Equations of motion for Einstein-scalar system

Pablo Bosch

Stephen R. Green

Luis Lehner

November 30, 2017

1 General setting

We study the Einstein equation with negative cosmological constant, coupled to a real massless scalar field,

$$G_{ab} - \frac{3}{L^2} g_{ab} = T_{ab}^{\phi},\tag{1}$$

where

$$T_{ab}^{\phi} = \nabla_a \phi \nabla_b \phi - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} \nabla^c \phi \nabla_c \phi. \tag{2}$$

Here L is the AdS radius, and we work in d = 4.

We also impose spherically symmetry, and we work in ingoing Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates,

$$ds^{2} = -A(v,r)dv^{2} + 2dvdr + \Sigma(v,r)^{2} \left(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}\right). \tag{3}$$

If we set

$$A(v,r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{r^2}{L^2}, \qquad \Sigma(v,r) = r,$$
 (4)

this reduces to the Schwarzschild-AdS black hole. We will of course keep A and Σ as free functions, as they will be determined by the Einstein equation.

2 Equations of motion

The wave equation takes the form

$$0 = 2\frac{\Sigma'}{\Sigma}d_{+}\phi + 2\frac{d_{+}\Sigma}{\Sigma}\phi' + 2(d_{+}\phi)', \tag{5}$$

while the Einstein equation is equivalent to

$$0 = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3\Sigma^2}{2L^2} + (d_+\Sigma)\Sigma' + \Sigma(d_+\Sigma)', \tag{6}$$

$$0 = \frac{2}{\Sigma^2} - 4\frac{(d_+\Sigma)\Sigma'}{\Sigma^2} + 2(d_+\phi)\phi' + A'',\tag{7}$$

$$0 = d_{+}d_{+}\Sigma + \frac{1}{2}\Sigma(d_{+}\phi)^{2} - \frac{1}{2}(d_{+}\Sigma)A',$$
(8)

$$0 = \frac{1}{2}\Sigma(\phi')^2 + \Sigma''. \tag{9}$$

Note that we expressed time derivatives in terms of

$$d_{+}f = \dot{f} + \frac{1}{2}Af', \tag{10}$$

where prime and dot denote partial derivatives with respect to r and v, respectively.

Examining the above equations, we see that, given $\phi(v=v_0,r)$, we can integrate as follows:

- 1. Solve (5), (6), (7), and (9) for $d_+\phi$, $d_+\Sigma$, A and Σ , respectively, at time $v=v_0$ by integrating radially.
- 2. Knowledge of A and $d_+\phi$ gives rise to the time derivative $\partial_v\phi$ via (10). This may be integrated in time to obtain $\phi(v=v_0+\Delta v,x)$.

Equation (8) is not needed.

While this basic strategy will eventually be implemented, there are several challenges that must be addressed. First, we require a finite spatial domain, so we define a new variable $\rho = 1/r$. There is also some gauge freedom in the equations, which must be fixed. We also require boundary data for the fields at $r \to \infty$, which is a regular singular point that must be treated carefully.

Compact radial coordinate $\rho = 1/r$ 3

One difficulty with integrating the equations directly is that the spatial coordinate $r \in$ $[r_0,\infty)$. Thus, we compactify the spatial coordinate by defining a new coordinate $\rho=1/r$. This gives rise to a compact domain $\rho \in [0, 1/r_0]$. We define new functions,

$$\phi(v,r) = \varphi(v,1/r) = \varphi(v,\rho),\tag{11}$$

$$d_{+}\phi(v,r) = \Pi(v,1/r) = \Pi(v,\rho), \tag{12}$$

$$\Sigma(v,r) = \sigma(v,1/r) = \sigma(v,\rho), \tag{13}$$

$$d_{+}\Sigma(v,r) = s(v,1/r) = s(v,\rho),$$
 (14)

$$A(v,r) = \alpha(v,1/r) = \alpha(v,\rho). \tag{15}$$

After this transformation, (5), (6), (7), and (9) take the form

$$\partial_{\rho}\Pi = -\frac{\partial_{\rho}\sigma}{\sigma}\Pi - \frac{s}{\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\varphi,\tag{16}$$

