

Activation of metrologically useful genuine multipartite entanglement

New J. Phys. 26 023034 (2024)

Róbert Trényi^{1,2,3,4}, Árpád Lukács^{1,5,4}, Paweł Horodecki^{6,7},
Ryszard Horodecki⁶, Tamás Vértesi⁸, and Géza Tóth^{1,2,3,9,4}

¹Theoretical Physics, University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), Bilbao, Spain

²EHU Quantum Center, University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), Barrio Sarriena s/n, 48940 Leioa, Biscay, Spain

³Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC), San Sebastián, Spain

⁴HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

⁵Department of Mathematical Sciences, Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom

⁶International Centre for Theory of Quantum Technologies, University of Gdańsk, Gdańsk, Poland

⁷Faculty of Applied Physics and Mathematics, National Quantum Information Centre, Gdańsk University of Technology, Gdańsk, Poland

⁸Institute for Nuclear Research, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Debrecen, Hungary

⁹IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao, Spain

DPG Spring Meeting of the Condensed Matter Section (SKM), Berlin
22 March 2024

Table of Contents

1 Motivation

- Quantum metrology

2 Improving metrological performance

- Taking many copies
- Embedding into higher dimension

Outline


1 Motivation

- Quantum metrology

2 Improving metrological performance

- Taking many copies
- Embedding into higher dimension

Basic task in quantum metrology




- \mathcal{H} is *local*, that is,

$$\mathcal{H} = h_1 + \cdots + h_N,$$

where h_n 's are single-subsystem operators of the N -partite system.

Basic task in quantum metrology



- \mathcal{H} is *local*, that is,

$$\mathcal{H} = h_1 + \cdots + h_N,$$

where h_n 's are single-subsystem operators of the N -partite system.

- Cramér-Rao bound:

$$(\Delta\theta)^2 \geq \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho, \mathcal{H}]},$$

where the quantum Fisher information is

$$\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho, \mathcal{H}] = 2 \sum_{k,l} \frac{(\lambda_k - \lambda_l)^2}{\lambda_k + \lambda_l} |\langle k | \mathcal{H} | l \rangle|^2,$$

with $\varrho = \sum_k \lambda_k |k\rangle\langle k|$ being the eigendecomposition.

- For a given ϱ and a *local* Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = h_1 + \dots + h_N$

$$g_{\mathcal{H}}(\varrho) = \frac{\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho, \mathcal{H}]}{\mathcal{F}_Q^{(\text{sep})}(\mathcal{H})},$$

\leftarrow Performance of ϱ with \mathcal{H}
 \leftarrow Best performance of all
separable states with \mathcal{H}

where the separable limit is

$$\mathcal{F}_Q^{(\text{sep})}(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{n=1}^N [\sigma_{\max}(h_n) - \sigma_{\min}(h_n)]^2.$$

- For a given ϱ and a *local* Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = h_1 + \dots + h_N$

$$g_{\mathcal{H}}(\varrho) = \frac{\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho, \mathcal{H}]}{\mathcal{F}_Q^{(\text{sep})}(\mathcal{H})}, \quad \begin{array}{l} \leftarrow \text{Performance of } \varrho \text{ with } \mathcal{H} \\ \leftarrow \text{Best performance of all} \\ \text{separable states with } \mathcal{H} \end{array}$$

where the separable limit is

$$\mathcal{F}_Q^{(\text{sep})}(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{n=1}^N [\sigma_{\max}(h_n) - \sigma_{\min}(h_n)]^2.$$

If $\sigma_{\max/\min}(h_n) = \pm 1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q^{(\text{sep})}(\mathcal{H}) = 4N$ and the maximum of $\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho, \mathcal{H}]$ is $4N^2$ for **some** entangled ϱ .

- For a given ϱ and a *local* Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = h_1 + \dots + h_N$

$$g_{\mathcal{H}}(\varrho) = \frac{\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho, \mathcal{H}]}{\mathcal{F}_Q^{(\text{sep})}(\mathcal{H})}, \quad \begin{array}{l} \leftarrow \text{Performance of } \varrho \text{ with } \mathcal{H} \\ \leftarrow \text{Best performance of all} \\ \text{separable states with } \mathcal{H} \end{array}$$

where the separable limit is

$$\mathcal{F}_Q^{(\text{sep})}(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{n=1}^N [\sigma_{\max}(h_n) - \sigma_{\min}(h_n)]^2.$$

If $\sigma_{\max/\min}(h_n) = \pm 1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q^{(\text{sep})}(\mathcal{H}) = 4N$ and the maximum of $\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho, \mathcal{H}]$ is $4N^2$ for **some** entangled ϱ .

