
WORKING BACKWARDS: PRESS RELEASE & FAQ

Hybrid Vulnerability Management Consulting Services

Document Purpose: This document outlines our consulting firm's strategic approach to vulnerability management services. The hybrid model allows us to:

1. Sell commercial vulnerability management platforms at a markup (25-40%)
2. Build custom integrations and solutions that address unique client needs
3. Develop our in-house software portfolio with reusable components
4. Create recurring revenue through managed services and ongoing development
5. Establish ourselves as security consultants who deliver business outcomes, not just implement tools

PRESS RELEASE

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Security Consultancy Launches Hybrid Vulnerability Management Solution That Delivers 30-50% Faster Remediation While Reducing Total Costs by 35%

New consulting approach combines best-in-class commercial platforms with custom development to solve the ownership chaos, prioritization failures, and compliance gaps plaguing modern security teams

[CITY, STATE] – [DATE] – Today, [YOUR CONSULTING FIRM] announced the launch of its Hybrid Vulnerability Management Consulting Services, a revolutionary approach that solves the three critical problems preventing organizations from effectively managing security vulnerabilities: ownership ambiguity, misprioritization of risk, and inability to demonstrate compliance.

THE CRITICAL PROBLEM

Organizations today are drowning in vulnerability data while simultaneously unable to answer basic questions about their security posture. Despite investing hundreds of thousands of dollars in scanning tools, companies face:

- **THE OWNERSHIP BLACK HOLE:** When a critical vulnerability is discovered, security teams spend hours or days trying to determine who owns the affected service. In fast-growing startups with 40+ microservices, 60% of vulnerabilities can't be routed to the correct team without manual

investigation. In M&A scenarios, this problem compounds across multiple acquired companies using different tools and processes.

- **THE NOISE CRISIS:** Companies receive 500+ vulnerability findings weekly from multiple scanners (SAST, DAST, SCA, cloud security tools, penetration tests). Without proper deduplication and normalization, 70% are duplicates or false positives. Security teams waste 20+ hours weekly manually triaging.
- **THE PRIORITIZATION FAILURE:** Everything is marked "critical" but nothing gets fixed. CVSS scores don't account for business context - a critical vulnerability in an internet-facing payment service requires different urgency than the same CVE in an internal dev tool. Teams lack risk-based prioritization that considers exploit availability, asset criticality, and data sensitivity.
- **THE COMPLIANCE NIGHTMARE:** When auditors ask "prove all critical vulnerabilities from Q2 were fixed within 30 days," security teams spend 40+ hours manually pulling data from 5 different systems, creating Excel reports, and searching for evidence. Many tickets are marked "closed" without actual verification that the vulnerability was remediated.
- **THE VISIBILITY GAP:** Executives ask "what's our critical vulnerability count?" and security teams can't answer. Board members want to understand security posture but receive conflicting reports. Leadership has no real-time view into risk by business unit, SLA compliance, or remediation velocity.

These problems cost companies dearly: breaches from unpatched vulnerabilities, failed audits resulting in regulatory fines, extended mean time to remediate (MTTR) averaging 60-90 days for critical issues, and security teams spending 70% of their time on manual coordination instead of strategic work.

THE HYBRID SOLUTION

[YOUR CONSULTING FIRM]'s Hybrid Vulnerability Management approach solves these problems by combining the power of enterprise-grade commercial platforms with custom-built solutions tailored to each organization's unique requirements.

Unlike traditional consulting firms that either force-fit expensive enterprise software or build everything from scratch, our hybrid model delivers:

COMMERCIAL PLATFORM FOUNDATION

We partner with leading vulnerability management platforms (Nucleus Security, Brinqa, RiskSense) to provide proven capabilities:

- Automated ingestion from all major scanning tools

- Built-in deduplication and normalization engines
- Risk scoring that goes beyond CVSS
- Enterprise-grade scalability and security
- Vendor support and continuous updates

CUSTOM DEVELOPMENT LAYER

We build tailored solutions on top of commercial platforms to address your specific pain points:

- Automated ownership mapping using your Git repos, cloud tags, and org data
- Custom prioritization logic incorporating your business context
- Bi-directional integrations with your existing ticketing systems
- Validation workflows that prevent premature ticket closure
- Executive dashboards answering your leadership's specific questions
- Audit evidence collection automating compliance reporting
- SLA enforcement with intelligent escalation chains

RESULTS OUR CLIENTS ACHIEVE

Series A Startup (150 employees, 40 microservices):

- Reduced time to identify vulnerability owners from 4 hours to 2 minutes
- Cut weekly triage time from 20 hours to 3 hours through deduplication
- Decreased critical vulnerability MTTR from 45 days to 14 days
- Total cost: \$85K Year 1 vs. \$150K+ for pure enterprise platform

Mid-Market Healthcare Company (800 employees, HIPAA-regulated):

