Exploratory analysis of RNA-seq dataset

gg 2016-01-22

To run this file: Rscript -e "rmarkdown::render('biplots.Rmd')"
Setup

First let us explore the data. The idea here is to identify those samples that are closely related

Filter the refseq to a mean count across samples of greater than 5

This is a form biplot that represents distances between samples with variances of components mapped onto the plot.

```
# this is the taxon table
o <- read.table(
    "-/git/twntyfr/data/merged_readcounts_taxonomy_qiime_L6_16Sorder_color_sum.txt", header=T, row.names
)

# this is the refseq set
d <- read.table("data/merged_readcounts_subsys4.txt", header=T,
    row.names=2, check.names=F, sep="\t", comment.char="", quote="")


sub4 <- d$subsys4
d$subsys4 <- NULL

refseq <- d$refseqIDfaa
d$refseqIDfaa <- NULL

len <- d$length
d$length <- NULL</pre>
```

```
d.min <- d[which(apply(d,1,mean)> 5),]
# we need to replace O values with a best estimate
# use zCompositions CZM by default
# but samples must be by row, so use t()
d.no.CZM <- cmultRepl(t(d.min), label=0, method="CZM")</pre>
## No. corrected values: 20044
# turn this into a centered log-ratio transform
# samples are by row
# remember that apply by row rotates the data
# R is terrible, so we need to use t() again
d.clr \leftarrow t(apply(d.n0.CZM, 1, function(x)\{log(x) - mean(log(x))\}))
d.mvar.clr <- mvar(d.clr)</pre>
d.pcx <- prcomp(d.clr)</pre>
biplot(d.pcx, cex=c(0.5,0.05), col=c("black",rgb(1,0,0,0.2)), var.axes=F,
    xlab=paste("PC1: ", round(sum(d.pcx$sdev[1]^2)/d.mvar.clr, 3)),
   ylab=paste("PC2: ", round(sum(d.pcx$sdev[2]^2)/d.mvar.clr, 3)),
    scale=0
)
# filter the taxon table by what is in e.min
o.d <- o[,colnames(d)]
o.d.CZM <- cmultRepl(t(o.d), label=0, method="CZM")</pre>
o.d.clr <- t(apply(o.d.CZM, 1, function(x)\{log(x) - mean(log(x))\})
# overplot taxon correlations with location of samples in the first two components
points(cor(d.pcx$x[,1], o.d.clr[,1], method="kendall") /50,
    cor(d.pcx$x[,2], o.d.clr[,1], method="kendall")/50, pch="I", col="DodgerBlue")
points(cor(d.pcx$x[,1], o.d.clr[,6], method="kendall") /50,
    cor(d.pcx$x[,2], o.d.clr[,6], method="kendall")/50, pch="L", col="DarkBlue")
points(cor(d.pcx$x[,1], o.d.clr[,2], method="kendall") /50,
    cor(d.pcx$x[,2], o.d.clr[,2], method="kendall")/50, pch="C", col="cyan")
points(cor(d.pcx$x[,1], o.d.clr[,10], method="kendall") /50,
    cor(d.pcx$x[,2], o.d.clr[,10], method="kendall")/50, pch="M", col="green")
points(cor(d.pcx$x[,1], o.d.clr[,8], method="kendall") /50,
    cor(d.pcx$x[,2], o.d.clr[,8], method="kendall")/50, pch="P", col="purple")
points(cor(d.pcx$x[,1], o.d.clr[,7], method="kendall") /50,
    cor(d.pcx$x[,2], o.d.clr[,7], method="kendall")/50, pch="G", col="red")
points(cor(d.pcx$x[,1], o.d.clr[,9], method="kendall") /50,
```


```
cor(d.pcx$x[,2], o.d.clr[,9], method="kendall")/50, pch="A", col="Goldenrod")
points(cor(d.pcx$x[,1], o.d.clr[,12], method="kendall") /50, cor(d.pcx$x[,2],
    o.d.clr[,12], method="kendall")/50, pch="S", col="coral3")
```



