### MA1100 Homework 3

Qi Ji A0167793L T04

#### 1st October 2017

# $\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{1}$

**Statement.** For any sets X, Y, Z and any maps  $f: X \mapsto Y$  and  $g: Y \mapsto Z$ , if f is injective and g is injective, then  $g \circ f$  is injective.

Statement is **true**.

*Proof.* If f is injective, by definition,

$$\forall x_1, x_2 \in X. \ f(x_1) = f(x_2) \implies x_1 = x_2.$$

If g is injective, by definition,

$$\forall y_1, y_2 \in Y. \ g(y_1) = g(y_2) \implies y_1 = y_2.$$

 $g \circ f$  is defined as

$$\forall x \in X. (q \circ f)(x) := q(f(x)).$$

Then given  $a, b \in X$ ,

if 
$$(g \circ f)(a) = (g \circ f)(b)$$
, then

by definition of the composite map  $g \circ f$ , g(f(a)) = g(f(b)).

Since g is injective and  $f(a), f(b) \in Y$ , this implies f(a) = f(b).

Since f is injective and  $a, b \in X$ , this implies a = b.

Therefore, we can conclude that given f is injective and g is injective,

$$\forall a, b \in X. (g \circ f)(a) = (g \circ f)(b) \implies a = b,$$

 $g \circ f$  is injective.

**Statement.** For any sets X, Y, Z and any maps  $f: X \mapsto Y$  and  $g: Y \mapsto Z$ , if f is injective and g is surjective, then  $g \circ f$  is injective.

Statement is false.

**Negation.** There exists sets X, Y, Z and maps  $f: X \mapsto Y$  and  $g: Y \mapsto Z$  such that, f is injective and g is surjective, but  $g \circ f$  is not injective.

*Proof.* Let

$$X := \{1, 2, 3\},$$

$$Y := \{4, 5, 6, 7\},$$

$$Z := \{10, 11\},$$

$$\Gamma f \subseteq X \times Y := \{(1, 4), (2, 5), (3, 6)\},$$

$$\Gamma g \subseteq Y \times Z := \{(4, 10), (5, 10), (6, 11), (7, 11)\}.$$

Trivially, it can be visually verified that f and g are totally-defined and well-defined. f is injective, because

$$\forall x_1, x_2 \in X. \ x_1 \neq x_2 \implies f(x_1) \neq f(x_2).$$

q is surjective, because

$$\forall z \in Z. \ \exists y \in Y. \ g(y) = z.$$

 $g \circ f$  is defined as

$$\forall x \in X. \ (g \circ f)(x) := g(f(x)).$$

In this example,

$$\Gamma(g \circ f) \subseteq X \times Z := \{(1, 10), (2, 10), (3, 11)\}.$$

Take  $a, b \in X$  to be 1 and 2 respectively,

$$(g \circ f)(1) = (g \circ f)(2) = 10.$$

Since there exists  $a, b \in X$  such that  $(g \circ f)(a) = (g \circ f)(b)$  and  $a \neq b$ ,

 $g \circ f$  is not injective.

Therefore, we can conclude that there exists sets X, Y, Z and maps  $f: X \mapsto Y$  and  $g: Y \mapsto Z$  such that f is injective and g is surjective, but  $g \circ f$  is not injective.

**Statement.** For any sets X, Y, Z and any maps  $f: X \mapsto Y$  and  $g: Y \mapsto Z$ , if f is surjective and g is injective, then  $g \circ f$  is injective.

Statement is **false**.

**Negation.** There exists sets X, Y, Z and maps  $f: X \mapsto Y$  and  $g: Y \mapsto Z$  such that, f is surjective and g is injective, but  $g \circ f$  is not injective.

*Proof.* Let

$$X := \{1, 2, 3\},$$

$$Y := \{4, 5\},$$

$$Z := \{10, 11\},$$

$$\Gamma f \subseteq X \times Y := \{(1, 4), (2, 5), (3, 4)\},$$

$$\Gamma g \subseteq Y \times Z := \{(4, 10), (5, 11)\}.$$

Trivially, it can be visually verified that f and g are totally-defined and well-defined. f is surjective, because

$$\forall y \in Y. \ \exists x \in X. \ f(x) = y.$$

g is injective, because

$$\forall y_1, y_2 \in Y. \ y_1 \neq y_2 \implies g(y_1) \neq g(y_2).$$

 $g \circ f$  is defined as

$$\forall x \in X. \ (g \circ f)(x) := g(f(x)).$$

In this example,

$$\Gamma(g \circ f) \subseteq X \times Z := \{(1, 10), (2, 11), (3, 10)\}.$$

Take  $a, b \in X$  to be 1 and 3 respectively,

$$(g \circ f)(1) = (g \circ f)(3) = 10.$$

Since there exists  $a, b \in X$  such that  $(g \circ f)(a) = (g \circ f)(b)$  and  $a \neq b$ ,

 $(g \circ f)$  is not injective.