- Consolidated 5 scanning tools into single pane of glass
- Automated audit evidence collection, saving 40 hours per compliance cycle
- Reduced false closure rate from 35% to <5% through validation workflows
- Achieved 92% SLA compliance vs. previous 48%
- Total cost: \$360K Year 1 vs. \$750K+ for enterprise platform alone

Enterprise Post-M&A (3 acquired companies):

- Unified vulnerability data across all subsidiaries in 90 days
- Created executive dashboard showing risk by business unit
- Standardized SLAs while respecting subsidiary processes
- Enabled data-driven acquisition security assessments
- Total cost: \$750K Year 1 vs. \$2.4M for full enterprise replacement

THE BUSINESS MODEL ADVANTAGE

For consulting firms, our hybrid approach delivers superior economics:

- Product revenue: Sell commercial platforms at 25-40% markup
- Services revenue: Implementation and integration services at \$150-250/hour
- Custom development: Build solutions at \$175-300/hour that become reusable

- Recurring revenue: Managed services at \$5K-30K/month
- IP development: Custom components become proprietary software assets

For clients, the hybrid approach provides:

- 35-60% lower total cost than pure enterprise platforms
- 2-3x faster implementation than custom development from scratch
- Solutions tailored to their specific workflows and requirements
- Flexibility to grow and adapt as needs evolve
- No vendor lock-in to monolithic platforms

AVAILABILITY

[YOUR CONSULTING FIRM]'s Hybrid Vulnerability Management Consulting Services are available immediately. The firm offers three engagement tiers:

- Optimize & Integrate: \$50K-150K, 6-8 weeks, for startups and SMBs
- Build on Foundation: \$200K-500K, 3-6 months, for mid-market companies
- Enterprise Architecture: \$500K-2M, 6-12 months, for large enterprises

Each engagement begins with a 2-3 week assessment using our comprehensive intake methodology to diagnose specific pain points and architect the optimal hybrid solution.

ABOUT [YOUR CONSULTING FIRM]

[YOUR CONSULTING FIRM] is a cybersecurity consulting firm specializing in vulnerability management, security operations, and GRC solutions. Founded by [FOUNDER], a security professional with [X] years experience and active security clearances, the firm serves both commercial enterprises and government agencies. [YOUR CONSULTING FIRM] is headquartered in [LOCATION].

For more information, visit [WEBSITE] or contact [EMAIL].

###

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS (FAQ)

SECTION 1: UNDERSTANDING THE HYBRID APPROACH

Q1: What exactly does "hybrid" mean in this context?

A: Hybrid means we combine commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) vulnerability management platforms with custom-developed solutions. Instead of forcing clients to choose between expensive enterprise software that doesn't quite fit or building everything from scratch, we use commercial platforms for the heavy lifting (data ingestion, deduplication, baseline analytics) and build custom solutions for client-specific needs (ownership mapping, unique prioritization logic, specialized integrations, compliance workflows).

Think of it like buying a house with good bones and customizing it to your needs, rather than buying a pre-built mansion that doesn't fit or building from the ground up.

Q2: Why not just implement a commercial platform without customization?

A: Pure commercial platforms fail to address the unique challenges every organization faces:

- Ownership mapping: Commercial tools don't automatically know your org structure, Git repositories, team assignments, or cloud resource tags
- Business context: Generic risk scoring doesn't understand that your customer-facing payment API requires different treatment than your internal dev environment
- Integration gaps: Your specific combination of Jira + ServiceNow + GitHub Issues + Azure DevOps requires custom integration work
- Compliance requirements: Your auditors want evidence in a specific format that commercial tools don't provide out-of-box
- Workflow mismatches: Commercial platforms have opinionated workflows that may conflict with how your engineering teams actually work

The result: 60-70% of commercial platform deployments fail to achieve ROI because they become "shelfware" - purchased but not actually used effectively.

Q3: Why not just build a custom solution from scratch?

A: Building from scratch costs 3-5x more and takes 3x longer:

- Time to value: Commercial platforms deploy in weeks vs. 6-12 months custom

- Proven capabilities: Ingestion engines, scanners APIs, deduplication logic, risk scoring models have been refined over years
- Ongoing maintenance: Vendors handle updates, security patches, new scanner integrations
- Enterprise features: SSO, RBAC, audit logging, HA/DR come built-in
- Opportunity cost: Your dev team builds revenue features instead of reinventing vulnerability management wheels

Plus, commercial platforms provide a fallback: if your custom development team turns over or the project stalls, you still have a functioning baseline system.

Q4: How do you decide what to buy vs. what to build for each client?

