wagner mutiny: denounced as “treason,” then oversaw purge and—two months later—Prigozhin’s suspicious death, indicating vigilance against perceived betrayal.
Reuters
The Times
 - Extreme COVID-era distancing and fortress-style security protocols further underline elevated vigilance.
Business Insider

What the Actions Reveal

Putin's real-world behavior paints a leader who meticulously plans and will take calculated, often coercive risks, but does so from an increasingly insular and suspicious psychological stance. While he can innovate tactically, his strategic horizons remain anchored in restoring great-power status through force, domination, and personal control—yielding very low Agreeableness, high Conscientiousness, and mid-to-high Neuroticism that diverge sharply from the stoic, tradition-bound image he projects.

Vladimir Putin — Communication Patterns Analysis

1. Characteristic Phrases (public rhetoric)

Phrase Typical Context / Purpose

- | | | |
|----|------------------------------|--|
| 1 | “special military operation” | Euphemism to downplay the 2022 invasion of Ukraine. |
| 2 | “empire of lies” | Blanket condemnation of the U.S./NATO in major speeches. |
| 3 | “defend our people” | Moral justification for armed interventions abroad. |
| 4 | “red lines” | Explicit deterrent signal to NATO/EU. |
| 5 | “denazification” | Claimed objective in Ukraine, taps WWII memory. |
| 6 | “demilitarization” | Paired with denazification to frame war goals. |
| 7 | “our Western partners” | Facetious label that softens hostility in diplomatic talk. |
| 8 | “sovereign democracy” | Ideological branding of Russia’s political model. |
| 9 | “color revolutions” | Shorthand for externally-backed regime change. |
| 10 | “blitzkrieg of sanctions” | Dismissive description of Western economic pressure. |
| 11 | “we had no other option” | Rhetorical appeal to inevitability. |
| 12 | “unfriendly states” | Official category for sanction-imposing countries. |

2. Diplomatic Language Patterns

Legalistic framing – frequent citation of UN Charter Art. 51 (“self-defence”).

Euphemistic downgrades – avoids “war,” prefers “operation.”

Blend of ceremony & bluntness – opens with “Citizens of Russia, friends...” then pivots to scathing critiques of the West.

3. Strategic Communication (what actions ‘say’)

Action Implied Message

Long 6-metre tables & extreme social distance “I am untouchable, above ordinary contact.”

Rapid nuclear alert (27 Feb 2022) “I’m prepared to escalate quickly—don’t test me.”

Surprise troop build-ups (Georgia 2008, Ukraine 2022) “I’ll move first and force the world to react.”

Giving Wagner PMC deniable tasks abroad “Russia can strike without formal fingerprints.”

4. Behavioral Patterns That Contradict Words

Crimea 2014 – denied troop presence, later admitted orchestration.

Mobilisation 2022 – promised none, then ordered partial draft.

Civilian-target claims – insists only military sites hit; evidence of theatre, café strikes.

“Purely defensive” posture – initiates unprovoked wars.

Sanctions “don’t hurt” rhetoric – introduces strict capital controls, rationing.

Calling states “partners” – simultaneously labels their NGOs “foreign agents.”

Signed Minsk ceasefires – covertly armed separatists while claiming compliance.

5. Non-Verbal & Implicit Cues

Power-distance staging – elevated podiums, Kremlin halls, isolation tables.

Controlled micro-pauses & monotone when threatening, to project calm authority.

Athletic photo-ops (judo, shirtless horseback) broadcast virility and vitality.

6. Communication-Style Differences by Audience

Audience Primary Medium Predominant Tone & Tactics

Domestic prime-time TV Presidential address Patriotic, paternal, heavy on historical myth-building.

Western media (e.g., NBC) Sit-down interview Defensive, counter-accusatory, cites international law.
CIS leaders Bilateral summits Collegial, references shared Soviet heritage.
NATO/EU pressers Press conferences Confrontational formalism, repeated “red-line” warnings.
“Direct Line” call-ins Live Q&A Folksy idioms, humor, promises of swift local fixes.

Let me know if you'd like this broken down further or integrated into your agent-building workflow.

Vladimir Putin — Crisis-Response & Advanced Behavioral Patterns

1 · Crisis-Latency Patterns

Crisis "Silence window" before 1st public appearance What he did in the gap Notes
Kursk submarine disaster (sank 12 Aug 2000) ≈ 4 days – first visited relatives 22 Aug Stayed on vacation in Sochi; Kremlin imposed news blackout Drew enduring criticism for aloofness
DIE WELT

RadioFreeEurope/RadioLiberty

MH-17 shoot-down (17 Jul 2014) ~ 20 h – brief TV statement evening 18 Jul Convened economic meeting; blamed Ukraine Used minute's silence as stage-set

The Guardian

Time

Moskva flagship sinking (13 Apr 2022) > 36 h – no personal comment; MoD issued terse notes Kremlin allowed only spokesmen to speak; Putin absent from media Silence avoided acknowledging Ukrainian strike

Wikipedia

The Guardian

Wagner mutiny (23 Jun 2023) ≈ 13 h – five-minute address 24 Jun AM Consulted security chiefs; let state TV run documentaries overnight Denounced rebels as "traitors," then cut short

Wikipedia

BBC

Pattern: Initial radio-silence (hours to days) → tightly scripted address from fortified setting → framing crisis as proof of external/internal enemies.

