Stock Market Movement Prediction

Byungsu Jung

Department of Mathematics bjung@uw.edu

Seoyoung Park

Department of ACMS(Data Sci&Stat) syp1017@uw.edu

1 Summary of research questions and Results

Question Summary

In a brief sentence, our research is trying several NLP techniques to predict the stock market and get words that perform as important features.

The aim of our study is to roughly predict stock market price movement based on top 25 News headlines for each day. Considering this task involves NLP, we will implemented several NLP feature extraction techniques such as N-gram, TF-IDF and others. For each of feature extraction techniques, we will implement different machine learning models to evaluate which feature extraction showed highest prediction. After successfully identifying best feature extraction technique and machine learning model, we will further provide report on "Why selected feature extraction technique and machine learning model showed best performance", and we will propose future improvements, **Question list**

- 1. Is it possible to roughly predict stock market price movement solely based on NLP?
- 2. What is the best performing NLP feature extraction technique?
- 3. After feature extraction, identify the word or words that has most impact on prediction.
- 4. What is the best correlating machine learning model to the selected NLP feature extraction technique?
- 5. If possible, calculate the optimized hyper-parameters that produces highest prediction.

Results

- 1. Yes, the best accuracy we can get is 0.5883905013192612
- 2. Tf-idf with bi-gram
- 3. First five are the most significant words that indicate the increase in DIJA Adj close value, and the others indicate the decrease in it.

	features	coefficient
411	set to	1.264377
31	and other	1.259669
391	right to	1.216202
276	likely to	1.166895
14	after the	1.128663
131	fire on	-1.098193
40	around the	-1.128193
366	phone hacking	-1.144952
597	up in	-1.146688
452	the country	-1.393351

4. Logistic Regression

5. LogisticRegression(C=0.491000000000003, class_weight=None, dual=False, fit_intercept=True, intercept_scaling=1, max_iter=100, multi_class='ovr', n_jobs=1, penalty='12', random_state=None, solver='liblinear', tol=0.0001, verbose=0, warm_start=False)

2 Motivation and Background

The core context of our research questions is "Does new headlines have a measurable significant effect on movement of Stock Price?". Within scope of this question, we are especially interested in verifying if "News Headlines" does have impact on the movement of stock price, what machine learning model shows best prediction. Also, we are interest in finding out the word or words that have most effect on such analysis.

With the result of this research through computing, we can verify the effect of news on stock price. With an answer to this question, we can understand at least few aspect that could effect the stock price and we can use this information to continuously look for other aspects that could affect stock price. Furthermore, we can possibly build a model that could be used in fields such as financial engineering and so on.

3 Data set

We will use dataset from the **Kaggle**. This dataset consist of three different CSV files with each containing different type of data. The following is URL to our dataset.

https://www.kaggle.com/aaron7sun/stocknews#DJIA_table.csv

News data: Crawled historical news headlines from Reddit WorldNews Channel (https://www.reddit.com/r/worldnews?hl), which are ranked by Reddit users' votes. Only the top 25 headlines are considered for a single date. (Range: 2008-06-08 to 2016-07-01)

Stock data: The Dow Jones Industrial Average, or simply the Dow, is a stock market index that indicates the value of 30 large, publicly owned companies based in the United States, and how they have traded in the stock market during various periods of time. (Range: 2008-08-08 to 2016-07-01)

- RedditNews.csv: The first column is the "date", and the second column is the "news headlines".
- D.IIA table.csv: Gained from

Yahoo - "Date": YYYY-MM-DD format

- "Open": Opening weighted average stock value in USD
- "High": All day high in USD
- "Low": All day low in USD
- "Close": Closing weighted average stock value in USD
- "Volume": Number of trades
- "Adj Close": Adjusted closing prices adjusted for both dividends and splits in USD
- Combined News DJIA.csv: The first column is "Date", the second is "Label", and the others are news headlines("Top 1" to "Top 25"). "Label" indicates whether the DJIA Adj Close value rose or not Binary classification. If it rose or stayed the same, it's 1, and if it decreased, it's 0.

4 Methodology

In a brief sentence, our goal is to predict stock price movement base on news headlines. The following is the steps that we are going to perform to get to the result. These following steps include

algorithms, analysis and metric we will be using to predict stock price movement.

- 1. As mentioned, the dataset contains daily top 25 new headlines in a form of separated string. In order to use all these headlines to be used to predict single day stock movement, we are going to combine them and clean the data. Cleaning data includes steps like making all the character to lower cases and removing all the special characters.
- 2. With cleaned dataset, we are going to vectorize the string by using both bi-gram and Tf-Idf. We chose to use bi-gram to avoid ignoring effect of sequence of words.
- 3. With Tf-Idf setup to be used for analysis, we are going to use multiple classifiers such as Gradient-boosting, Ada-boost, k-NN, Stochastic Gradient Descent Classifier and more. We will also evaluate each model to find out which classifier best classify up and down movement of stock price.
- 4. Furthermore, we will use the highest predicting classifier to perform hyper-parameter tuning to possibly determine best hyper parameter and see why this hyper-parameter shows best result.

In a conclusion, we will propose further research topic to consider based on our conclusion.

5 Results

We used the logistic regression with n-gram(range from 1 to 3) by Tf-idf vectorizer, and the bi-gram has the highest accuracy score.

