Path in a maze

ADT Queue:

```
Domain:
Q = \{q \mid q \text{ is a queue with elements of type TElem}\}
Interface:
        init(q)
                • Description: creates a new empty queue
                •Pre: True
                • Post: q \in Q, q is an empty queue
        destroy(q)
                • Description: destroys a queue
                •Pre: q ∈Q
                •Post: q was destroyed
        •push(q, e)
                • Description: pushes (adds) a new element to the rear of the queue
                •Pre: q ∈ Q, e is a TElem
                •Post: q' \in Q, q' = q \oplus e, e is the element at the rear of the queue
        •pop(q)
                • Description: pops (removes) the element from the front of the queue
                • Pre: q \in Q
                • Post: pop ← e, e is a TElem, e is the element at the front of q, q' ∈ Q, q' = q \ e
                •Throws: an underflow error if the queue is empty
        front(q)
                • Description: returns the element from the front of the queue(but it does not
                                change the queue)
                •Pre: q \in Q
                • Post: front \leftarrow e, e is a TElem, e is the element from the front of q
                •Throws: an underflow error if the queue is empty
        •isEmpty(q)
                • Description: checks if the queue is empty (has no elements)
                •Pre: q ∈ Q
                •Post: isEmpty \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} true, & \text{if q has no elements} \\ false, & \text{otherwise} \end{bmatrix}
```

ADT Priority Queue:

Domain:

 $PQ = \{pq \mid pq \text{ is a priority queue with elements(e, p), e } \in TElem, p \in TPriority\}$

Interface:

- •init(pq, R)
 - **Description**: creates a new empty priority queue
 - **Pre**: R is a relation over priorities,
 - R: TPriority × TPriority
 - Post: pq \in PQ, pq is an empty priority queue
- destroy(pq)
 - **Description**: destroys a priority queue
 - •**Pre**: pq ∈**PQ**
 - Post: pg was destroyed
- •push(pq, e, p)
 - **Description**: pushes (adds) a new element to the priority queue
 - •**Pre**: pq \in PQ, e \in TElem, p \in TPriority
 - •Post: $pq' \in PQ$, $pq' = pq \oplus (e, p)$
- •pop(pq, e, p)
 - •**Description**: pops (removes) from the priority queue the element with the highest priority. It returns both the element and its priority
 - •**Pre**: pq ∈**PQ**
 - •Post: $e \in TElem$, $p \in TPriority$, e is the element with the highest priority from pq, p is its priority. $pq' \in PQ$, $pq' = pq \setminus (e, p)$
 - •**Throws**: an underflow error if the queue is empty
- ●top(pq, e, p)
 - •**Description**: returns from the priority queue the element with the highest priority and its priority. It does not modify the priority queue
 - •**Pre**: pq ∈ PQ
 - **Post**: $e \in TElem$, $p \in TPriority$, e is the element with the highest priority from pq,p is its priority
 - •Throws: an underflow error if the queue is empty
- •isEmpty(pq)
 - •**Description**: checks if the priority queue is empty (has no elements)
 - Pre: pq \in PQ
 - ●**Post**: *isEmpty* ← *true*, if pq has no elements *false*, otherwise

ADT Queue:

Representation:

QNode:

next: Integer prev: Integer info: TElem

Queue:

elems: QNode[] cap: Integer head: Integer tail: Integer

firstEmpty: Integer

ADT Priority Queue:

Representation:

PQNode:

next: †PQNode prev: †PQNode info: TElem priority: TPiority

<u>PriorityQueue:</u> head: ↑PQNode tail: ↑PQNode

r: Relation over priorities defined on TPriority × TPriority

Problem Statement:

Path in a maze. Consider a maze made of occupied (X) and empty (*) cells. Let R be a robot in this maze. Requirements:

- a) Test if R can get out of the maze.
- b) Determine a path to get out of the maze (if there is one).
- c) Determine a path with minimum length to get out of the maze.

ADTs to be used: Stack (implemented on a singly linked list on an array) and/or Queue (implemented on a doubly linked list on an array) and/or Priority Queue (implemented on a doubly linked list with dynamic allocation) - implement and use at least two ADTs .

The given ADTs are suitable for this problem because they are a good method of keeping track of the next positions to be visited in the path finding algorithm. We use this ADTs instead of others because they give a specific order to the data, which suits the path finding algorithm. According to which ADT we choose, the algorithm behaves differently.

