# **MA2101S Homework 5**

## **Question 1**

For any  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ ,  $p_n(X):=nX^{n+1}-(n+1)X^n+1\in\mathbb{Q}[X]$ . Show that there exists  $q_n\in\mathbb{Q}[X]$  such that  $p_n(X)=(X-1)^2q_n(X)$ .

Proof. Consider  $q_n(X):=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(i+1)X^i\in\mathbb{Q}[X].$  Now compute  $(X-1)^2q_n(X)$  ,

$$\begin{split} (X-1)^2q_n(X) &= (X^2-2X+1)q_n(X) \\ &= X^2q_n(X) - 2Xq_n(X) + q_n(X) \\ &= X^2\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(i+1)X^i - 2X\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(i+1)X^i + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(i+1)X^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(i+1)X^{i+2} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}2(i+1)X^{i+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(i+1)X^i \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^{n+1}(i-1)X^i - \sum_{i=1}^{n}2iX^i + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(i+1)X^i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1}(i-1)X^i - \sum_{i=1}^{n}2iX^i + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(i+1)X^i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(i-1)X^i - \sum_{i=1}^{n}2iX^i + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(i+1)X^i + nX^{n+1} + (n-1)X^n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(i-1)X^i - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}2iX^i + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(i+1)X^i + nX^{n+1} - (n+1)X^n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left[(i-1)X^i - 2iX^i + (i+1)X^i\right] + nX^{n+1} - (n+1)X^n + 1 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}0 + nX^{n+1} - (n+1)X^n + 1 \\ &= nX^{n+1} - (n+1)X^n + 1 = p_n \end{split}$$

Therefore  $p_n(X)$  is divisible by  $(X-1)^2$ .

Qi Ji (A0167793L) 2

### **Question 2**

Let K be a field, and let  $a,b \in K$  with  $a \neq 0$ . Show that  $(aX+b)^0, (aX+b)^1, (aX+b)^2, ...$  form a basis for K[X].

**Linear independence.** *Proof.* Consider any finite subset of naturals  $S\subseteq\mathbb{N}$ . The claim is that  $\left\{(aX+b)^s\right\}_{s\in S}$  – an arbitrary finite subset of  $\left\{(aX+b)^i\right\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ , is linearly independent. To prove linear independence, proceed by induction on |S|.

**Base cases.** If |S| = 0 or |S| = 1, linear independence is trivial.

**Induction hypothesis.** Suppose for any  $T\subseteq \mathbb{N}$  with |T|=n-1,  $\{(aX+b)^t\}_{t\in T}$  is linearly independent.

Now consider  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  with |S| = n. Let  $\omega \in S$  be the largest element in S, that is for any  $s \in S$ ,  $s \leqslant \omega$ . Because S is finite and non-empty,  $\omega$  actually exists. Consider this equation,

$$\sum_{s \in S} c_s (aX+b)^s = 0 \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{Q}[X]$$

where  $(c_s)_{s\in S}\in K$  are coefficients indexed by S. Comparing the coefficient of  $X^\omega$ ,  $c_\omega a^\omega=0$ , then because  $a^\omega\neq 0$ ,  $c_\omega=0$ . Then the equation reduces to,

$$\sum_{s \in S \backslash \{\, \omega \,\}} c_s (aX+b)^s = 0 \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{Q}[X]$$

then from induction hypothesis, because  $|S\setminus\{\omega\}|=n-1$ , using linear independence, all the coefficients  $(c_s)_{s\in S\setminus\{\omega\}}$  are zero, together with our earlier conclusion that  $c_\omega=0$ , completes the proof that  $\{(aX+b)^s\}_{s\in S}$  is linearly independent.

Hence any finite subset of  $\{(aX+b)^0, (aX+b)^1, (aX+b)^2, ...\}$  is linearly independent.  $\Box$ 

**Spanning.** Proof. To show that  $\left\{\,(aX+b)^i\,\right\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  spans K[X], proceed by induction on the degree of the polynomial that lies in K[X].

