J Bolton Section 2, ch 1 and 2

## **Logic for Applications**

p 165: 1 p 171: 1, 3, 5(abcdef), 8(abc), 10

## Ch 1

1.

Using induction:

Basis: if  $G' = \{ \sim l \}$  and  $C' = \{ l \}$  then  $D' = \{ \}$ , which corresponds to D as desired Inductive hypothesis: assume D and D' correspond for all G' and C' with literals  $\leq n$ 

Suppose our goal clause G' has n+1 literals, with the n+1st literal x resolving against some literal y in G'. We would then get  $D' = G' \cup G' - (n+1 \cup 1)$ , which corresponds to D by the IH. Suppose instead that G' has n+1 literals -- we would then use the same reasoning to find the correspondence between D and D'. Last, suppose that both G' and G' have n+1 literals. In this case, we can still use the IH.

This proof is pretty awful, I know, but I'm running out of time in the quarter and will try to return to it later to do a better job.

```
Ch 2
1
Using an indentation tree:
\simeq(c,a)
Path 1:
        \simeq(c,a)
        Path 1.1:
                 \simeq(c,Y)
                          failure
                 \simeq(Y,a)
                          failure
        failure
Path 2:
        \simeq(a,c)
                 \simeq(a,Y)
                          \simeq(a,b)
                 \simeq(b,c)
                 box!
3
Goal: \sim p(X,Y)
Path 1:
        \sim q(X,Y)
                 \sim q(a,b)
        \sim p(b,Z)
                 \sim q(b, Y)
                 failure
        Path 1.2:
        \simp(b,b) box! (X/a, Y/b)
```

J Bolton Section 2, ch 1 and 2

```
5
a
second\_element(X,[\_,X|\_]).
substitute\_for\_second\_element(Y,[X|Xs],[X,Y|Xs]).
switch([X|Xs], [L,Zs]) := get_last(L,Ls,Xs), append(Ls, [X], Zs).
% first param = the last item
% second param = given list without the last item
% third param = given list
get_last(L,[],[L]).
get_last(L,[\_|Xs],[\_|Ys]) :- get_last(L,Xs,Ys).
?- second_element(b,[a,[b,c],d,e]).
false.
?- second_element(b,[a,b,c]).
true.
?- second_element([b,c],[a,[b,c],d,e]).
true.
?- substitute_for_second_element([a,[b,c],d],[a,b,c],[a,[b,c],d]).
false.
?- switch([a,b,c],[a,c,b]).
false.
?- switch([a,b,c],[c,b,a]).
true.
e
?- second_element(Z,[a,[b,c],d,e]).
Z = [b, c].
?- second_element(Z,[a,b,c]).
Z = b.
?- substitute_for_second_element([a,[b,c],d],[a,b,c],Z).
Z = [a, [a, [b, c], d], c].
?- substitute_for_second_element(b,[a,[a,[b,c],d],c],Z).
Z = [a, b, c].
?- switch([a,b,c],Z).
Z = [c, b, a].
?- switch([c,b,a],Z).
Z = [a, b, c].
?- second_element(b,Zs), substitute_for_second_element(b,Zs,[a,b,c]).
Zs = [a, b, c].
?- second_element(b,Zs), switch(Zs,[a,b,c]).
Zs = [c, b, a].
```

J Bolton Section 2, ch 1 and 2

```
f
laws:
Substituting a second element for its own second element results in the same list
?- substitute for second element(b,[a,b,c],[a,b,c]).
Switching a list twice yields the original list
?- switch([a,b,c],[c,b,a]), switch([c,b,a],[a,b,c]).
true.
8
a
Basis: zero added to any number is zero
IH: assume the recursive step to be true where the second parameter has length <= n.
If the second parameter has length n+1 -- that is: add(X,s(Y),s(Z)) -- then our subgoal becomes
add(x,Y,Z), which we know to be addition by the IH.
mul(_,0,0).
mul(X,1,X).
mul(A,s(B),C) := add(D,A,C), mul(A,B,D).
Basis: 0 multiplied with anything is 0. 1 multiplied with anything is that thing.
IH: assume the recursive step to be equivalent to mn=r where the second param has length <=n.
If the second param has length n+1 (mul(A,s(B),C)), then that is true if add(D,A,C) and mul(A,B,D)
are true. Since x(y+1) = xy+x (or A(s(B)) = mul(A,B,C), add(C,A)), and by the inductive hypothesis
we know that mul(A,B,D) \le a*b=d, then we know mul(A,s(B),C) \le A*s(B)=C
10.
flttn([],[]).
flttn([X|F], [X|Xs]) :- atomic(X), flttn(F, Xs).
flttn(F3, [X|Xs]) :- flttn(F1, X), flttn(F2, Xs), append(F1,F2,F3).
```