## **Languages and Machines**

Chap 3: 9, 11, 37

 $S \rightarrow aSc \mid Z$  $Z \rightarrow bZc \mid Zc \mid \lambda$  11.

 $S \rightarrow aSB \mid \lambda$ 

 $B \rightarrow b \mid bBa$ 

**37.** 

$$L_1$$
 $S \rightarrow WX$ 

 $L_2$  $S \,\to\, YZ$   $L_1 U L_2$  $S \rightarrow WX \mid YZ$ 

$$W \rightarrow aWb \mid ab$$
  
  $X \rightarrow cX \mid c$ 

$$Y \rightarrow aY \mid a$$
  
 $Z \rightarrow bZc \mid bc$ 

 $W \rightarrow aWb \mid ab$ 

$$X \,\to\, cX \mid c$$

$$Y \ \to \ aY \mid a$$

$$Z \ \to \ bYc \ | \ bc$$

 $L_1 U L_2$  will always be ambiguous because the string *abc* can be generated by either language:

$S \rightarrow WX$	S → YZ
$S \rightarrow abX$	$S \rightarrow aZ$
S → abc	S → abc

Find a CFG over {a,b} that generates the language consisting of strings that have twice as many a's as b's and prove your grammar correct.

 $S \rightarrow SaSaSbS \mid SaSbSaS \mid SbSaSaS \mid \lambda$ 

Basis

aab, aba, and baa all clearly have  $n_a = 2 * n_b$ 

*Inductive Hypothesis* 

 $n_a = 2* n_b$  for up to z derivations

Induction

- Suppose we have derived the word w with z derivations, which satisfies what we are trying to prove by the inductive hypothesis.
- The following rules are possibly derived from w for a total of z+1 derivations:

Rule	n <sub>a</sub>	$\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{b}}$
S → SaSaSbS	2	1
S → SaSbSaS	2	1
S → SbSaSaS	2	1
$S \rightarrow \lambda$	0	0

In each case, the number of a's is 2\* the number of b's as desired.