
The Justice Initiative

Published: 31 October 2023 - By: Paul J-I - Justice-Initiative.net

Justice-Initiative.net is a political prisoner support directory whose mission is to support White inmates persecuted by an anti-White system. Our goal is to bring awareness to their stories, to enable others to easily contact and support them, and to keep prisoners engaged with the wider movement while incarcerated. One way we do this is to reach out every 2 months via this newsletter in which we share news from a variety of sources that are relevant to the wider movement. We are pleased with the positive feedback we've received regarding the newsletter.

J-I is not officially associated with any group. Any articles in this newsletter do not necessarily represent the positions of J-I. Original articles by J-I staff should be seen as representing the thoughts of the author and not J-I as a whole.

This September, J-I partnered with Antelope Hill Publishing to offer a free Antelope Hill book to each of our listed prisoners. We have fulfilled some requests already and are excited to fulfill more. If you haven't received a catalog yet, it is because we are sending those out as we receive the funds to make those fulfillments.

If you are having your mail sent back from our PO box, there's nothing we can do to make this situation better and you just have to re-send.

There is this free resource called Prisoner Express. They send a non-political newsletter that contains prisoner submitted art, poetry, and essays every six months in a ~50-page packet. It seems like a good program and it's free. If you'd like us to sign you up and keep them updated on changes of address, please message us and we'll do so for you. Their address is below if you'd prefer to manage it yourself.

CTA / Durland Alternatives Library

Prisoner Express

PO Box #6556

Ithaca, NY 14851

Illegal-Immigrant 'No-Go Zone' Dismissed as 'Conspiracy' Despite History of Violent Crimes

Published: 27 October 2023 - By: Justice Report - JusticeReport.news

Colony Ridge, Texas — A crime-ridden "illegal immigrant no-go zone" outside of Houston has made headlines once again after Texan Republicans failed to act on a promise to investigate the town's development. While it's been over two weeks since Governor Greg Abbott adjourned a "special session" to address Colony Ridge, no laws have been proposed, and those concerned about public safety are now being labeled as "conspiracy theorists" by corporate media.

The town of Colony Ridge—which was once labeled an illegal immigrant "favela" festering inside the United States—has become home to nearly 75,000 people. Living in ramshackle homes, tents, and other underdeveloped properties, many residents first acquire a piece of the 40-square-mile Colony Ridge without submitting to a credit check or even having a social security number.

Those in South and Central America are first lured to the town by its Spanish name, "Terrenos Houston," which is chirped on television screens and advertised on social media. The promotions guarantee buyers cheap land and even financing but fail to disclose poor conditions, let alone crime. The ongoing scheme has ensured that an endless throng of immigrants has made Colony Ridge their home since it first opened nearly two decades ago, but many in surrounding Liberty County believe that violent crime has only reached a breaking point.

"In Houston, we have two million illegals on our streets now. Our streets are back to back," said LeeAnn Penton Walker, former mayor of the adjacent town of Plum Grove and one of the first people to sound the alarm over illegal immigrants living inside Liberty County. "These people don't have insurance, they don't have licenses, kids aren't in car seats, driving around with open containers, they have wrecks, the crime alone, the murders."

Her fears would not be unfounded. According to the Center for Immigration Studies, illegal immigrant towns like Colony Ridge have morphed sleepy Liberty County, Texas, and other Houston suburbs into a hotbed of previously unthinkable violence. Gang activity, human trafficking, a drug epidemic, and even murders have become commonplace as regional demographics shift in favor of non-White populations.

The school district in nearby Cleveland experienced a rash of student overdoses stemming from Fentanyl-laced Percocet pills. In June of last year, a dog brought home a disembodied human hand, leading authorities to discover a decomposing corpse in Daisetta. A few months later, a 16-year-old Honduran national was found dead in Colony Ridge, shot over what police believe to be a gang dispute. Authorities later arrested three foreigners, charged them with murder, and shipped the girl back to be buried in her homeland.

"We have two million illegals on our streets now. Our streets are back to back... These people don't have insurance, they don't have licenses, kids aren't in car seats, driving around with open containers, they have wrecks, the crime alone, the murders."