- $g_{\mathcal{H}}(\varrho)$ can be maximized over *local* Hamiltonians

[G. Tóth et al., PRL 125, 020402 (2020)]

$$g(\varrho) = \max_{\text{local } \mathcal{H}} g_{\mathcal{H}}(\varrho).$$

- If $g(\varrho) > 1$ then the state is **useful** metrologically.

Relation to multipartite entanglement

- Fully-separable states $\rightarrow g \leq 1$ (shot-noise scaling).
- Entanglement is required for usefulness.
- PPT entangled states can be useful. [G. Tóth and T. Vértesi, PRL 120, 020506 (2018)]

Relation to multipartite entanglement

- Fully-separable states $\rightarrow g \leq 1$ (shot-noise scaling).
- Entanglement is required for usefulness.
- PPT entangled states can be useful. [G. Tóth and T. Vértesi, PRL 120, 020506 (2018)]
- g identifies different levels of multipartite entanglement.
- $g > k \rightarrow$ *metrologically useful* $(k + 1)$ -partite entanglement.
- $g > N - 1 \rightarrow$ *metrologically useful* N -partite/genuine multipartite entanglement (GME).
- $g = N$ ($\mathcal{F}_Q = 4N^2$) is the maximal usefulness (Heisenberg scaling).

Relation to multipartite entanglement


- Fully-separable states $\rightarrow g \leq 1$ (shot-noise scaling).
- Entanglement is required for usefulness.
- PPT entangled states can be useful. [G. Tóth and T. Vértesi, PRL 120, 020506 (2018)]
- g identifies different levels of multipartite entanglement.
- $g > k \rightarrow$ *metrologically useful* $(k + 1)$ -partite entanglement.
- $g > N - 1 \rightarrow$ *metrologically useful* N -partite/genuine multipartite entanglement (GME).
- $g = N$ ($\mathcal{F}_Q = 4N^2$) is the maximal usefulness (Heisenberg scaling).
- There are non-useful GME states [P. Hyllus et al., PRA 82, 012337 (2010)]
- What kind of entangled states can be made useful with extended techniques?

Outline

- 1 Motivation
 - Quantum metrology
- 2 Improving metrological performance
 - Taking many copies
 - Embedding into higher dimension


Multicopy scheme with interaction between the copies

The single-subsystem operators h_n 's act between the copies:




Multicopy scheme with interaction between the copies

The single-subsystem operators h_n 's act between the copies:



Multicopy scheme with interaction between the copies

The single-subsystem operators h_n 's act between the copies:



The gain can be improved $g(\varrho^{\otimes M}) > g(\varrho)!$ [G. Tóth et al., PRL 125, 020402 (2020)]

Result

Entangled states of $N \geq 2$ qudits of dimension d are maximally useful in the infinite copy limit if they live in the subspace

$$\{|0..0\rangle, |1..1\rangle, \dots, |d-1, \dots, d-1\rangle\}.$$

The maximum is attained exponentially fast with the number of copies.

Metrologically useful GME activation

Result

Entangled states of $N \geq 2$ qudits of dimension d are maximally useful in the infinite copy limit if they live in the subspace

$$\{|0..0\rangle, |1..1\rangle, \dots, |d-1, \dots, d-1\rangle\}.$$

The maximum is attained exponentially fast with the number of copies.

$$\varrho = \sum_{k,l=0}^{d-1} c_{kl}(|k\rangle\langle l|)^{\otimes N}$$

$$h_n = D^{\otimes M}, \text{ for } 1 \leq n \leq N$$

$$D = \text{diag}(+1, -1, +1, -1, \dots)$$

for qubits $\rightarrow D = \sigma_z$, and $h_n = \sigma_z^{\otimes M}$

Metrologically useful GME activation

Result

Entangled states of $N \geq 2$ qudits of dimension d are maximally useful in the infinite copy limit if they live in the subspace

$$\{|0..0\rangle, |1..1\rangle, \dots, |d-1, \dots, d-1\rangle\}.$$


The maximum is attained exponentially fast with the number of copies.

