Lottery and stride scheduling on xv6 with basic statistical analysis

Gabriel Batista Galli¹

 1 Federal University of Fronteira Sul (UFFS) Mailbox 181 – 89.802-112 – Chapecï $_{6}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ – SC – Brazil

g7.galli96@gmail.com

Abstract. This paper describes an implementation of the lottery and stride schedulers in the xv6 operating system. Lottery scheduling is a process scheduling mechanism that randomly chooses a process to run based on its tickets (just like a lottery). The more tickets one process have, the higher the probability of it being chosen by the scheduler. In the code presented here, the Binary Indexed Tree (BIT, or Fenwick Tree) is used to efficiently count every process' amount of tickets. In the stride scheduling, a process still has tickets, but now alongside a stride and a pass. The stride is inversely proportional to its tickets, as it is the ratio between a large constant and that amount of tickets. The pass is used to accumulate the stride and to choose the next process to run: the one with the lowest pass. This way, the tickets serve the purpose of making a process run more often than others, because the higher the quantity of tickets, the lower is the stride. Here, the Segment Tree was used to implement a priority queue in order to efficiently track the changes of passes and find the next process to be executed. Finally, we analyze the scheduler behavior with both mechanisms by looking at what order a group of processes with the same task, but different amounts of tickets, finish their job.

1. Introduction

The xv6 operating system is a simple Unix-like teaching operating system developed by MIT and widely used in Operating Systems classes all over the world. Originally, every time the scheduler was to choose a process to run, it would linearly search through the array of processes looking for the first one that is RUNNABLE [Cox et al. 2014]. In our class, we were assigned to implement the lottery and the stride schedulers on xv6.

As seen in [Waldspurger and Weihl 1994], the lottery scheduler is a probabilistic scheduler algorithm that works similarly to a real world lottery. Every process is given a certain amount of tickets and the scheduler randomly picks one of the available tickets among all processes. The process holding the chosen ticket is then picked to be run by the processor in the next quantum. This way, a process that has a high quantity of tickets has a high probability of being chosen by the scheduler and it will thus be run more frequently than a process that has less tickets.

Being an improvement upon the lottery scheduler, the stride scheduler is a deterministic algorithm [Waldspurger and Weihl. W. 1995]. The concept of tickets is still used here, but every process now has a stride and a pass. After receiving the respective amount of tickets, a stride is assigned to the process, which is the ratio between a large predefined constant (at least equal to or greater than the maximum amount of tickets; 10^5

in this case). The pass is the sum of the stride for every time that process is scheduled by the scheduler. The pass of the processes is used to determine which process among all RUNNABLE processes should be given the processor in the next quantum. When we have a tie, we pick the process with the lowest pid. This time, being a deterministic algorithm, a process with a high amount of tickets is guaranteed to run more frequently than processes with less tickets.

2. The lottery scheduler

In order to implement the lottery scheduler, a few changes were needed in some data structures used by xv6 and a new library was created, lottery.h:

The constants defined here are used to control the maximum and minimum amount of tickets any process can hold. Additionally, the SYSTICKS is the constant amount of tickets that every system process receives and DEFTICKS is for the user if it does not know how many tickets a process should have. The rand function was already defined in the usertests.c file, but wasn't being used, so it was moved to this library so it can be used when it is time to choose the next process to run.

Then, in the proc.h file, the tickets attribute was added to the structure proc, which describes a process, to hold the quantity of tickets a process has:

Some other modifications made in the code relate to the ptable structure, found in the proc.c file: the deadstack array (a stack), its top and the tickets array:

```
struct {
  int deadstack[NPROC], top;
  int tickets[NPROC + 1];
  struct spinlock lock;
  struct proc proc[NPROC];
} ptable;
```

The deadstack array is a stack of the currently available pids. When xv6 starts and the function pinit is called, deadstack will be initialized with all pids from NPROC to 1 (so the pid 1 will be at the top, and the value of top will end up being equal to NPROC). Then, whenever a new process is created, it will be identified by the pid found on top of deadstack. Similarly, when a process dies and its position in the proc array is once again set to UNUSED, the pid that was being used by that process is given back to the top of deadstack so it can be used again later.