LeeAnn Penton Walker

The crimes, while heinous, are just the tip of the iceberg. Since the non-White population first exploded, thanks to places like Colony Ridge, Liberty County has experienced rapes, kidnappings, and a string of exceedingly horrific murders going as far back as 2016. In April of this year, two Middle School students were shot dead in Plum Grove. Things came to a nationwide boiling point, however, when a non-White gunman in nearby Cleveland, Texas, infamously killed 5 of his neighbors in a bloody mass shooting triggered by what police claimed was a noise complaint.

So, what is being done to fix the problem? Judging by a seemingly lackluster response from the Republican state legislature, not so much.

When Colony Ridge—and the plight of Liberty County's predominately White "original" residents—became national news, Republican politicians swore to "investigate" the town and put a stop to what they called an "optimal haven for illegal aliens." The promise was quickly followed up by tough words from Texas Governor Greg Abbott, who demanded lawmakers take action. But one "special legislative session" later, and so far, nothing seems to have changed.

In some ways, the special legislative session only seemed to have created more questions. According to reports, the meeting to discuss Colony Ridge was closed to opponents. Instead, the only outside speakers allowed were law enforcement heads and the developers, John and Trey Harris. The infamous brothers personally donated over \$1.5 million to Greg Abbott's election campaigns, further casting doubt on the Governor's commitment to taking down the operation. With no clear legislation planned, some now believe all the big talk was just more political theater coming from the state house.

"I'll believe it when I see it," said local reporter Wayne Dolcefino in an interview with the New York Post. "Abbott and everyone else all knew this was happening... No one can come in and take ownership of it now. The genie can't be put back in the bottle."

Meanwhile, those on the left have been quick to downplay the severity of the violence. Agenda-driven journalists have even penned a series of pro-developer propaganda pieces that lionize the "dubious" business practices of the Harris brothers. The articles serve the dual purpose of labeling critics of Colony Ridge as "conspiracy theorists" who are merely experiencing a "fact-challenged freak-out." Others, like the Houston Landing, dishonestly point to data and law enforcement testimony that paints Liberty County as "not so bad," but only when you compare it to neighboring counties like Harris and Chambers—which, unlike rural Liberty—directly neighbor metropolitan Houston.

"It's a racial issue. It's a prejudice issue with some of the people in Plum Grove. They just don't like the customers that are moving in because of their ethnicity," said Harris.

Trey Harris, Colony Ridge Land Developer – The Texan

Despite their claims, the on-the-ground reality in Liberty County continues to show its ugly face. According to a report by the Texas Public Policy Foundation, Liberty County is home to one of the fastest-growing Hispanic populations in the country. Its ongoing fiscal and population growth pressures are only “exacerbated” by the kinds of illegal immigration found at Colony Ridge. In 2010, Liberty County’s White population stood at a dominant 69.17%, according to the US Census Report. But thanks to developers like the Harris brothers, that number has plummeted to a harrowing 54.62% White in only ten short years.

As foreigners continue to steam across the nation’s unrestricted southern border, White people have fallen victim to catastrophic levels of crime all across the Lone Star State. According to the pro-White policy institute White-Papers, Black and Hispanic populations are now responsible for 71.4% of all rapes, 58% of sexual violence cases, 76.5% of all murders, and 72% of all aggravated assaults in Texas.

While the numbers point to what should be considered a law enforcement emergency, Texas—under Abbott—has focused on other issues, investing taxpayer money into the embattled nation of Israel. Joining alongside New York, Texas, and Florida, Abbott has already purchased up to \$150 million in Israeli government debt and enabling what has been described by some as “massacres” in war-torn Gaza.

The failure of Republican politicians to address skyrocketing crime, immigration, or the proliferation of non-White criminals is nothing new in ruby-red Texas. In July, the once-idyllic Dallas suburb of Providence Village made headlines when its housing authority attempted to stem violent crime by forbidding Section 8 tenants. Citing anti-Black racism, the long-standing right was revoked after a Republican-supported bill made the practice illegal, sparking a series of protests in opposition by pro-White activists.