2 · Scapegoating Patterns

Designated fall-guys: mid-tier generals, defence-industry officials, regional governors.

Sept 2024 purge of deputy defence ministers after front-line failures

Chatham House

Chatham House

Blame transfer: shifts responsibility to "oligarch media" (Kursk), Ukraine/NATO (MH-17, Moskva), or unnamed "traitors" (Wagner).

Symbolic dismissals/resignations: accepts or orchestrates sackings, then later re-hires loyalists once outrage fades.

3 · Escalation Sequences

Step Typical Ladder During Intl. Crises Concrete Instance

1. Denial/Legal Framing Claims accident or defensive action Early denial of troops in Crimea 2014

2. Limited Force or Hybrid Move "Little green men," cyber, energy squeeze 2014 Crimea entry; April 2022 energy threats

3. Maximalist Ultimatum Lists "non-negotiable" red-lines Feb 2022 draft NATO treaties

**4. High-profile Threat Nuclear alert, long-range tests Nuclear "special combat duty" 27 Feb 2022

The Guardian

Wikipedia

5. Tactical Pause/Facade of Talks Announces negotiations, pauses shelling Istanbul talks Mar 2022;

Istanbul Jun 2025

The Guardian

6. Renewed Pressure / "Double-down" Resumes strikes, fresh mobilization Partial mobilization 21 Sep 2022

Back-down trigger When costs threaten regime stability—eg., grain deal revival (July 2022) or Wagner deal (June 2023).

4 · Leverage Tactics

Issue-linkage:

Food vs. Sanctions – Suspended Black Sea Grain Initiative July 2023, offered to restore if Russian fertilizer & bank sanctions lifted.

The Guardian

Council on Foreign Relations

Hostage Diplomacy – Swapped WNBA star Brittney Griner for arms-dealer Viktor Bout, turning human-rights issue into geopolitical chip.

The Guardian

Energy as weapon: Gas-for-roubles decree (Mar 2022) and winter supply cuts aimed at extracting concessions from EU.

“Proxy” chips: Offers Syria or Sahel cooperation, Wagner security contracts, or arms sales to court third-party mediators (e.g., Erdogan).

5 · Strategic Communication Tricks

Category	Illustrative Phrase / Act	Intended Effect	Source
Humor-as-Threat	“To forgive terrorists is God’s job; to send them to Him is mine.” (viral 2015 quote)		
Signal ruthless retaliation, wrapped in gallows humor			christianpost.com
Veiled Intimidation	Boasts Sarmat ICBM will make enemies “think twice.” (20 Apr 2022)		Imply nuclear option without explicit threat
Al Jazeera			
Sarcastic Metaphors	Calls US allies that “oink along” with Washington. (2019)		Belittle opponents, rally domestic audience
Wikipedia			
Dark Jokes During Talks	Quipped negotiators “want everything?” when Ukraine sought full withdrawal (May 2025 Istanbul round)	Dismiss counterpart’s aims as absurd, assert dominance	Dagens.com

Take-Away Patterns for Simulation

Latency then Script: expect 6-48 h silence while Kremlin shapes narrative, followed by highly controlled address.

Blame Carousel: external enemy → internal saboteur → expendable official.

Escalate-Pause-Escalate: coercive bargaining; brief pauses rarely mean de-escalation.

Issue-Bundling: unrelated concessions (food, hostages, energy) appear in same bargaining space.

Threat-Humor Blend: intimidating lines delivered as wry jokes, allowing plausible deniability.

These behavioral signatures help AI agents reproduce realistic Putin-like decision loops under pressure, distinguishing scripted public posture from coercive, leverage-driven actions._

Vladimir Putin — Negotiation & Decision-Making Patterns

1 · PUBLIC NEGOTIATION STYLE

Aspect Pattern Illustrative Evidence

Stated Approach Repeatedly says he is “always open to negotiations” provided Russia’s “legitimate security interests” are met. Jan 24 2025 TV interview: “We are always open to this and ready for negotiations.”

The Guardian

Framing of Positions Presents Russian demands as pre-conditions rooted in self-defence: NATO non-expansion, protection of Russian-speakers, recognition of “new realities.” Peace-talk “memorandum” in Istanbul (Jun 2 2025) demanded territory and limits on Ukraine’s army.

Reuters

Diplomatic Image Projects calm, lawyer-like gravitas: formal diction, legal references (UN Charter Art. 51). Optics of authority—large halls, long tables reinforcing distance and hierarchy. BBC noted “almost comedically long table” distancing officials during 2022 talks.