A: We use a decision framework during our 2-3 week assessment:

BUY (Commercial Platform) for:

- Core vulnerability data ingestion and storage
- Scanner tool integrations (SAST, DAST, SCA, etc.)
- Baseline deduplication and normalization
- Foundational risk scoring algorithms
- User authentication and access control
- Audit logging and compliance reporting framework

BUILD (Custom Solutions) for:

- Asset ownership resolution logic specific to the client
- Custom prioritization incorporating their business rules
- Integrations with their specific ticketing tool configurations
- Validation workflows matching their change management process
- Executive dashboards answering their leadership's unique questions
- Compliance evidence formats for their specific auditors
- SLA policies reflecting their risk tolerance

The assessment includes reviewing their current tool stack, interviewing stakeholders, documenting workflows, and identifying the 20% of customization that will solve 80% of their pain.

Q5: What commercial platforms do you typically recommend?

A: We're platform-agnostic and select based on client needs and budget:

FOR STARTUPS/SMB (\$30K-60K/year):

- Nucleus Security: Best API-first architecture, good for DevOps teams
- ArmorCode: Strong AppSec focus, developer-friendly
- Kenna Security (Cisco): Proven risk-based prioritization

FOR MID-MARKET (\$100K-200K/year):

- Brinqa: Excellent for complex environments, strong workflow engine
- RiskSense: Good predictive analytics, threat intelligence integration
- Qualys VMDR: Comprehensive if already using Qualys scanners

FOR ENTERPRISE (\$300K-500K/year):

- Tenable.io (with Lumin): Market leader, extensive integrations
- ServiceNow SecOps: Best if already using ServiceNow
- Brinqa: Handles complex multi-subsidiary environments

The platform selection considers their existing tool investments, team technical capabilities, integration requirements, and 3-year TCO.

SECTION 2: ADDRESSING SPECIFIC CUSTOMER PAIN POINTS

Q6: How do you solve the "ownership black hole" problem?

A: Ownership resolution is typically our first and highest-value custom development. We build an automated ownership mapping engine that:

DATA COLLECTION:

- Pulls repository metadata from GitHub/GitLab/Bitbucket
- Reads CODEOWNERS files and commit history
- Ingests cloud resource tags (AWS tags, Azure resource groups)
- Integrates with org directories (Active Directory, Okta, BambooHR)
- Imports CMDB data if available

MATCHING LOGIC:

- Maps vulnerabilities to applications/services
- Links applications to repositories
- Connects repositories to teams via CODEOWNERS or commit patterns
- Associates teams with individuals via org directory
- Provides confidence scoring when matches are ambiguous

MAINTENANCE:

- Updates automatically as org structure changes
- Provides UI for manual overrides and corrections

- Learns from manual mappings to improve future automation
- Alerts when ownership information becomes stale

RESULTS:

- Typical client goes from 4+ hours to identify owners → 2 minutes
- 95%+ automatic ownership resolution vs. 40% before
- New services automatically mapped within 24 hours

Cost: \$40K-80K to build initially, becomes reusable component we deploy to other clients with client-specific configuration.

Q7: How do you solve the "noise crisis" of duplicate vulnerabilities?

A: The commercial platform provides baseline deduplication, but we enhance it:

COMMERCIAL PLATFORM HANDLES:

- Same CVE reported by multiple scanners
- Identical findings in same component
- Standard normalization across scanner formats

WE BUILD CUSTOM LOGIC FOR:

- Deduplication across environments (same vuln in dev/staging/prod counts once)
- Grouping related CVEs affecting same component
- Filtering out accepted risks that keep reappearing
- Suppressing findings in non-production environments based on risk policy
- Correlating pen test findings with scanner results

INTELLIGENT FILTERING:

- Critical + Internet-facing + Exploit available → High priority queue
- Critical + Internal + No known exploit → Medium priority queue
- Low/Medium in dev environments → Suppressed unless customer data present

RESULTS:

- 500 weekly findings → 50-75 actionable items requiring human review
- Security team triage time: 20 hours/week → 3-4 hours/week
- Engineering teams see only relevant, contextualized issues

Cost: \$30K-60K for custom filtering and grouping logic.

Q8: How do you fix the prioritization failure?

A: We build custom risk scoring that goes beyond CVSS:

BASE COMMERCIAL PLATFORM PROVIDES:

- CVSS scores from CVE database
- Threat intelligence (exploit availability, active exploitation)
- Asset discovery and classification

WE LAYER ON CLIENT-SPECIFIC FACTORS:

ASSET CRITICALITY WEIGHTING:

- Customer-facing services: 3x multiplier
- Payment/PII handling: 2.5x multiplier
- Internal tools: 1x multiplier
- Dev/test environments: 0.5x multiplier

EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT:

- Internet-accessible: +40 points
- Internal network only: +10 points
- Air-gapped/offline: +0 points

DATA SENSITIVITY:

- PII/PHI/PCI data: +30 points
- Confidential business data: +20 points
- Public information: +5 points

REGULATORY IMPACT:

- HIPAA-regulated systems: +25 points
- PCI-DSS in scope: +25 points
- SOC 2 critical controls: +15 points

FINAL SCORE: (CVSS × Criticality Multiplier) + Exposure + Data + Regulatory

This creates a contextualized priority score that engineering teams actually trust and act on.