31 Years After Ruby Ridge, The FBI Is Still Killing Americans With Impunity

Published: 23 August 2023 - By: Joseph Jordan - National Justice Party

It’s been 31 years since the beginning of the FBI’s murderous siege on the home of the Weaver family. The deadly incident prompted enough outrage to spur Congressional hearings, protests from across the political spectrum, several dramatized TV portrayals and a promise from the FBI and Department of Justice that they would revise the reckless tactics used at Ruby Ridge to avoid future tragedies (this was six months before the Waco massacre).

Fast forward to 2023, and the only difference in relation to federal law enforcement behavior is the disappearance of journalists and politicians willing to demand accountability from the FBI.

As Republicans and Democrats waste time and political capital focusing on how the FBI treats Donald Trump or Hunter Biden, four men in the last three weeks have been shot to death by the FBI, three of them in questionable pre-dawn raids. Two of the men were white and right-wing, one was an Arab, and another was a black suspected for a string of robberies.

In a typical FBI related raid leading up to a shooting, federal agents make painstaking efforts to destroy any visible cameras on a targeted property, seldom wear body cameras, and refuse to inform family members why they felt they had to shoot their loved one or what they were suspected of. In some cases, the FBI will even refuse to confirm their involvement in a killing. In these controversial shoots, the Bureau has the laughable ability to investigate itself, which predictably, means that they rule every killing as justified. The FBI then cordons off the scene and bans the family from entering their own residence for days as staff is dispatched to collect evidence, providing them ample opportunity to tamper with or eliminate any evidence of malfeasance or error.

In virtually every case, an agent who shoots a suspect in a contentious encounter is granted the informal right to forever remain anonymous by both the media and government officials — a perk not extended to local police officers in similar circumstances. If public outrage begins to grow, unrelated videos and information intended to characterize the person who was shot as a dangerous menace suddenly leaks to the press even as the FBI publicly keeps its lips sealed. The way these

incidents unfold is akin to how death squads or assassins operate rather than a legitimate law enforcement agency subject to civilian oversight.

In the most controversial of the recent shootings, that of a severely disabled 75-year-old Vietnam Veteran and National Rifle Association member in Utah named Craig Robertson, federal agents have asserted that the beloved church-going man with no notable criminal record who served as sole caretaker for his blind son was a dangerous white domestic terrorist who, upon being informed that he was under arrest, pointed his .357 Magnum at agents during an early morning raid.

Robertson was put under investigation in April for a series of threats made on his Truth Social account against Democratic politicians. He was obviously not physically capable of executing his threats, and despite being known to always answer the door armed, was approached by FBI agents and questioned about his threats prior to the raid on his home. He told the agents who arrived at his residence to question him that he had no plans to harm anyone and to come back with a warrant. The agents left without incident.

Days later, the FBI decided to mobilize their SWAT team to serve their warrant on the man in his humble Provo residence, using his collection of legally owned firearms and enthusiasm for the 2nd Amendment as justification for why a Navy SEALs type breach was necessary to apprehend the immobile senior citizen.

Video footage taken by a neighbor as the assault began shows federal agents tossing flash bombs and wrecking Robertson's home in the lead up to the shooting. Images from the aftermath capture Robertson bleeding to death under a blanket on the street like an animal as agents casually chat over his body.

What is missing from the equation is what happened in between. We will never know if the claim that Robertson, a man described by all who knew him as being incapable of hurting a fly, actually did point his firearm at the arresting agents because they don't wear body cameras.

Local police departments scrutinized in controversial shootings regularly host press conferences where they publicly release an officer's body camera footage of the exchange in question, but despite a 2022 White House Executive Order instructing FBI agents to visually document their activities while engaging in arrests and search warrants, federal agents have decided to simply ignore the new regulation. Compliance remains low.

Provo Police Department body camera video from a 2018 encounter with Robertson shows that he typically displayed his firearms when answering the door as a form of 2nd Amendment activism. In this encounter with local cops, he was not charged with any crime as Utah law protects the right of citizens to carry their guns, including in the presence of police officers. Even if Robertson had firearms on his person at the time his home was being besieged, unless he pointed one at arresting agents, the legality of killing him would be at least dubious. Judging from his well-documented pattern of behavior, as well as the FBI's own pattern of systematically lying about almost everything, there is no reason to believe the FBI and Department of Justice when they say Robertson pointed his gun at their agents.