Therefore, we can conclude that there exists sets X, Y, Z and maps  $f: X \mapsto Y$  and  $g: Y \mapsto Z$  such that f is surjective and g is injective, but  $g \circ f$  is not injective.

## $\mathbf{Q4}$

**Statement.** For any sets X, Y, Z and any maps  $f: X \mapsto Y$  and  $g: Y \mapsto Z$ , if f is injective and g is surjective, then  $g \circ f$  is surjective.

Statement is **false**.

**Negation.** There exists sets X, Y, Z and maps  $f: X \mapsto Y$  and  $g: Y \mapsto Z$  such that, f is injective and g is surjective, but  $g \circ f$  is not surjective.

*Proof.* Let

$$X := \{1, 2\},$$

$$Y := \{4, 5, 6\},$$

$$Z := \{10, 11, 12\},$$

$$\Gamma f \subseteq X \times Y := \{(1, 4), (2, 5)\},$$

$$\Gamma g \subseteq Y \times Z := \{(4, 10), (5, 11), (6, 12)\}.$$

Trivially, it can be visually verified that f and g are totally-defined and well-defined. f is injective, because

$$\forall x_1, x_2 \in X. \ f(x_1) = f(x_2) \implies x_1 = x_2.$$

g is surjective, because

$$\forall z \in Z. \ \exists y \in Y. \ g(y) = z.$$

 $g \circ f$  is defined as

$$\forall x \in X. \ (g \circ f)(x) := g(f(x)).$$

In this example,

$$\Gamma(g \circ f) \subseteq X \times Z := \{(1, 10), (2, 11)\}.$$

Take  $12 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\forall x \in X. (q \circ f)(x) \neq 12.$$

Since  $\exists z \in Z. \ \forall x \in X. \ (g \circ f)(x) \neq z$ ,

 $g \circ f$  is not surjective.

Therefore, we can conclude that there exists sets X, Y, Z and maps  $f: X \mapsto Y$  and  $g: Y \mapsto Z$  such that f is injective and g is surjective, but  $g \circ f$  is not surjective.

### $\mathbf{Q5}$

**Statement.** For any sets X, Y, Z and any maps  $f: X \mapsto Y$  and  $g: Y \mapsto Z$ , if f is surjective and g is injective, then  $g \circ f$  is surjective.

Statement is **false**.

**Negation.** There exists sets X, Y, Z and maps  $f: X \mapsto Y$  and  $g: Y \mapsto Z$  such that, f is surjective and g is injective, but  $g \circ f$  is not surjective.

*Proof.* Let

$$X := \{1, 2, 3\},$$

$$Y := \{4, 5\},$$

$$Z := \{10, 11, 12\},$$

$$\Gamma f \subseteq X \times Y := \{(1, 4), (2, 5), (3, 4)\},$$

$$\Gamma g \subseteq Y \times Z := \{(4, 10), (5, 11)\}.$$

Trivially, it can be visually verified that f and g are totally-defined and well-defined. f is surjective, because

$$\forall y \in Y. \ \exists x \in X. \ f(x) = y.$$

g is injective, because

$$\forall y_1, y_2 \in Y. \ y_1 \neq y_2 \implies g(y_1) \neq g(y_2).$$

 $g \circ f$  is defined as

$$\forall x \in X. (g \circ f)(x) := g(f(x)).$$

In this example,

$$\Gamma(g \circ f) \subseteq X \times Z := \{(1, 10), (2, 11), (3, 10)\}.$$

Take  $12 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\forall x \in X. (q \circ f)(x) \neq 12.$$

Since  $\exists z \in Z. \ \forall x \in X. \ (g \circ f)(x) \neq z, \ g \circ f$  is not surjective.