RESULTS:

- 200 "critical" CVEs → 15-20 "actually urgent" items
- Engineering pushback reduced by 60%
- Critical vulnerability remediation rate: 40% → 85%

Cost: \$40K-70K for custom scoring engine and ongoing tuning.

Q9: How do you solve the compliance and audit evidence problem?

A: We build automated compliance evidence collection:

TICKET LIFECYCLE TRACKING:

- Vulnerability discovered: Timestamp, source tool, severity
- Assignment: Who received it, when, via what mechanism
- SLA start: When SLA clock started, deadline calculated
- Status changes: Every update logged with actor and timestamp
- Fix deployed: Deployment timestamp, environment, version
- Validation: Re-scan results, manual verification evidence
- Closure: Final sign-off, who approved, closure reason

EVIDENCE ARTIFACTS:

- Screenshots of fixed code commits
- Scan results showing vulnerability gone
- Deployment manifests proving production deployment
- Ticket conversation history
- Risk acceptance forms for unfixed items

ONE-CLICK AUDIT REPORTS:

- "All Q2 critical vulnerabilities with resolution evidence"
- "SLA compliance by severity and business unit"
- "Open vulnerabilities exceeding SLA with escalation history"
- "Risk acceptances requiring renewal"

OUTPUT FORMATS:

- PDF reports with embedded evidence
- CSV exports for auditor analysis
- Excel workbooks with pivot tables
- API endpoints for GRC platform integration

RESULTS:

- Audit preparation time: 40 hours → 2 hours
- Evidence collection: 100% automated vs. 10% before
- Audit findings related to vuln management: 12 → 0

Cost: \$60K-100K for comprehensive compliance automation.

Q10: How do you solve the visibility gap for executives?

A: We build custom executive dashboards:

COMMERCIAL PLATFORM PROVIDES:

- Basic charts and graphs
- Standard metrics (open/closed, MTTR, etc.)

WE BUILD DASHBOARDS THAT ANSWER EXECUTIVE QUESTIONS:

FOR CISO:

- "What's our current critical vulnerability count?" (with trending)
- "Are we meeting our 14-day SLA for critical issues?"
- "Which business units are highest risk?"
- "What's our mean time to remediate trend?"

FOR CEO:

- "Are we more or less secure than last quarter?" (single number)
- "What's our exposure if X acquisition happens?"
- "Do we have any vulnerabilities that could cause a breach?"

FOR BOARD:

- Executive summary in plain language
- Comparison to industry benchmarks
- Risk trend over 12 months
- Investment in security vs. risk reduction achieved

FOR CFO:

- Security debt quantified in dollar terms
- Cost per vulnerability remediated
- ROI on security tooling investments

FEATURES:

- Auto-generated weekly/monthly reports
- Email summaries on schedule
- Drill-down from summary to individual vulnerabilities
- Mobile-friendly for on-the-go access

RESULTS:

- Board meetings: 30 min explaining data → 5 min review, 25 min strategy
- Executive confidence in security posture: dramatically increased
- Data-driven security budget decisions

Cost: \$50K-90K for custom dashboard development.

SECTION 3: BUSINESS MODEL & ECONOMICS

Q11: How does the hybrid approach benefit our consulting firm financially?

A: The hybrid model creates multiple revenue streams with strong margins:

PRODUCT RESALE (25-40% markup):

- Commercial platform license: \$100K/year
- Our markup: \$125K-140K/year
- Gross profit: \$25K-40K/year recurring
- Effort: Minimal after initial sales cycle

IMPLEMENTATION SERVICES (\$150-250/hour):

- Assessment and design: \$20K-40K (80-160 hours)
- Platform deployment: \$30K-60K (120-240 hours)
- Integration setup: \$20K-40K (80-160 hours)
- Training and documentation: \$10K-20K (40-80 hours)
- Total services: \$80K-160K
- Margin: 40-50% after labor costs

CUSTOM DEVELOPMENT (\$175-300/hour):

- Ownership mapping engine: \$40K-80K (160-320 hours)
- Risk scoring customization: \$40K-70K (160-280 hours)
- Compliance automation: \$60K-100K (240-400 hours)
- Executive dashboards: \$50K-90K (200-360 hours)
- Total custom dev: \$190K-340K
- Margin: 50-60% (builds our IP portfolio)

MANAGED SERVICES (recurring):

- Platform management: \$5K-10K/month
- Custom feature development: \$10K-20K/month
- Advisory/vCISO services: \$8K-15K/month
- Total recurring: \$23K-45K/month = \$276K-540K/year
- Margin: 60-70%

EXAMPLE: Mid-Market Client

- Year 1 revenue: \$360K (platform + services + development)
- Year 1 costs: \$160K (platform wholesale + labor)
- Year 1 profit: \$200K (56% margin)
- Year 2+ revenue: \$280K/year (managed services + platform renewal)
- Year 2+ profit: \$180K/year (64% margin)

Over 3 years: \$920K revenue, \$540K profit (59% average margin)

Q12: How does building custom components create a software portfolio?