$$\partial_{\rho}\Pi = -\frac{\partial_{\rho}\sigma}{\sigma}\Pi - \frac{s}{\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\varphi, \qquad (16)$$

$$\partial_{\rho}s = -\frac{1}{2\rho^{2}\sigma} - \frac{3\sigma}{2L^{2}\rho^{2}} - \frac{s\partial_{\rho}\sigma}{\sigma}, \qquad (17)$$

$$\partial_{\rho}^{2}\alpha = -\frac{2}{\sigma^{2}\rho^{4}} - \frac{4s\partial_{\rho}\sigma}{\rho^{2}\sigma^{2}} + \frac{2}{\rho^{2}}\Pi\partial_{\rho}\varphi - \frac{2}{\rho}\partial_{\rho}\alpha, \tag{18}$$

$$\partial_{\rho}^{2}\sigma = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma(\partial_{\rho}\varphi)^{2} - \frac{2}{\rho}\partial_{\rho}\sigma,\tag{19}$$

respectively, while the time derivative of φ is

$$\partial_v \varphi = \Pi + \frac{\rho^2}{2} \alpha \partial_\rho \varphi. \tag{20}$$

4 Boundary conditions

In order to impose boundary conditions we must first study the asymptotic solution at infinity. We expand the general solution in a power series about $\rho = 0$, careful to enforce that the boundary metric be the Einstein static universe $\mathbb{R} \times S^2$ (standard for global AdS), and that the scalar field satisfy "Dirichlet" conditions. We obtain,

$$\varphi(v,\rho) = \varphi_3 \rho^3 + \left(\frac{3}{2}L^2\lambda\varphi_3 + L^2\dot{\varphi}_3\right)\rho^4 + O(\rho^5),\tag{21}$$

$$\sigma(v,\rho) = \frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{1}{2}L^2\lambda - \frac{3}{20}\varphi_3^2\rho^5 + O(\rho^6),\tag{22}$$

$$\alpha(v,\rho) = \frac{1}{L^2 \rho^2} + \frac{\lambda}{\rho} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}L^2 \lambda^2 - L^2 \dot{\lambda}\right) - 2M\rho + ML^2 \lambda \rho^2 + O(\rho^3), \tag{23}$$

where $\varphi_3(v)$ and $\lambda(v)$ are functions of time, and M is a constant representing the ADM mass. The function $\lambda(v)$ represents a gauge freedom to redefine the radial coordinate $1/\rho \to 1/\rho + \lambda(v)$, and may be chosen arbitrarily. The ADM mass may also be chosen freely. The function $\varphi_3(v)$, on the other hand, will arise as a consequence of the equations of motion.

Asymptotic behavior for the "time derivative" fields may be easily determined using (10),

$$\Pi(v,\rho) = -\frac{3}{2L^2}\varphi_3\rho^2 + O(\rho^3),\tag{24}$$

$$s(v,\rho) = \frac{1}{2L^2\rho^2} + \frac{\lambda}{2\rho} + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{L^2}{8}\lambda^2\right) - M\rho + \frac{1}{2}M^2L^2\lambda\rho + O(\rho^3). \tag{25}$$

Finally, we define new (hatted) dynamical variables that have better behavior as $\rho \to 0$. We take

$$\hat{\varphi} = \frac{1}{\rho^2} \varphi,\tag{26}$$

$$\hat{\Pi} = \frac{1}{\rho}\Pi,\tag{27}$$

(28)

as we did in the case with no backreaction, so that both scalar field variables have linear falloff to 0 as $\rho \to 0$. For the metric variables, we take

$$\hat{\sigma} = \sigma - \frac{1}{\rho},\tag{29}$$

$$\hat{s} = s - \frac{1}{2L^2}\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2},\tag{30}$$

$$\hat{\alpha} = \alpha - \frac{1}{L^2}\sigma^2 - 1. \tag{31}$$

These definitions differ slightly from those of Chesler and Yaffe, which worked in the Poincaré patch and thus do not have the subtraction of $\frac{1}{2}$ and 1 in (30) and (31), respectively. We also define two new auxiliary variables,

$$\hat{\tau} \equiv \partial_{\rho} \hat{\sigma},\tag{32}$$

$$\hat{\beta} \equiv \partial_{\rho} \hat{\alpha},\tag{33}$$

such that the final equations of motion only contain first spatial derivatives.

