FloatX: Floating Point Type Emulation Library

Goran Flegar Cristiano Malossi Enrique S. Quintana-Ortí Florian Scheidegger

23 November 2017

Why FloatX?

- Transprecision hardware under development
- Experiment with transprecision algorithms
 - Even if hardware not available
 - Need emulation library: FloatX (Float Extended)

Design principles

- Efficiency
- Ease of use

As efficient as possible

- enables larger simulations (e.g. DNNs)
- use floating point types supported by hardware as "backend", reduce precision after every operation
- avoid using extra memory
 - size of emulated type ≤ size of backend type
- no overhead if emulated type = hardware-supported type
 - ▶ e.g. floatx<11, 52> as efficient as double
- CUDA support

As easy to use as native types

- Arithmetic and relational operations, assignments
 - a and b FloatX numbers
 - ▶ a + b, a / b, ...
 - ▶ a < b, a > b, ...
 - ▶ a = b, a += b, a -= b, ...

As easy to use as native types

- Arithmetic and relational operations, assignments
 - a and b FloatX numbers
 - ▶ a + b, a / b, ...
 - ▶ a < b, a > b, ...
 - ▶ a = b, a += b, a -= b, ...
- Interoperability between different emulated types
 - ▶ a and b FloatX numbers of **different** precision
 - arithmetic, relational operations, assignments
 - generalization of standard "type propagation" rules (e.g. a = b + c)
 - if a and b are of the same type, a == b + decltype(a)(c)
 - ightharpoonup if a and c are of the same type, a == decltype(a)(b) + c
 - common type: common_type(S, T)
 - the least precise (smallest) type which is at least as precise as both
 S and T

As easy to use as native types

- Arithmetic and relational operations, assignments
 - a and b FloatX numbers
 - ▶ a + b, a / b, ...
 - ▶ a < b, a > b, ...
 - ▶ a = b, a += b, a -= b, ...
- Interoperability between different emulated types
 - ▶ a and b FloatX numbers of **different** precision
 - arithmetic, relational operations, assignments
 - generalization of standard "type propagation" rules (e.g. a = b + c)
 - ▶ if a and b are of the same type, a == b + decltype(a)(c)
 - if a and c are of the same type, a == decltype(a)(b) + c
 - common type: common_type(S, T)
 - the least precise (smallest) type which is at least as precise as both S and T
- Interoperability between emulated and native types
 - \triangleright a = a + 3, a -= 3.5, auto x = a + 3.5 (decltype(x)?)

Language of choice: C++

- 0-overhead abstractions
- high efficiency
- operator overloading
- powerful compile-time type manipulation via template metaprogramming

Example

```
floatx<7, 12> a = 1.2, b = 3.2; // 7 exp, 12 sig. bits
floatx<10, 9> c;
float d = 3.2;
double e = 5.2;
std::cin >> c;
c = a + b; // decltype(a + b) == floatx<7, 12>
bool t = a < b:
a += c;
d = a / c; // decltype(a / c) == floatx<10, 12>
e = c - d; // decltype(c - d) == floatx<10, 23>
c = a * e; // decltype(a * e) == floatx<11, 52> ?
std::cout << c;
```

Current status

What works

- Arithmetic, relational ops, assignment
 - Same floatx type, different floatx types, floatx and native type
- Round to nearest, tie to even rounding policy

In progress

- Backend type other than double
- Optimal performance for equivalents of native types
- CUDA support

Bonus

- ▶ Precisions fixed at compile time *cripple* experimentation
- runtime version of floatx<Exp, Sig>

```
floatxr<> ra(5, 7, 3.2);
std::cout << ra + 3.4 << std::endl;
floatx<8, 9> b;
std::cout << ra - b << std::endl;
ra.set_precision(2, 8);</pre>
```

trade-off: higher memory & instruction requirements

FlexFloat vs FloatX

FlexFloat

- C library (also callable from other languages)
- ► Function-based syntax
- Enables access to transprecission hardware if available
- Designed for integration within automatic tools

FloatX

- C++ library (cannot call from other languages)
- better performance due to heavy inlining
- Operator-based syntax, behaviour of builtin types
- Cannot access transprecission hardware directly (but can use FlexFloat as backend type)