PC1: 0.346


```
#####
biplot(d.pcx, cex=c(0.5,0.05), col=c("black","white"), var.axes=F,
    xlab=paste("PC1: ", round(sum(d.pcx$sdev[1]^2)/d.mvar.clr, 3)),
    ylab=paste("PC2: ", round(sum(d.pcx$sdev[2]^2)/d.mvar.clr, 3)),
    scale=0
)
tax <- read.table("data/cluster_tax_lookup.txt", header=T, row.names=1,</pre>
   check.names=F, sep="\t", comment.char="", quote=""
tax.subset <- tax[rownames(d.min),]</pre>
li <- grep("Lactobacillus;iners",tax.subset$common_taxonomy)</pre>
lc <- grep("Lactobacillus;crispatus",tax.subset$common_taxonomy)</pre>
1j <- c(grep("Lactobacillus; johnsonii", tax.subset$common_taxonomy),</pre>
    grep("Lactobacillus;gasseri",tax.subset$common_taxonomy))
lje <- c(grep("Lactobacillus; jensenii", tax.subset$common_taxonomy))</pre>
bvab <- grep("BVAB",tax.subset$common_taxonomy)</pre>
pb <- grep("Prevotella",tax.subset$common taxonomy)</pre>
gv <- grep("Gardnerella",tax.subset$common_taxonomy)</pre>
```

```
me <- grep("Megasphaera",tax.subset$common_taxonomy)</pre>
sn <- grep("Sneathia",tax.subset$common_taxonomy)</pre>
di <- grep("Dialister",tax.subset$common_taxonomy)</pre>
le <- grep("Leptotrichia",tax.subset$common taxonomy)</pre>
as <- grep("NA.",rownames(tax.subset))</pre>
points(d.pcx$rotation[,1][lc], d.pcx$rotation[,2][lc], pch=19, cex=0.2, col="cyan")
points(d.pcx$rotation[,1][li], d.pcx$rotation[,2][li], pch=19, cex=0.2, col="steelblue3")
points(d.pcx$rotation[,1][lj], d.pcx$rotation[,2][lj], pch=19, cex=0.2, col="royalblue4")
points(d.pcx$rotation[,1][lje], d.pcx$rotation[,2][lje], pch=19, cex=0.2, col="paleturquoise1")
points(d.pcx$rotation[,1][bvab], d.pcx$rotation[,2][bvab], pch=19, cex=0.2, col="yellow")
points(d.pcx$rotation[,1][pb], d.pcx$rotation[,2][pb], pch=19, cex=0.2, col="mediumpurple1")
points(d.pcx$rotation[,1][gv], d.pcx$rotation[,2][gv], pch=19, cex=0.2, col="indianred1")
points(d.pcx$rotation[,1][me], d.pcx$rotation[,2][me], pch=19, cex=0.2, col="olivedrab3")
points(d.pcx$rotation[,1][sn], d.pcx$rotation[,2][sn], pch=19, cex=0.2, col="pink")
points(d.pcx$rotation[,1][di], d.pcx$rotation[,2][di], pch=19, cex=0.2, col="magenta")
points(d.pcx$rotation[,1][le], d.pcx$rotation[,2][le], pch=19, cex=0.2, col="brown")
points(d.pcx$rotation[,1][as], d.pcx$rotation[,2][as], pch=19, cex=0.2, col="black")
```



```
#####
```

```
colours <- c("steelblue3", "skyblue1", "indianred1", "mediumpurple1", "olivedrab3",
    "pink", "#FFED6F", "mediumorchid3", "ivory2", "tan1", "aquamarine3", "#C0C0C0",
    "royalblue4", "mediumvioletred", "#999933", "#666699", "#CC9933", "#006666", "#3399FF",
    "#993300", "#CCCC99", "#6666666", "#FFCC66", "#6699CC", "#663366", "#9999CC", "#CCCCCC",
    "#669999", "#CCCC66", "#CC6600", "#9999FF", "#0066CC", "#990CCC", "#999999", "#FFCC00",
    "#009999", "#FF9900", "#999966", "#66CCCC", "#339966", "#CCCC33", "#EDEDED"
)</pre>
```




```
biplot(d.pcx,col=c("black", rgb(0,0,0,0.1)),cex=c(0.8,0.05),
var.axes=F, scale=0)

# this is phi at a 0.05 cutoff
g.df5 <- read.table("data/g.df0.05.txt", header=T, row.names=1)

big5 <- g.df5[which(g.df5$cluster.size >= 2),]
colnames(big5) <- colnames(g.df5)

lev <- factor(big5$cluster)
for(i in as.numeric(levels(lev))){
nms <- rownames(big5) [big5$cluster==i]</pre>
```

```
#print(rownames(big5)[big5$cluster==i])
#print("")
points(d.pcx$rotation[nms,][,1],d.pcx$rotation[nms,][,2], col=colours[i],
        pch=19, cex=0.3)
}
```