Several other open questions remain. Generally, threats to the president are handled by the Secret Service, who will investigate the offending individual and, if there is no sign of a plan to make good on the threat, warn the person to stop and occasionally park a car in front of their homes if the politician on the receiving end of their threats is in town. This was the norm when Donald Trump was elected in 2016 and a wave of violent threats aimed at his person and family engulfed social media and kept the Secret Service busy. Some former FBI agents have suggested that the Department of Justice possibly decided to internally mark Robertson as a white domestic terrorist in order to fill politically expedient arrest quotas, which takes the investigative duty out of the hands of the Secret Service and can be used to rationalize the disproportionate response federal agents have in recent years gotten into the habit of deploying against political opponents.

In other recent shootings, which have gone under the radar, we know even less about the circumstances that led to people being killed.

In Henderson, Tennessee, a similar pre-dawn FBI paramilitary-style raid was conducted on the residence of another disabled veteran, Theodore Deschler, whose social media page had several references to right-wing ideas. According to Deschler's mother, who was yanked out of the house and thrown into the back of a car without an explanation after answering the door on the day of the killing, the FBI began bombarding the home with tear gas and stun grenades, breaking all the windows, and suddenly, began shooting the property up when a startled Deschler emerged. Deschler's mother said Theodore did not have access to any guns and it would've been physically impossible for an agent to have

seen if he was armed due to several tall obstructions blocking their view as they opened fire. It is unlikely Deschler had any weapon in his hand, according to his mother, due to the presence of two bloody handprints he left on the wall after being shot.

The FBI has refused to state what the warrant they were supposed to serve Deschler was related to and his grieving family has had no choice but to search through their ruined house for clues. As in Robertson's case, no body camera footage appears to exist. Deschler was previously arrested for a stabbing in Tennessee in May, but unless there was a racial or "hate crime" (white men committing "hate crimes" has become a favorite FBI moral panic in recent years) angle, this should've been a local law enforcement issue and did not warrant the type of drastic escalation the FBI typically brings with it when it decides to get involved.

A day before the Robertson shooting, the FBI executed another dramatic assault, this time conducted on the home of Ahmad Nassar.

The modus operandi was remarkably similar to what happened in Henderson. The FBI was trying to arrest a drug addicted, mentally disabled but largely harmless man who law enforcement previously placed in a psychiatric hospital when they found him babbling in a public park waving an ISIS flag. As with Deschler, the FBI refuses to state what Nassar did to warrant such a response and the family publicly stated that there were no firearms in the house for Nassar to access.

Seeing how federal agents have recently revived their practice of entrapping teenage boys in "ISIS-inspired plots," the case may be "terror" related and possibly an attempt to establish a nebulous ISIS link in the interest of justifying the FBI's bloated and increasingly controversial counter-terrorism budget.

While the vast majority of FBI abuses come from its famously corrupt and politicized "terrorism" and counter-intelligence divisions, there has also been one recent shooting at the hands of its street crime wing.

On August 5th, federal agents shot a 22-year-old black man, Tahiem Weeks-Cook, while serving warrants in Philadelphia.

The FBI, which regularly bullies, harasses and arrests small town white police officers for violations as petty as exchanging "racist" text messages, has adamantly refused to provide any details related to their killing of Weeks-Cook. National media outlets, who generally can't resist using stories of law enforcement shooting a black man to whip up anti-white hate, have largely ignored the story, though some outlets have published records showing the suspect was wanted for a string of robberies.

Just like FBI sniper Lon Horiuchi was never prosecuted for his role in killing Vicki Weaver at Ruby Ridge or being one of the shooters that triggered the Waco standoff, there is little chance that the aforementioned killings will ever be examined by independent investigators, much less lead to accountability if agents were to be found to have engaged in criminal conduct during these botched arrests.

In recent years, the FBI has emerged as the greatest threat to the civil liberties and well-being of our citizens, especially those who use their First or Second Amendment rights. Few in Congress appear willing to provide serious oversight of the FBI. The Bureau is highly insular and ideological, and agents behave in a way that suggests they see ordinary Americans as their enemy.