The $\rho \to 0$ behavior of the new fields is

$$\hat{\varphi} = \varphi_3 \rho + O(\rho^2) \qquad \to 0, \tag{34}$$

$$\hat{\Pi} = -\frac{3}{2L^2}\varphi_3\rho + O(\rho^2) \qquad \to 0, \tag{35}$$

$$\hat{\varphi} = \varphi_3 \rho + O(\rho^2) \qquad \to 0, \tag{34}$$

$$\hat{\Pi} = -\frac{3}{2L^2} \varphi_3 \rho + O(\rho^2) \qquad \to 0, \tag{35}$$

$$\hat{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} L^2 \lambda + O(\rho^5) \qquad \to \frac{1}{2} L^2 \lambda, \tag{36}$$

$$\hat{\tau} = O(\rho^4) \qquad \to 0, \tag{37}$$

$$\hat{\tau} = O(\rho^4) \qquad \to 0, \tag{37}$$

$$\hat{s} = -M\rho + \frac{1}{2}M^2L^2\lambda\rho^2 + O(\rho^3) \to 0, \tag{38}$$

$$\hat{\alpha} = -L^2 \dot{\lambda} - 2M\rho + O(\rho^2) \qquad \to -L^2 \dot{\lambda}, \tag{39}$$

$$\hat{\alpha} = -L^2 \dot{\lambda} - 2M\rho + O(\rho^2) \qquad \to -L^2 \dot{\lambda}, \tag{39}$$

$$\hat{\beta} = -2M + 2M^2 L^2 \lambda \rho + O(\rho^2) \qquad \to -2M. \tag{40}$$

We will impose as boundary data the right hand side limits above (for all but $\hat{\varphi}$, which we integrate in time rather than radially). For the gauge condition, we set $\lambda = 0$, so the only nontrivial boundary condition is $\hat{\beta}(\rho = 0) = -2M$.

5 Equations in final form

The equations of motion are obtained in *Mathematica* by substituting these new variables. We obtain,

$$\partial_{\rho}\hat{\Pi} = -\frac{\rho\hat{\sigma}^{2}\hat{\varphi}}{L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)} - \frac{2\hat{\sigma}\hat{\varphi}}{L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)} - \frac{\hat{\varphi}}{L^{2}\rho(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)} - \frac{\rho^{2}\hat{\sigma}^{2}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}}{2L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)} - \frac{\rho\hat{\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}}{L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)} - \frac{\rho\hat{\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}}{L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)} - \frac{\rho\hat{\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}}{L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)} - \frac{\rho\hat{\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}}{\rho\hat{\sigma}+1} - \frac{\rho\hat{\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}}{\rho\hat{\sigma}+1} - \frac{\rho\hat{\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}}{\rho\hat{\sigma}+1} - \frac{\rho^{2}\hat{\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}}{\rho\hat{\sigma}+1} - \frac{\rho^{2}\hat{\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}}{\rho\hat{\sigma}+1} - \frac{\rho^{2}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}}{\rho\hat{\sigma}+1},$$
(41)

$$\partial_{\rho}\hat{s} = -\frac{3\rho\hat{\sigma}^{2}\hat{\tau}}{2L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)} - \frac{3\hat{\sigma}\hat{\tau}}{L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)} - \frac{3\hat{\tau}}{2L^{2}\rho(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)} - \frac{\rho\hat{\tau}}{2(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)} - \frac{\rho\hat{s}\hat{\tau}}{\rho(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)} + \frac{\hat{s}}{\rho(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)},$$
(42)