BBC

2 · ACTUAL NEGOTIATION BEHAVIOR (documented practice)

Factor Observed Behavior Concrete Examples

Opening Strategy Maximalist first offer after creating leverage through force or crisis; sets ceiling high, forces counterpart to bargain down. • 2022 & 2025 Istanbul drafts demanded NATO renunciation & big territorial cessions.

Reuters

Reuters

Concession Pattern Makes tactical, reversible concessions to relieve pressure, then resets demands. •

Promised to “reduce operations” around Kyiv (Mar 29 2022) yet resumed attacks days later.

Reuters

Pressure Response Escalates when cornered, often with nuclear or economic threats; may stage a sudden de-escalatory gesture to regain initiative. • Nuclear “special alert” order (Feb 27 2022) after sanctions.

Reuters

- Gas-for-roubles ultimatum to EU (Mar 2022).

Reuters

Information Tactics Maskirovka (deception): withholds or denies facts until advantageous. • Crimea 2014: denied troop presence (“little green men”), later admitted orchestration.

BBC

Relationship-Building Selective personal channels with leaders who can mediate or deliver concessions; rapport is instrumental, not sentimental. • Relies on Erdoğan as go-between (energy deals, grain-corridor, peace venues).

Reuters

Risk Appetite High-risk, high-reward posture; willing to weaponise energy, escalate militarily, or employ mercenaries. • Energy “winter chokehold” strategy aimed at forcing EU diplomacy (Aug 2022).

Reuters

- Wagner deployment & swift deal during 2023 mutiny, followed by purge of Prigozhin.

NPR

The Times

3 · PUBLIC WORDS vs ACTIONS (Key Divergences)

ClaimContradictory Deed

“No mobilisation needed” (Spring 2022) Ordered partial mobilisation Sept 21 2022 when battlefield losses mounted.

Reuters

“Protection of civilians” Documented strikes on civilian sites (Mariupol theatre, Hroza café).

Time

“Respecting Minsk accords” Armed separatists & later recognised breakaway regions, voiding the agreements.

Reuters

"Negotiations without pre-conditions" Tables ultimatums—territorial surrender, army caps—as entry price.
Reuters

Summary Contrast

In public, Putin casts himself as a pragmatic statesman eager for talks once Russia's "security" is acknowledged.

In practice, he negotiates from coercive leverage, opening with maximalist terms, yielding only tactically, and re-escalating when leverage wanes. His playbook blends legalistic rhetoric with maskirovka, calculated risk, and selective personal diplomacy—an approach that repeatedly widens the gap between his words and his deeds.

TWO --- -VERSION @ PUTIN

Vladimir Putin — Projected (PUBLIC) Big-Five Profile

Dimension Score (1-10) Evidence from Public Rhetoric & High-Pressure Moments

Openness to Experience 3 / 10 Carefully curated image of traditionalism & continuity.

- Repeated appeals to "historic Russia," orthodox values, and rejection of "Western liberal experiments" in his UN-GA speech (2015).

Kremlin

- Framing the 2022 invasion as "the only possible decision" to preserve heritage shows low tolerance for alternative paths.

Kremlin

Conscientiousness 8 / 10 Projects meticulous planning, discipline, and duty.

- Addresses to the Federal Assembly (2024) detail multi-year economic, demographic, and military programs, implying long-term agenda-setting and follow-through.

Kremlin

- Emphasises "responsibility to protect" Russians abroad in 2022 address, underscoring methodical justification for force.

Kremlin

Extraversion 4 / 10 Reserved, controlled public affect; selectively assertive.

- In most televised speeches he maintains a subdued, measured tone, rarely employing dramatic gestures (e.g., 2022 war address).

Digital Library

- Displays more assertiveness in choreographed mass-events like annual press conferences, but interaction is highly stage-managed, limiting spontaneous sociability.

Agreeableness 2 / 10 Projects adversarial, zero-sum worldview.

- Regularly characterises U.S./NATO as "empire of lies," blaming them for global instability (2022 address; 2024 parliament speech).

Time

Reuters

- In NBC interview (2018) deflects criticism with counter-accusations, signalling low compliance/cooperation.

Kremlin

Neuroticism 3 / 10 Public façade of composure and emotional control.

- Maintains steady cadence and firm posture during crisis broadcasts (Crimea 2014, Ukraine 2022), avoiding visible anxiety.

Kremlin

- Even when warning of nuclear retaliation (2024 Assembly), tone remains even and matter-of-fact, projecting low outward stress.

Kremlin

High-Pressure Presentation Style

Across emergencies (Crimea annexation, Wagner mutiny, full-scale Ukraine invasion) Putin habitually:

Disappears briefly, then re-emerges with a scripted address—creating an aura of deliberation.

Uses formal settings (wood-panelled office, Kremlin podium) to reinforce authority.

Speaks in low-emotion, legalistic language that frames actions as rational, defensive necessities.

These choices bolster a public image of disciplined pragmatist, defender of tradition, and unflappable strategist, even as the underlying policies may appear aggressive or risk-seeking.

(Analysis covers PUBLIC persona only; private decision-making traits may diverge.)

#####