Therefore, we can conclude that there exists sets X, Y, Z and maps  $f: X \mapsto Y$  and  $g: Y \mapsto Z$  such that f is surjective and g is injective, but  $g \circ f$  is not surjective.  $\square$ 

# $\mathbf{Q6}$

**Statement.** For any sets X, Y, Z and any maps  $f: X \mapsto Y$  and  $g: Y \mapsto Z$ , if f is surjective and g is surjective, then  $g \circ f$  is surjective.

Statement is **true**.

*Proof.* If f is surjective, by definition,

$$\forall y \in Y. \ \exists x \in X. \ f(x) = y.$$

If g is surjective, by definition,

$$\forall z \in Z. \ \exists y \in Y. \ g(y) = z.$$

 $g \circ f$  is defined as

$$\forall x \in X. \ (g \circ f)(x) := g(f(x)).$$

Then given  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

Since g is surjective,  $\exists b \in Y. \ g(b) = c.$ 

f is also surjective, so given  $b \in Y$ ,  $\exists a \in X$ . f(a) = b.

Therefore,  $\exists a \in X. \ g(f(a)) = c.$ 

Therefore, we can conclude that given f is surjective and g is surjective,

$$\forall c \in Z. \ \exists a \in X. \ (g \circ f)(a) = c,$$

 $g \circ f$  is surjective.

 $\mathbf{Q7}$ 

(a)

**Claim.** Given sets  $A, B, A \subseteq B$  iff  $A \cup B = B$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\forall x. \ x \in A \implies x \in B$ . ( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Let  $x \in A \cup B$  be arbitary, but fixed, then,

$$(x \in A) \lor (x \in B).$$

Case  $x \in A$ , since  $A \subseteq B$ ,  $x \in B$ .

Case  $x \in B$ , trivially,  $x \in B$ .

Because for any arbitary  $x, x \in A \cup B \implies x \in B$ , we have  $A \cup B \subseteq B$ . Conversely let  $x \in B$  be arbitary, but fixed, then trivially,

$$x \in B$$
$$(x \in A) \lor (x \in B)$$
$$x \in A \cup B$$

Since for any arbitary  $x, x \in B \implies x \in A \cup B$ , we have  $B \subseteq A \cup B$ . Now because  $A \cup B \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq A \cup B$ , we conclude that if  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $A \cup B = B$ .

Assume  $A \cup B = B$ , then by axiom of extentionality,  $(\Leftarrow)$ 

$$\forall x. \ x \in A \cup B \iff x \in B$$
  
 $\forall x. \ (x \in A) \lor (x \in B) \iff x \in B$ 

Let  $x \in A$  be arbitary, but fixed, then by above statement,  $x \in B$ . Because for any arbitary  $x, x \in A \implies x \in B$ , we conclude that if  $A \cup B = B$ , then  $A \subseteq B$ .

We have  $A \subseteq B \implies A \cup B = B$  and  $A \cup B = B \implies A \subseteq B$ , so  $A \subseteq B$  iff  $A \cup B = B$ .  $\square$ 

(b)

**Claim.** Given sets  $A, B, A \cap B = A$  iff  $A \cup B = B$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $A \cap B = A$ , then by axiom of extentionality,  $(\Longrightarrow)$ 

$$\forall x. \ x \in A \cap B \iff x \in A$$
$$\forall x. \ (x \in A) \land (x \in B) \iff x \in A$$
 (1)

Let  $x \in A \cup B$  be arbitary, but fixed, then,

$$(x \in A) \lor (x \in B).$$

Case  $x \in A$ , by (1),  $(x \in A) \land (x \in B)$ , so  $x \in B$ .

Case  $x \in B$ , trivially,  $x \in B$ .

Because for any arbitary  $x, x \in A \cup B \implies x \in B$ , we have  $A \cup B \subseteq B$ . Conversely let  $x \in B$  be arbitary, but fixed, then trivially,

$$x \in B$$
$$(x \in A) \lor (x \in B)$$
$$x \in A \cup B$$

Since for any arbitary  $x, x \in B \implies x \in A \cup B$ , we have  $B \subseteq A \cup B$ . Because  $A \cup B \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq A \cup B$ , we conclude that if  $A \cap B = A$ , then  $A \cup B = B$ . Now assume  $A \cup B = B$ , then by axiom of extentionality,

$$\forall x. \ x \in A \cup B \iff x \in B$$

$$\forall x. \ (x \in A) \lor (x \in B) \iff x \in B$$
(2)

