

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION: WHY STUDY THE POLICE IN PLANNING?

Police are marginal within mainstream planning academia, which is a questionable oversight for two reasons. First, recent police killings in Sanford, Florida, Ferguson, Missouri, and many other places made the greater United States aware of something that members of the Black community have known for a long time: racist police abuse amplifies urban injustice. Equity-minded planners would be well-equipped to better understand police work. Secondly, the police are central to traditional municipal planning practice, a point overlooked in many mainstream studies. Municipal planners at public meetings frequently encounter residents voicing racist crime fears, and calling for more police presence (S. Harwood & Myers, 2002). Planners may support walls, security fences, street rerouting, and other measures that aid the police in their public safety mandate (Newman, 1996). Plan implementation measures may rely upon coercive and illiberal police regulations, such as land-use and design restrictions. Land-use zoning—arguably the most common municipal plan implementation tool in the United States—is fundamentally a form of police (as I posit below). Obscuring these connections obscures ways that state power operates through planning, perhaps to racist ends.

Yet planners and planning scholars maintain a peculiar silence about police. Searches into “police power” or “police”¹ yield no substantive results within the archive of *Planning Theory*, *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, or the *Journal of the American Planning Association* (see Jefferson, 2017 in *Journal of Planning Literature* for an important corrective). This silence elides from “curious” to “questionable” when considering the centrality of the police within current debates of urban injustice, segregation, and racism. In the United States in particular, addressing urban social justice necessitates addressing the police.

¹ Note that terms like “blight” that could be coded ways of talking about policing and crime. However, that they remain “coded” supports the fact that police are rarely directly addressed.

This dissertation attempts to provide planners—within academia, city hall, and urban community groups—a more thorough understanding of the relationship between policing, planning, and the everyday lives of people in U.S. cities. This thesis’s intervention is to center affective, communicative labor within planning’s understanding of police work. Prior scholarship in planning and cognate disciplines emphasizes the placemaking work of police violence. This dissertation builds on that endeavor by highlighting the less analyzed, yet still crucial, role of police communications. The police shape space not only truncheons and guns, but through mass texts and e-mails, social media posts, and strategic communications that have fallen outside the purview of planning scholarship. I find that planning institutions help provide a legitimate forum through which police communications reach both the public and other police agencies. These institutions not only spearhead plans, but also “govern legal governance” (Valverde, 2009) in Atlanta. Lastly, through a research design based on qualitative geographic information systems, I analyze the effects of these police communications on a diverse sample of college students in central Atlanta. I find that while inequities in police abuse remain salient, also salient are racist inequities in police *caretaking*. It is also through police discretionary caretaking that race territorializes into urban space.

My conceptual framing helps allow this intervention. I apply theories of police from organizational sociology, political/legal anthropology, and critical criminology to planning institutions. Central to my approach is existing sociological and anthropological knowledge on the diversity of police institutions (Brodeur, 2010), competencies (Manning, 1997), and ends (Thacher, 2001, 2014), along with the police’s oftentimes ambivalent relationship to the law itself (J. T. Martin, 2018; Dubber, 2005). These broader, critical framings of police work complement critical police scholarship from urban planning and cognate disciplines (Jefferson, 2018; S. Hall, Critcher, Jefferson, Clarke, & Roberts, 2013; Muhammad, 2011; Wacquant, 2007; N. Smith, 2001, 1998), which centralizes the police’s capacity for violence and carceral governance. Additionally, I draw on

critical race scholarship that informs the relationship between law, space, and race/racisms (Inwood & Bonds, 2017; Bonds & Inwood, 2016; Lipsitz, 2011; Goldberg, 2002; Ford, 1996; Harris, 1993).

