



SHANGHAI JIAO TONG
UNIVERSITY

SCHOOL OF ELECTRONIC INFORMATION AND ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Higher order nonlinearity of bent functions

Zhaole Li

2022/11/1





- ▶ We call n -variable Boolean functions or Boolean functions in dimension n the functions from the n -dimensional vector space \mathbb{F}_2^n over \mathbb{F}_2 to \mathbb{F}_2 .
- ▶ Their set is denoted by \mathcal{B}_n , where n is the number of variables of Boolean functions.
- ▶ Given a basis, the field \mathbb{F}_{2^n} can be identified with the vector space \mathbb{F}_2^n . Thus the input of Boolean functions will also be considered in the field \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .

► Truth Table:

x_1	x_2	x_3	$f(x)$
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	0
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	0
1	1	1	1

corresponding to 3-variable Boolean function $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1x_2x_3 + x_2x_3 + x_3$ in ANF.

► Algebraic Normal Form:

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigoplus_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} a_I \left(\prod_{i \in I} x_i \right).$$

► Univariate Representation:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \delta_i x^i.$$

Definition 1 (Trace Function)

Let $F = \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, $K = \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ where $m \mid n$. We may view F as a subfield of K . If α is an element of K , its trace relative to the subfield F is defined as follows:

$$\mathrm{tr}_F^K(\alpha) = \alpha + \alpha^{2^m} + \alpha^{2^{2m}} + \cdots + \alpha^{2^{(\frac{n}{m}-1)m}}.$$

When no confusion is likely to arise, we will simply write the trace function as $\mathrm{tr}_m^n(\alpha)$.

Remark:

Trace function tr_m^n is a F -linear transformation from K onto F and is balanced.

Definition 2 (Walsh Transform)

We call the Walsh transform of a Boolean function f the Fourier transform of the function $(-1)^{f(x)}$, and we denote it by W_f :

$$W_f(u) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{f(x) + u \cdot x}.$$

Definition 3 (Hamming Distance)

The Hamming distance between $f, g \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is given by

$$d_H(f, g) = |\{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid f(x) \neq g(x)\}|.$$

Definition 4 (Algebraic Degree)

The degree of Boolean function f is denoted by $\deg(f)$ and is called the algebraic degree of the function: $\deg(f) = \max\{|I| : a_I \neq 0\}$, where $|I|$ denotes the size of I .

Example 5

$f = x_1x_2x_3 + x_2x_3 + x_3$ is a 3-variable Boolean function over \mathbb{F}_2^n with $\deg(f) = 3$. The Hamming distance between f and $g = x_3$ is 1.

Remark:

The Hamming distance between Boolean function f and affine function $l_a = a \cdot x$ equals

$$d_H(f, l_a) = 2^{n-1} - \frac{W_f(a)}{2}.$$

The r th-order nonlinearity is an important parameter of a Boolean function f :

Definition 6 (r th-order Nonlinearity)

The r th-order nonlinearity of f is defined as the minimum Hamming distance from f to all the functions of algebraic degrees at most r :

$$nl_r(f) = \min_{g \in \mathcal{B}_n, \deg(g) \leq r} d_h(f, g).$$

Remark:

The first-order nonlinearity of f is usually called the nonlinearity of f and is denoted by $nl(f)$. The nonlinearity can be computed through the Walsh transform:

$$nl(f) = 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \max_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} |W_f(a)|.$$

Assume $k \geq 3$, we are aimed to give a lower bound on the third-order nonlinearity of the simplest \mathcal{PS} bent function

$$f(x, y) = \text{tr}_1^k \left(\frac{\lambda x}{y} \right) \quad (1)$$

where $(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}^2$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}^*$, $\text{tr}_1^k(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x^{2^i}$ is the trace function from \mathbb{F}_{2^k} to \mathbb{F}_2 and $\frac{x}{y}$ is defined to be 0 if $y = 0$.

Lemma 7

Let f be any n -variable Boolean function and r be a positive integer smaller than n . Then we have

$$nl_r(f) \geq 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2^{2n} - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} nl_{r-1}(D_\alpha f)}.$$

where $D_\alpha f(x) = f(x) + f(x + \alpha)$ is the derivative of f at point α .

Lemma 8

Let $n \geq 3$ be an arbitrary integer. We define

$$L = \left| \left\{ c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \mid \text{tr}_1^n \left(\frac{1}{c^2 + c + 1} \right) = \text{tr}_1^n \left(\frac{c^2}{c^2 + c + 1} \right) = 0 \right\} \right|.$$

Then we have $L = 2^{n-2} + \frac{3}{4}(-1)^n \widehat{I}_1(1) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - (-1)^n)$, where $\widehat{I}_1(1) = 1 - \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^{n-t} \frac{n}{n-t} \binom{n-t}{t} 2^t$.

