

Computer Architecture HW#1

2021/5/14 김승준

Q1.

(A) Performance expressed in instructions per second = $\frac{\text{Clock Rate}}{\text{CPI}}$

$$P_1: \frac{3\text{GHz}}{1.5} = 2 \times 10^9 \text{ instructions per second}$$

$$P_2: \frac{2.5\text{GHz}}{1.0} = 2.5 \times 10^9 \text{ instructions per second}$$

$$P_3: \frac{4.0\text{GHz}}{2.2} \approx 1.82 \times 10^9 \text{ instructions per second}$$

$\therefore P_2$ has the highest performance expressed in instructions per second.

(B) number of cycles = Clock Rate \times Execution time

$$\text{number of instructions} = \text{number of cycles} / \text{CPI}$$

i) Cycles

ii) Instructions

$$P1: 3\text{GHz} \times 10\text{s} = 3 \times 10^{10} \text{ cycles}$$

$$P1: 3 \times 10^{10} / 1.5 = 20 \times 10^{10} \text{ instructions}$$

$$P2: 2.5\text{GHz} \times 10\text{s} = 2.5 \times 10^{10} \text{ cycles}$$

$$P2: 2.5 \times 10^{10} / 1.0 = 2.5 \times 10^{10} \text{ instructions}$$

$$P3: 4.0\text{GHz} \times 10\text{s} = 4.0 \times 10^{10} \text{ cycles}$$

$$P3: 4.0 \times 10^{10} / 2.2 \approx 1.82 \times 10^{10} \text{ instructions}$$

(C) execution time (CPU Time) = $\frac{\text{CPU Clock Cycles}}{\text{Clock Rate}} = \frac{\text{Instruction Count} \times \text{CPI}}{\text{Clock Rate}}$

$$(1 - \frac{30}{100}) \times \text{execution time} = \text{IC} \times (1 + \frac{30}{100}) \times \text{CPI} / \text{Clock Rate}'$$

$$\text{Clock Rate}' = \frac{1.2}{1} \times \text{Clock Rate} \approx 1.71 \times \text{Clock Rate}$$

\therefore Clock Rate' for each processor :

$$P1: 1.71 \times 3\text{GHz} = 5.13\text{GHz}$$

$$P2: 1.71 \times 2.5\text{GHz} = 4.275\text{GHz}$$

$$P3: 1.71 \times 4.0\text{GHz} = 6.84\text{GHz}$$

Q2.

(A) $P_{\text{dynamic}} = \text{Capacitive load} \times \text{Voltage}^2 \times \text{Frequency}$

$$\cdot \text{Pentium 4 Prescott} : 90\text{W} = \frac{1}{2} \times \text{Capacitive load} \times (1.25\text{V})^2 \times 3.6\text{GHz}$$

$$\text{Capacitive load} = 2 \times \frac{90\text{W}}{(1.25\text{V})^2 \times 3.6\text{GHz}} = 32\text{nF}$$

$$\cdot \text{Core i7 Ivy Bridge} : 40\text{W} = \frac{1}{2} \times \text{Capacitive load} \times (0.9\text{V})^2 \times 3.4\text{GHz}$$

$$\text{Capacitive load} = 2 \times \frac{40\text{W}}{(0.9\text{V})^2 \times 3.4\text{GHz}} \approx 29.04\text{nF}$$

(B) Percentage of Static Power in Total Dissipated Power = $\left(\frac{P_{\text{static}}}{P_{\text{dynamic}} + P_{\text{static}}} \right) \times 100$

• Pentium 4 Prescott : $\frac{10W}{10W+90W} \times 100 = 10\%$

• Core i7 Ivy Bridge : $\frac{30W}{30W+40W} \times 100 \approx 42.86\%$

Ratio of static power to dynamic power = $\frac{P_{\text{static}}}{P_{\text{dynamic}}}$

• Pentium 4 Prescott : $\frac{10W}{90W} = \frac{1}{9} \approx 0.11$

• Core i7 Ivy Bridge : $\frac{30W}{40W} = \frac{3}{4} = 0.75$

(C) $P_{\text{total}} = P_{\text{dynamic}} + P_{\text{static}} = \frac{1}{2} C V^2 f + V I$, $0.9 P_{\text{total}} = \frac{1}{2} C V'^2 f + V' I$ (전압은 양수이므로 이차방정식의 해에서 양수인 해)

• Pentium 4 Prescott : $90 = \frac{1}{2} \times 32 \times V'^2 \times 3.6 + V' \times \frac{10}{1.25} = 57.6 V'^2 + 8 V'$, $V' \approx 1.182V \therefore 0.068V \text{ reduced}$

• Core i7 Ivy Bridge : $63 = \frac{1}{2} \times 29.04 \times V'^2 \times 3.4 + V' \times \frac{30}{0.9} = 49.368 V'^2 + \frac{100}{3} V'$, $V' \approx 0.84V \therefore 0.06V \text{ reduced}$

$\therefore V_{\text{Pentium}} \text{ should be reduced by } 5.4\%$, $V_{\text{Core i7}} \text{ should be reduced by } 6.34\%$

Q3.