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

I begin this review chapter by making an argument for why the police are a valid object of analysis within a planning research project. I then focus on the literature that examines the intersection between police and planning. Two significant and related strands emerge in this literature. The first implores investigation into the “dark side” of planning practice, specifically how planning practice relies upon oppressive power/knowledges. The second concerns how planning and police re-produce oppressive gendered and racist hierarchies in urban space. The purpose of this review chapter is to provide broad analysis of how, within the urban planning discipline, the police are constructed and theorized. I center upon two gaps: 1) by limiting their analysis to patrol police exercising violence and arrest, the literature under-theorizes the diversity of police agencies and practices, and 2) the literature neglects the importance of personal emotions that affect how embodied subjects relate to the police in urban space. I briefly highlight three concepts that can help guide future inquiry into the police within planning: communication, jurisdiction, and security.

Power in planning, and police power

The call to study power within planning came as a reaction to rationalist theories of planning. Rational planning theories under-theorized the role of contested political power in plan creation and implementation (e.g., Faludi, 1973). Studies of power within planning proliferated during the renaissance of political economy studies in the 1970s and early 1980s (Metzger, Soneryd, & Tamm Hallström, 2017; Fainstein & Fainstein, 1979; Harvey, 1978), while feminist and Foucauldian planning studies of power soon followed (e.g., Flyvbjerg & Richardson, 2002; Sandercock, 1997; Flyvbjerg, 1996).

Police remain marginal within planning studies of power, despite “police power” being a major legal doctrine that planning master’s students become familiar with during their Planning Accreditation Board-mandated planning law classes. As mentioned prior, a search for “police” or “police power” within the archives of the discipline’s major journals (specifically *Planning Theory*, *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, *Journal of the American Planning Association*, and *Journal of Planning Literature*) yields no examination of the concepts, and hardly even a mention (see Jefferson, 2017; Tulumello, 2017c for important recent exceptions). By ignoring the police power, scholars risk mis-specifying the agents of power within planning, identifying *ex post facto* the effects of power without analyzing the legal customs, doctrine, and practices that enable state (or non-state) power.

The urban function of police

The state’s police power, as a legal principle and doctrine, refers to the state’s amorphous ability to use patriarchal discretion to prevent harm to the state and ensure its order (Neocleous, 2006, 2000; Dubber, 2005). It is borderline cliché to describe police power’s objects as undefinable (Novak, 1996), given that an innumerable list of actions can be valid exercises of police power (e.g., ordering citizens to collect leaves and clear their sidewalks, demolishing roadblocks, evicting slums). Police power involves state using its discretion, as *patria potentate* of the national household, in order to promote the order and health of that household. During the formation of the United States, the concept of governance as the householder’s patriarchal discretion, borrowed largely from jurist Sir William Blackstone’s writing on police governance, took easily to a nation whose constitution-authoring gentry practiced chattel slavery (Singh, 2014; Dubber, 2005).

The police power legal doctrine established a prominent position within United States jurisprudence, particularly with regards to disputes over urbanization and the organization of cities. The need to organize urbanization is a major justification of the police power in the *Alger v. Commonwealth* case, which features the most extensive early definition of police power within U.S.

jurisprudence. The ruling's examples of valid applications of police power—e.g., regulating building size, organizing the free flow of traffic—all concern urbanization (see Dubber, 2005, p. 139). This association between police power and urbanization stretches across cases from the mid-19th to mid-20th century (Novak, 1996). These include the *Slaughter-House Cases* (1873), which affirmed the constitutionality of slaughter-house siting regulations; *Pennsylvania Coal Co. v. Mahon* (1922), which stipulated that police actions confiscating land could be regulatory takings (meaning that they were still valid, but required compensation); and *Berman v. Parker* (1954), which upheld that a confiscation of private property for urban renewal is a valid exercise of state police power.