Lemma 9

Assume $k \geq 2$, let $N_{i,j} = |\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k} \mid \text{tr}_1^k(\theta_1 x + \gamma_1) = i, \text{tr}_1^k(\theta_2 x + \gamma_2) = j\}|$ where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$ and $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}^*$ are distinct, then $N_{0,0} = 2^{k-2}$.

Proof.

We have $N_{0,0} + N_{0,1} + N_{1,0} + N_{1,1} = 2^k$ and $N_{0,0} + N_{0,1} = 2^{k-1}$, $N_{1,1} + N_{0,1} = 2^{k-1}$, then we get $N_{0,0} = N_{1,1}$. Besides,

$N_{0,0} + N_{1,1} = |\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k} \mid \text{tr}_1^k((\theta_1 + \theta_2)x + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)) = 0\}| = 2^{k-1}$ since $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$.

Therefore $N_{0,0} = 2^{k-2}$. □



Lemma 10

Assume $k \geq 3$, let

$N_{i_1, i_2, i_3} = \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k} \mid \text{tr}_1^k(\theta_1 x + \gamma_1) = i_1, \text{tr}_1^k(\theta_2 x + \gamma_2) = i_2, \text{tr}_1^k(\theta_3 x + \gamma_3) = i_3 \right\} \right|$,
where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$ and $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}^$ are distinct and satisfy $\theta_3 \neq \theta_1 + \theta_2$. Then*
 $N_{0,0,0} = 2^{k-3}$.

Proof.

The equations

$$\begin{cases} N_{0,0,0} + N_{0,0,1} = 2^{k-2} \\ N_{0,0,0} + N_{0,1,0} = 2^{k-2} \\ N_{0,0,0} + N_{1,0,0} = 2^{k-2}. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

will lead to $N_{0,0,1} = N_{0,1,0} = N_{1,0,0}$.

With the same reason we also obtain $N_{0,1,1} = N_{1,0,1} = N_{1,1,0}$.

Because $\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 \neq 0$, we can get equations:

$$\begin{aligned} & N_{1,0,1} + N_{1,1,0} + N_{0,1,1} + N_{0,0,0} \\ &= \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k} \mid \text{tr}_1^k((\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)x + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3)) = 0 \right\} \right| \\ &= 2^{k-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Proof.

Combine $N_{0,0,1} = N_{0,1,0} = N_{1,0,0}$, $N_{0,1,1} = N_{1,0,1} = N_{1,1,0}$, equations (3) with equations $N_{0,0,0} + N_{0,0,1} + N_{0,1,0} + N_{0,1,1} = 2^{k-1}$, we obtain the result $N_{0,0,1} = N_{0,1,1}$. Therefore from equations (2) and equations (3) we have

$$\begin{cases} N_{0,0,0} + N_{0,0,1} = 2^{k-2} \\ N_{0,0,0} + 3N_{0,0,1} = 2^{k-1}. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

and the solution is $N_{0,0,0} = N_{0,0,1} = 2^{k-3}$. □

The Walsh transform of the second-order derivative of f



Let us consider the Walsh transform of the second-order derivative of f at points $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}^2$.

We have


$$\begin{aligned}
 & W_{D_\beta D_\alpha f}(\mu, \nu) \\
 &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}} (-1)^{\text{tr}_1^k \left(\frac{\lambda x}{y} + \frac{\lambda(x+\alpha_1)}{y+\alpha_2} + \frac{\lambda(x+\beta_1)}{y+\beta_2} + \frac{\lambda(x+\alpha_1+\beta_1)}{y+\alpha_2+\beta_2} + \mu x + \nu y \right)} \\
 &= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}} (-1)^{\text{tr}_1^k \left(\frac{\lambda \alpha_1}{y+\alpha_2} + \frac{\lambda \beta_1}{y+\beta_2} + \frac{\lambda(\alpha_1+\beta_1)}{y+\alpha_2+\beta_2} + \nu y \right)} \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}} (-1)^{\text{tr}_1^k \left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{y} + \frac{\lambda}{y+\alpha_2} + \frac{\lambda}{y+\beta_2} + \frac{\lambda}{y+\alpha_2+\beta_2} + \mu \right) x \right)} \\
 &= \begin{cases} 2^k \sum_{y \in S} (-1)^{\text{tr}_1^k \left(\frac{\lambda \alpha_1}{y+\alpha_2} + \frac{\lambda \beta_1}{y+\beta_2} + \frac{\lambda(\alpha_1+\beta_1)}{y+\alpha_2+\beta_2} + \nu y \right)}, & \text{if equation (5) has solutions;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider the solutions of the equation:

$$\frac{\lambda}{y} + \frac{\lambda}{y + \alpha_2} + \frac{\lambda}{y + \beta_2} + \frac{\lambda}{y + \alpha_2 + \beta_2} = \mu. \quad (5)$$

