


What is SLAM?

- Estimate the pose of a robot and the map of the environment at the same time
- SLAM is hard, because
 - a map is needed for localization and
 - a good pose estimate is needed for mapping
- **Localization:** inferring location given a map
- **Mapping:** inferring a map given locations
- **SLAM:** learning a map and locating the robot simultaneously

The SLAM Problem

- SLAM is a **chicken-or-egg** problem:
 - a map is needed for localization and
 - a pose estimate is needed for mapping





SLAM Applications

- SLAM is central to a range of indoor, outdoor, in-air and underwater applications for both manned and autonomous vehicles.

Examples:

- At home: vacuum cleaner, lawn mower
- Air: surveillance with unmanned air vehicles
- Underwater: reef monitoring
- Underground: exploration of mines
- Space: terrain mapping for localization

SLAM Applications



Map Representations



Examples: Subway map, city map, landmark-based map



Maps are **topological** and/or **metric** models of the environment



Map Representations in Robotics

- Grid maps or scans, 2d, 3d



[Lu & Milios, 97; Gutmann, 98; Thrun 98; Burgard, 99; Konolige & Gutmann, 00; Thrun, 00; Arras, 99; Haehnel, 01; Grisetti et al., 05; ...]

- Landmark-based



[Leonard et al., 98; Castelanos et al., 99; Dissanayake et al., 2001; Montemerlo et al., 2002; ...]

The SLAM Problem

- SLAM is considered a fundamental problems for robots to become truly autonomous
- Large variety of different SLAM approaches have been developed
- The majority uses probabilistic concepts
- History of SLAM dates back to the mid-eighties


Feature-Based SLAM

Given:

- The robot's controls
 $U_{1:k} = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$
- Relative observations
 $Z_{1:k} = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k\}$


Wanted:

- Map of features
 $m = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$
- Path of the robot
 $X_{1:k} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$




Feature-Based SLAM

- **Absolute** robot poses
- **Absolute** landmark positions
- But only **relative** measurements of landmarks



Why is SLAM a hard problem?


1. Robot path and map are both **unknown**



2. Errors in map and pose estimates correlated

Why is SLAM a hard problem?

- The **mapping between observations and landmarks is unknown**
- Picking **wrong** data associations can have **catastrophic** consequences (divergence)



SLAM: Simultaneous Localization And Mapping

- Full SLAM:

$$p(x_{0:t}, m | z_{1:t}, u_{1:t})$$

Estimates entire path and map!


- Online SLAM:

$$p(x_t, m | z_{1:t}, u_{1:t}) = \int \int \dots \int p(x_{1:t}, m | z_{1:t}, u_{1:t}) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{t-1}$$

Estimates most recent pose and map!


- Integrations (marginalization) typically done recursively, one at a time

Graphical Model of Full SLAM




$$p(x_{1:t}, m \mid z_{1:t}, u_{1:t})$$

Graphical Model of Online SLAM



$$p(x_t, m \mid z_{1:t}, u_{1:t}) = \int \int \dots \int p(x_{1:t}, m \mid z_{1:t}, u_{1:t}) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{t-1}$$

Motion and Observation Model



Remember the KF Algorithm

1. Algorithm **Kalman_filter**(μ_{t-1} , Σ_{t-1} , u_t , z_t):
2. Prediction:
3. $\bar{\mu}_t = A_t \mu_{t-1} + B_t u_t$
4. $\bar{\Sigma}_t = A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T + R_t$
5. Correction:
6. $K_t = \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T (C_t \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T + Q_t)^{-1}$
7. $\mu_t = \bar{\mu}_t + K_t (z_t - C_t \bar{\mu}_t)$
8. $\Sigma_t = (I - K_t C_t) \bar{\Sigma}_t$
9. Return μ_t , Σ_t

EKF SLAM: State representation

- **Localization**

3x1 pose vector
3x3 cov. matrix

$$\mathbf{x}_k = \begin{bmatrix} x_k \\ y_k \\ \theta_k \end{bmatrix} \quad C_k = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x^2 & \sigma_{xy} & \sigma_{x\theta} \\ \sigma_{yx} & \sigma_y^2 & \sigma_{y\theta} \\ \sigma_{\theta x} & \sigma_{\theta y} & \sigma_\theta^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- **SLAM**

Landmarks **simply extend** the state.