For this reason, presidential candidates releasing plans to abolish the FBI are surging in the polls. Some federal agents, who are on the frontline enforcing a status quo that has lost public confidence, have reported that they feel uneasy while operating in middle America. This fear and paranoia is causing them to violate their own operation's guidebook calling for the least intrusive measures possible, and instead are choosing to go in guns blazing.

Without an overwhelming political response and attorneys ready to fight for transparency in court, the shadowy killings by America's secret police will continue.

A Foundation of White Families

Published: 20 Sept 2023 - By: White Papers - White Papers

Nations across the world are struggling with low fertility rates and desperately attempting to apply policy solutions to the problem, often with little to no success. Economic development, urbanization, technological development and social change are among the many issues which appear to contribute, at least in part, to this change in fertility and unfortunately solutions often do not attempt and address these problems.

Instead, most nations continue to attempt neoliberal and “market based” policy solutions to the weakening of the family and the dissolution of marriage as a social institution. From South Korea and Japan to Germany and Finland governments give out large financial incentives to attempt and entice couples to have children. Other states provide universal childcare, more time off for mothers, and assistance in buying necessities to rear a child.

These options simply do not work.

Do not misunderstand our position, though. White-Papers is in favour of making life affordable for families, we support a raft of economic measures to benefit families, but this does not mean that we will advocate the same failed policy solutions which do not lead to stronger and more numerous families.

The right policy solutions focus on a cultural shift in which the state and the whole of society is focused on a pro-family vision for a nation.

Neoliberal policymaking has proven incapable of turning around a declining fertility rate, but this does not mean all policymaking attempts have failed. White nations remain among the most inventive, innovative and creative in the world and several White countries have, at least in part, been successful in turning around fertility decline.

The Hungarian policy experiment of an expansive portfolio of marriage and family friendly policies continues to pay off.

The Hungarian state has worked for well over a decade in creating one of the most family friendly states in the world and this appears to have led to a persistent positive trend, a trend which is now able to withstand economic shocks. Hungary boasts the highest marriage rate in Europe, and a divorce rate below the continental average.

The number of marriages per annum has roughly doubled.

These policies have also resulted in a 27.7% increase in the nation's fertility rate, a rate which is holding strong.

These rates are holding even in the face of persistent inflation and an entrenched technical recession in the country.

It is certainly true that Hungary has employed large-scale economic incentives to help families. Everything from loans which are progressively forgiven based on the number of children to direct grants to fund weddings, but these are merely complimentary to a cultural change. The Hungarian state, the Hungarian constitution, the media, education system and civil society all push the value of marriage and family formation.

89% of Hungarian university students plan on getting married, 90% have expressed a desire to have children, and near 20% of those college students would like to have more than 2 children.

Hungary is far from the only example. The Czechs also recovered from a serious demographic crisis through an emphasis on social policy and the value of family. The Czech's are among the few nations whose governing class have openly admitted that the “structural changes” (cultural damage) done to families in the post 1964 era represented a crisis to the survival of a nation.

The Czechs acknowledge in their reports that the “Western Model” (of the post-war era) results in declining marriage rates, a fall off in the formation of families, an increased age of first marriage and many other behaviours which delay or prevent the formation of families.

Unlike most other countries, steeped in neoliberal ideas and individualism, the Czech state took an alternative route. The Czech government promotes stay-at-home motherhood as “gainful activity” of “full-value” to society.

The Czech state does, of course, provide a raft of financial support and benefits, but these are viewed as secondary to the main goal: forming families and keeping them together. The Czech state and municipalities have even set up relationships with the civil society sector in order to attempt and reinforce parental cohabitation and promote marriage.

As a result of these deeply involved social policies the Czechs have increased their fertility rate, resulting in a rise from 1.13 in 2000 to 1.83 in 2021. A rate just shy of replacement level (2.12).

This whole of society approach has resulted in a culture where 48% of Czechs believed that family formation and rearing children is a “duty to society” and only 20% disagree with this notion. This stands in remarkable contrast to Poland, a deeply Catholic country, where only 22.3% of Poles believing having children is a duty to society, and some 55.4% disagree with the idea of this duty.