$$\varrho = \sum_{k,l=0}^{d-1} c_{kl} (|k\rangle\langle l|)^{\otimes N}$$

$$h_n = D^{\otimes M}, \text{ for } 1 \leq n \leq N$$

$$D = \text{diag}(+1, -1, +1, -1, \dots)$$

for qubits $\rightarrow D = \sigma_z$, and $h_n = \sigma_z^{\otimes M}$



Metrologically useful GME activation

Result

Entangled states of $N \geq 2$ qudits of dimension d are maximally useful in the infinite copy limit if they live in the subspace

$$\{|0..0\rangle, |1..1\rangle, \dots, |d-1, \dots, d-1\rangle\}.$$


The maximum is attained exponentially fast with the number of copies.

$$\varrho = \sum_{k,l=0}^{d-1} c_{kl} (|k\rangle\langle l|)^{\otimes N}$$

$$h_n = D^{\otimes M}, \text{ for } 1 \leq n \leq N$$

$$D = \text{diag}(+1, -1, +1, -1, \dots)$$

for qubits $\rightarrow D = \sigma_z$, and $h_n = \sigma_z^{\otimes M}$



Metrologically useful GME activation

Result

Entangled states of $N \geq 2$ qudits of dimension d are maximally useful in the infinite copy limit if they live in the subspace

$$\{|0..0\rangle, |1..1\rangle, \dots, |d-1, \dots, d-1\rangle\}.$$


The maximum is attained exponentially fast with the number of copies.

$$\varrho = \sum_{k,l=0}^{d-1} c_{kl}(|k\rangle\langle l|)^{\otimes N}$$

$$h_n = D^{\otimes M}, \text{ for } 1 \leq n \leq N$$

$$D = \text{diag}(+1, -1, +1, -1, \dots)$$

for qubits $\rightarrow D = \sigma_z$, and $h_n = \sigma_z^{\otimes M}$



Metrologically useful GME activation

Result

Entangled states of $N \geq 2$ qudits of dimension d are maximally useful in the infinite copy limit if they live in the subspace

$$\{|0..0\rangle, |1..1\rangle, \dots, |d-1, \dots, d-1\rangle\}.$$


The maximum is attained exponentially fast with the number of copies.

$$\varrho = \sum_{k,l=0}^{d-1} c_{kl} (|k\rangle\langle l|)^{\otimes N}$$

$$h_n = D^{\otimes M}, \text{ for } 1 \leq n \leq N$$

$$D = \text{diag}(+1, -1, +1, -1, \dots)$$

for qubits $\rightarrow D = \sigma_z$, and $h_n = \sigma_z^{\otimes M}$



Examples


- The state with $|\text{GHZ}_N\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle^{\otimes N} + |1\rangle^{\otimes N})$

$$\varrho_N(p) = p |\text{GHZ}_N\rangle\langle\text{GHZ}_N| + (1-p) \frac{(|0\rangle\langle 0|)^{\otimes N} + (|1\rangle\langle 1|)^{\otimes N}}{2},$$

Examples

- The state with $|\text{GHZ}_N\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle^{\otimes N} + |1\rangle^{\otimes N})$


$$\varrho_N(p) = p |\text{GHZ}_N\rangle\langle\text{GHZ}_N| + (1-p) \frac{(|0\rangle\langle 0|)^{\otimes N} + (|1\rangle\langle 1|)^{\otimes N}}{2},$$



Examples

- The state with $|\text{GHZ}_N\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle^{\otimes N} + |1\rangle^{\otimes N})$

$$\varrho_N(p) = p |\text{GHZ}_N\rangle\langle\text{GHZ}_N| + (1-p) \frac{(|0\rangle\langle 0|)^{\otimes N} + (|1\rangle\langle 1|)^{\otimes N}}{2},$$



- All entangled pure states of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \sigma_k |k\rangle^{\otimes N}.$$

Phase noise for $N = 3$, $M = 1$ copy

$$|\text{GHZ}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle + |111\rangle) \text{ with } \mathcal{H} = h_1 + h_2 + h_3, \text{ where } h_n = \sigma_z^{\otimes M}.$$

For $M = 1$ copy:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_Q[|\text{GHZ}\rangle, \mathcal{H}] &= 36 = 4N^2 \text{ (maximal),} \\ \mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho, \mathcal{H}] &< 36,\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\varrho = p |\text{GHZ}\rangle\langle\text{GHZ}| + (1 - p) |\text{GHZ}_\phi\rangle\langle\text{GHZ}_\phi|,$$

where $|\text{GHZ}_\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle + e^{-i\phi} |111\rangle)$.