Uni-gram accuracy score: 0.46965699208443273 Bi-gram accuracy score: 0.5725593667546174 Tri-gram accuracy score: 0.5277044854881267

Combine uni, bi, and tri accuracy score: 0.5171503957783641

Figures show the most significant words for the increase and the decrease in DIJA Adj close value.

												ieatures	
	features	coefficient	feat	_						15	00	nigeria	
1184	nigeria	1.057232	ni		features	coefficient				20	96	state	
1647	state	0.890378		411	set to	1.264377	31	the right to	0.650365	19	83	self	
1552	self	0.837283		31	and other	1.259669	27	the first time	0.525102	14	55	mubarak	
1146	mubarak	0.825880	mut	391	right to	1.216202		human rights watch	0.514370	1:	56	and other	
992	korea	0.820153	ŀ	276	likely to	1.166895	23	sentenced to death	0.451972	9	66	hacking	
423	congo	-0.943028	C	14	after the	1.128663	18	of the country	0.434957		21	congo	
803	hacking	-0.958350		131	fire on	-1.098193	5	in order to	-0.325834			sanctions	
	-			40	around the	-1.128193	22	one of the	-0.329475				
1518	sanctions	-1.078926	sanc	366	phone hacking	-1.144952	37	there is no	-0.599939	5	46	country	
1506	run	-1.180069		597	up in	-1.146688	8	in the country	-0.719576	19	24	run	
445	country	-1.208424	со	452	the country	-1.393351	0	around the world	-0.842799				

Figure 1: unigram Figure 2: bigram Figure 3: trigram tri gram

Confusion matrix of Logistic Regression with bi-gram


Actual: NO | Pred: YES | 73 | 114 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 145 | 146 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 |


Best Accuracy: 0.5883905013192612

Best Model:

LogisticRegression(C=0.491000000000003, class_weight=None, dual=False, fit_intercept=True, intercept_scaling=1, max_iter=100, multi_class='ovr', n_jobs=1, penalty='12', random_state=None, solver='liblinear', tol=0.0001, verbose=0, warm_start=False)

	Pred: NO	Pred: YES
Actual: NO	56	131
Actual: YES	25	167





Grid Search of range from 0.4 to 0.6 for each 0.001 steps. Fitting 3 folds for each of 1001 candidates (total of 3003)

Best model:

LogisticRegression(C=0.549499999999999, class_weight=None, dual=False, fit_intercept=True, intercept_scaling=1, max_iter=100, multi_class='ovr', n_jobs=1, penalty='12', random_state=None, solver='liblinear', tol=0.0001, verbose=0, warm_start=False)

	Pred: NO	Pred: YES
Actual: NO	60	127
Actual: YES	34	158

We can see decrease in accuracy by 0.01 but this is acceptable because this model is no longer over fit to test data. Now, let this logistic regression accuracy be our baseline for the accuracy of further prediction by other classifiers.

Base Random Forest Model

Base Random Forest Accuracy: 0.5224274406332454

RandomSearch

Best Model Accuracy: 0.5356200527704486

Best Model:

RandomForestClassifier(bootstrap=True, class_weight=None, criterion='gini', max_depth=30, max_features='sqrt', max_leaf_nodes=None, min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None, min_samples_leaf=4, min_samples_split=10, min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, n_estimators=500, n_jobs=1, oob_score=False, random_state=1, verbose=0, warm_start=False)

GridSearch

Best Model Accuracy: 0.5329815303430079

Grid Search Best Model:

RandomForestClassifier(bootstrap=True, class_weight=None, criterion='gini', max_depth=60, max_features='sqrt', max_leaf_nodes=None,

min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None, min_samples_leaf=3, min_samples_split=12, min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, n_estimators=500, n_jobs=1, oob_score=False, random_state=1, verbose=0, warm_start=False)



model 1: Logistic Regression (with Test set)


model 2: Logistic Regression (with Validation set)

model 3: Base RandomForest

model 4: RandomForest using RandomSearch

model 5: RandomForest using GridSearch

Neural Network



The model results in best accuracy score when

Epochs Test Accuracy Dense 45 0.575198 6

Reproducing the Results

In order to reproduce the result, it is sufficient to run the Jupyter notebook from top to bottom. If there are Libraries that is not installed on your computer, open up terminal or CMD and install the Libraries using Pip install "Library name" or in case of using anaconda environment, type conda install "Library name".

7 Work Plan Evaluation

- Import data (< 1 hour) ✓
- Feature extraction (1-2 hour) \rightarrow Took more than 2 hours
- Perform several NLP techniques and get accuracy score (4-6 hour) → Some took a long time to run due to hyperparameter tuning
- + We tried deep learning(Neuron Network). We researched on the use of the *Tensorflow* library and tried to get lower test error by hyperparameter tuning.
- Make some plots to compare different techniques' accuracy scores (1 hour) ✓

We were going to use git, but since we used jupyter notebook, it was hard to use git to share the code, so we shared it by messenger or email. We did meet regularly to work on the project together, and the project went pretty well.

8 Testing

Since our topic is on "Predicting up and down of stock price using machine learning", we have splitted our data into training set and the test set to test our methods. Also, in case of hyperparameter tuning, we have further divided our training data set into validation set and training set and used 3-fold cross validation technique to further improve our regularity of the models.

9 Live Presentation or Video

Live Presentation

10 Collaboration

No one