- ▶ If $\alpha_2 = \beta_2$ or $\alpha_2 = 0$ or $\beta_2 = 0$, then equation (5) has 0 solution when $\mu \neq 0$ and has 2^k solutions otherwise;
- ▶ If $\alpha_2, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}^*$, $\alpha_2 \neq \beta_2$, then equation (5) has 0, 4 or 8 solutions depending on μ .

Figure: The partition of α, β



Consider the solutions of the equation:

$$\frac{\lambda}{y} + \frac{\lambda}{y + \alpha_2} + \frac{\lambda}{y + \beta_2} + \frac{\lambda}{y + \alpha_2 + \beta_2} = \mu. \quad (5)$$

If $\alpha_2, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}^*$, $\alpha_2 \neq \beta_2$:

► If $\{0, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \alpha_2 + \beta_2\}$ are solutions of (5), then we have:

$$\lambda(\alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2 + \alpha_2\beta_2) + \mu(\alpha_2^2\beta_2 + \alpha_2\beta_2^2) = 0. \quad (c-1)$$

► If $\{y_0, y_0 + \alpha_2, y_0 + \beta_2, y_0 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2\}$ are solutions of (5), then we have:

$$\mu \neq 0, \operatorname{tr}_1^k \left(\frac{\lambda\alpha_2}{\mu\beta_2(\alpha_2 + \beta_2)} \right) = 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{tr}_1^k \left(\frac{\lambda\beta_2}{\mu\alpha_2(\alpha_2 + \beta_2)} \right) = 0. \quad (c-2)$$

The solutions of equation (5) for some μ



- Note that we can always find

$$\mu = \frac{\lambda(\alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2 + \alpha_2\beta_2)}{\alpha_2^2\beta_2 + \alpha_2\beta_2^2}$$

satisfying condition (c-1) for α_2, β_2 , leading to at least 4 solutions for equation (5).

- Thus, for all points $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}^2$ such that $\alpha_2, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}^*$ and $\alpha_2 \neq \beta_2$, there always exists (μ, ν) such that

$$W_{D_\beta D_\alpha f}(\mu, \nu) = 2^k \sum_{y \in S} (-1)^{\text{tr}_1^k \left(\frac{\lambda \alpha_1}{y + \alpha_2} + \frac{\lambda \beta_1}{y + \beta_2} + \frac{\lambda(\alpha_1 + \beta_1)}{y + \alpha_2 + \beta_2} + \nu y \right)}$$

where $\{0, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \alpha_2 + \beta_2\} \subseteq S$.



- For all points $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$ such that $\alpha_2, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}^*$ and $\alpha_2 \neq \beta_2$, when condition (c-1) holds true, μ is determined, thus we can check whether condition (c-2) is true or false:

- If condition (c-2) is false, then

$$S = \{0, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \alpha_2 + \beta_2\}.$$

- If condition (c-2) is true, then

$$S = \{0, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \alpha_2 + \beta_2, y_0, y_0 + \alpha_2, y_0 + \beta_2, y_0 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2\}.$$


- If $S = \{0, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \alpha_2 + \beta_2\}$, we have

$$W_{D_\beta D_\alpha f}(\mu, \nu) = \begin{cases} 2^{k+2} \cdot (-1)^{f^*}, & \text{if } \text{tr}_1^k(\mu\alpha_1 + \nu\alpha_2) = 0 \text{ and } \text{tr}_1^k(\mu\beta_1 + \nu\beta_2) = 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where $f^* = \text{tr}_1^k\left(\frac{\lambda\alpha_1}{y'+\alpha_2} + \frac{\lambda\beta_1}{y'+\beta_2} + \frac{\lambda(\alpha_1+\beta_1)}{y'+\alpha_2+\beta_2} + \nu y'\right)$ and $y' \in S$.

Note that we always have $v \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$ such that $\text{tr}_1^k(\mu\alpha_1 + \nu\alpha_2) = 0$ and $\text{tr}_1^k(\mu\beta_1 + \nu\beta_2) = 0$ by Lemma 9, so we conclude $\max_{\mu, \nu} |W_{D_\beta D_\alpha f}(\mu, \nu)| = 2^{k+2}$ for all points $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}^2$ such that $\alpha_2, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}^*$, $\alpha_2 \neq \beta_2$ and $S = \{0, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \alpha_2 + \beta_2\}$.