- So ϱ is a mixture of $|\text{GHZ}\rangle$ and the phase-error affected $|\text{GHZ}\rangle$.
- For 1 copy, the quantum Fisher information decreases if there is a phase-error.

Tolerating phase noise for $N = 3$, $M = 3$ copies

$|\text{GHZ}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle + |111\rangle)$ with $\mathcal{H} = h_1 + h_2 + h_3$, where $h_n = \sigma_z^{\otimes M}$.

For $M = 3$ copies:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_Q[|\text{GHZ}\rangle \otimes |\text{GHZ}\rangle \otimes |\text{GHZ}\rangle, \mathcal{H}] &= 36 = 4N^2 \text{ (maximal)}, \\ \mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho, \mathcal{H}] &= 36,\end{aligned}$$

where ϱ is some mixture of states with phase-error on at most 1 copy:

$$\begin{aligned}&|\text{GHZ}\rangle \otimes |\text{GHZ}\rangle \otimes |\text{GHZ}\rangle, \\&|\text{GHZ}_{\phi_1}\rangle \otimes |\text{GHZ}\rangle \otimes |\text{GHZ}\rangle, \\&|\text{GHZ}\rangle \otimes |\text{GHZ}_{\phi_2}\rangle \otimes |\text{GHZ}\rangle, \\&|\text{GHZ}\rangle \otimes |\text{GHZ}\rangle \otimes |\text{GHZ}_{\phi_3}\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

- For 3 copies, the quantum Fisher information stays maximal if there is a phase-error on at most 1 copy.
- Adding more copies protects against phase-error on 1 copy.

Outline

- 1 Motivation
 - Quantum metrology
- 2 Improving metrological performance
 - Taking many copies
 - Embedding into higher dimension

“GHZ”-like states

Result

All entangled pure states of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \sigma_k |k\rangle^{\otimes N}$$

with $\sum_k |\sigma_k|^2 = 1$ are useful for $d \geq 3$ and $N \geq 3$.

“GHZ”-like states

Result

All entangled pure states of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \sigma_k |k\rangle^{\otimes N}$$

with $\sum_k |\sigma_k|^2 = 1$ are useful for $d \geq 3$ and $N \geq 3$.

- The state for $N \geq 3$ with $d = 2$

$$|\psi\rangle = \sigma_0 |0\rangle^{\otimes N} + \sigma_1 |1\rangle^{\otimes N}$$

is useful if $1/N < 4|\sigma_0\sigma_1|^2$ [P. Hyllus et al., PRA 82, 012337 (2010)].

“GHZ”-like states

Result

All entangled pure states of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \sigma_k |k\rangle^{\otimes N}$$

with $\sum_k |\sigma_k|^2 = 1$ are useful for $d \geq 3$ and $N \geq 3$.

- The state for $N \geq 3$ with $d = 2$

$$|\psi\rangle = \sigma_0 |0\rangle^{\otimes N} + \sigma_1 |1\rangle^{\otimes N}$$

is useful if $1/N < 4|\sigma_0\sigma_1|^2$ [P. Hyllus et al., PRA 82, 012337 (2010)].

- But with $d = 3$

$$|\psi'\rangle = \sigma_0 |0\rangle^{\otimes N} + \sigma_1 |1\rangle^{\otimes N} + 0 |2\rangle^{\otimes N}$$

is always useful.

- The non-useful $|\psi\rangle$, embedded into $d = 3$ ($|\psi'\rangle$) becomes useful.

Conclusions

- Investigated the metrological performance of quantum states in the multicopy scenario.
- Identified a subspace in which metrologically useful GME activation is possible.
- Also improved metrological performance by embedding.

See [New J. Phys. 26 023034 \(2024\)](#)!

Thank you for the attention!