The path finding algorithm starts from the initial position, checks all the accessible neighbours and adds them to the chosen ADT. According to the ADT one of them is chosen to be the next node. Again we check the neighbours and add them to the queue of priority queue and again we select a new next position. The algorithm continues until there are no accessible positions left, or we managed to get out of the maze.

Implementation of the ADTs:

Queue:

```
subalgorithm init(q) is:
        q ← @create new Queue
        q.cap ← @initial size
        g.head ← -1
        q.tail \leftarrow -1
        q.firstEmpty \leftarrow 0
        q.elems ←@create an array of QNodes of q.cap elements
        for i \leftarrow 0, q.cap - 1 execute
                q.elems[i].next \leftarrow i + 1
                q.elems[i].prev \leftarrow i-1
        end-for
        q.elems[q.cap - 1].next = -1
end-subalgorithm
Complexity : \Theta(n) - n being the capacity
sugalgorithm destroy(q) is:
        @delete the array of QNodes
end-subalgorithm
Complexity : \Theta(1)
subalgorithm resize(q) is:
        newElems ←@create a new array of QNodes of 2 * q.cap elements
        for i \leftarrow q.cap -1 execute
                newElems[i] \leftarrow q.elems[i]
        end-for
        q.cap \leftarrow 2 * q.cap
        for i \leftarrow q.cap / 2, q.cap - 1 execute
                newElems[i].next \leftarrow i + 1
                newElems[i].prev \leftarrow i - 1
        end-for
        newElems[q.cap - 1].next \leftarrow -1
        @delete q.elems
        q.elems ← newElems
end-subalgorithm
Complexity : \Theta(n) - n being the capacity
```

```
subalgorithm push(q, e) is:
        if q.firstEmpty = -1 then
               resize(q)
        end-if
        aux ← new Integer
        aux \leftarrow q.firstEmpty
        q.firstEmpty ← q.elems[q.firstEmpty].next
        q.elems[aux].info ← e
        if q.head = -1 then
                q.head \leftarrow aux
               q.tail ← aux
               q.elems[aux].next \leftarrow -1
               q.elems[aux].prev \leftarrow -1
        else
                q.elems[aux].next \leftarrow -1
               q.elems[tail].next \leftarrow aux
               q.elems[aux].prev ← tail
                q.tail ← aux
        end-if
end-subalgorithm
Complexity : \Theta(1) (amortised, because when there is the need for resize the operation actually takes
              O(n), with n being the capacity)
function pop(q) is:
        if q.head = -1 then
                @throw underflow exception
        end-if
        if q.head = q.tail then
                q.tail \leftarrow -1
        end-if
        newHead ← new Integer
        newHead ← q.elems[q.head].next
        q.elems[q.head].next \leftarrow q.firstEmpty
        q.elems[q.head].prev \leftarrow -1
        q.firstEmpty ← q.head
        q.head ← newHead
        pop ← q.elems[q.firstEmpty].info
end-function
Complexity : \Theta(1)
function front(q) is:
       if q.head = -1 then
                @throw underflow exception
        front \leftarrow q.elems[q.head].info
end-function
```

```
Complexity : \Theta(1)
function isEmpty(q) is:
       if q.head = -1 then
               isEmpty ← true
       isEmpty \leftarrow false
end-function
Complexity : \Theta(1)
PriorityQueue:
subalgorithm init(pq, R) is:
       pq ←@create new PriorityQueue
       pq.head ← NIL
       pq.tail ← NIL
       pq.r \leftarrow R
end-subalgorithm
Complexity : \Theta(1)
subalgprithm destroy(pq) is:
       while pq.head ≠ NIL execute
               pq.tail ← pq.head.next
               @delete pq.head
               pq.head ← pq.tail
       end-while
end-subalgorithm
Complexity : \Theta(n) – n being the number of elements in the queue
subalgorithm push(pq, e, p) is:
       newNode ← allocate()
       [newNode].info ←e
       [newNode].priority \leftarrow p
       [newNode].next ← NIL
       if pq.head = NIL then
               [newNode].prev \leftarrow NIL
               pq.head ← newNode
               pq.tail ← pq.head
               @exit subalgorithm
       end-if
       aux ← pq.head
       while aux \neq NIL and pq.r([aux].priority, p) execute
               aux \leftarrow [aux].next
       end-while
       if aux = NIL then
               [newNode].prev ← pg.tail
```

```
[pq.tail].next \leftarrow newNode
               pq.tail ← newNode
        else
               [newNode].prev \leftarrow [aux].prev
               [newNode].next \leftarrow aux
               if [aux].prev \neq NIL then
                       [[aux].prev].next \leftarrow newNode
               else
                       pq.head ← newNode
               end-if
               [aux].prev \leftarrow newNode
end-subalgorithm
Complexity: O(n)
subalgorithm pop(pq, e, p) is:
       if pq.head = NIL then
                @throw underflow exception
        end-if
        e ←[pq.head].info
        p \leftarrow [pq.head].priority
        aux ← pq.head
        pq.head ← [pq.head].next
       if pq.head = \overline{NIL} then
               pq.tail ← pq.head
        else
               [pq.head].prev \leftarrow NIL
        end-if
        @delete aux
end-subalgorithm
Complexity : \Theta(1)
subalgorithm top(pq, e, p) is:
       if pq.head = NIL then
                @throw underflow exception
        end-if
        e ←[pq.head].info
        p \leftarrow [pq.head].priority
end-subalgorithm
Complexity : \Theta(1)
function isEmpty(pq) is:
        if pq.head = NIL then
               isEmpty ← true
        isEmpty ← false
```