A: Every custom solution we build becomes a reusable asset:

FIRST CLIENT DEPLOYMENT:

- Build ownership mapping engine: \$60K (300 hours)

- Client pays full cost
- We own the IP

SECOND CLIENT DEPLOYMENT:

- Configure for their environment: \$20K (100 hours)
- 70% code reuse from first client
- Margin improves from 55% → 75%

THIRD CLIENT DEPLOYMENT:

- Configure for their environment: \$15K (75 hours)
- 85% code reuse
- Margin improves to 80%

REUSABLE COMPONENTS WE BUILD:

- Ownership resolution engine (integrates Git, cloud, org directory)
- Custom risk scoring framework
- Ticketing system bi-directional sync
- Validation workflow engine
- Compliance evidence collector
- Executive dashboard framework
- SLA policy engine with escalations

PORTFOLIO VALUE:

- After 10 clients, we have battle-tested components
- Can deploy complete solution in 6-8 weeks vs. 4-6 months
- Can offer productized packages at fixed prices
- Can pivot to pure SaaS model if desired

IP VALUATION:

- Custom components represent \$500K-1M in development costs
- Recurring revenue from managed services creates enterprise value
- Exit multiple: 3-5x recurring revenue = \$830K-2.7M valuation
- Or license our platform to other consultancies

Q13: What's the total cost comparison for a typical client?

A: Let's compare three approaches for a mid-market company (800 employees):

OPTION 1: PURE ENTERPRISE PLATFORM

- Platform licenses: \$250K/year
- Professional services: \$150K (year 1)
- Training: \$30K
- Ongoing support: \$50K/year
- Year 1 total: \$480K

- Year 2-3: \$300K/year
- 3-year total: \$1.08M

GAPS:

- Still need custom ownership mapping
- Generic prioritization doesn't fit
- Compliance reports not in required format
- Executive dashboards don't answer their questions
- Integration with legacy systems requires additional work

OPTION 2: FULL CUSTOM BUILD

- Requirements and design: \$100K
- Development: \$600K (12 months, 3 developers)
- Infrastructure: \$40K/year
- Maintenance: \$150K/year
- Year 1 total: \$740K
- Year 2-3: \$190K/year
- 3-year total: \$1.12M

RISKS:

- 12+ months to initial deployment
- No fallback if custom development fails
- Requires ongoing dev team investment
- Missing enterprise features (SSO, audit logging, HA/DR)
- Security vulnerabilities in custom code

OPTION 3: HYBRID APPROACH (OUR MODEL)

- Platform license: \$125K/year (with our markup)
- Assessment: \$25K
- Implementation: \$50K
- Custom development: \$180K
- Year 1 total: \$380K
- Managed services: \$240K/year
- Year 2-3: \$365K/year
- 3-year total: \$1.11M

VALUE:

- ✓ Deployed in 3 months vs. 6-12 months
- ✓ Commercial platform handles baseline needs
- ✓ Custom solutions address specific pain points
- ✓ Ongoing support and feature development
- ✓ 35% lower year 1 cost than pure enterprise
- ✓ Equivalent 3-year cost but far superior solution

The hybrid approach delivers enterprise capabilities with custom fit at the

lowest year 1 cost and fastest time-to-value.

Q14: How do you ensure custom components don't become technical debt?

A: We follow software engineering best practices:

CODE QUALITY:

- Comprehensive documentation
- Automated testing (unit, integration, E2E)
- Code review process
- Security scanning
- Performance monitoring

ARCHITECTURE:

- Microservices architecture where appropriate
- API-first design for all components
- Standard integration patterns
- Version control and release management

MAINTENANCE:

- Quarterly dependency updates
- Security patch SLAs
- Performance optimization
- Feature enhancement roadmap

CLIENT OWNERSHIP:

- Clients receive source code (optional)
- Can self-host if desired
- Can hire other firms to maintain
- No vendor lock-in to our services

DOCUMENTATION:

- Architecture diagrams
- API documentation
- Deployment runbooks
- Troubleshooting guides
- Training materials

This ensures custom components remain assets, not liabilities, for both us and our clients.

=====

Q15: How is this different from traditional security consulting firms?