An example for $N = 3$

Consider the state

$$\varrho_3(p) = p |\text{GHZ}_3\rangle\langle\text{GHZ}_3| + \frac{1-p}{2} (|000\rangle\langle 000| + |111\rangle\langle 111|),$$

with $p = 0.8$.

An example for $N = 3$

Consider the state

$$\varrho_3(p) = p |\text{GHZ}_3\rangle\langle\text{GHZ}_3| + \frac{1-p}{2} (|000\rangle\langle 000| + |111\rangle\langle 111|),$$

with $p = 0.8$.

- 1-copy:

$$\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho_3(p), \mathcal{H}_{M=1}] = 23.0400,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{M=1} = \sigma_z^{(1)} + \sigma_z^{(2)} + \sigma_z^{(3)}$.

- 2 copies:

$$\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho_3(p)^{\otimes 2}, \mathcal{H}_{M=2}] = 28.0976,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{M=2} = \sigma_z^{(1)} \sigma_z^{(4)} + \sigma_z^{(2)} \sigma_z^{(5)} + \sigma_z^{(3)} \sigma_z^{(6)}$.

$$\mathcal{F}_Q^{(\text{sep})}(\mathcal{H}_{M=1}) = \mathcal{F}_Q^{(\text{sep})}(\mathcal{H}_{M=2}) = 12.$$

Optimal measurements

- In the limit of many copies ($M \gg 1$)

$$\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho_N(p)^{\otimes M}, \mathcal{H}] = 4N^2 \implies (\Delta\theta)^2 \geq 1/\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho_N(p)^{\otimes M}, \mathcal{H}] = 1/4N^2$$

Optimal measurements

- In the limit of many copies ($M \gg 1$)

$$\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho_N(p)^{\otimes M}, \mathcal{H}] = 4N^2 \implies (\Delta\theta)^2 \geq 1/\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho_N(p)^{\otimes M}, \mathcal{H}] = 1/4N^2$$

- Can we actually reach this limit with simple measurements?

Optimal measurements

- In the limit of many copies ($M \gg 1$)

$$\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho_N(p)^{\otimes M}, \mathcal{H}] = 4N^2 \implies (\Delta\theta)^2 \geq 1/\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho_N(p)^{\otimes M}, \mathcal{H}] = 1/4N^2$$

- Can we actually reach this limit with simple measurements?
- Measuring in the eigenbasis of \mathcal{M} (error propagation formula):

$$(\Delta\theta)_{\mathcal{M}}^2 = \frac{(\Delta\mathcal{M})^2}{|\partial_\theta \langle \mathcal{M} \rangle|^2} = \frac{(\Delta\mathcal{M})^2}{\langle i[\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H}] \rangle^2}.$$

Optimal measurements

- In the limit of many copies ($M \gg 1$)

$$\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho_N(p)^{\otimes M}, \mathcal{H}] = 4N^2 \implies (\Delta\theta)^2 \geq 1/\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho_N(p)^{\otimes M}, \mathcal{H}] = 1/4N^2$$

- Can we actually reach this limit with simple measurements?
- Measuring in the eigenbasis of \mathcal{M} (error propagation formula):

$$(\Delta\theta)_{\mathcal{M}}^2 = \frac{(\Delta\mathcal{M})^2}{|\partial_\theta \langle \mathcal{M} \rangle|^2} = \frac{(\Delta\mathcal{M})^2}{\langle i[\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H}] \rangle^2}.$$

- For M copies of $\varrho_N(p)$ we constructed a simple \mathcal{M} such that

$$(\Delta\theta)_{\mathcal{M}}^2 = \frac{1 + (M-1)p^2}{4MN^2p^2}$$

Optimal measurements

- In the limit of many copies ($M \gg 1$)

$$\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho_N(p)^{\otimes M}, \mathcal{H}] = 4N^2 \implies (\Delta\theta)^2 \geq 1/\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho_N(p)^{\otimes M}, \mathcal{H}] = 1/4N^2$$

- Can we actually reach this limit with simple measurements?
- Measuring in the eigenbasis of \mathcal{M} (error propagation formula):

$$(\Delta\theta)_{\mathcal{M}}^2 = \frac{(\Delta\mathcal{M})^2}{|\partial_\theta \langle \mathcal{M} \rangle|^2} = \frac{(\Delta\mathcal{M})^2}{\langle i[\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H}] \rangle^2}.$$

