Expectation theory assumes longer-term essets are perfect substitutes for a sequence of short-term assets. Thus long-term interest rates should reflect average of 1-year rates? Why? Consider two 2-year holding strategies on an investment of \$100 Strategy 1: Buy a single 2-year bond Strategy 2: Buy a 1-year bond this year, hold to raturity, then buy another 1-year bond at next years rate. Under Expectations Theory, these should have equal return. $(1+i_{t2})^2 100 = (1+i_{t1})(1+i_{t1})100$ $1 - (1+i_{t2})^2 100 = (1+i_{t1})(1+i_{t1})100$ $1 - (1+i_{t2})^2 1000 = (1+i_{t1})(1+i_{t1})100$ $1 - (1+i_{t1})(1+i_{$ => 1+ 2= 1(1+ 201) Equivalently if we wanted a formula For next-years expected 1-year rate given today's 1-year a 2-year rate we can rearrange to get $\frac{\tilde{i}_{t+1}}{1+\tilde{i}_{t+1}} = \frac{(1+\tilde{i}_{t+1})^2}{1+\tilde{i}_{t+1}} - 1$ FORMULA FROM
LECTURE

How do we reconcile this with the long-term interest rates being the average of short-term rates like as stated in section & in the textbook? Expand the polynomials: (1+i+2)=(1+i+1)(1+i+1) => > > 2it2+it2 = + it1+it2+it1 $\Rightarrow 2i_{12} + i_{12}^{2} = i_{11} + i_{12} + i_{11}i_{(11)} + i_{12}i_{(11)} + i_{12}i_{(11$ Now, remember that interest rates are typically dose to zero. E.g. 5% interest \approx i=0.05So i^2 is typically very small $i = 0.05 \Rightarrow i^2 = 0.0025$ So we an reglect the its & its & its => 242 = te1 + te2 $\Rightarrow \left(i_{t2} \approx \frac{i_{t1} + i_{t2}}{2}\right)$ Which is the formula from the textbook & the one I covered in section