Growing state vector and covariance matrix!

$$\mathbf{x}_k = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_R \\ \mathbf{m}_1 \\ \mathbf{m}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{m}_n \end{bmatrix}_k \quad C_k = \begin{bmatrix} C_R & C_{RM_1} & C_{RM_2} & \cdots & C_{RM_n} \\ C_{M_1 R} & C_{M_1} & C_{M_1 M_2} & \cdots & C_{M_1 M_n} \\ C_{M_2 R} & C_{M_2 M_1} & C_{M_2} & \cdots & C_{M_2 M_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{M_n R} & C_{M_n M_1} & C_{M_n M_2} & \cdots & C_{M_n} \end{bmatrix}_k$$

EKF SLAM: State representation

- Map with n landmarks: $(3+2n)$ -dimensional Gaussian

$$Bel(x_t, m_t) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \\ l_1 \\ l_2 \\ \vdots \\ l_N \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_x^2 & \sigma_{xy} & \sigma_{x\theta} & \sigma_{xl_1} & \sigma_{xl_2} & \cdots & \sigma_{xl_N} \\ \sigma_{xy} & \sigma_y^2 & \sigma_{y\theta} & \sigma_{yl_1} & \sigma_{yl_2} & \cdots & \sigma_{yl_N} \\ \sigma_{x\theta} & \sigma_{y\theta} & \sigma_\theta^2 & \sigma_{\theta l_1} & \sigma_{\theta l_2} & \cdots & \sigma_{\theta l_N} \\ \sigma_{xl_1} & \sigma_{yl_1} & \sigma_{\theta l_1} & \sigma_{l_1^2} & \sigma_{l_1 l_2} & \cdots & \sigma_{l_1 l_N} \\ \sigma_{xl_2} & \sigma_{yl_2} & \sigma_{\theta l_2} & \sigma_{l_1 l_2} & \sigma_{l_2^2} & \cdots & \sigma_{l_2 l_N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{xl_N} & \sigma_{yl_N} & \sigma_{\theta l_N} & \sigma_{l_1 l_N} & \sigma_{l_2 l_N} & \cdots & \sigma_{l_N^2} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

- Can handle hundreds of dimensions


EKF SLAM: Building the Map

Filter Cycle, Overview:

- 1.** State prediction (odometry)
- 2.** Measurement prediction
- 3.** Observation
- 4.** Data Association 
- 5.** Update
- 6.** Integration of new landmarks

EKF SLAM: Building the Map

- State Prediction



Odometry:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_R = f(\mathbf{x}_R, \mathbf{u})$$

$$\hat{C}_R = F_x C_R F_x^T + F_u U F_u^T$$

Robot-landmark cross-covariance prediction:


$$\hat{C}_{RM_i} = F_x C_{RM_i}$$

(skipping time index k)

$$\mathbf{x}_k = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_R \\ \mathbf{m}_1 \\ \mathbf{m}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{m}_n \end{bmatrix}_k \quad C_k = \begin{bmatrix} C_R & C_{RM_1} & C_{RM_2} & \cdots & C_{RM_n} \\ C_{M_1 R} & C_{M_1} & C_{M_1 M_2} & \cdots & C_{M_1 M_n} \\ C_{M_2 R} & C_{M_2 M_1} & C_{M_2} & \cdots & C_{M_2 M_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{M_n R} & C_{M_n M_1} & C_{M_n M_2} & \cdots & C_{M_n} \end{bmatrix}_k$$

EKF SLAM: Building the Map


- Measurement Prediction



$$\mathbf{x}_k = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_R \\ \mathbf{m}_1 \\ \mathbf{m}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{m}_n \end{bmatrix}_k \quad C_k = \begin{bmatrix} C_R & C_{RM_1} & C_{RM_2} & \cdots & C_{RM_n} \\ C_{M_1 R} & C_{M_1} & C_{M_1 M_2} & \cdots & C_{M_1 M_n} \\ C_{M_2 R} & C_{M_2 M_1} & C_{M_2} & \cdots & C_{M_2 M_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{M_n R} & C_{M_n M_1} & C_{M_n M_2} & \cdots & C_{M_n} \end{bmatrix}_k$$