**Base cases.** Trivial to see that zero polynomial is spanned. Since  $(aX+b)^0=1$ , all degree 0 polynomials are spanned too.

**Induction hypothesis.** Suppose any polynomial of degree strictly less than n is spanned by  $\{(aX+b)^i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ .

Let  $f\in K[X]$  with  $\deg(f)=n$ , so  $f=\sum_{i=0}^n f_iX^i$ , where  $f_0,\dots,f_n\in K$  are coefficients with  $f_n\neq 0$ . From binomial theorem,

$$\begin{split} (aX+b)^n &= \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} (aX)^r b^{n-r} \\ &= a^n X^n + \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{r} (aX)^r b^{n-r} \end{split}$$

as  $a^n \neq 0$ , proceed to compute  $f - \frac{f_n}{a^n} (aX + b)^n$ ,

$$\begin{split} f - \frac{f_n}{a^n} (aX + b)^n &= f_n X^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_i X^i - \frac{f_n}{a^n} \left( a^n X^n + \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{r} (aX)^r b^{n-r} \right) \\ &= f_n X^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_i X^i - f_n X^n - \frac{f_n}{a^n} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{r} a^r X^r b^{n-r} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \left( f_r X^r - \frac{f_n}{a^n} \binom{n}{r} a^r b^{n-r} X^r \right) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \left( f_r - \frac{f_n}{a^n} \binom{n}{r} a^r b^{n-r} \right) X^r \end{split}$$

This means  $f-\frac{f_n}{a^n}(aX+b)^n$  is a polynomial with degree at most n-1, so by induction hypothesis, it is spanned by  $\left\{\,(aX+b)^i\,\right\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ . So there exists a finite subset  $S\subseteq\mathbb{N}$ , and coefficients  $(c_s)_{s\in S}\in K$  indexed by S such that

$$f - \frac{f_n}{a^n} (aX + b)^n = \sum_{s \in S} c_s (aX + b)^s,$$

which gives

$$f = \sum_{s \in S} c_s (aX + b)^s + \frac{f_n}{a^n} (aX + b)^n.$$

By strong induction, any polynomial is spanned by  $\left\{\,(aX+b)^{\,i}\,\right\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ . Therefore  $\left\{\,(aX+b)^{\,i}\,\right\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  forms a basis for K[X].

### **Question 3**

Let K be a field, and let  $h \in K[X]$  be a polynomial with  $\deg(h) \geqslant 1$ . Consider the linear endormorphism  $\Phi$  of K[X] given by

$$\Phi: K[X] \to K[X], \qquad f \mapsto f(h).$$

- (a) Show that  $\Phi$  is injective.
- (b) Show that  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $\deg(h) = 1$ .

**Proposition.** For any nonzero polynomials  $f, g \in K[X]$ ,  $\deg(f(g)) = \deg(f) \deg(g)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f_0,\dots,f_m\in K$  such that  $f=\sum_{i=0}^m f_iX^i$  and  $g_0,\dots,g_n\in K$  such that  $g=\sum_{j=0}^n g_jX^j$ , with  $f_m\neq 0$  and  $g_n\neq 0$ , where  $m=\deg(f), n=\deg(g), m, m\geqslant 0$ , then

$$\begin{split} f(g) &= \sum_{i=0}^m f_i g^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m f_i \left( \sum_{j=0}^n g_j X^j \right)^i \end{split}$$

As  $\deg(g^i)=i\cdot \deg(g)$  for any  $i\in\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\deg(f(g))\leqslant m\cdot \deg(g)$ . Also note that in f(g), the coefficient of  $X^{mn}$  is  $f_mg_n^m$ , which is nonzero, therefore  $\deg(f(g))=mn=\deg(f)\deg(g)$ .  $\square$ 

- (a) Proof. To show injectivity, proceed to show that  $\Phi$  has a trivial kernel. Suppose for a contradiction  $\Phi$  has a non-trivial kernel, that is there exists  $f \in K[X]$ , with  $\deg(f) \geqslant 0$ , and  $\Phi(f) = 0$ . This means  $\deg(\Phi(f)) = \deg(0) = -\infty$ , but because both f,h are nonzero polynomials, by proposition above,  $\deg(f(h)) = \deg(f) \deg(h) \geqslant 0$  which is a contradiction.  $\square$