A: Traditional firms fall into three categories, all with limitations:

PURE IMPLEMENTATION FIRMS:

- Just install commercial platforms
- Minimal customization
- Clients left with shelf-ware that doesn't address unique needs
- No ongoing relationship after implementation

CUSTOM DEVELOPMENT SHOPS:

- Build everything from scratch
- Expensive and slow
- Clients bear all development risk
- Maintenance burden on client

STRATEGY CONSULTING (Big 4):

- Create PowerPoints and process documents
- Don't actually implement or build anything
- Charge premium rates (\$300-500/hour)
- Limited ongoing value

OUR HYBRID APPROACH:

- ✓ Combines best of all three approaches
- ✓ Proven platform foundation
- ✓ Custom solutions for unique needs
- ✓ Hands-on implementation
- ✓ Ongoing managed services relationship
- ✓ Builds reusable IP that benefits all clients
- ✓ Reasonable rates (\$150-300/hour)

Q16: What about the major vulnerability management platforms themselves?

A: Platform vendors have professional services, but:

VENDOR LIMITATIONS:

- Only implement their platform, no custom development
- Standardized deployment methodology
- Limited integration with non-partner tools
- Expensive professional services (\$400+/hour)
- No ongoing managed services
- Conflict of interest (want to sell more licenses)

OUR ADVANTAGES:

- ✓ Platform-agnostic (recommend what fits client)
- ✓ Build custom solutions on top of platform
- ✓ Integrate with any tools client uses
- ✓ Reasonable rates (\$150-300/hour)
- ✓ Ongoing partnership and support
- ✓ Aligned incentives (want client success)

Q17: Why wouldn't a client just hire internal developers?

A: Many try, but face challenges:

HIRING CHALLENGES:

- Security + development skillset is rare
- Salary: \$180K-250K for senior engineer
- Benefits and overhead: +30%
- Total cost: \$235K-325K/year

KNOWLEDGE GAPS:

- Vulnerability management domain expertise
- Integration patterns with security tools
- Compliance requirements understanding
- Executive reporting best practices

OPPORTUNITY COST:

- Dev team builds revenue features vs. internal tools
- Distracts from core product development

SCALING ISSUES:

- One engineer can't handle all requirements
- Knowledge concentration risk if they leave
- No peer review or quality assurance

OUR VALUE:

- ✓ Team of specialists
- ✓ Domain expertise
- ✓ Proven patterns and components
- ✓ Scalable capacity
- ✓ Knowledge distribution
- ✓ Often cheaper than full-time hire

=====

=====

Q18: What does the typical engagement timeline look like?

A: We follow a phased approach:

PHASE 1: ASSESSMENT (Weeks 1-2, \$15K-25K)

- Stakeholder interviews (security, engineering, compliance, leadership)
- Current state documentation (tools, processes, pain points)
- Workflow mapping (how vulnerabilities flow today)
- Gap analysis (what's missing or broken)
- Requirements prioritization

DELIVERABLE: Assessment report with architecture proposal

PHASE 2: DESIGN (Weeks 3-4, \$20K-35K)

- Platform selection and licensing
- Integration architecture design
- Custom development specifications
- Implementation roadmap
- Success metrics definition

DELIVERABLE: Detailed design document and statement of work

PHASE 3: IMPLEMENTATION (Weeks 5-12, \$80K-200K)

- Platform deployment and configuration
- Scanner tool integrations
- Ticketing system connections
- User provisioning and SSO
- Initial data migration

DELIVERABLE: Functioning commercial platform

PHASE 4: CUSTOM DEVELOPMENT (Weeks 8-16, \$100K-300K)

- Ownership mapping engine (parallel with implementation)
- Risk scoring customization
- Validation workflows
- Compliance automation
- Executive dashboards

DELIVERABLE: Custom solutions integrated with platform

PHASE 5: TRAINING & TRANSITION (Weeks 14-16, \$15K-30K)

- Administrator training

- End-user training
- Runbook documentation
- Transition to managed services

DELIVERABLE: Fully operational system with trained team

TOTAL TIMELINE: 12-16 weeks for mid-market engagement

TOTAL COST: \$230K-\$590K depending on scope

Q19: How do you handle ongoing support and managed services?

A: We offer tiered managed services:

TIER 1: PLATFORM MANAGEMENT (\$5K-10K/month)

- Platform monitoring and maintenance
- User provisioning and access management
- Scanner integration updates
- Monthly status reports
- 5 support hours/month

TIER 2: ACTIVE MANAGEMENT (\$15K-25K/month)

- Everything in Tier 1
- Custom component updates and enhancements
- Quarterly process optimization reviews
- Integration of new tools
- 20 support hours/month

TIER 3: STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP (\$30K-50K/month)

- Everything in Tier 2
- Dedicated security consultant
- vCISO advisory services
- Continuous feature development
- Quarterly executive business reviews
- 40+ support hours/month

SUPPORT INCLUDES:

- Slack/Teams channel for quick questions
- Ticketing system for issues
- Regular check-in calls
- Proactive monitoring and alerts
- Quarterly reviews and optimization

SLAs:

- Critical issues: 2-hour response, 24-hour resolution

- High priority: 8-hour response, 3-day resolution
- Normal: 24-hour response, 1-week resolution

Q20: What if the client outgrows the solution or wants to change platforms?