Let  $x \in A \cap B$  be arbitary, but fixed, then,

$$(x \in A) \land (x \in B)$$
$$x \in A$$

Because for any arbitary  $x, x \in A \cap B \implies x \in A$ , we have  $A \cap B \subseteq A$ . Conversely let  $x \in A$  be arbitary, but fixed, then by (2),  $x \in B$ . Since  $x \in A$  to begin with, we have

$$(x \in A) \land (x \in B)$$
$$x \in A \cap B$$

Since for any arbitary  $x, x \in A \implies x \in A \cap B$ , we have  $A \subseteq A \cap B$ . Because  $A \cap B \subseteq A$  and  $A \subseteq A \cap B$ , we conclude that if  $A \cup B = B$ , then  $A \cap B = A$ .

We have  $A \cap B = A \implies A \cup B = B$  and  $A \cup B = B \implies A \cap B = A$ , so  $A \cap B = A$  iff  $A \cup B = B$ .

### $\mathbf{Q8}$

**Claim.** Let A, B and U be sets so that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq U$ .  $A = \emptyset$  iff the equality  $((U \setminus A) \cap B) \cup (A \cap (U \setminus B)) = B$  holds.

*Proof.* Assume  $A = \emptyset$ , then  $\forall x. \ x \notin A$ . Since  $B \subseteq U$ , so  $\forall x. \ x \in B \implies x \in U$ .  $(\Longrightarrow)$ 

$$((U \setminus A) \cap B) \cup (A \cap (U \setminus B))$$

$$= \{ x \in U : (x \in (U \setminus A) \cap B) \lor (x \in A \cap (U \setminus B)) \}$$

$$= \{ x \in U : ((x \in U \setminus A) \land (x \in B)) \lor ((x \in A) \land (x \in U \setminus B)) \}$$

$$= \{ x \in U : (x \in U \setminus A) \land (x \in B) \}$$

$$= \{ x \in U : (x \in U) \land \neg (x \in A) \land (x \in B) \}$$

$$= \{ x \in U : (x \in U) \land \neg (x \in A) \land (x \in B) \}$$

$$= \{ x \in U : (x \in U) \land (x \in B) \}$$

$$= \{ x \in U : x \in B \}$$

$$= B$$
by  $x \in B \implies x \in U$ 

If  $A = \emptyset$ , then the equality  $((U \setminus A) \cap B) \cup (A \cap (U \setminus B)) = B$  holds. Now assume  $((U \setminus A) \cap B) \cup (A \cap (U \setminus B)) = B$ .  $(\Leftarrow )$  By axiom of extentionality,

$$\forall x. \ x \in ((U \setminus A) \cap B) \cup (A \cap (U \setminus B)) \iff x \in B$$

$$\forall x. \ (x \in (U \setminus A) \cap B) \vee (x \in A \cap (U \setminus B)) \iff x \in B$$

$$\forall x. \ ((x \in U \setminus A) \wedge (x \in B)) \vee ((x \in A) \wedge (x \in U \setminus B)) \iff x \in B$$

$$\forall x. \ ((x \in U) \wedge \neg (x \in A) \wedge (x \in B)) \vee ((x \in A) \wedge (x \in U) \wedge \neg (x \in B)) \iff x \in B$$

$$\forall x. \ ((x \in A) \wedge (x \in U) \wedge \neg (x \in B)) \implies x \in B$$

Suppose for a contradiction that  $\exists x \in A$ , since  $A \subseteq U$ ,  $x \in U$ ,

if  $x \notin B$ ,  $(x \in A) \land (x \in U) \land \neg (x \in B)$  is true, but  $x \in B$  false, a contradiction.

Therefore if the equality  $((U \setminus A) \cap B) \cup (A \cap (U \setminus B)) = B$  holds, there must not exist x where  $x \in A$ , that is,  $\forall x. x \notin A$ , which means  $A = \emptyset$ .

Because  $A = \emptyset \implies ((U \setminus A) \cap B) \cup (A \cap (U \setminus B)) = B$  and  $((U \setminus A) \cap B) \cup (A \cap (U \setminus B)) = B \implies A = \emptyset$ ,

we can conclude that  $A = \emptyset$  iff the equality  $((U \setminus A) \cap B) \cup (A \cap (U \setminus B)) = B$  holds.  $\square$ 

### $\mathbf{Q}9$

Claim. Suppose  $f: X \mapsto Y$  is injective. Then for any set T, the map  $\Phi_T$  of "post-composition with f" is injective.