end-function Complexity : $\Theta(1)$

In order to solve the problem we will use two algorithms for path finding similar to the Breath-first search and A* from graphs. To keep the positions in the maze in a single variable we will define a new structure.

Point:

x: Integer

y: Integer

Interface for the solving functions:

- •readInput(M, initX, initY, n, m):
 - ●**Description**: reads the input from the file and sets up a new matrix with the coresponding input data, the empty positions will be 0, the others will be -1
 - •Pre: true
 - •Post: M is a new 2 dimensional array of n * m dimension, n is the number of rows in the matrix, m is the number of columns, initX is the initial x position of the robot, initY is the initial y position of the robot
- •**bfs**(M, initX, initY, exitX, exitY, n, m):
 - •**Description**: searches the matrix M for an exit using an algorithm similar to a breath-first search
 - **Pre**: M is a 2 dimensional array, n and m are the dimensions of the matrix, initX and initY are the initial positions of the robot
 - **Post**: the matrix is changed such that each empty(0) position now has the number of steps necessary to reach that position from the initial position
- •aStar(M, initX, initY, exitX, exitY, n, m):
 - •**Description**: searches the matrix M for an exit using an algorithm similar to A*, it picks with a bigger priority the positions closer to edges of the matrix
 - **Pre**: M is a 2 dimensional array, n and m are the dimensions of the matrix, initX and initY are the initial positions of the robot
 - **Post**: the matrix is changed such that each empty(0) position now has the number of steps necessary to reach that position from the initial position
- •checkPath():
 - •**Description**: uses the readInput and aStar functions to decide whether or not the is a way out of the matrix
 - •**Pre**: true
 - **Post**: $checkPath \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} true, & if there is a way out the input matrix \\ false, otherwise \end{bmatrix}$

- •retrivePath(M, initX, initY, endX, endY, n, m):
 - **Description**: uses the modified(after the bfs or a*) matrix to determine the path the robot had to take to get out of the maze
 - **Pre**: M is the modified matrix, initX and initY are the initial positions of the robot, endX and endY are the positions of the robot immediately after exiting the maze, n and m are the dimensions of the maze
 - **Post**: the path will be printed on the screen
- **calculateHeuristic**(x, y, n, m):
 - •**Description**: calculates the priority of a position according to how close it is to the edges of the maze
 - **Pre**: x and y is the current position, n and m the dimensions of the matrix
 - •**Post**: calculateHeuristic \leftarrow the priority of the position (x, y)
- •path():
 - •**Description**: calculates a way out the maze using aStar, and prints the found path
 - •Pre: true
 - **Post**: the path will be printed on the screen, or if there is no path a message will be printed
- •lowestPath():
 - **Description**: calculates a way out the maze using bfs, and prints the found path, this algorithm assures us of a lowest length path because it explores the entire matrix
 - Pre: true
 - **Post**: the path will be printed on the screen, or if there is no path a message will be printed

Implementation of the solving functions:

