Activating hidden metrological usefulness

Géza Tóth^{1,2,3,4}, Tamás Vértesi⁵, Paweł Horodecki^{6,7}, Ryszard Horodecki⁸

¹Theoretical Physics, University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), Bilbao, Spain
 ²Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC), San Sebastián, Spain
 ³IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao, Spain
 ⁴Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary
 ⁵Institute for Nuclear Research, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Debrecen, Hungary
 ⁶International Centre for Theory of Quantum Technologies, University of Gdansk, Poland
 ⁷Faculty of Applied Physics and Mathematics, National Quantum Information Centre, Gdansk University of Technology, Gdansk, Poland

⁸Institute of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, National Quantum Information Centre, Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, University of Gdansk, Poland

Quantum Information and Measurement VI (QIM), 4 November 2021 (online).

Photos



Tamás Vértesi



Paweł Horodecki



Ryszard Horodecki

- Motivation
 - What are entangled states useful for?
- Metrological gain and the optimal local Hamiltonian
 - Metrological usefulness of a quantum state.
 - Activation of metrological usefulness
 - Optimal local Hamiltonian
 - Bipartite pure entangled states

What are entangled states useful for?

- Entanglement is needed for beating the shot-noise limit in quantum metrology.
- However, not all entangled states are more useful than separable states.

- Intriguing questions:
 - Can a quantum state become useful metrologically, if an ancilla or a second copy is added?
 - How to find the local Hamiltonian, for which a quantum state is the most useful compared to separable states?

- Motivation
 - What are entangled states useful for?
- Metrological gain and the optimal local Hamiltonian
 - Metrological usefulness of a quantum state.
 - Activation of metrological usefulness
 - Optimal local Hamiltonian
 - Bipartite pure entangled states

The quantum Fisher information

• Cramér-Rao bound on the precision of parameter estimation

$$(\Delta heta)^2 \geq rac{1}{m F_Q[arrho,A]}, \qquad arrho egin{pmatrix} arrho \ igg| U(heta) = \exp(-iA heta) \end{pmatrix}$$

where where m is the number of independent repetitions and $F_Q[\varrho, A]$ is the quantum Fisher information.

• The quantum Fisher information is

$$F_Q[\varrho,A] = 2\sum_{k,l} \frac{(\lambda_k - \lambda_l)^2}{\lambda_k + \lambda_l} |\langle k|A|I\rangle|^2,$$

where $\varrho = \sum_{k} \lambda_{k} |k\rangle \langle k|$.

Metrological usefulness

Metrological gain for a given Hamiltonian

$$g_{\mathcal{H}}(\varrho) = rac{\mathcal{F}_{Q}[\varrho,\mathcal{H}]}{\mathcal{F}_{Q}^{(\mathrm{sep})}(\mathcal{H})},$$

where $\mathcal{F}_Q^{(\mathrm{sep})}(\mathcal{H})$ is the maximum of the QFI for separable states.

Metrological gain optimized over all local Hamiltonians

$$g(\varrho) = \max_{\mathrm{local}\mathcal{H}} g_{\mathcal{H}}(\varrho) = \max_{\mathrm{local}\mathcal{H}} rac{\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho,\mathcal{H}]}{\mathcal{F}_Q^{(\mathrm{sep})}(\mathcal{H})}.$$

- A state ϱ is useful if $g(\varrho) > 1$.
- The metrological gain is convex in the state.
 [G. Toth, T. Vertesi, P. Hordecki, R. Horodecki, PRL 2020.]
- We would like to detmine g.

Maximally entangled state

- Difficult to obtain $g(\varrho)$ and the optimal local Hamiltonian for any ϱ .
- ullet As a first step, we consider the $d \times d$ maximally entangled state

$$|\Psi^{(\text{me})}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{k=1}^{d} |k\rangle |k\rangle.$$

The optimal Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H}^{(\mathrm{me})} = D \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes D,$$

where

$$D = diag(+1, -1, +1, -1, ...).$$

Maximally entangled state II

The 3×3 noisy quantum state

$$\varrho_{AB}^{(\rho)} = (1-\rho)|\Psi^{(\mathrm{me})}\rangle\langle\Psi^{(\mathrm{me})}| + \rho\mathbb{1}/d^2,$$

is useful if

$$p < \frac{25 - \sqrt{177}}{32} \approx 0.3655,$$

while for larger p's it is not useful.

Note that it is entangled if

$$p < \frac{2}{3}$$
.

- Motivation
 - What are entangled states useful for?
- Metrological gain and the optimal local Hamiltonian
 - Metrological usefulness of a quantum state.
 - Activation of metrological usefulness
 - Optimal local Hamiltonian
 - Bipartite pure entangled states

Activation by an ancilla qubit

If a pure ancilla qubit is added

$$\varrho^{(\mathrm{anc})} = |0\rangle\langle 0|_{a} \otimes \varrho_{AB}^{(p)}.$$

then the state is useful if

$$p < 0.3752$$
.

(For a single copy, the limit was p < 0.3655.)

• The Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H}^{(\mathrm{anc})} = 1.2 C_{aA} \otimes \mathbb{1}_B + \mathbb{1}_{aA} \otimes D_B,$$

where

$$C_{aA} = rac{9}{20} \left(2\sigma_{x} + \sigma_{z}
ight)_{a} \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|_{a} + \mathbb{1}_{a} \otimes (|2\rangle\langle 2|_{a} - |1\rangle\langle 1|_{a}).$$

Activation by a second copy

If a second copy is added

$$\varrho^{(\mathrm{tc})} = \varrho_{AB}^{(p)} \otimes \varrho_{A'B'}^{(p)}.$$

 $A \mid B$

B'

then the state is useful if

$$p < 0.4164$$
.

