

Yeheng GE

My notes series

Paper Reading

Do not be distracted

Contents

1	Cube Root Asymptotics	5
0.1	The Mode Estimation Problem	5
0.2	Convergence in distribution and the argmax functional	6
2	Distribution-Invariant Differential Privacy	7
3	Property of Schur Complement	9
4	An Error Analysis of Generative Adversarial Networks for Learning Distributions	11

Chapter 1

Cube Root Asymptotics

Overview

This paper gives a functional central limit theory for empirical process.

- many convergence rate $n^{-1/3}$
- Key point is: continuous mapping theorem for the location of maximum point.
-

0.1 The Mode Estimation Problem

The paper first intuitively gives an example of “mode estimation” and gives its convergence rate $n^{-1/3}$

Suppose $\hat{\theta}_n$ is chosen to maximize

$$\Gamma_n(\theta) = P_n[\theta - 1, \theta + 1] \quad (1.1)$$

is the proportion of observations in an interval of length 2.

If P has a smooth density $p(\cdot)$, the function Γ is approximately parabolic of its optimal value θ_0 , which means that

$$\Gamma(\theta) - \Gamma(\theta_0) = \int_{1+\theta_0}^{1+\theta} p(x)dx - \int_{-1+\theta_0}^{-1+\theta} p(x)dx \approx -C(\theta - \theta_0)^2$$

Take care that $\Gamma(\theta)$ is the expectation of $\Gamma_n(\theta)$. The term above is the “bias” caused by the departure of θ from θ_0 .

Then we consider the stochastic term,

$$D_n(\theta) = [\Gamma_n(\theta) - \Gamma_n(\theta_0)] - [\Gamma(\theta) - \Gamma(\theta_0)].$$

The paper is a very nice material for understanding the core idea and techniques of empirical process.

Main reference of this paper is in the lecture notes of Pollard “Empirical process: Theory and applications” 1990 version.

For fixed θ , the $D_n(\theta)$ is approximately $N(0, \sigma_\theta^2/n)$ where

$$\sigma_\theta^2 \approx \int_{1+\theta_0}^{1+\theta} p(x)dx + \int_{-1+\theta_0}^{-1+\theta} p(x)dx \approx C |\theta - \theta_0|$$

Intuitively, when the bias term $C|\theta - \theta_0|^2$ is large comparing with the stochastic term $C|\theta - \theta_0|$, the θ is far away from the true value θ_0 . Thus not maximize the $\Gamma_n(\theta)$. So the θ could be the solution of $\Gamma_n(\theta)$ if the bias term is the same order or smaller than the stochastic term. It means

$$\begin{aligned} C|\theta - \theta_0|^2 &< Cn^{-1/2}|\theta - \theta_0|^{1/2} \\ C|\theta - \theta_0|^{3/2} &< Cn^{-1/2} \\ C|\theta - \theta_0| &< Cn^{-1/3} \end{aligned}$$

However, it is just an intuitive explanation. theoretically, we need build error bound uniformly in θ and the normal approximation must hold uniformly over θ .

Note that the variance term σ_θ decreases with $|\theta - \theta_0|$.

If the loss function $g(\theta, \cdot)$ is differentiable, σ_θ decreases with $|\theta - \theta_0|^2$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} C|\theta - \theta_0|^2 &< Cn^{-1/2}|\theta - \theta_0| \\ C|\theta - \theta_0| &< Cn^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

It produces the common $n^{-1/2}$ rate.

Thus the variance term σ_θ decreases with $|\theta - \theta_0|$, the non-standard case is a consequence of "shape-edge effect"

0.2 Convergence in distribution and the argmax functional

The "add" and "minus" produces different order here. The order produced by "add" is due to the finite density of $p(\cdot)$. Thus the density integral is proportional to the length of θ

Chapter 2

Distribution-Invariant Differential Privacy

This paper has very wierd organization. Key point: the trade-off between privacy protection and statistical accuracy

The key point of the paper is that one can reconcile both accuracy and privacy, which we achieve by preserving the original data's distribution. it is believed that there is a trade-off between statistical accuracy and differential privacy.

It transforms and perturbs the data and employs a suitable transformation to recover the original distribution.

The first achieves privacy protection by either a privatization mechanism or a privatized sampling method, including the Laplace mechanism [19, 20], the exponential mechanism [43], the minimax optimal procedures [15], among others.

The second achieves differential privacy via privatization for a category of models or algorithms, such as deep learning [1], boosting [21], stochastic gradient descent [2], risk minimization [7], random graphs [38], function estimation [30], parametric estimation [4], regression diagnostics [8], and top-k selection [16].

One main challenge is that existing privatization mechanisms protect data privacy at the expense of altering a sample's distribution

DIP approximately maintains statistical accuracy even with strict privacy protection in that it does not suffer from the trade-off between accuracy and privacy strictness

These characteristics enable us to perform data analysis without sacrificing statistical accuracy, as in regression, classification, graphical models, clustering, among other statistical and machine learning tasks

DIP's privatization process consists of three steps.

- First, DIP splits the original sample randomly into two independent subsamples, hold-out and to-be-privatized samples, both are fixed after the split.
- Second, it estimates an unknown data distribution by, say, the empirical distribution on the hold-out sample, which is referred to as a reference distribution.
- Third, we privatize the to-be-privatized sample through data perturbation, which (i) satisfies the requirement of differential privacy, and (ii) preserves the reference

distribution approximating the original distribution. As a result, DIP is differential private on the to-be-privatized sample while retaining the original distribution asymptotically, c.f., Theorem 2.

need to estimate the empirical distribution.

For univariate data, (1)do probability-integral transformation

(2)random Laplace noise to perturb and mask the data

(3) we design a new function transforming the obfuscated data to follow the reference distribution approximating the original data distribution

For multivariate data, we propose to apply the probability chain rule [51], in place of privatizing each variable independently

Detail methodology

First, we focus on [the case where the underlying distribution is known](#). The cumulative distribution function is F .

For continuous variable,

- apply F on the random sample Z_i and get $F(Z_i)$ which follows uniform distribution.
- add independent noise e_i to the $F(Z_i)$ where e_i follows Laplace distribution $Laplace(0, 1/\epsilon)$
- Finally, we apply a nonlinear transformation H to produce a privatized sample that follows the original distribution F .

The H depends on the data type. For continuous variable, G converges $F(Z_i) + e_i$ to uniform distribution.

Then F^{-1} converges $G(F(Z_i) + e_i)$ to original function. Then $H(\cdot) = F^{-1} \circ G(\cdot)$

Details of G in Appendix S1.1.

Chapter 3

Property of Schur Complement

Overview

Main result in this part comes from the book “The Schur Complement and its applications”

Chapter 4

An Error Analysis of Generative Adversarial Networks for Learning Distributions

Overview

However, theoretical explanations for their empirical success are not well established. More specifically, to estimate a target distribution μ , one chooses an easy-to-sample source distribution ν (for example, uniform or Gaussian distribution) and find the generator by solving the following minimax optimization problem, at the population level,

$$\min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \max_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu}[f(x)] - \mathbb{E}_{z \sim \nu}[f(g(z))]$$

$\max f$ to increase the margin. so f is the discriminator.

$\min g$ to decrease the margin ,so g is the generator.

We show that, if the generator and discriminator network architectures are properly chosen, GANs are able to learn any distributions with bounded support

Huang, J., Jiao, Y., Li, Z., Liu, S., Wang, Y., Yang, Y. (2022). An error analysis of generative adversarial networks for learning distributions. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 23(116), 1-43.