*Proof.* f is injective, by definition,

$$\forall x_1, x_2 \in X. \ f(x_1) = f(x_2) \implies x_1 = x_2.$$

For any set T, the map  $\Phi_T$  of "post-composition with f" is defined as

$$\forall \phi \in \operatorname{Maps}(T, X). \ \Phi_T(\phi) := (f \circ \phi).$$

Given any set T and  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \text{Maps}(T, X)$ , if  $f \circ \phi_1 = f \circ \phi_2$ , then

$$\forall t \in T. \ \forall y \in Y. \ (t,y) \in \Gamma(f \circ \phi_1) \iff (t,y) \in \Gamma(f \circ \phi_2)$$

$$\forall t \in T. \ \forall y \in Y. \ (f \circ \phi_1)(t) = y \iff (f \circ \phi_2)(t) = y$$

$$\forall t \in T. \ (f \circ \phi_1)(t) = (f \circ \phi_2)(t)$$

$$\forall t \in T. \ f(\phi_1(t)) = f(\phi_2(t))$$

Since  $\phi_1(t), \phi_2(t) \in X$ , by injectivity of f,

$$\forall t \in T. \ \phi_1(t) = \phi_2(t)$$

$$\forall t \in T. \ \forall x \in X. \ \phi_1(t) = x \iff \phi_2(t) = x$$

$$\forall t \in T. \ \forall x \in X. \ (t, x) \in \Gamma \phi_1 \iff (t, x) \in \Gamma \phi_2$$

Therefore  $\phi_1 = \phi_2$ .

For any set T, for all  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \operatorname{Maps}(T, X)$ , we have  $(f \circ \phi_1) = (f \circ \phi_2)$ , implies  $\phi_1 = \phi_2$ . This means that if f is injective, the map  $\Phi_T$  of "post-composition with f" is injective for any set T.

#### Q10

Claim. Suppose for any set T, the map  $\Phi_T$  of "post-composition with f" is injective. Then  $f: X \mapsto Y$  is injective.

*Proof.* For any set T, the map  $\Phi_T$  of "post-composition with f" is defined as

$$\forall \phi \in \operatorname{Maps}(T, X). \ \Phi_T(\phi) := (f \circ \phi).$$

 $\Phi_T$  of "post-composition with f" is injective, by definition, for any set T,

$$\forall \phi_1, \phi_2 \in \operatorname{Maps}(T, X). \ (f \circ \phi_1) = (f \circ \phi_2) \implies \phi_1 = \phi_2 \tag{1}$$

By definition, Maps(T, X) contains all maps from T to X, this means that given  $T \neq \emptyset$ ,

$$\forall x \in X. \ \forall t \in T. \ \exists \phi \in \operatorname{Maps}(T, X). \ (t, x) \in \Gamma \phi$$
  
 $\forall x \in X. \ \forall t \in T. \ \exists \phi \in \operatorname{Maps}(T, X). \ \phi(t) = x$ 

Given  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ , if  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ , then

Take  $x_1 = \phi_1(t_0)$  and  $x_2 = \phi_2(t_0)$ , where  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \text{Maps}(T, X)$  and  $t_0 \in T$  is arbitary, but fixed, then

$$f(\phi_1(t_0)) = f(\phi_2(t_0)).$$

Since  $t_0$  is arbitary,

$$\forall t \in T. \ f(\phi_1(t)) = f(\phi_2(t))$$

$$\forall t \in T. \ (f \circ \phi_1)(t) = (f \circ \phi_2)(t)$$

$$\forall t \in T. \ \forall y \in Y. \ (f \circ \phi_1)(t) = y \iff (f \circ \phi_2)(t) = y$$

$$\forall t \in T. \ \forall y \in Y. \ (t, y) \in \Gamma(f \circ \phi_1) \iff (t, y) \in \Gamma(f \circ \phi_2)$$

$$(f \circ \phi_1) = (f \circ \phi_2)$$

Because  $\Phi_T$  of "post-composition with f" is injective, by (1),

$$\phi_1 = \phi_2$$

$$\phi_1(t_0) = \phi_2(t_0)$$

$$x_1 = x_2$$

Since

$$\forall x_1, x_2 \in X. \ f(x_1) = f(x_2) \implies x_1 = x_2$$

We can conclude that if the map  $\Phi_T$  of "post-composition with f" is injective for any set T, f is injective.