PC1 This is a good representation of the data explaining 0.346 proportion of the variance in the data on the first component, and a much smaller amount on the second and later components. This reduced dataset contains 19496 genes. We can see that the samples cluster into a few fairly discrete groups. These groups contain fairly discrete sets of genes, and we can infer that the sample set distributions are driven by gene occurrence in different genomes.

To get around the gene occurrence problem, we aggregate the data to the SEED subsystem 4 level.

```
e <- read.table("data/AitchisonTransformedDataForALDExInput.txt", header=T,
    row.names=1, check.names=F, sep="\t", comment.char="", quote="")
e.no.CZM <- cmultRepl(t(e), label=0, method="CZM")</pre>
```


No. corrected values: 2869

```
# turn this into a centered log-ratio transform
# samples are by row
# remember that apply by row rotates the data
# R is terrible, so we need to use t() again

e.clr <- t( apply(e.n0.CZM, 1, function(x){log(x) - mean(log(x))}) )

e.mvar.clr <- mvar(e.clr)

e.pcx <- prcomp(e.clr)</pre>
```




This is a much better representation of the data. We did not bother filtering by abundance since there is a much smaller number of features (3874). Now we can see a fairly clear split in the data that is less driven by gene occurrence and more by functions within the microbiome (cite Beiko review regarding ecosystems of genes rather than organisms here!).

```
ylab="Win Grp SS")

par(mar=c(2,0,2,0)+0.1)

# plot the data for cluster sizes 2-4
for(i in 2:4){
    fit <- kmeans(mydata,i)
    mydata$fit.cluster <- NULL # clear any previous data
    mydata <- data.frame(mydata, fit$cluster) # add the cluster data

coloredBiplot(e.pcx,col=rgb(0,0,0,0.1),cex=c(0.8,0.05),
    xlab=paste("PC1: ", round(sum(e.pcx$sdev[1]^2)/e.mvar.clr, 3), sep=""),
    ylab=paste("PC2: ", round(sum(e.pcx$sdev[2]^2)/e.mvar.clr, 3), sep=""),
    xlabs.col=mydata$fit.cluster, arrow.len=0.05, var.axes=F, expand=0.8, scale=0)
}</pre>
```




K-means clustering supports only 2 groups. In fact, only the first plot needs to be shown to support this: maybe include the barplot?