A: Our architecture prevents vendor lock-in:

PLATFORM FLEXIBILITY:

- Custom components use standard APIs
- Can migrate to different commercial platform
- Data export capabilities
- No proprietary data formats

CLIENT OWNERSHIP OPTIONS:

- Clients can license our custom components
- Can take over development and maintenance
- Can hire other firms to support
- Source code available (with agreement)

MIGRATION SUPPORT:

- If client outgrows initial platform, we help migrate
- Architecture designed for evolution
- Platform-agnostic custom components
- Data portability built-in

Our goal is long-term partnership, not lock-in. Clients should stay because of value delivered, not because they're trapped.

=====

SECTION 6: MARKET & CUSTOMERS

=====

Q21: What types of companies are ideal clients?

A: Our sweet spot is mid-market to enterprise companies with specific characteristics:

IDEAL CLIENT PROFILE:

SIZE:

- 200-5,000 employees
- 20-200 engineers
- 2-20 security team members

- 50-500 applications/services

ENVIRONMENT:

- Cloud-native or hybrid infrastructure
- Multiple scanning tools already in use
- Regulatory compliance requirements (HIPAA, SOC 2, PCI-DSS)
- Active development teams shipping regularly

PAIN POINTS:

- Overwhelming vulnerability volume
- Can't identify owners
- Failed audits or compliance findings
- Executive visibility gaps
- Engineering team pushback on security

BUDGET:

- \$200K-1M for security initiatives
- Existing spend on scanning tools
- Budget for consulting/implementation services

MATURITY:

- Past the "firefighting" stage
- Want to move from reactive to proactive
- Leadership committed to security investment
- Willing to change processes

Q22: What industries benefit most from this approach?

A: Several industries have unique characteristics that make them ideal:

HEALTHCARE:

- Strict compliance requirements (HIPAA)
- Audit evidence needs
- Multiple acquired entities
- Legacy and modern systems mixing

FINANCIAL SERVICES:

- Regulatory oversight (PCI-DSS, SOC 2)
- High consequence of breach
- Complex environments
- Board-level reporting needs

TECHNOLOGY/SaaS:

- Fast development pace

- DevOps culture
- Developer experience matters
- Investor due diligence requirements

E-COMMERCE/RETAIL:

- PCI-DSS compliance
- Customer data sensitivity
- Seasonal traffic patterns
- Third-party integrations

GOVERNMENT CONTRACTORS:

- NIST 800-53 compliance
- FedRAMP requirements
- Clearance requirements (we have these!)
- Evidence and documentation heavy

Q23: How do you handle clients at different maturity levels?

A: We tailor our approach to maturity:

LEVEL 1: REACTIVE (Firefighting mode)

Focus: Quick wins and foundation

- Implement lightweight commercial platform
- Automate basic ownership mapping
- Set reasonable SLAs
- Create simple dashboards

Investment: \$50K-100K

Timeline: 6-8 weeks

LEVEL 2: TRANSITIONING (Building processes)

Focus: Process improvement and automation

- Mid-tier commercial platform
- Custom prioritization logic
- Validation workflows
- Compliance automation

Investment: \$200K-400K

Timeline: 3-4 months

LEVEL 3: PROACTIVE (Optimizing for efficiency)

Focus: Advanced capabilities and analytics

- Enterprise platform
- Sophisticated custom development
- Predictive analytics
- Executive dashboards

- Full compliance automation

Investment: \$500K-1M+

Timeline: 6-12 months

Our assessment determines appropriate maturity path and creates roadmap for evolution.

=====

SECTION 7: RISKS & MITIGATION

=====

Q24: What are the main risks with the hybrid approach and how do you mitigate them?

A: We've identified and planned for several potential risks:

RISK 1: Commercial platform changes pricing or features

MITIGATION:

- Multi-year licensing agreements when possible
- Architecture supports platform migration
- Custom components platform-agnostic
- Monitor vendor health and roadmap

RISK 2: Custom components become technical debt

MITIGATION:

- Software engineering best practices
- Automated testing and CI/CD
- Documentation and knowledge sharing
- Regular refactoring and updates
- Managed services revenue funds ongoing development

RISK 3: Client expectations mismatch

MITIGATION:

- Detailed assessment and scoping
- Clear statement of work
- Phased delivery with milestones
- Regular status updates and demos
- Change control process

RISK 4: Integration complexity exceeds estimates

MITIGATION:

- Discovery phase validates integrations
- Buffer in estimates for unknowns
- Proof of concept for risky integrations
- Escalation path for scope changes

RISK 5: Scaling challenges as we grow

MITIGATION:

- Document patterns and playbooks
- Invest in reusable components
- Hire incrementally based on revenue
- Partner with subcontractors when needed
- Build internal knowledge base

RISK 6: Commercial platform vendor issues

MITIGATION:

- Relationships with multiple vendors
- Technical due diligence on platforms
- Escrow agreements for critical dependencies
- Platform migration capabilities

Q25: What happens if a custom component we built has a security vulnerability?

