Activating hidden metrological usefulness

Géza Tóth[1,2,3,4], Tamás Vértesi[5], Paweł Horodecki[6,7], Ryszard Horodecki[8]

[1]Theoretical Physics, University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), Bilbao, Spain
[2]Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC), San Sebastián, Spain
[3]IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao, Spain
[4]Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary
[5]Institute for Nuclear Research, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Debrecen, Hungary
[6]International Centre for Theory of Quantum Technologies, University of Gdansk, Poland
[7]Faculty of Applied Physics and Mathematics, National Quantum Information Centre, Gdansk University of Technology, Gdansk, Poland
[8]Institute of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, National Quantum Information Centre, Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, University of Gdansk, Poland

Torun, Poland,
8 September 2021 (online).

Photos

Tamás Vértesi
Hungary

Paweł Horodecki
Poland

Ryszard Horodecki
Poland

What are entangled states useful for?

- Entanglement is needed for beating the shot-noise limit in quantum metrology.
- Not all entangled states are more useful than separable states.
- Question:
  - Can a quantum state become useful metrologically, if an ancilla or a second copy is added?
  - How to find the local Hamiltonian, for which a quantum state is the most useful compared to separable states?

Metrological usefulness

- Metrological gain optimized over all local Hamiltonians

$$g(\varrho) = \max_{\text{local}\mathcal{H}} \frac{\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho, \mathcal{H}]}{\mathcal{F}_Q^{(\text{sep})}(\mathcal{H})}$$

$\leftarrow$ metrological performance of ϱ

$\leftarrow$ best metrological performance of separable states

- A state ϱ is useful if $g(\varrho) > 1$.

  G. Toth, T. Vertesi, P. Hordecki, R. Horodecki, PRL 2020.

- We would like to detmine g.

Method for finding the optimal Hamiltonian II

Maximization of $\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho, \mathcal{H}]$ over $\mathcal{H}$ is difficult: it is convex in $\mathcal{H}$.

The maximum over local Hamiltonians can be obtained as

$$\max_{\text{local } \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho, \mathcal{H}] = \max_{\text{local } \mathcal{H}} \max_{M} \frac{\langle i[M, \mathcal{H}] \rangle_\varrho^2}{(\Delta M)^2}.$$

Similar idea for optimizing over the state, rather than over $\mathcal{H}$:

[K. Macieszczak, arXiv:1312.1356; K. Macieszczak, M. Fraas, and R. Demkowicz-Dobrzański, New J. Phys. 16, 113002 (2014);

Tóth and Vértesi, Phys. Rev. Lett. (2018).]

See-saw algorithm



The precision cannot get worse with the iteration!

Note that $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ fulfill

$$c_n \mathbb{1} \pm \mathcal{H}_n \geq 0.$$

Numerical results for isotropic state

- The 3×3 isotropic state is useful if

$$p < \frac{25 - \sqrt{177}}{32} \approx 0.3655.$$

- Then, we have the following results for activation.



	Analytic example	Numerics
Ancilla	0.3752	0.3941
Second copy	0.4164	0.4170

Pure states

- All bipartite pure entangled states are useful.
- In the infinite copy limit, all bipartite pure entangled states are maximally useful.

Summary

See:

Géza Tóth, Tamás Vértesi, Paweł Horodecki, Ryszard Horodecki,

Activating hidden metrological usefulness,

Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 020402 (2020). (open access)

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!