Samples 3A, 31S, 13B and 15B are near the midpoint on the right, and 19A and 8B are near the midpoint on the left. Five of these six microbiomes are atypical, and the other 19A is exclusively L. iners, but phenotypically is BV. We next exclude these six samples and re-examine the partitioning.

```
e.min <- e
e.min[,"003A"] <- NULL
```

```
e.min[,"31S"] <- NULL
e.min[,"013B"] <- NULL
e.min[,"015B"] <- NULL
e.min[,"019A"] <- NULL
e.min[,"008B"] <- NULL
e.min.nO.CZM <- cmultRepl(t(e.min), label=0, method="CZM")</pre>
## No. corrected values: 545
# turn this into a centered log-ratio transform
# samples are by row
# remember that apply by row rotates the data
# R is terrible, so we need to use t() again
e.min.clr <- t( apply(e.min.n0.CZM, 1, function(x)\{log(x) - mean(log(x))\}) )
e.min.mvar.clr <- mvar(e.min.clr)</pre>
e.min.pcx <- prcomp(e.min.clr)</pre>
# TODO color samples by most abundant organism
biplot(e.min.pcx, cex=c(0.5,0.05), col=c("black",rgb(1,0,0,0.2)), var.axes=F,
   xlab=paste("PC1: ", round(sum(e.min.pcx$sdev[1]^2)/e.min.mvar.clr, 3)),
   ylab=paste("PC2: ", round(sum(e.min.pcx$sdev[2]^2)/e.min.mvar.clr, 3)),
   scale=0
)
# filter the taxon table by what is in e.min
o.min <- o[,colnames(e.min)]</pre>
o.CZM <- cmultRepl(t(o.min), label=0, method="CZM")</pre>
o.clr <- t(apply(o.CZM, 1, function(x)\{log(x) - mean(log(x))\})
# overplot taxon correlations with first two components
cor.scale.0 <- max(abs(1/range(e.min.pcx$rotation[,1:2])))</pre>
points(cor(e.min.pcx$x[,1], o.clr[,1], method="kendall") /cor.scale.0,
    cor(e.min.pcx$x[,2], o.clr[,1], method="kendall")/cor.scale.0, pch="I",
    col="DodgerBlue")
points(cor(e.min.pcx$x[,1], o.clr[,6], method="kendall") /cor.scale.0,
    cor(e.min.pcx$x[,2], o.clr[,6], method="kendall")/cor.scale.0, pch="L",
    col="DarkBlue")
points(cor(e.min.pcx$x[,1], o.clr[,2], method="kendall") /cor.scale.0,
    cor(e.min.pcx$x[,2], o.clr[,2], method="kendall")/cor.scale.0, pch="C", col="cyan")
points(cor(e.min.pcx$x[,1], o.clr[,10], method="kendall") /cor.scale.0,
    cor(e.min.pcx$x[,2], o.clr[,10], method="kendall")/cor.scale.0, pch="M", col="green")
points(cor(e.min.pcx$x[,1], o.clr[,8], method="kendall") /cor.scale.0,
    cor(e.min.pcx$x[,2], o.clr[,8], method="kendall")/cor.scale.0, pch="P", col="purple")
points(cor(e.min.pcx$x[,1], o.clr[,7], method="kendall") /cor.scale.0,
```



```
###### phi with Bayesian estimation
# the problem is that a low phi can easily arise between functions
# that have the same distribution of O values across samples
# so we need to estimate the value of O (and other low-count functions)
# generate random DIR clr instances with ALDEx2
e.x <- aldex.clr(e.min)</pre>
```

[1] "operating in serial mode"

```
# calculate phi divided by number of random instances
e.min.sma.df <- aldex.phi(e.x)


# find the set of connections with phi less than some value
# we choose an arbitrary cutoff, but it is higher after Bayesian estimation
# obviously
phi.cutoff <- 0.03

e.min.sma.lo.phi <- subset(e.min.sma.df, phi < phi.cutoff)</pre>
```

```
# generate a graphical object
g <- graph.data.frame(e.min.sma.lo.phi, directed=FALSE)

# overview of all the proportional relationships
# this can take a long time!!!
plot(g, layout=layout.fruchterman.reingold.grid(g, weight=0.05/E(g)$phi), vertex.size=1,
    vertex.color="black", vertex.label=NA)</pre>
```





Here the vast majority of low phi values come from core functions that are constant ratios in all samples, but not differential. A few smaller groups are both differential and compositionally associated.

Incorporating the Bayesian estimate of 0 gives a much clearer picture, than using point estimates. When point estimates are used, then the majority of the clusters are near 0.0 - simply because of sets of functions that share the same distribution of 0 across samples.

The relationship between phi and effect size.

```
B <- match(rownames(e.min.pcx$x)[e.min.pcx$x[,1] > 0], rownames(e.min.pcx$x))
H <- match(rownames(e.min.pcx$x)[e.min.pcx$x[,1] < 0], rownames(e.min.pcx$x))

conds <- vector()
conds[B] <- "B"
conds[H] <- "H"</pre>
```

```
x.e <- aldex.effect(e.x, conds, verbose=FALSE)</pre>
```

[1] "operating in serial mode"

```
plot(x.e$diff.win, x.e$diff.btw, pch=19,cex=0.4, col=rgb(0,0,0,0.2))

abline(0,2, lty=2, col="grey")
abline(0,-2, lty=2, col="grey")
abline(0,1, lty=3, col="grey")
abline(0,-1, lty=3, col="grey")

for(i in as.numeric(levels(lev))){
   nms <- rownames(big)[big$cluster==i]
   #print(rownames(big)[big$cluster==i])
   #print("")
   points(x.e[nms,"diff.win"],x.e[nms,"diff.btw"], col=colours[i], pch=19, cex=0.5)
}</pre>
```