Meanwhile other countries with collapsing fertility rates, such as Japan, continue to push women into the workforce, rather than encouraging the path of motherhood.

The correlation between increasing workforce participation by women and a collapse in fertility rates is well-established. Any country which is serious about maintaining its population must explore alternatives.

Women need not be chained to stoves or sequestered in the home, though. We have spoken before, both in writing and in podcasts, about the needed development of “post-maternal” policies. The state should prioritize motherhood first, and then as children age provide women with every opportunity to start a business, attend university, or engage with civil society.

Among other positive cultural changes are:

1. A rapidly decreasing rate of abortion in both Hungary and the Czech Republic
2. Pro-Family education in the schools
3. A state education campaign against abortion
4. A large number of Hungarian doctors are no longer willing to perform abortions
5. More women are choosing to stay at home with their children

The ultimate conclusion is a state which supports marriage is a state which supports families and its own survival.

In Hungary 73% of respondents believe that marriage is an important institution which is good for both individuals and society, in the United States some 52% of young women and 41% of young men (people under 35) believe that marriage is an ‘outdated tradition.’

And while three in five Millennials or Zoomers in a relationship live with their partner, cohabitation does not result in children. Marriage does.

The Institute for Family Studies features extensive research that married couples will have nearly 3x the number of children, on average, compared to unmarried persons. The holds true in studies by other policy institutions. Additionally, married parents remain together nearly 70% of the time, while two-thirds of merely cohabitating parents will eventually separate.

The family, and therefore the nation, survives through robust social support and widespread belief in the value of marriage and children.

There Must Be a Cost for Anti-White Hate

Published: 30 October 2023 - By: Gregory Hood - American Renaissance

The Robert E. Lee statue from Charlottesville, Virginia, has been cut up and melted. State media NPR called it a “different journey” for the statue. The Smithsonian seemed delighted, calling the statue “divisive.” Melting it is presumably unifying.

We are told that the foundry owner presiding over the vandalism was a black man proud to “destroy hate.” The New York Times noted that as the general’s face was cut off and fell to the floor, an observer said, “It feels like a public execution.”

The Washington Post gloated, conspiring to show photos and videos of the destruction but hiding the identity of those who did it. Journalists can keep a secret if they agree with the political objective. Conservative journalist Stephen Miller, though taking care to scorn the “loser” statue, thought this was outrageous.

Conservative quibbles aside, America has plenty of statues to “losers,” including various Indian tribes. Indeed, America has recently been taking down statues to winners, such as the man who captured California during the Mexican War, because it makes “losers” like Mexicans feel angry. Who cares about the feelings of white Southerners?

The “public execution” was presided over by Andrea Douglas, executive director of the African American Heritage Center. The vandalism capture’s her people’s general contribution to American and world culture.

The destruction is part of “Swords Into Ploughshares,” an “inclusive” art organization. The group is supported by George Soros’s Open Society Foundations, and leftist groups including the local chapter of the Democratic Socialists of America supported the Lee project.

Lee Park, where the statue stood and now renamed Market Street Park, was a homeless encampment for most of the last month, because the mayor of Charlottesville decided it would be uncaring to enforce a curfew against the “unhoused.” On October 21, it uncaringly reimposed the curfew. The city was far less queasy about allowing violence against protesters who had a permit for the Unite the Right rally in 2017.

We can only imagine what “inclusive” art is coming next, but we can’t expect much.

This is the best they can do. (Just look at what passes for “genius” today.) The true “art” was the destruction. Whatever mediocrity follows will be forgotten the day it is unveiled.

The burning head of Lee was inadvertently striking. It is already the avatar for many handles on X, and I expect derivatives will be used by many Southern, American, and white nationalists.

This burning head will be better than whatever affirmative-action art project they can slap together. Yet, the fact is, the largest explicitly pro-white march in recent history took place to save this statue, which was destroyed despite lawsuits. The march became an excuse for one of the largest crackdowns on free speech in American history, perhaps ending the free speech norm for good. It’s unquestionably a defeat, though possibly a necessary one.

