

Connectives;

- 'and'  $\wedge$
- 'or'  $\vee$
- 'not'  $\neg$
- 'implies'  $\Rightarrow$

Quantifiers;

- 'for all'  $\forall$
- 'there exists'  $\exists$

Implications;  $P \Rightarrow Q$

Everyone in this room who goes to Stanford thinks Stanford > Berkeley

'vacuously' true

P	Q	$P \Rightarrow Q$	$\neg P \vee Q$
F	F	T	T
F	T	T	T
T	F	F	F
T	T	T	T

$\neg P \Rightarrow \neg Q$  (inverse)

$Q \Rightarrow P$  (converse)

$\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$  (contrapositive)  $\equiv P \Rightarrow Q$

$P \Rightarrow Q \equiv \neg P \vee Q$

proof by truth table (notes)

De Morgan Laws

$\neg (P \wedge Q) \equiv \neg P \vee \neg Q$

$\neg (P \vee Q) \equiv \neg P \wedge \neg Q$

## 1 Truth Tables

Determine whether the following equivalences hold, by writing out truth tables. Clearly state whether or not each pair is equivalent.

(a)  $P \wedge (Q \vee P) \equiv P \wedge Q$  **No**

(b)  $(P \vee Q) \wedge R \equiv (P \wedge R) \vee (Q \wedge R)$  **Yes**

(c)  $(P \wedge Q) \vee R \equiv (P \vee R) \wedge (Q \vee R)$  **Yes**

P	Q	$Q \vee P$	$P \wedge (Q \vee P)$	$P \wedge Q$
F	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	F	F
T	F	T	T	F
T	T	T	T	T

## 2 Propositional Practice

Convert the following English sentences into propositional logic and the following propositions into English. State whether or not each statement is true with brief justification.

- There is a real number which is not rational.
- All integers are natural numbers or are negative, but not both.
- If a natural number is divisible by 6, it is divisible by 2 or it is divisible by 3.
- $(\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}) (x \in \mathbb{Q})$
- $(\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}) (((2 \mid x) \vee (3 \mid x)) \implies (6 \mid x))$
- $(\forall x \in \mathbb{N}) ((x > 7) \implies ((\exists a, b \in \mathbb{N}) (a + b = x)))$

### 3 Converse and Contrapositive

$$a \mid b$$

'a divides b'  
b is divisible by a  
 $(\exists c \in \mathbb{Z}) \ a \times c = b$

Consider the statement "if a natural number is divisible by 4, it is divisible by 2".

- Write the statement in propositional logic. Prove that it is true or give a counterexample.
- Write the inverse of the implication in English and in propositional logic. Prove that it is true or give a counterexample. (The inverse of an implication  $P \implies Q$  is  $\neg P \implies \neg Q$ .)
- Write the converse of the implication in English and in propositional logic. Prove that it is true or give a counterexample.
- Write the contrapositive of the implication in English and in propositional logic. Prove that it is true or give a counterexample.

a)  $(\forall x \in \mathbb{N}) (4 \mid x \implies 2 \mid x)$  True  $x = 4k = 2(2k) \implies \text{divisible by } 2$

b)  $(\forall x \in \mathbb{N}) (4 \nmid x \implies 2 \nmid x)$  False  $x = 2$   
 c)  $(\forall x \in \mathbb{N}) (2 \mid x \implies 4 \mid x)$  False  $4 \nmid 2$  but  $2 \mid 2$   
 d)  $(\forall x \in \mathbb{N}) (2 \nmid x \implies 4 \nmid x)$  True

### 4 Equivalences with Quantifiers

Evaluate whether the expressions on the left and right sides are equivalent in each part, and briefly justify your answers.

(a)	$\forall x ((\exists y Q(x,y)) \implies P(x))$	$\forall x \exists y (Q(x,y) \implies P(x))$
(b)	$\neg \exists x \forall y (P(x,y) \implies \neg Q(x,y))$	$\forall x ((\exists y P(x,y)) \wedge (\exists y Q(x,y)))$
(c)	$\forall x \exists y (P(x) \implies Q(x,y))$	$\forall x (P(x) \implies (\exists y Q(x,y)))$

Not Eq  
Not Eq  
Equivalent

a) LHS:  $\forall x (\neg(\exists y Q(x,y)) \vee P(x))$   
 $\equiv \forall x ((\forall y \neg Q(x,y)) \vee P(x))$   
 RHS:  $\forall x \exists y (\neg Q(x,y) \vee P(x))$

Not the same

$$\neg (\neg P(x,y) \vee \neg Q(x,y))$$

b.) LHS:  $\forall x \exists y \neg [P(x,y) \Rightarrow \neg Q(x,y)]$   
 $\equiv \forall x \exists y (P(x,y) \wedge Q(x,y))$

RHS:  $\forall x (\exists y P(x,y)) \wedge (\exists y Q(x,y))$

not necessarily  
same value of  $y$

Not the same

$$\neg (\forall x \ P(x))$$

$$\equiv \neg (P(x_1) \wedge P(x_2) \wedge \dots)$$

$$\equiv \neg P(x_1) \vee \neg P(x_2) \vee \dots$$

$$\equiv \exists x (\neg P(x))$$

$$\neg (\exists x \ P(x))$$

$$\equiv \neg (P(x_1) \vee P(x_2) \vee \dots)$$

$$\equiv \neg P(x_1) \wedge \neg P(x_2) \wedge \dots$$

$$\equiv \forall x \neg P(x)$$