Conversely suppose  $\deg(h) \geqslant 2$ , the claim is that  $X \notin \operatorname{Im}(\Phi)$ . Consider the degree of the polynomial (point) we evaluate  $\Phi$  at, for any  $f \in K[X]$ ,

- Case  $\deg(f) = -\infty$ ,  $\Phi(f) = 0$ , and  $\deg(\Phi(f)) = -\infty$ ,
- Case deg(f) = 0,  $\Phi(f) = f$  is degree 0,
- Case  $deg(f) \ge 1$ ,  $\Phi(f) = f(h)$  has  $degree deg(f) deg(h) \ge 2$ .

This means that no degree 1 polynomial lies in  $\operatorname{Im}(\Phi)$ , therefore  $\Phi$  is not an isomorphism.  $\square$ 

### **Question 4**

Let K be a field of characteristic 0. Consider the linear endormorphism S of K[X] given by

$$S:K[X]\to K[X], \qquad \sum_{n=0}^d a_n X^n \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^d \frac{a_n}{n+1} X^{n+1}.$$

Let  $V \subseteq K[X]$  be a non-zero subspace which is stable under S. Show that V is not finite-dimensional.

*Proof.* Suppose for a contradiction that  $V\subseteq K[X]$  is non-zero, stable under S and is finite-dimensional, then V has a finite basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . Note that since V is not the zero subspace,  $\mathcal{B}$  is non-empty. Consider  $\deg(\mathcal{B})\subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , a finite and non-empty subset of natural numbers. Let  $\omega\in\deg(\mathcal{B})$  be the largest element, that is, for any  $d\in\deg(\mathcal{B})$ ,  $d\leqslant\omega$ . This means that there exists  $z\in\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\deg(z)=\omega$ , and for any  $b\in\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\deg(b)\leqslant\deg(z)$ .

As linear combination of polynomials do not increase the degree, for any  $v\in \mathrm{span}(\mathcal{B})=V$ ,  $\deg(v)\leqslant \omega$ . But now, consider S(z). Let  $z_0,\ldots,z_\omega\in K$  with  $z_\omega\neq 0$  such that  $z=\sum_{i=0}^\omega z_iX^i$ , then

$$\begin{split} S(z) &= S\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\omega} z_i X^i\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\omega} \frac{z_i}{i+1} X^{i+1} \end{split}$$

which has degree  $\omega+1$ , as  $\frac{z_\omega}{\omega+1}\neq 0$ . Then from our earlier conclusion that any  $v\in V$  has degree less than or equal to  $\omega$ , we have  $z\in V$ , but  $S(z)\notin V$ , which contradicts fact that V is stable under S.  $\square$ 

### **Question 5**

Let K be a field of characteristic 0. Consider the linear endomorphism D of K[X] given by

$$D:K[X]\to K[X], \qquad \sum_{n=0}^d a_n X^n \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^d n a_n X^{n-1}.$$

Let  $V \subseteq K[X]$  be a finite dimensional subspace. Show that D is nilpotent on V, i.e. there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $f \in V$ , one has  $D^m(f) = 0$ .

**Claim.** For any nonzero  $f \in K[X]$ ,  $D^{\deg(f)+1}(f) = 0$ .

*Proof (of claim).* Proceed by induction on  $\deg(f)$ , case  $\deg(f)=0$ , it is clear that  $D^1(0)=0$ . (There are no terms in a sum from 1 to 0.) Suppose for any  $g\in K[X]$  with  $\deg(g)=n-1$ ,  $D^n(g)=0$ .

