


# 50.007 Machine Learning

Lu, Wei











Which is the "best" hyperplane?




The one that maximizes the "margin"!

#### Linear Classification Slightly Linearly Inseparable




The one that maximizes the soft "margin"!

#### Linear Classification Severely Linearly Inseparable




We will have to use the kernel trick!


#### Linear Classification Severely Linearly Inseparable




We will have to use the kernel trick!




Assume we are done with training.



What should be the label for this new point?



What should be the label for this new point?




Ok, then what about this point?

#### **Question**


Is it possible to introduce the notion of confidence/probability score into the model?

## Linear Classification Classification with Probability




50% positive, 50% negative

## Linear Classification Classification with Probability





80% positive, 20% negative

## Linear Classification Classification with Probability



45% positive, 55% negative





$$\theta \cdot x + \theta_0 = 0$$


$$\theta \cdot x + \theta_0 \to +\infty$$

$$\theta \cdot x + \theta_0 \to -\infty$$


$$\delta( heta\cdot x+ heta_0)=0.5$$

$$\delta( heta \cdot x + heta_0) 
ightarrow 1.0$$


$$\delta( heta\cdot x + heta_0) 
ightarrow 0.0$$
.19



$$egin{aligned} heta \cdot x + heta_0 &= 0 & \delta( heta \cdot x + heta_0) &= 0.5 \ heta \cdot x + heta_0 & o + \infty & \delta( heta \cdot x + heta_0) & o 1.0 \ heta \cdot x + heta_0 & o - \infty & \delta( heta \cdot x + heta_0) & o 0.0_{ ext{\tiny .20}} \end{aligned}$$




$$\delta( heta \cdot x + heta_0) = rac{\exp( heta \cdot x + heta_0)}{1 + \exp( heta \cdot x + heta_0)}$$




$$h(x) = rac{\exp( heta \cdot x + heta_0)}{1 + \exp( heta \cdot x + heta_0)}$$

h is the probability of predicting positive (y=+1)



$$p(y|x) = \left\{egin{array}{ll} h(x) & ext{for } y = +1 \ 1-h(x) & ext{for } y = -1 \end{array}
ight.$$



$$p(y|x) = \delta(y(\theta \cdot x + \theta_0))$$

# Linear Classification Objective Function

Training set examples:  $(x^{(1)},y^{(1)}),\ldots,(x^{(n)},y^{(n)})$ 

$$\max_{ heta, heta_0}\qquad \prod_{i=1}^n p(y^{(i)}|x^{(i)})$$

$$\max_{ heta, heta_0} \quad \log \prod_{i=1}^n p(y^{(i)}|x^{(i)})$$

$$\max_{ heta, heta_0} \qquad \sum_{i=1}^n \log p(y^{(i)}|x^{(i)})$$

## Linear Classification Loss Function

Training set examples:  $(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), \ldots, (x^{(n)}, y^{(n)})$ 

$$\max_{\theta, \theta_0}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^n p(y^{(i)}|x^{(i)})$$

$$\max_{\theta,\theta_0}$$

$$\log \prod_{i=1}^n p(y^{(i)}|x^{(i)})$$

$$\max_{\theta,\theta_0}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \log p(y^{(i)}|x^{(i)})$$

$$\min_{ heta, heta_0}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \log 1/p(y^{(i)}|x^{(i)})$$

# Linear Classification Loss Function

Training set examples: 
$$(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), \ldots, (x^{(n)}, y^{(n)})$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \log 1/p(y^{(i)}|x^{(i)})$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \log \left(1 + \exp(-y^{(i)}( heta \cdot x^{(i)} + heta_0)
ight)$$






What is the benefit of using logarithm? Why is this expression computationally more "convenient"?

## Logistic Regression Loss Function

$$\log \left(1 + \exp(-y^{(t)}( heta \cdot x^{(t)} + heta_0)
ight)$$

#### **Hinge Loss**

$$\max(0, 1 - y^{(t)}(\theta \cdot x^{(t)} + \theta_0))$$



See the whiteboard to know the connections between the two loss functions.

Let us drop  $\theta_0$  for now:

$$e^{(t)}( heta) = \log \left(1 + \exp(-y^{(t)}( heta \cdot x^{(t)})
ight)$$



Let us drop  $\theta_0$  for now:

$$e^{(t)}( heta) = \log\left(1 + \exp(-y^{(t)}( heta \cdot x^{(t)})
ight)$$
 $abla e^{(t)}( heta) = rac{-y^{(t)}x^{(t)}}{1 + \exp(y^{(t)}( heta \cdot x^{(t)}))}$ 

Let us drop  $\theta_0$  for now:

$$egin{align} e^{(t)}( heta) &= \log \left(1 + \exp(-y^{(t)}( heta \cdot x^{(t)})
ight) \ & orall e^{(t)}( heta) = rac{-y^{(t)}x^{(t)}}{1 + \exp(y^{(t)}( heta \cdot x^{(t)}))} \ & heta \leftarrow heta - \eta 
abla e^{(t)}( heta) \ \end{aligned}$$

Let us drop  $\theta_0$  for now:

$$e^{(t)}( heta) = \log \left(1 + \exp(-y^{(t)}( heta \cdot x^{(t)})
ight)$$
 $abla e^{(t)}( heta) = \frac{-y^{(t)}x^{(t)}}{1 + \exp(y^{(t)}( heta \cdot x^{(t)}))}$ 

$$heta \leftarrow heta - \eta 
abla e^{(t)}( heta)$$



What if we include  $heta_0$ ? Can you write down the complete the entire stochastic gradient descent procedure?

We now have a new input x

$$p(y=+1|x)$$

$$p(y=-1|x)$$

We now have a new input x

$$egin{aligned} p(y = +1|x) \ ⅇ ? \ p(y = -1|x) \end{aligned}$$

If yes, positive, otherwise negative!

We now have a new input x

If yes, positive, otherwise negative!

We now have a new input x

$$p(y=+1|x)$$
  $\log$   $p(y=-1|x)$   $>$   $0$  ?


If yes, positive, otherwise negative!

We now have a new input x

$$\log rac{P(y=+1|x)}{P(y=-1|x)} = \log \exp( heta \cdot x + heta_0) = heta \cdot x + heta_0$$




Note that this is a linear function. We shall check if this value is larger than 0. In other words, we still arrived at a linear decision boundary (but using a different approach)






Note that this is a linear function. We shall check if this value is larger than 0. In other words, we still arrived at a linear decision boundary (but using a different approach)

$$h(x) = rac{\exp( heta \cdot x + heta_0)}{1 + \exp( heta \cdot x + heta_0)}$$



There is another way to interpret the above function

$$h(x) = rac{\exp( heta \cdot x + heta_0)}{1 + \exp( heta \cdot x + heta_0)}$$



There is another way to interpret the above function