EKF SLAM: Building the Map

- Observation



(x,y) -point landmarks


$$\mathbf{z}_k = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ x_2 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_1 \\ \mathbf{z}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_k = \begin{bmatrix} R_1 & 0 \\ 0 & R_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_k = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_R \\ \mathbf{m}_1 \\ \mathbf{m}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{m}_n \end{bmatrix}_k \quad C_k = \begin{bmatrix} C_R & C_{RM_1} & C_{RM_2} & \cdots & C_{RM_n} \\ C_{M_1R} & C_{M_1} & C_{M_1M_2} & \cdots & C_{M_1M_n} \\ C_{M_2R} & C_{M_2M_1} & C_{M_2} & \cdots & C_{M_2M_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{M_nR} & C_{M_nM_1} & C_{M_nM_2} & \cdots & C_{M_n} \end{bmatrix}_k$$

EKF SLAM: Building the Map

- Data Association




Associates predicted measurements $\hat{\mathbf{z}}_k^i$ with observation \mathbf{z}_k^j

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_k^{ij} &= \mathbf{z}_k^j - \hat{\mathbf{z}}_k^i \\ S_k^{ij} &= R_k^j + H^i \hat{C}_k H^{iT}\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_k = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_R \\ \mathbf{m}_1 \\ \mathbf{m}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{m}_n \end{bmatrix}_k \quad C_k = \begin{bmatrix} C_R & C_{RM_1} & C_{RM_2} & \cdots & C_{RM_n} \\ C_{M_1R} & C_{M_1} & C_{M_1M_2} & \cdots & C_{M_1M_n} \\ C_{M_2R} & C_{M_2M_1} & C_{M_2} & \cdots & C_{M_2M_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{M_nR} & C_{M_nM_1} & C_{M_nM_2} & \cdots & C_{M_n} \end{bmatrix}_k$$

EKF SLAM: Building the Map

- Filter Update



The usual Kalman filter expressions

$$K_k = \hat{C}_k H^T S_k^{-1}$$


$$\mathbf{x}_k = \hat{\mathbf{x}}_k + K_k \nu_k$$

$$C_k = (I - K_k H) \hat{C}_k$$

$$\mathbf{x}_k = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_R \\ \mathbf{m}_1 \\ \mathbf{m}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{m}_n \end{bmatrix}_k \quad C_k = \begin{bmatrix} C_R & C_{RM_1} & C_{RM_2} & \cdots & C_{RM_n} \\ C_{M_1 R} & C_{M_1} & C_{M_1 M_2} & \cdots & C_{M_1 M_n} \\ C_{M_2 R} & C_{M_2 M_1} & C_{M_2} & \cdots & C_{M_2 M_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{M_n R} & C_{M_n M_1} & C_{M_n M_2} & \cdots & C_{M_n} \end{bmatrix}_k$$

EKF SLAM: Building the Map

- Integrating New Landmarks



State augmented by

$$\mathbf{m}_{n+1} = g(\mathbf{x}_R, \mathbf{z}_j)$$

$$C_{M_{n+1}} = G_R C_R G_R^T + G_z R_j G_z^T$$


Cross-covariances:

$$C_{M_{n+1} M_i} = G_R C_{RM_i}$$

$$C_{M_{n+1} R} = G_R C_R$$

$$\mathbf{x}_k = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_R \\ \mathbf{m}_1 \\ \mathbf{m}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{m}_n \\ \mathbf{m}_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}_k \quad C_k = \begin{bmatrix} C_R & C_{RM_1} & C_{RM_2} & \cdots & C_{RM_n} & C_{RM_{n+1}} \\ C_{M_1 R} & C_{M_1} & C_{M_1 M_2} & \cdots & C_{M_1 M_n} & C_{M_1 M_{n+1}} \\ C_{M_2 R} & C_{M_2 M_1} & C_{M_2} & \cdots & C_{M_2 M_n} & C_{M_2 M_{n+1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ C_{M_n R} & C_{M_n M_1} & C_{M_n M_2} & \cdots & C_{M_n} & C_{M_n M_{n+1}} \\ C_{M_{n+1} R} & C_{M_{n+1} M_1} & C_{M_{n+1} M_2} & \cdots & C_{M_{n+1} M_n} & C_{M_{n+1}} \end{bmatrix}_k \quad 26$$


