

# 1 PS optimization

## 1.1 Setup

$$pp \leftarrow (p, \mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2, \mathbb{G}_T, e) \quad (1)$$

$$g \leftarrow \mathbb{G}_1 \quad (2)$$

$$(x, y) \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^2, \tilde{g} \leftarrow \mathbb{G}_2 : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \leftarrow (\tilde{g}^x, \tilde{g}^y) \quad (3)$$

$$sk = (x, y), pk = (\tilde{g}, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &g \leftarrow \mathbb{G}_2 \\ (x, y) &\leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^2, \tilde{g} \leftarrow \mathbb{G}_1 : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \leftarrow (\tilde{g}^x, \tilde{g}^y) \end{aligned}$$

## 1.2 Join

$$sk_i \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p : (\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \leftarrow (g^{sk_i}, \tilde{Y}^{sk_i}) \quad (5)$$

$$u \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p : \sigma \leftarrow (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \leftarrow (g^u, (g^x \tau^y)^u) \quad (6)$$

$$gsk_i = (sk_i, \sigma, e(\sigma_1, \tilde{Y}), pk = (\tilde{g}, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})) \quad (7)$$

## 1.3 Sign

$$t \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \quad (8)$$

$$(\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2) \leftarrow (\sigma_1^t, \sigma_2^t) \quad (9)$$

$$k \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p : e(\sigma'_1, \tilde{Y})^k \leftarrow e(\sigma_1, \tilde{Y})^{kt} \quad (10)$$

$$c \leftarrow H(\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, e(\sigma'_1, \tilde{Y})^k, m) \quad (11)$$

$$s \leftarrow k + c \cdot sk_i \quad (12)$$

$$\mu(m) = (\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, c, s) \in (\mathbb{G}_1^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_p^2) \quad (13)$$

Here we add the G1 element  $\tilde{Y}^{-k}$  to the signature to be able to verify it with pairing check

$$\mu(m) = (\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, \tilde{Y}^{-k}, c, s) \in (\mathbb{G}_1^3 \times \mathbb{Z}_p^2)$$

## 1.4 Verify

$$R \leftarrow (e(\sigma_1^{-1}, \tilde{X}) \cdot e(\sigma_2, \tilde{g}))^{-c} \cdot e(\sigma_1^s, \tilde{Y}) \quad (14)$$

$$c \stackrel{?}{=} H(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, R, m) \quad (15)$$

Correctness comes from :

$$e(\sigma_1, \tilde{X} \cdot \tilde{Y}^m) = e(\sigma_2, \tilde{g}) \quad (16)$$

From 14, we want to check:

$$e(\sigma_1, \tilde{Y})^k \stackrel{?}{=} (e(\sigma_1^{-1}, \tilde{X}) \cdot e(\sigma_2, \tilde{g}))^{-c} \cdot e(\sigma_1^s, \tilde{Y}) \quad (17)$$

Exchanging the groups for signatures and public keys we have:

$$e(\tilde{Y}, \sigma_1)^k \stackrel{?}{=} (e(\tilde{X}, \sigma_1^{-1}) \cdot e(\tilde{g}, \sigma_2))^{-c} \cdot e(\tilde{Y}, \sigma_1^s) \quad (18)$$

$$e(\tilde{X}, \sigma_1)^{-c} \cdot e(\tilde{Y}, \sigma_1)^k \cdot e(\tilde{Y}, \sigma_1^s)^{-1} \stackrel{?}{=} e(\tilde{g}, \sigma_2)^{-c} \quad (19)$$

$$e(\tilde{X}^{-c} \tilde{Y}^{k-s}, \sigma_1) \stackrel{?}{=} e(\tilde{g}, \sigma_2)^{-c} \quad (20)$$

$$e(\tilde{X}^c \tilde{Y}^{s-k}, \sigma_1) \stackrel{?}{=} e(\tilde{g}^c, \sigma_2) \quad (21)$$

Finally the verification takes one pairing check and one hash check.

$$e(\tilde{X}^c \tilde{Y}^{s-k}, \sigma_1) \stackrel{?}{=} e(\tilde{g}^c, \sigma_2) \quad (22)$$

$$c \stackrel{?}{=} H(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \tilde{Y}^{-k}, m) \quad (23)$$

#### 1.4.1 Completeness

$$e(\tilde{X}^c \tilde{Y}^{s-k}, \sigma_1) \stackrel{?}{=} e(\tilde{g}^c, \sigma_2) \quad (24)$$

$$e(\tilde{X}^c \tilde{Y}^{c \cdot sk_i}, \sigma_1) \stackrel{?}{=} e(\tilde{g}^c, \sigma_2) \quad (25)$$

$$e(\tilde{X} \tilde{Y}^{sk_i}, \sigma_1)^c \stackrel{?}{=} e(\tilde{g}, \sigma_2)^c \quad (26)$$

$$e(\tilde{X} \tilde{Y}^{sk_i}, \sigma_1) \stackrel{?}{=} e(\tilde{g}, \sigma_2) \quad (27)$$

Which is a valid signature on  $sk_i$ .

#### 1.4.2 Cost

The on-chain verification is constrained on:

- efficiency in terms of gas
- operations with only pairing check possible, no pairing operation

It needs 3 exponentiations (scalar multiplications for ECC ) and 2 multiplications (point additions for ECC), along with the hash check operation.

## 1.5 Open

$$\forall(i, \tau_i, \tilde{\tau}_i) : e(\sigma_2, \tilde{g}) \cdot e(\sigma_1, \tilde{X})^{-1} \stackrel{?}{=} e(\sigma_1, \tilde{\tau}_i) \quad (28)$$

$$\forall(i, \tau_i, \tilde{\tau}_i) : e(\tilde{g}, \sigma_2) \cdot e(\tilde{X}, \sigma_1)^{-1} \stackrel{?}{=} e(\tilde{\tau}_i, \sigma_1) \quad (29)$$