Expectation Maximization

aiven dataset D = { (xn) n=1 }

x is a vector in an N-dimensional pace and $4\pi\pi$ Σ_{k} is a matrix $\in \mathbb{R}$ where d is the dimension of the points \times $P(X) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_{k} N(x_{j}\mu_{k}, \Sigma_{k})$

determine M_K , Σ_K , π_K This algorithm is a generalization of K-means. only computes M_K covariance matrix

This is an iterative algorithm that computes the maximum likelihood solution

argmax lu $P(x|\pi,\mu,\Sigma)$ likelihood is probability of x argmax lu $P(x|\pi,\mu,\Sigma)$ given the para eneters of the model maximum likelihood solution are the parameters for which P(X) is maximized

This mathematical formulation has close form solution:

$$\mu_{k} = \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma(z_{mk}) x_{m}$$

 $\mu_{k} = \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} Y(z_{mk}) x_{m}$ dependence on the dataset dependence on the posterior on ?

$$\Sigma_{k} = \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{M=1}^{N} Y(\xi_{Mk}) (x_{M}, \mu_{k}) (x_{M}, \mu_{k})^{T}$$

$$\pi_{k} = \frac{N_{k}}{N}$$
 with $N_{k} = \frac{N}{N} Y(Z_{nk})$

This means that if you have the dataset and the posterior you can compute the optimal parameters. We don't have the posterior.

can assume to lave the optimal parameters in order to compute the posterior $Y(\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{k}})$ instead.

posterior
$$(z_{k}) = \rho(z_{k} = 1 \mid x) = \frac{\rho(z_{k} = 1)\rho(x \mid z_{k} = 1)}{\rho(x)}$$
 Gayes rule
$$= \frac{\pi_{k} \mathcal{N}(x_{j} \mu_{k}, \Sigma_{k})}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \pi_{j} \mathcal{N}(x_{j} \mu_{j}, \Sigma_{j})}$$

So, we have to paths:

given Tk, Mk, Zk compute Y(Zk) - Expectation step given $Y(Z_K)$ compute $T_K, \mu_K, \Sigma_K \longrightarrow Maximization step$

Expectation Maximization just repeats these two steps in an iterative way. This is done until convergence

Algorithm

$$\mathcal{D} \in step \longrightarrow given \quad \mathcal{T}_{K}^{(t)}, \mu_{K}^{(t)}, \mathcal{Z}_{K}^{(t)} \quad compute \quad Y(\mathcal{T}_{MK})^{(t+1)}$$

$$Y(\mathcal{T}_{MK})^{(t+1)} = \frac{\mathcal{T}_{K}^{(t)} \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{X}_{M,j}, \mu_{K}^{(t)}, \mathcal{Z}_{K}^{(t)})}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \mathcal{T}_{j}^{(t)} \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{X}_{M,j}, \mu_{j}^{(t)}, \mathcal{Z}_{j}^{(t)})}$$

$$given \quad \mathcal{T}_{K}^{(t)}, \mu_{K}^{(t)}, \mathcal{Z}_{K}^{(t)} \quad compute \quad Y(\mathcal{T}_{MK})^{(t+1)}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{K} = \frac{1}{N_{K}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} Y(Z_{MK})^{(t+1)} \times_{M} Y(Z_{MK})^{(t+1)$$

If you use a fixed covariance then the algorithm reduces to k means. The E step in K-means correspond to the step in which you associate each point to the closest centroid and form the cluster.

Robert to outliers when using gaussian distribution but convergence is slower.

Remarks

- EM converges to local maximum likelihood
- (3) Can be generalized to other distributions other than Gaussian

Generalized Expectation Maximisation Method

We have:

- Observed data $X = \{X_1, ..., X_N\}$ Unobserved latent values $Z = \{Z_1, ..., Z_N\}$ O set of parameters
 $Y = \{(X_1, Z_N)_{N=1}^N\}$ the full data

We want to determine:

■ 0* that locally maximizes E[lu P(Y10)]

General EM algorithm

- Q(0'10) like liber function: computes O' from O
- 1 E step: calculate O' given O and X
- Q(0'10) ← [lu P(Y10')10, x]
- ② N step: replace hypothesis O by the hypothesis O' O ← argmax Q(O'10)