To keep track of every process' tickets, the tickets array was created to be used as a Binary Indexed Tree (BIT, also known as Fenwick Tree) to efficiently count how many tickets there are up until a given process (among all existing processes). This way, we can binary search our tree until we find the leftmost position in the array that sums greater than or equal to the ticket that was picked this time around. This position in the BIT is the chosen process pid and also its position in the proc array (but -1, because proc is indexed from 0 to NPROC -1).

To manipulate the BIT, the functions uptick and ticount are used. The former updates the BIT whenever a process is created, executed or exits and the latter returns the sum of tickets up until a given position. Just as deadstack, tickets is initialized in the pinit function, wherein all positions are set to 0.

This data structure was chosen because all operations (either getting the sum or updating it) cost $O(\log n)$ each [Halim and Halim 2013]. As an also $O(\log n)$ binary search is used to find the chosen process, rather than an O(n) linear search, the total resulting complexity ends up being:

$$O(\log n \times \log n) = O(\log^2 n)$$

2.1. Managing pids

The attribution of pids is done in the allocproc function, when creating a new process. As the top variable is always one position above the last valid index of the stack, we get it with --ptable.top. Likewise, we give a process' pid back to the stack in the wait function, but this time with ptable.top++.

2.2. Updating the BIT

As said before, uptick is used to update the BIT whenever a process is created, executed or exits. It is critical that we don't call it any time more or less than needed, as the BIT will end up accumulating a wrong amount of tickets or one process will be considered to have more tickets than it actually does. Also note that passing a positive argument to this function increments the BIT and passing a negative argument decrements it. Incrementing is the act of giving tickets to a process and decrementing is taking them away from it (either permanently or temporarily, to avoid it being picked when it's not RUNNABLE).

The BIT is updated in the functions that initialize a process: incrementing on userinit and fork; when a process is chosen to be executed: decrementing on scheduler; when a process gives up the processor because its time is over: incrementing on yield; when a process is woken up: incrementing on wakeup1, called by wakeup; and when a process is killed and we have to wake up the parent, if it is sleep-

ing, so it can wait for that process to exit: incrementing, for that parent, on kill (wakeup is not used here).

2.3. Tickets

As every process now needs tickets to be chosen to run and every process should have an amount of tickets proportional to its importance or priority, the user must be able to tell xv6 how many tickets its process will need. To accomplish this, the fork system call was changed so that it receives that amount of tickets as its sole argument.

Of course, the user might want to cheat and give the highest possible amount of tickets to all of its processes so they run more frequently than everyone else. Unfortunately, we can't do anything but trust in the amount that we receive. The purpose of the lottery is simply run the available processes proportionally to their amount of tickets. There is no way to know how important a user process is. The only treatment done here is to prevent a quantity less than the minimum or more than the maximum (using the min and max macros). There is also the DEFTICKS constant that the user can use, which is also automatically set if we receive 0 as argument.

3. The stride scheduler

Making the stride scheduler work demanded a few more things in the custom library, now named stride.h. A typedef was also added in the param.h file to define the type ull, an alias for unsigned long long int:

As you'd expect, the constants refined in the previous lottery.h library were kept. In addition to them, we have MAGIC, the constant that is used to calculate the stride, and INF, the inifinity constant $(2^{64}-1)$. The infinity is a value that no RUNNABLE process should ever hold as its pass (that is why we increment the pass modulo infinity). All passes are also set to infinity by pinit and whenever a process is RUNNING or SLEEPING.

Similarly, the structure of a process in proc.h was also kept, but as said before, variables to hold the stride and the pass were added. Beyond that, it is needed to backup the last valid pass of every process, in the variable lpass, so we don't lose it when we set it to infinity, as told in the paragraph above. When a process becomes RUNNABLE again, we use lpass to set the new valid pass.

```
// Per-process state
struct proc {
 uint sz; // Size of process memory (bytes)

pde_t* pgdir; // Page table

char *kstack; // Bottom of kernel stack for this process

enum procstate state; // Process state

int pid: // Process state
                                        // Process ID
  int pid;
  int killed;
                                         // If non-zero, have been killed
  struct file *ofile[NOFILE]; // Open files
  struct inode *cwd; // Current directory char name[16]; // Process name (debrint tickets; // Quantity of ticket ull stride; // Ratio between MAG
                                         // Process name (debugging)
                                        // Quantity of tickets assigned to this process
                                        // Ratio between MAGIC and amount of tickets
                                        // Current stride accumulated by this process // Backup of the last valid pass for this process
  ull pass;
  ull lpass;
```