EKF SLAM



Map

Correlation matrix


EKF SLAM



Map

Correlation matrix

EKF SLAM



Map

Correlation matrix

EKF SLAM: Correlations Matter

- What if we neglected cross-correlations?

$$C_k = \begin{bmatrix} C_R & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & C_{M_1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & C_{M_n} \end{bmatrix}_k \quad C_{RM_i} = \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 2}$$
$$C_{M_i M_{i+1}} = \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2}$$

EKF SLAM: Correlations Matter

- What if we neglected cross-correlations?

$$C_k = \begin{bmatrix} C_R & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & C_{M_1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & C_{M_n} \end{bmatrix}_k \quad C_{RM_i} = \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 2}$$
$$C_{M_i M_{i+1}} = \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2}$$


- Landmark and robot uncertainties would become overly optimistic
- Data association would fail
- Multiple map entries of the same landmark
- Inconsistent map

SLAM: Loop Closure

- **Recognizing an already mapped area**, typically after a long exploration path (the robot "closes a loop")
- Structurally identical to data association, but
 - high levels of ambiguity
 - possibly useless validation gates
 - environment symmetries
- Uncertainties **collapse** after a loop closure (whether the closure was correct or not)


SLAM: Loop Closure

- ## ■ Before loop closure



SLAM: Loop Closure

- After loop closure




SLAM: Loop Closure

- By revisiting already mapped areas, uncertainties in robot and landmark estimates can be **reduced**
- This can be exploited when **exploring** an environment for the sake of better (e.g. more accurate) maps
- Exploration: the problem of **where to acquire new information**
 - See separate chapter on exploration

KF-SLAM Properties (Linear Case)


- The **determinant** of any sub-matrix of the map covariance matrix **decreases monotonically** as successive observations are made



- When a new landmark is initialized, its **uncertainty** is **maximal**
- Landmark uncertainty **decreases monotonically** with each new observation

KF-SLAM Properties (Linear Case)


- In the limit, the landmark estimates become **fully correlated**



[Dissanayake et al., 2001] 41

KF-SLAM Properties (Linear Case)

- In the limit, the **covariance** associated with any single landmark location estimate is determined only by the **initial covariance in the vehicle location estimate**.



[Dissanayake et al., 2001] 42

EKF SLAM Example: Victoria Park Dataset




Victoria Park: Data Acquisition



[courtesy by E. Nebot]

44

Victoria Park: Estimated Trajectory



Victoria Park: Landmarks



[courtesy by E. Nebot]

46


EKF SLAM Example: Tennis Court




[courtesy by J. Leonard]

47

EKF SLAM Example: Tennis Court



odometry





estimated trajectory

[courtesy by John Leonard]

48

EKF SLAM Example: Line Features

- KTH Bakery Data Set



[Wulf et al., ICRA 04]

49

EKF-SLAM: Complexity

- Cost per step: quadratic in n , the number of landmarks: $O(n^2)$
- Total cost to build a map with n landmarks: $O(n^3)$
- Memory consumption: $O(n^2)$
- Problem: becomes computationally intractable for large maps!
- There exists variants to circumvent these problems

SLAM Techniques

- EKF SLAM
- FastSLAM
- Graph-based SLAM
- Topological SLAM
(mainly place recognition)
- Scan Matching / Visual Odometry
(only locally consistent maps)
- Approximations for SLAM: Local submaps,
Sparse extended information filters, Sparse
links, Thin junction tree filters, etc.
- ...

EKF-SLAM: Summary

- The first SLAM solution
- Convergence proof for linear Gaussian case
- Can diverge if nonlinearities are large (and the reality is nonlinear...)
- Can deal only with a single mode
- Successful in medium-scale scenes
- Approximations exists to reduce the computational complexity