### Q11

Claim. Suppose  $f: X \mapsto Y$  is surjective. Then for any set T, the map  $\Psi_T$  of "pre-composition with f" is injective.

*Proof.* f is surjective, by definition,

$$\forall y \in Y. \ \exists x \in X. \ f(x) = y. \tag{1}$$

The map  $\Psi_T$  of "pre-composition with f" is defined as

$$\forall \psi \in \operatorname{Maps}(Y,T). \ \Psi_T(\psi) := (\psi \circ f).$$

Given any set T and  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \text{Maps}(Y, T)$ , if  $\Psi_T(\psi_1) = \Psi_T(\psi_2)$ , then

$$(\psi_1 \circ f) = (\psi_2 \circ f)$$

$$\forall x \in X. \ (\psi_1 \circ f)(x) = (\psi_2 \circ f)(x)$$

$$\forall x \in X. \ \psi_1(f(x)) = \psi_2(f(x))$$

$$\forall y \in Y. \ \psi_1(y) = \psi_2(y)$$
 by (1)
$$\forall y \in Y. \ \forall t \in T. \ \psi_1(y) = t \iff \psi_2(y) = t$$

$$\forall y \in Y. \ \forall t \in T. \ (y, t) \in \Gamma \psi_1 \iff (y, t) \in \Gamma \psi_2$$

Therefore  $\psi_1 = \psi_2$ .

For any set T, for all  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \operatorname{Maps}(Y, T)$ , we have  $(\psi_1 \circ f) = (\psi_2 \circ f) \Longrightarrow \psi_1 = \psi_2$ . This means that if f is surjective, the map  $\Psi_T$  of "pre-composition with f" is injective for any set T.

### Q12

**Claim.** Suppose for any set T, the map  $\Psi_T$  of "pre-composition with f" is injective. Then  $f: X \mapsto Y$  is surjective.

*Proof.* For any set T, the map  $\Psi_T$  of "pre-composition with f" is defined as

$$\forall \psi \in \operatorname{Maps}(Y,T). \ \Psi_T(\psi) := (\psi \circ f).$$

The map  $\Psi_T$  of "pre-composition with f" is injective, by definition, for any set T,

$$\forall \psi_1, \psi_2 \in \operatorname{Maps}(Y, T). \ \psi_1 \neq \psi_2 \implies (\psi_1 \circ f) \neq (\psi_2 \circ f)$$
 (\*)

Suppose for a contradiction that f is not surjective, meaning

$$\exists y \in Y. \ \forall x \in X. \ f(x) \neq y$$

Take  $Y_0 \subseteq Y$  to be when the above condition holds,

$$Y_0 := \{ y \in Y : \forall x \in X. \ f(x) \neq y \}$$

$$\forall y \in Y \setminus Y_0. \ \exists x \in X. \ f(x) = y.$$

Take  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \text{Maps}(Y, T)$  where  $\psi_1 \neq \psi_2$ , specifically

$$\forall y \in Y \setminus Y_0. \ \psi_1(y) = \psi_2(y)$$

$$\forall y \in Y_0. \ \psi_1(y) \neq \psi_2(y)$$
(1)

Then for all  $x \in X$ ,  $f(x) \in Y \setminus Y_0$ , then by (1)

$$\forall x \in X. \ \psi_1(f(x)) = \psi_2(f(x))$$

$$\forall x \in X. \ (\psi_1 \circ f)(x) = (\psi_2 \circ f)(x)$$

$$\forall x \in X. \ \forall t \in T. \ (\psi_1 \circ f)(x) = t \iff (\psi_2 \circ f)(x) = t$$

$$\forall x \in X. \ \forall t \in T. \ (x,t) \in \Gamma(\psi_1 \circ f) \iff (x,t) \in \Gamma(\psi_2 \circ f)$$

$$(\psi_1 \circ f) = (\psi_2 \circ f)$$

There exists maps  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \operatorname{Maps}(Y,T)$  where  $\psi_1 \neq \psi_2$  and  $(\psi_1 \circ f) = (\psi_2 \circ f)$ , a contradiction with (\*).

Therefore, if the map  $\Psi_T$  of "pre-composition with f" is injective for any set T, f is surjective.