Consider  $f \in K[X]$  with  $\deg(f) = n$ , so  $f_0, \ldots, f_n \in K$  with  $f_n \neq 0$  such that  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i X_i$ , then by induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{split} D^{n+1}(f) &= D^n \left( D(f) \right) \\ &= D^n \left( D \left( \sum_{i=0}^n f_i X^i \right) \right) \\ &= D^n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n i f_i X^{i-1} \right) \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

Therefore by induction, for any nonzero  $f \in K[X]$ ,  $D^{\deg(f)+1}(f) = 0$ .  $\square$  An immediate corollary is that for any  $f \in K[X]$ , for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $m > \deg(f)$ ,  $D^m(f) = 0$ .

*Proof (of Q5).* V is finite dimensional, so V has a finite basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . In the case that V is the zero subspace, D(0)=0 so D is nilpotent. For cases where V is a non-zero subspace of K[X],  $\mathcal{B}$  is non-empty. Consider  $\deg(\mathcal{B})\subseteq\mathbb{N}$ , which is a finite and non-empty subset of natural numbers. It has the largest element  $\omega$ , where for any  $d\in\deg(\mathcal{B})$ ,  $d\leqslant\omega$ . This means that there exists  $z\in\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\deg(z)=\omega$ , and for any  $b\in\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\deg(b)\leqslant\deg(z)$ .

As linear combination of polynomials do not increase the degree, for any  $v \in \operatorname{span}(\mathcal{B}) = V$ ,  $\deg(v) \leqslant \omega$ . For  $0 \in V$ ,  $D^{\omega+1}(0) = 0$  is trivial. For any nonzero  $v \in V$ , as  $\omega+1 > \deg(v)$ , by claim,  $D^{\omega+1}(v) = 0$ . Therefore D is nilpotent.  $\square$ 

### **Question 6**

Let K be a field. For each  $t \in K$ , "evaluation at t" gives a linear functional  $\operatorname{eval}_t \in K[X]^{\vee}$  on the K-vector space K[X]:

$$\operatorname{eval}_t: K[X] \to K, \qquad f \mapsto f(t),$$

which has the property that for any  $f,g\in K[X]$ , one has

$$eval(fg) = eval(f) eval(g)$$
 in  $K$ .

Show that for any linear functional  $\varphi \in K[X]^{\vee}$  with property that for any  $f,g \in K[X]$ , one has

$$\varphi(fg) = \varphi(f)\varphi(g) \qquad \text{ in } K,$$

 $\text{then either } \varphi = 0 \text{ in } K[X]^\vee \text{ or there exists } t \in K \text{ such that } \varphi = \operatorname{eval}_t \text{ in } K[X]^\vee.$ 

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in K[X]^{\vee}$  be any multiplicative linear functional. By multiplicative property,  $\varphi(1) = \varphi(1) \cdot \varphi(1)$ , then  $\varphi(1) = 0$  or  $\varphi(1) = 1$ .

 $\mathsf{Case}\,\varphi(1)=0, \mathsf{then}\,\mathsf{for}\,\mathsf{any}\,f\in K[X], \varphi(f)=\varphi(1\cdot f)=\varphi(1)\cdot \varphi(f)=0, \mathsf{so}\,\varphi\,\mathsf{is}\,\mathsf{the}\,\mathsf{zero}\,\mathsf{functional}.$ 

Case  $\varphi$  nonzero and  $\varphi(1)=1$ , for any  $f\in K[X]$ , let  $f_0,\ldots,f_d\in K$  such that  $f=\sum_{i=0}^d f_iX^i$ , where  $d=\deg(f)$ , then by linearity and multiplicative property,

$$\begin{split} \varphi(f) &= \varphi\left(\sum_{i=0}^d f_i X^i\right) \\ &= f_0 \varphi(1) + \sum_{i=1}^d f_i \varphi(X^i) \\ &= f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d f_i \varphi(X)^i \end{split}$$

define  $t := \varphi(X) \in K$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{eval}_t(f) &= f(t) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^d f_i t^i \\ &= f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d f_i t^i \end{aligned}$$

Since f was arbitrary, we see that by setting  $t = \varphi(X) \in K$ ,  $\varphi = \operatorname{eval}_t$ .

Qi Ji (A0167793L)