A: We treat this with utmost seriousness:

PREVENTION:

- Security code review process
- Automated SAST scanning
- Dependency vulnerability scanning
- Penetration testing of custom components
- Security-first architecture

DETECTION:

- Continuous monitoring
- Automated vulnerability scanning
- Client reporting channels
- Bug bounty program (as we scale)

RESPONSE:

- 24-hour initial response SLA
- Emergency patch within 48 hours for critical
- Coordinated disclosure to all affected clients
- Root cause analysis and remediation
- Post-mortem and process improvements

LEGAL PROTECTION:

- Professional liability insurance
- Limited liability in contracts
- Indemnification clauses

- Service level agreements

Our reputation depends on the security of our solutions, so this is a top priority.

SECTION 8: FUTURE VISION

Q26: What's the long-term vision for this service offering?

A: We see this evolving in phases:

PHASE 1 (Year 1): Services business

- 5-10 consulting engagements
- Build reusable component library
- Establish partnerships with platform vendors
- Revenue: \$800K-2M
- Team: 2-4 consultants

PHASE 2 (Year 2-3): Productization

- Package common components as products
- Offer fixed-price implementations
- Develop proprietary IP and patents
- Revenue: \$3M-5M
- Team: 8-15 people

PHASE 3 (Year 4-5): Platform play

- Launch our own vulnerability management platform
- Built on lessons from 50+ implementations
- Differentiated by superior ownership mapping and prioritization
- Hybrid SaaS + services model
- Revenue: \$10M-20M
- Team: 25-50 people

STRATEGIC OPTIONS:

- Continue as specialized consulting firm
- Pivot to pure SaaS platform
- Acquire complementary capabilities
- Partner with larger firms
- Potential acquisition target

Q27: How could this expand beyond vulnerability management?

A: The hybrid model applies to other security domains:

ADJACENT MARKETS:

SECURITY OPERATIONS CENTER (SOC):

- Commercial SIEM/SOAR platforms
- Custom alert correlation logic
- Playbook automation
- Incident response workflows

APPLICATION SECURITY:

- Commercial SAST/DAST platforms
- Custom developer workflows
- CI/CD integration
- Security champions enablement

CLOUD SECURITY:

- Commercial CSPM platforms
- Custom policy enforcement
- Multi-cloud normalization
- FinOps integration

GOVERNANCE, RISK & COMPLIANCE:

- Commercial GRC platforms
- Custom evidence collection
- Automated control testing
- Audit management

Each represents a \$200K-1M opportunity per client with similar hybrid approach.

Q28: What metrics will indicate success?

A: We'll track multiple dimensions:

CLIENT SUCCESS METRICS:

- Mean time to remediate (MTTR) reduction
- SLA compliance percentage
- Audit findings reduction
- Time saved in manual processes
- Executive satisfaction scores

BUSINESS METRICS:

- Revenue per engagement

- Gross margin percentage
- Customer acquisition cost
- Customer lifetime value
- Revenue retention rate
- Component reuse percentage

OPERATIONAL METRICS:

- Time to deploy (weeks)
- Custom code quality (test coverage, defect rate)
- Client support tickets
- Platform uptime
- Integration success rate

STRATEGIC METRICS:

- Reusable components built
- IP portfolio value
- Market awareness
- Partner relationships
- Team capability growth

SUCCESS THRESHOLD (Year 1):

- 5+ client engagements
- \$800K+ revenue
- 50%+ gross margin
- 90%+ client satisfaction
- 3+ reusable components

CONCLUSION

The hybrid vulnerability management consulting approach addresses real, painful problems that organizations face every day. By combining commercial platforms with custom development, we deliver:

FOR CLIENTS:

- ✓ Faster time to value (weeks vs. months)
- ✓ Lower total cost (35-60% reduction)
- ✓ Solutions tailored to their unique needs
- ✓ Ongoing partnership and support
- ✓ No vendor lock-in

FOR OUR BUSINESS:

- ✓ Multiple revenue streams

- ✓ Strong margins (50-65%)
- ✓ Recurring revenue through managed services
- ✓ IP portfolio development
- ✓ Scalable delivery model
- ✓ Differentiated market positioning

This is not just a service offering - it's a strategic approach to building a sustainable, high-value cybersecurity consulting practice that creates lasting value for clients while building proprietary assets for our firm.

The market is ready. The technology exists. The pain is real. The opportunity is massive.

Now it's time to execute.

=====

END OF DOCUMENT

=====