$$\partial_{\rho}\hat{\alpha} = \hat{\beta},\tag{43}$$

$$\partial_{\rho}\hat{\beta} = -\frac{2\hat{\beta}\rho\hat{\sigma}^{2}}{(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)^{2}} - \frac{4\hat{\beta}\hat{\sigma}}{(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)^{2}} - \frac{2\hat{\beta}}{\rho(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)^{2}} + \frac{4\rho^{4}\hat{\sigma}^{4}\hat{\varphi}^{2}}{L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)^{2}} + \frac{16\rho^{3}\hat{\sigma}^{3}\hat{\varphi}^{2}}{L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)^{2}} - \frac{2\rho^{2}\hat{\sigma}^{2}\hat{\tau}^{2}}{L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)^{2}} + \frac{2\hat{\tau}}{L^{2}\rho^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)^{2}} + \frac{24\rho^{2}\hat{\sigma}^{2}\hat{\varphi}^{2}}{L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)^{2}} - \frac{4\rho\hat{\sigma}\hat{\tau}^{2}}{L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)^{2}} - \frac{2\hat{\tau}^{2}}{L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)^{2}} + \frac{2\hat{\sigma}^{2}\hat{\tau}}{L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)^{2}} + \frac{4\hat{\sigma}\hat{\tau}}{L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)^{2}} + \frac{16\rho\hat{\sigma}\hat{\varphi}^{2}}{L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)^{2}} + \frac{4\hat{\varphi}^{2}}{L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)^{2}} + \frac{4\hat{\varphi}^{2}}{L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)^{2}} + \frac{4\rho^{3}\hat{\sigma}^{2}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}^{2}}{L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)^{2}} + \frac{4\rho^{3}\hat{\sigma}^{2}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}^{2}}{L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)^{2}} + \frac{4\rho^{3}\hat{\sigma}^{2}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}^{2}}{L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)^{2}} + \frac{\rho^{2}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}^{2}}{L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)^{2}} + \frac{4\rho^{3}\hat{\sigma}^{2}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}^{2}}{L^{2}(\rho\hat{\sigma}+1)^{2}} + \frac{4\rho^{3}\hat{\sigma}^{2}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}^{$$

$$\partial_{\rho}\hat{\sigma} = \hat{\tau},\tag{45}$$

$$\partial_{\rho}\hat{\tau} = -2\rho^{2}\hat{\sigma}\hat{\varphi}^{2} - \frac{2\hat{\tau}}{\rho} - 2\rho\hat{\varphi}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\rho^{4}\hat{\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}^{2} - \frac{\rho^{3}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}^{2}}{2} - 2\rho^{3}\hat{\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}\hat{\varphi} - 2\rho^{2}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}\hat{\varphi},\tag{46}$$

We shall integrate these equations along radial slices, given the boundary data and φ on an initial time slice.

Once $\hat{\alpha}$, $\hat{\sigma}$, $\hat{\varphi}$ and $\hat{\Pi}$ are known, we integrate $\hat{\varphi}$ forward in time, using (20), which now takes the form

$$\partial_{v}\hat{\varphi} = \hat{\alpha}\rho\hat{\varphi} + \frac{\rho\hat{\sigma}^{2}\hat{\varphi}}{L^{2}} + \frac{\hat{\varphi}}{L^{2}\rho} + \frac{2\hat{\sigma}\hat{\varphi}}{L^{2}} + \frac{\rho^{2}\hat{\sigma}^{2}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}}{2L^{2}} + \frac{\rho\hat{\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}}{L^{2}} + \frac{\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}}{L^{2}} + \frac{\hat{\Pi}}{\rho} + \rho\hat{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\alpha}\rho^{2}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi} + \frac{\rho^{2}\partial_{\rho}\hat{\varphi}}{2}.$$

$$(47)$$

These equations must be treated carefully at $\rho = 0$, where some terms become ill-defined. Taking limits as $\rho \to 0$, we obtain

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0} \partial_{\rho} \hat{\Pi} = -\frac{3}{2L^2} \partial_{\rho} \hat{\varphi}, \tag{48}$$

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0} \partial_{\rho} \hat{s} = -M,\tag{49}$$

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0} \partial_{\rho} \hat{\alpha} = -2M,\tag{50}$$

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0} \partial_{\rho} \hat{\beta} = 2M^2 L^2 \lambda, \tag{51}$$

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0} \partial_{\rho} \hat{\sigma} = 0, \tag{52}$$

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0} \partial_{\rho} \hat{\tau} = 0. \tag{53}$$

These results are consistent with (34)–(40), as expected.