It was necessary for two reasons. The Alt Right argument that anti-Confederate iconoclasm was a precursor to anti-American iconoclasm has been proven. Media outlets mocked President Donald Trump for suggesting that taking down General Lee was a precursor to taking down the Founding Fathers, but that’s already happening. It is not about the Confederacy or even slavery; it’s about race. We also saw that it wasn’t about moving monuments to a museum or “contextualizing” them, but destroying them. The conservatives who told us we were paranoid were wrong.

Second, Unite the Right was the catalyst for censorship, deplatforming, and government repression, though that may have come in any event. In retrospect, everyone was naïve to think the regime would play fair, obey its laws, and let white advocates compete in the mythical marketplace of ideas. Our opponents really do want to silence us, and so it’s not surprising that they violate the norms that once defined the country. One could argue that this redeems the Confederate cause, showing the inevitable tyranny of any centralized government crusade to enforce egalitarianism.

Power is what matters. Our rulers make fools of themselves to avoid hurting the feelings of non-whites: cancel college classes because of fake hate crimes; refuse to identify suspects so as not to offend blacks; abolish gifted programs because they are full of whites and Asians; decriminalize vagrancy, fare-beating, and public defecation because punishing “crimes of poverty” punishes too many non-whites. Any capitulation can be justified to avoid stereotypes, hurt feelings, and racial trauma.

As for whites? Destroy their monuments; even disturb their dead. We are supposed to take it, not least because there is no “we” acting in a unified way. Surely, the federal or state government would punish any conservative town that somehow



The melting of the statue of Robert E. Lee

used the legal system to destroy a monument of Martin Luther King. Just about anything can be construed as a “civil rights violation” if you are a protected group, and whites are not.

Virginia now has a Republican governor. Glenn Younkin said he felt “very strongly” that removed monuments should be in museums and not destroyed, but he did nothing to protect the Lee statue. Perhaps there is nothing he could have done legally, but he never mentioned it. Monuments are not an issue in this year’s state election.

The Republican who started the wave of Confederate iconoclasm, former South Carolina governor Nikki Haley, is surging in the GOP primary. The candidate who did defend monuments, President Donald Trump, remains in the lead, but Nikki Haley is hardly suffering because of her stance. A refusal to protect Confederate monuments has no cost to conservative politicians.

Trying to “convince” politicians is a waste of time. We must be willing to impose a cost, at least in primaries. That could prove difficult when “monuments” compete with guns or taxes, and the temptation is always to vote against Democrats. However, if white Southerners can’t get help from their representatives even in the conservative South, it’s worth debating whether there is any point in voting.

There are three small things white advocates can do. Join any local group that is dedicated to preserving monuments or start one if a local monument lacks protection. Second, build new statues and artwork through groups such as Monuments Across Dixie. Support people who are working on this issue full time, such as John Hill, the closest living descendent of legendary general A.P. Hill. Even small donations of money or time can make a big difference. The “execution” of General Lee should motivate us all to fight the cultural butchers. It’s not just a Southern issue; monuments to our heroes are under attack everywhere.

However, the problem is much larger. There can be no progress on any front until whites take their own side, or at least admit they have a side. We may not like claiming victimhood, but democracy rewards groups that organize. Perhaps we should reframe it within our own minds as rebellion — and we certainly have the symbols for it. Attempts to divorce the Lost Cause from racial politics (including the sniveling slogan “heritage, not hate”) have failed to protect even the most venerable Southern symbols. Yet the Confederate battle flag and the Rebel spirit has a new resonance nationwide as a symbol of defiance against an elite that is now openly anti-white, anti-Christian, and anti-historic America.

Robert E. Lee’s greatness partially comes from his willingness to work for Union once the war was lost and not wage a brutal guerilla campaign. Men such as Abraham Lincoln and Ulysses S. Grant honored their defeated foes because the point of the war was not to destroy them, but to keep the country together. That reconciliation is one of America’s greatest accomplishments.

Today, our opponents are not fellow Americans united by blood, heritage, or history, but foreign occupiers. It’s not surprising they are tearing up the peace agreement, because they aren’t part of the nation. Faulkner said the past isn’t over, it isn’t even past. Perhaps the Lost Cause isn’t lost at all but is reemerging in a new form. The Confederate cause of liberation from a foreign, overweening power makes even more sense than it did in 1861. How we get to liberation is a larger issue, but it must begin by embracing the spirit of rebellion. Rebellion is what this country was built on — and what our next one will be built on too.