- For M copies of $\varrho_N(p)$ we constructed a simple \mathcal{M} such that

$$(\Delta\theta)_{\mathcal{M}}^2 = \frac{1 + (M-1)p^2}{4MN^2p^2}$$

- For $M = 2$ copies of $\varrho_3(p)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} = & \sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} \\ & + \sigma_z \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y \end{aligned}$$


States outside the previous subspace

- For $N = 3$ with the states

$$|W\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|100\rangle + |010\rangle + |001\rangle)$$

$$|\overline{W}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|011\rangle + |101\rangle + |110\rangle)$$

- Using the numerical optimization for $g(\varrho)$ [G. Tóth et al., PRL 125, 020402 (2020)].



The general measurements for Observation 1

$$\varrho(p, q, r) = p |\text{GHZ}_q\rangle\langle\text{GHZ}_q| + (1-p)[r(|0\rangle\langle 0|)^{\otimes N} + (1-r)(|1\rangle\langle 1|)^{\otimes N}],$$

with

$$|\text{GHZ}_q\rangle = \sqrt{q} |000\dots 00\rangle + \sqrt{1-q} |111\dots 11\rangle,$$

The following operator, being the sum of M correlation terms

$$\mathcal{M} = \sum_{m=1}^M Z^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes Y \otimes Z^{\otimes(M-m)},$$

where we define the operators acting on a single copy

$$Y = \begin{cases} \sigma_y^{\otimes N} & \text{for odd } N, \\ \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_y^{\otimes(N-1)} & \text{for even } N, \end{cases}$$

$$Z = \sigma_z \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes(N-1)}.$$

$$(\Delta\theta)_{\mathcal{M}}^2 = \frac{1/[4q(1-q)] + (M-1)p^2}{4MN^2p^2}.$$

Observation

Full-rank states of N qudits cannot be maximally useful in the infinite copy limit.


- *Example:* Isotropic state of two qubits

$$\varrho^{(p)} = p |\Psi_{\text{me}}\rangle\langle\Psi_{\text{me}}| + (1 - p)\mathbb{1}/2^2,$$

where $|\Psi_{\text{me}}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$.

- $\varrho^{(0.75)}$ (top 3 curves) and $\varrho^{(0.35)}$ (bottom 3 curves). $h_n = \sigma_z^{\otimes M}$.

$$4(\Delta\mathcal{H})^2 \geq \mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho, \mathcal{H}] \geq 4I_\varrho(\mathcal{H})$$



Embedding mixed states

- Embedding the noisy GHZ state

$$\varrho_N^{(p)} = p |\text{GHZ}\rangle\langle\text{GHZ}| + (1 - p) \frac{\mathbb{1}}{2^N}.$$




Figure: The metrological gain for the state $\varrho_3^{(p)}$ (dashed), embedded into $d = 3$ (left), $d = 4$ (right).

Embedding mixed states

- Embedding the noisy GHZ state

$$\varrho_N^{(p)} = p |\text{GHZ}\rangle\langle\text{GHZ}| + (1 - p) \frac{\mathbb{1}}{2^N}.$$





Figure: The metrological gain for the state $\varrho_3^{(p)}$ (dashed), embedded into $d = 3$ (left), $d = 4$ (right).

- $\varrho_3^{(p)}$ is genuine multipartite entangled for $p > 0.428571$
[SM Hashemi Rafsanjani et al., PRA 86, 062303 (2012)].
- $\varrho_3^{(p)}$ is useful metrologically for $p > 0.439576$.

Scheme without interaction between copies

Consider M copies of an N -partite state ϱ , all undergoing a dynamics governed by the same Hamiltonian h :



$$\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho^{\otimes M}, h^{\otimes M}] = M\mathcal{F}_Q[\varrho, h],$$

but the maximum for separable states also increases

$$\mathcal{F}_Q^{(\text{sep})}(h^{\otimes M}) = M\mathcal{F}_Q^{(\text{sep})}(h).$$

So the gain remains the same

$$g_{h^{\otimes M}}(\varrho^{\otimes M}) = g_h(\varrho).$$

No improvement in the gain!