We will need in order to solve the problem two special vectors which let us calculate the neighbours of a position easier.

```
lX \leftarrow [-1, 0, 1, 0], lY \leftarrow [0, -1, 0, 1]
```

subalgorithm **readInput**(M, initX, initY, n, m) is:

```
f \leftarrow @open the input file n \leftarrow @read from f m \leftarrow @read from f
```

 $M \leftarrow @a$ new 2 dimensional array of (n + 2) * (m + 2) dimensions, with 0 on every position

```
for i \leftarrow 1, n execute for j \leftarrow 1, m execute c \leftarrow @\text{read} from f if c = `X' then M[i][j] \leftarrow -1 end-if
```

```
if c = R' then
                                 initX \leftarrow i
                                 initY \leftarrow j
                         end-if
                end-for
        end-for
end-subalgorithm
Complexity: \Theta(n * m)
sublagorithm bfs(M, initX, initY, exitX, exitY, n, m) is:
        Q ←@new Queue of points
        init(Q)
        newX ←@Integer
        newY ←@Integer
        initialP ← @Point
        initialP.x \leftarrow initX
        inittialP.y \leftarrow initY
        M[initX][initY] \leftarrow 1
        Q.push(initialP)
        while not Q.isEmpty() execute
                aux \leftarrow Q.pop()
                for i \leftarrow 0, 3 execute
                        newX \leftarrow aux.x + lX[i]
                         newY \leftarrow aux.y + lY[i]
                        if M[newX][newY] = 0 then
                                 //available position
                                 M[newX][newY] \leftarrow M[aux.x][aux.y] + 1
                                 if newX = 0 or newX > n or newY = 0 or newY > m then
                                         //we managed to exit the maze
                                         exitX \leftarrow newX
                                         exitY \leftarrow newY
                                         @exit subalgorithm
                                 end-if
                                 initialP.x \leftarrow newX
                                 initial P.y \leftarrow new Y
                                 Q.push(initialP)
                         end-if
                end-for
        end-while
        exitX \leftarrow -1
        exitY \leftarrow -1
end-suablgorithm
Complexity: \Theta(n * m)
function calculateHeuristic(x, y, n, m) is:
        val ← v
```

```
val \leftarrow min(val, m - y)
        val \leftarrow min(val, n - x)
        val \leftarrow min(val, x)
        calculateHeuristic ← val
end-function
Complexity: \Theta(1)
sublagorithm aStar(M, initX, initY, exitX, exitY, n, m) is:
        PQ ← @new priority queue of points with priorities Integer
        init(PQ, <) //the relation between the priorities is "less"
        newX ←@Integer
        newY ←@Integer
        cost ←@Integer
        initialP ←@Point
        initialP.x \leftarrow initX
        inittialP.y \leftarrow initY
        M[initX][initY] \leftarrow 1
        PQ.push(initialP, calculateHeuristic(initX, intiY, n, m))
        while not PQ.isEmpty() execute
                aux ←@Point
                PQ.pop(aux, cost)
                for i \leftarrow 0, 3 execute
                        newX \leftarrow aux.x + lX[i]
                        newY \leftarrow aux.y + lY[i]
                        if M[newX][newY] = 0 then
                                 //available position
                                 M[newX][newY] \leftarrow M[aux.x][aux.y] + 1
                                 if newX = 0 or newX > n or newY = 0 or newY > m then
                                         //we managed to exit the maze
                                         exitX \leftarrow newX
                                         exitY \leftarrow newY
                                         @exit subalgorithm
                                 end-if
                                 initialP.x \leftarrow newX
                                 initialP.y \leftarrow newY
                                 PQ.push(initialP, calculateHeuristic(newX, newY, n, m))
                        end-if
                end-for
        end-while
        exitX \leftarrow -1
        exitY \leftarrow -1
end-suablgorithm
```