Biden Election Strategy: ‘Let’s Fight Three Wars at Once!’

Published: 24 October 2023 - By: Ron Paul - LewRockwell.com

President Joe Biden announced last week that the United States would be funding – and possibly fighting – three wars in three different parts of the world at the same time. It is an ambitious foreign policy for a president who doesn’t even seem to be able to express a coherent thought without the help of a teleprompter.

Nearly every word of Biden’s speech was untrue, including the preposterous suggestion that “American leadership is what holds the world together. American alliances are what keep us, America, safe.”

US interventionism in Ukraine for over ten years and in the Middle East for decades has brought those two regions to the brink of an explosion unlike anything seen before. And if that is not enough, Biden’s neocons are also determined to take us

to war with China over Taiwan. The world is literally falling apart in front of us as Biden claims we are the only thing holding it together!

After a brutal attack on Israel by Hamas earlier this month, Israel declared war not just on the terrorists who attacked its territory, but on the entire population of Gaza itself. Israel's policy of collective punishment – razing Gaza to the ground – has inflamed Muslims from the Middle East to Asia to Western capitals. The anger rages more fiercely than we have seen in decades, perhaps since the founding of Israel in 1948.

Yet instead of trying to help facilitate a ceasefire and a peaceful solution, Biden has poured gasoline onto the fire, sending two US carrier groups and at least 15,000 troops, while threatening war on Lebanon, Iran, and Syria if they intervene in Israel's war on the Palestinians. What might Russia do if the US attacks Russian forces in Syria or Russia's allies in Tehran?

Biden also repeated the line that "Israel has the right to defend itself." While that may be true, it is not Israel defending itself. It's the US government intervening to defend Israel. And as the entire Muslim world rages against Israel over the destruction of Gaza, how do we think they will feel about us as the financiers and facilitators of that destruction?

By dragging the United States into this war, President Biden has planted the seeds of innumerable 9/11-style blowback attacks on the US. Yet he has the audacity to claim that all of this is keeping us safe.

Recent polls show that most Americans disagree with Biden. A CBS/YouGov poll taken last week shows that the majority of Americans oppose sending weapons to Israel. His Treasury Secretary Janet Yellen assured us that "we can afford" to finance two wars because the US economy is doing "exceptionally well." Maybe the American people know something Yellen and the elites do not.

As Biden demands another \$105 billion to fund the wars in Ukraine, Gaza, and Taiwan, his speech seemed to have a touch of campaign rhetoric in it. "I'm told I was the first American [president] to enter a warzone not controlled by the United States military since President Lincoln," he said in his speech. The statement is blatantly false, but he must believe it gives him an air of bravado.

They say that it is advantageous to be seen as a "wartime president" when elections roll around, but Joe Biden may have miscalculated the level of support he will get for being a "World-War-Three-time president."

The Power of Nationalism: Strength in Unity

Published: 21 October 2023 - By: John Fassbinder – American Freedom Party

In a culture that often celebrates individualism, it's crucial to recognize the enduring importance of Nationalism. It is through Nationalism, and the collective pride in Our People that we find our true strength. Nationalism reminds us of our shared identity, values, and goals as a people and a Nation.

Nationalism fosters a sense of belonging and togetherness. Together, we can pool resources, knowledge, and expertise to address complex issues facing Our People. When Whites come together with a shared purpose, we can achieve remarkable feats, from advancing technology and science to creating unmatched beauty in the arts.

With this said, promoting a healthy sense of nationalism doesn't mean abandoning individualism altogether. Individual creativity, innovation, and the ability to profit from one's own labor is crucial for a nation's growth and development. Nationalism and individualism can coexist, complementing each other to create a society where people are united by common values and goals, while also being free to pursue their individual aspirations and dreams.

Those who preach against nationalism and militarism in the West now support nationalism and militarism for either Israelis or Palestinians. They recognize all distinct people want their own land & need force to defend it. They just don't want European Americans to recognize that.