(For a single copy, the limit was p < 0.3655.)

The Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H}^{(tc)} = \textit{D}_{\textit{A}} \otimes \textit{D}_{\textit{A'}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\textit{BB'}} + \mathbb{1}_{\textit{AA'}} \otimes \textit{D}_{\textit{B}} \otimes \textit{D}_{\textit{B'}}.$$

- Motivation
 - What are entangled states useful for?
- Metrological gain and the optimal local Hamiltonian
 - Metrological usefulness of a quantum state.
 - Activation of metrological usefulness
 - Optimal local Hamiltonian
 - Bipartite pure entangled states

Method for finding the optimal local Hamiltonian

- Direct maximization of $\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho,\mathcal{H}]$ over \mathcal{H} is difficult: it is convex in \mathcal{H} .
- Let us consider the error propagation formula

$$(\Delta \theta)^2_M = \frac{(\Delta M)^2}{\langle i[M, \mathcal{H}] \rangle^2},$$

which provides a bound on the quantum Fisher information

$$\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho,\mathcal{H}] \geq 1/(\Delta\theta)^2_M$$

[M. Hotta and M. Ozawa, Phys. Rev. A 2004; B. M. Escher, arXiv:1212.2533; F. Fröwis, R. Schmied, and N. Gisin, Phys. Rev. A 2015. For a summary, see, e.g., the Supplemental Material of Tóth, Vértesi, Horodecki, Horodecki, PRL 2020.]

Method for finding the optimal Hamiltonian II


The maximum over local Hamiltonians can be obtained as

$$\max_{local~\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho,\mathcal{H}] = \max_{local~\mathcal{H}} \max_{M} \frac{\langle \emph{i}[\emph{M},\mathcal{H}] \rangle_{\varrho}^2}{(\Delta \emph{M})^2}.$$

Similar idea for optimizing over the state, rather than over \mathcal{H} :

[K. Macieszczak, arXiv:1312.1356; K. Macieszczak, M. Fraas, and R. Demkowicz-Dobrzański, New J. Phys. 16, 113002 (2014); Tóth and Vértesi, Phys. Rev. Lett. (2018).]

See-saw algorithm



The precision cannot get worse with the iteration!

Note that $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ fulfill

$$c_n \mathbb{1} \pm \mathcal{H}_n \geq 0.$$

Numerical results

ullet We remember that the 3 imes 3 isotropic state is useful if

$$p < \frac{25 - \sqrt{177}}{32} \approx 0.3655.$$

Then, we have the following results for activation.

	Analytic example	Numerics
Ancilla	0.3752	0.3941
Second copy	0.4164	0.4170

- Motivation
 - What are entangled states useful for?
- Metrological gain and the optimal local Hamiltonian
 - Metrological usefulness of a quantum state.
 - Activation of metrological usefulness
 - Optimal local Hamiltonian
 - Bipartite pure entangled states

Single copy of pure states

All entangled bipartite pure states are metrologically useful.

- Proof.—For the two-qubit case, see
 P. Hyllus, O. Gühne, and A. Smerzi, Phys. Rev. A 82, 012337 (2010).
- General case, pure state with a Schmidt decomposition

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \sigma_{k} |k\rangle_{A} |k\rangle_{B},$$

where s is the Schmidt number, and the real positive σ_k Schmidt coefficients are in a descending order.

We define

$$\mathcal{H}_A = \sum_{n=1,3,5,\ldots,\tilde{s}=1} |+\rangle\langle +|_{A,n,n+1} - |-\rangle\langle -|_{A,n,n+1},$$

where \tilde{s} is the largest even number for which $\tilde{s} \leq s$, and

$$|\pm\rangle_{A\,n,n+1} = (|n\rangle_A \pm |n+1\rangle_A)/\sqrt{2}$$
.

Single copy of pure states II

• We define \mathcal{H}_B in a similar manner.

We also define the collective Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_{A} \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B}.$$

Then, we have $\langle \mathcal{H}_{AB} \rangle_{\Psi} = 0$.

Direct calculation yields

$$\mathcal{F}_Q[|\Psi\rangle,\mathcal{H}_{AB}] = 4(\Delta\mathcal{H}_{AB})^2_{\ \Psi} = 8\sum_{n=1,3,5,\dots,\tilde{s}-1}(\sigma_n + \sigma_{n+1})^2,$$

which is larger than the separable bound, $\mathcal{F}_Q^{(\text{sep})}=8$, whenever the Schmidt rank is larger than 1.

Infinite number of copies

In the infinite copy limit, all bipartite pure entangled states are maximally useful.

[Supplemental Material of Tóth, Vértesi, Horodecki, Horodecki, PRL 2020.]

Summary

- Some entangled quantum states that are not useful metrologically, can still be made useful, if an ancilla or an additional copy is added.
- We have shown a general method to get the optimal local Hamiltonian for a quantum state.
- All bipartite pure entangled states are useful metrologically. If infinite number of copies are given, they are maximally useful.

See:

Géza Tóth, Tamás Vértesi, Paweł Horodecki, Ryszard Horodecki,
Activating hidden metrological usefulness,
Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 020402 (2020). (open access)

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!