Figure: The partition of α, β



- If $S = \{0, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \alpha_2 + \beta_2, y_0, y_0 + \alpha_2, y_0 + \beta_2, y_0 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & W_{D_\beta D_\alpha f}(\mu, \nu) \\ &= \begin{cases} 2^{k+3} \cdot (-1)^{f_0^{**}}, & \text{if } \text{tr}_1^k(\mu\alpha_1 + \nu\alpha_2) = 0, \text{tr}_1^k(\mu\beta_1 + \nu\beta_2) = 0 \text{ and } f_0^{**} + f_1^{**} = 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $f_0^{**} + f_1^{**} = \text{tr}_1^k \left(\frac{\lambda\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} + \frac{\lambda\beta_1}{\beta_2} + \frac{\lambda(\alpha_1+\beta_1)}{\alpha_2+\beta_2} + \frac{\lambda\alpha_1}{y+\alpha_2} + \frac{\lambda\beta_1}{y+\beta_2} + \frac{\lambda(\alpha_1+\beta_1)}{y+\alpha_2+\beta_2} + \nu y \right)$
and $y \in \{y_0, y_0 + \alpha_2, y_0 + \beta_2, y_0 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2\}$.

We need to prove two things:

- ▶ There exists $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$ such that S has 8 elements, i.e. condition (c-1) and (c-2) are both true.
- ▶ And there exists $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \text{tr}_1^k(\mu\alpha_1 + \nu\alpha_2) = 0 \\ \text{tr}_1^k(\mu\beta_1 + \nu\beta_2) = 0 \\ f_0^{**} + f_1^{**} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

The existence of 8 solutions of equation (5)



- Since we will always find $\mu = \frac{\lambda(\alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2 + \alpha_2\beta_2)}{\alpha_2^2\beta_2 + \alpha_2\beta_2^2} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}^*$ making condition (c-1) true, we take μ into condition (c-2) to get


$$\begin{cases} \text{tr}_1^k \left(\frac{1}{\gamma^2 + \gamma + 1} \right) = 0 \\ \text{tr}_1^k \left(\frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma^2 + \gamma + 1} \right) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

where $\gamma = \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k} \setminus \mathbb{F}_4$.

- According to Lemma 8, the number of $\gamma = \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2}$ satisfying equations above is L .

- ▶ According to Lemma 10, there always exist $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$ that equations (6) hold true, which means:
 - There always exist (μ, ν) s.t. $\max_{\mu, \nu} |W_{D_\beta D_\alpha f}(\mu, \nu)| = 2^{k+3}$ for all points $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}^2$ such that $\alpha_2, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}^*$, $\alpha_2 \neq \beta_2$ and $\gamma = \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2}$ satisfies equation (*).
 - Therefore, we can always find (μ, ν) s.t. $\max_{\mu, \nu} |W_{D_\beta D_\alpha f}(\mu, \nu)| = 2^{k+2}$ with $\alpha_2, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}^*, \alpha_2 \neq \beta_2$ and $\gamma = \frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2}$ in other cases.

Figure: The partition of α, β



For every points $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^k}^*$, there exist L different β_2 contributing to $|W_{D_\beta D_\alpha f}(\mu, \nu)| = 2^{k+3}$, $2^k - 2 - L$ different β_2 leading to $|W_{D_\beta D_\alpha f}(\mu, \nu)| = 2^{k+2}$, while β_1 can be any element of \mathbb{F}_{2^k} .

Thus, for all points $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^k}^2$:

- ▶ When $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ is fixed, $\alpha_2 \neq 0$ and β runs over $\mathbb{F}_{2^k}^2$, we have

$$\max_{\mu, \nu} |W_{D_\beta D_\alpha f}(\mu, \nu)| = \begin{cases} 2^{k+3}, & 2^k L \text{ times} \\ 2^{k+2}, & 2^k(2^k - 2 - L) \text{ times} \\ *, & 2^{k+1} \text{ times.} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ When $\alpha = (\alpha_1, 0)$ is fixed and β runs over \mathbb{F}_{2^k} , we have

$$\max_{\mu, \nu} |W_{D_\beta D_\alpha f}(\mu, \nu)| = *, \quad 2^{2k} \text{ times.}$$



SHANGHAI JIAO TONG
UNIVERSITY

Thank You

Zhaole Li · Higher order nonlinearity of bent functions