Moving forward, the ptable structure was modified again. The deadstack and the logic of setting and unsetting pids introduced by the previous lottery implementation has been maintained. But now, instead of the NPROC+1-sized tickets array for the Fenwick Tree, we have the $4\times NPROC$ -sized minpass array, which is the Segment Tree (please note that $4\times NPROC$ is pretty high upper bound). It is used as a priority queue to efficiently find the next process to run:

```
struct {
  int deadstack[NPROC], top;
  int minpass[4 * NPROC];
  struct spinlock lock;
  struct proc proc[NPROC];
} ptable;
```

The Segment Tree works as follows. We initialize the pass for every process in the pinit function, before any process runs. Then the function build is called, just one time in the operating system lifetime, to initialize the whole tree. All of its leaves will each receive a pid from 1 to NPROC, in a traversal-ordered way (it means that if the leaves were a linked-list, following it, starting at the first leave, would print all pids in ascending order), and every parent of the leaves will store the pid for the process that currently has the minimum pass. As every pass is set to infinity and the comparison is done with the \leq operator, the left operand will always win and we will end up having pid 1 at the root (index 0). The left and right children of a node are reached with LEFT and RIGHT macros.


When we have to find the next process to run, the query function simply returns ptable.minpass[0].

The update function is the one being called every time the pass of a process is changed (like when the context is switched to that process; when a process is being waken up; or even when a process is created, as its pass was just initialized). These functions have a time complexity of O(n), O(1) (special case here, because the Segment Tree is being used to implement a priority queue) and $O(\log n)$, respectively [Halim and Halim 2013].

One problem the stride scheduler suffers from is when the pass overflows the limit of the variable holding it. In this case, this is ignored because, although not solving the problem, an unsigned type is being used. Whenever a pass overflows, it will be as if it was reset to a small value. When that happens, such process will be executed very often until its pass gets to a value that allows the other processes to start being chosen again. A good solution for this problem wasn't found.


4. Statistical analysis and conclusion

After all the modifications presented here, it is time to see if the scheduler is working as intended. To test it, the tests.c file was created and configured as an xv6 executable just like usertests.c. It works like the following: 16 processes are created with the job of counting from 0 up to 10^8 . The i^{th} process receives $(16-i+1)\times NPROC$ tickets. This test was executed 100 times. A cprintf was purposely added to the exit function, in the proc.c file, to print the amount of tickets a process that just terminated had. This was later processed in the host operating system by an ordinary C program that produced output conforming to the GNU Octave syntax to generate the following graphic showing the order in which those processes terminated:



Please note that the *x-axis* represent the test number and the *y-axis* is the position between 1 and 16 that a process with a number of tickets (represented by the color) terminated. Also take into account that the processes were created sequentially from the one holding the highest amount of tickets to the one holding the least amount of tickets.

As the lottery scheduler is a random algorithm, there is no guarantee in the order of execution of the processes. The only sure thing is that the higher the amount of tickets,



the higher the probability of being chosen to run and the larger the amount of tests, the more this order will converge to the pattern established by that probability. Despite that, it is clear that the processes with the highest amount of tickets terminated first (again, with some variation among those with a similar quantity of tickets), and the ones with the lowest amount of tickets terminated last, as we expected.

Differently, being a deterministic algorithm, the stride scheduler has a more standardized behavior: a process with a higher amount of tickets than another process always finished its execution before.

References

Cox, R., Kaashoek, F., and Morris, R. (2014). xv6 - a simple, Unix-like teaching operating system.

Halim, S. and Halim, F. (2013). *Competitive Programming 3: The New Lower Bound of Programming Contests*.

Waldspurger, C. A. and Weihl, W. E. (1994). Lottery scheduling: Flexible proportional-share resource management. In *Proceedings of the 1st USENIX Conference on Operating Systems Design and Implementation*, OSDI '94, Berkeley, CA, USA. USENIX Association.

Waldspurger, C. A. and Weihl. W., E. (1995). Stride scheduling: Deterministic proportional-share resource management. Technical report, Cambridge, MA, USA.