Complexity: $O(n^2 * m^2)$ – the complexity is so high because it takes n * m for the algorithm to traverse the matrix and the extra m * n complexity comes from the implementation of the PriorityQueue, but the algorithm behaves much better than that in practice, because it searches in a greedy way for a path out of the maze.

```
function checkPath() is:
       n ←@Integer
       m ←@Integer
       initX ←@Integer
       initY ←@Integer
       endX ←@Integer
       exitY ←@Integer
       M \leftarrow @a two-dimensional array
       readInput(M, initX, initY, n, m)
       aStar(M, initX, initY, exitX, exitY, n, m)
       @delete M
       if exitX = -1:
              checkPath \leftarrow false
       checkPath ← true
end-function
Complexity: O(n^2 * m^2)
void retrivePath(M, initX, initY, endX, endY, n, m) is:
       if initX = endX and initY = exitY then
              @print position (initX, initY)
              @exit subalgorithm
       end-if
       for i \leftarrow 0, 3 execute
              newX \leftarrow exitX + lX[i]
              newY \leftarrow exitY + lY[i]
              if newX > 0 and newX \le n and newY > 0 and newY \le m and
                 M[newX][newY] \leftarrow M[exitX][exitY] - 1 then
                      retrivePath(M, initX, initY, newX, newY, n, m)
                      @print the position (exitX, exitY)
end-subalgorithm
Complexity: O(n + m) – the algorithm makes (4 * the length of the path) stepts to find the path, and
because the maximum length in a maze is n + m we can consider this as a valid complexity.
subalgorithm path() is:
       n ←@Integer
       m ←@Integer
       initX ←@Integer
       initY ←@Integer
       endX ←@Integer
       exitY ←@Integer
       M ← @a two-dimensional array
       if not checkPath() then
```

```
@print a no path message
       end-if
       readInput(M, initX, initY, n, m)
       aStar(M, initX, initY, exitX, exitY, n, m)
       retrivePath(M, initX, initY, endX, endY, n, m)
       @delete M
end-subalgorithm
Complexity: O(n^2 * m^2)
subalgorithm lowestPath() is:
       n ←@Integer
       m ←@Integer
       initX ←@Integer
       initY ←@Integer
       endX ←@Integer
       exitY ←@Integer
       M ← @a two-dimensional array
       if not checkPath() then
              @print a no path message
       end-if
       readInput(M, initX, initY, n, m)
       bfs(M, initX, initY, exitX, exitY, n, m)
       retrivePath(M, initX, initY, endX, endY, n, m)
       @delete M
end-subalgorithm
Complexity: \Theta(n * m)
```

Complexity of the push operation of PriorityQueue:

Best case: The new element has a higher priority than the previous root. If that happens we just have to set the element to be the new root.

 $\Rightarrow \Theta(1)$

Worst case: The new element has the lowest priority from the queue. So we have to set it to be the last element. In order to do that we have to go over all the elements.

 $=> \Theta$ (n) – n being the number of elements in the priority queue

Average case:

```
\frac{(1+2+3+\ldots+n+n)}{n+1} = \frac{\sum (i), (i=1,n)+n}{n+1} = \frac{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+n}{n+1} = \frac{(n+1)}{n+1} * \frac{n}{2} * \frac{n}{n+1} = \frac{n^2}{2*n+2} \epsilon O(n)
```

Tests for the ADTs:

```
void smallTestQueue() {
        Queue<int> q;
        assert(q.isEmpty());
        for (int i = 0; i < 15; ++i) {
                q.push(i);
        }
        assert(!q.isEmpty());
        for (int i = 0; i < 15; ++i) {
                assert(q.front() == i);
                assert(q.pop() == i);
        for (int i = 0; i < 5; ++i) {
                q.push(i);
        for (int i = 0; i < 5; ++i) {
                assert(q.front() == i);
                assert(q.pop() == i);
        }
        try {
                q.pop();
                assert(false);
        } catch (...) {
                assert(true);
        }
        try {
                q.front();
                assert(false);
        } catch (...) {
                assert(true);
        }
}
void smallTestPQ() {
        PriorityQueue<int, int> PQ;
        assert(PQ.isEmpty());
        for (int i = 10; i \ge 0; --i) {
                PQ.push(i, i);
        }
        assert(!PQ.isEmpty());
        int a, b;
```

```
for (int i = 0; i \le 10; ++i) {
       PQ.top(a, b);
       assert(a == i && b == i);
       PQ.pop(a, b);
       assert(a == i && b == i);
}
try {
       PQ.top(a, b);
       assert(false);
} catch (...) {
       assert(true);
}
try {
       PQ.pop(a, b);
assert(false);
} catch (...) {
       assert(true);
PQ.push(11, 11);
PQ.push(12, 12);
PQ.push(13, 13);
PQ.push(15, 15);
PQ.push(14, 14);
```

}