(A) execution time = $IC \times CPI \times \text{Clock Cycle time}$

$750s = 2.389E12 \times CPI \times 0.333ns$

$CPI = \frac{750s}{2.389E12 \times 0.333ns} = \frac{750s}{2389 \times 0.333s} \approx 0.9428$

(B) $SPECratio = \frac{\text{Reference Time}}{\text{Execution Time}} = \frac{9650s}{750s} \approx 12.87$

(C) $CPU \text{ time}' = (1 + \frac{10}{100}) \times IC \times CPI \times \text{Clock Cycle time}$

$= 1.1 \times IC \times CPI \times \text{Clock Cycle time} = 1.1 \times CPU \text{ time}$

$\therefore 10\% \text{ increase of } CPU \text{ time}$

(D) $CPU \text{ time}' = (1 + \frac{10}{100}) \times IC \times (1 + \frac{5}{100}) \times CPI \times \text{Clock Cycle time}$

$= 1.155 \times IC \times CPI \times \text{Clock Cycle time} = 1.155 \times CPU \text{ time}$

$\therefore 15.5\% \text{ increase of } CPU \text{ time}$

(E) $SPECratio' = \frac{\text{Reference time}}{1.155 \times \text{Execution time}} = SPECratio / 1.155 \approx 11.14$ ((D) 에서의 change 라고 가정했습니다.)

$\therefore \text{change of } SPECratio = 12.87 - 11.14 = 1.73$, 1.73 decreased

ratio of change = $\frac{SPECratio'}{SPECratio} \approx 0.87$, $13\% \text{ decreased}$

(F) $700s = (1 - \frac{15}{100}) \times 2.389E12 \times CPI' / 4GHz$

$CPI' = \frac{700s \times 4GHz}{0.85 \times 2.389E12} = \frac{2800}{0.85 \times 2389} \approx 1.38$

(G) increase ratio of $CPI = \frac{1.38}{0.9428} \approx 1.46$

increase ratio of clock rate = $\frac{4GHz}{3GHz} \approx 1.33$

$\therefore \text{they are dissimilar because instruction count has been reduced by } 15\%$

$$(H) \text{ Cpu time reduced by } 6.7\% \left(\frac{750-700}{750} \times 100 \approx 6.67 \right)$$

$$(I) \left(1 - \frac{10}{100}\right) \times 960s = IC \times 1.61 / 4GHz \quad (960ns \text{ 가 아니라 } 960s \text{ 로 계산했습니다.})$$

$$\therefore IC = \frac{0.9 \times 960s \times 4GHz}{1.61} \approx 2147 \times 10^9 \text{ instructions}$$

$$(J) 0.9 \times 0.9 \times 960s = 2147 \times 10^9 \times 1.61 / \text{Clock rate}$$

$$\text{clock rate} = \frac{2147 \times 10^9 \times 1.61}{0.9 \times 0.9 \times 960s} \approx 4.45 GHz \quad ((I) \text{ 에서 추가적으로 CPU time을 } 10\% \text{ 감소한다고 가정했습니다.})$$

$$(K) \text{ In (I), } 960s = IC \times 1.61 / 3GHz, IC = \frac{960s \times 3GHz}{1.61} \quad ((I) \text{ 의 첫 CPU에서 변한다고 가정했습니다.})$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{20}{100}\right) \times 960s = IC \times \left(1 - \frac{15}{100}\right) \times 1.61 / \text{clock rate}$$

$$\text{clock rate} = 3 GHz \times 0.85 \div 0.8 \approx 3.19 GHz$$

Q4.

$$\text{CPU time} = \frac{IC \times CPI}{\text{Clock Rate}}$$

$$\text{Execution time} = \text{CPU time for FP} + \text{CPU time for INT} + \text{CPU time for L/S} + \text{CPU time for branch}$$

$$= \frac{50 \times 10^6 \times 1 + 110 \times 10^6 \times 1 + 80 \times 10^6 \times 4 + 16 \times 10^6 \times 2}{2 \times 10^9} = \frac{512 \times 10^6}{2 \times 10^9} = 0.256s$$

$$(A) \frac{0.256s}{2} = \frac{50 \times 10^6 \times x + 110 \times 10^6 \times 1 + 80 \times 10^6 \times 4 + 16 \times 10^6 \times 2}{2 \times 10^9} = \frac{(462 + 50x)}{2 \times 10^9} = \frac{(231 + 25x)}{10^8}$$

$$128 = 231 + 25x, \quad x = \frac{-103}{25}$$

\therefore we can't calculate improved CPI of FP instructions

$$(B) 0.128 = \frac{50 \times 10^6 \times 1 + 110 \times 10^6 \times 1 + 80 \times 10^6 \times x + 16 \times 10^6 \times 2}{2 \times 10^9}$$

$$= \frac{(192 + 80x) \times 10^6}{2 \times 10^9}$$

$$128 = 96 + 40x, \quad x = \frac{32}{40} = 0.8$$

\therefore CPI of L/S instructions is improved by 5 times ($\because \frac{4}{0.8} = 5$)

$$(C) \text{ CPI of FP, INT instructions : } 1 \times 0.6 = 0.6$$

$$\text{CPI of L/S, branch instructions : } 4 \times 0.7 = 2.8, \quad 2 \times 0.7 = 1.4$$

$$\therefore \text{Execution time} = \frac{50 \times 10^6 \times 0.6 + 110 \times 10^6 \times 0.6 + 80 \times 10^6 \times 2.8 + 16 \times 10^6 \times 1.4}{2 \times 10^9}$$

$$= 0.1912s$$

Execution time is improved by 1.5 times. ($\because \frac{0.256}{0.1912} \approx 1.5$)