It is important to distinguish between “police power” and “police,” particularly within the U.S. context. Within the United States, the “police power” concerns law: it is most often cited as a legal doctrine giving U.S. states the ability to craft laws that regulate order within their territory. “Police” or policing agents are separate from law, occupying a space “between law and law’s relational other” (Martin, 2019, p. 110, quoting Tomlins, 2007), the “relational other” being violence, authoritarianism, local self-determination, or other entities from which law seeks to distinguish itself. Police can be seen as the human manifestation of the state police power (Sklansky, 2008): like the police power doctrine, police agents wield the authority of patriarchal discretion, albeit usually more locally. The historically shifting definitions of the verb “to police”—from “managing urbanization through the state’s patriarchal discretion” to the current definition implying “fighting crime and public disorder”—has led to excessive slippage and conceptual murkiness (Farmer, 2006). The contemporary associations with “police” and “police officer” likely obfuscates the police’s historical connection with urban development (viz., Foucault, 2007), succinctly captured by Foucault’s insight that to police means to urbanize (see Valverde, 2008).

One of the main interventions of this dissertation is to help reconcile the disconnect between “police”’s historical focus on urbanization, and its current manifestation in uniformed

patrol officers, and the various ways in which contemporary urban planning institutions can perhaps been seen as a concept which bridges these two police functions. The order maintenance function of police closely links policing and planning practices, a point elided within older planning-focused studies of policing that emphasize the police's competency for violence. Order maintenance entails regulating the shared use of space (Thacher, 2014), and the uniformed police retain a "unique competency" to do this (Thacher, 2004; Bittner, 1974). Brodeur's (2010, pp. 103–138) succinct definition of police likewise emphasizes the police's order maintenance function. Additionally, the focus on order maintenance illustrates how the police focus not only on policing *people* (through arrest and violence), but also policing *things* (through regulations and administration, see Dubber, 2005).

The police's central concern with public order maintenance links the older police idea (centered on orderly urban development) to the newer "boys in blue"-centered police idea. Like policing, planning has a central concern with co-existence in shared space. The exact phrase arises in planning's major recent theoretical texts. For Sandercock (2003, p. 208), planning's "central task" is "co-existence in the shared space of cities." Healey (1997), on at least five occasions (pp. 3, 42, 68, 77, 284), describes planning's central goal as "managing co-existence in shared places" (or a close variation of that quote).

This is not to imply that planning and policing are the same activity, despite both being governance strategies (see Karpiak, 2010; Healey, 1997). Planning involves strategizing to intervene in the built environment. Police infers parties with special powers to maintain order. Planning implementation measures (such as zoning) may be police measures, yet not all planning measures are fundamentally police. Likewise, in certain illiberal contexts planners could have police powers. Yet the potential connections between the two practices are obscured, perhaps because of the way that police violence and arrest dominates planning and urban studies scholarship's understanding of the

police. For instance, the police participate in racial banishment (Roy, 2017), revanchist displacement and global social cleansing (N. Smith, 2005, 2001), carceral governance (Bonds, 2018; Gill, 2013; Wacquant, 2012, 2007; Gilmore, 2007), or diverse normative strategies that affirm their violent control over territory (Herbert, 1996).

I will now outline the major themes within the small sub-set of planning (and related) literature where police and police power appear. I address two broadly defined subfields: governmentality, and race/gender and place.

Governmentality and the dark side

Scholarship into the “dark side of planning” (Yiftachel, 1998), inspired by Foucault, investigates how planning reproduces state structures that oppress subordinate groups, fundamentally challenging the notion that urban planning is a benign, salutary practice. Inspired by Foucauldian theories of governmentality and pastoral [police] power (see Foucault, 1986), planning scholars investigated how benign planning projects like urban gardens (Certomà & Notteboom, 2017; Certomà, 2015), governance reform (Haughton, Allmendinger, & Oosterlynck, 2013), and natural resource management (Gunder & Mouat, 2002) rely upon power/knowledges that shape urban populations’ subjectivities in the service oppressing subordinate groups (Flyvbjerg, 1996).

Two oversights emerge in the “dark side” and governmentality-inspired literatures. First, governmentality studies often over-emphasize the instrumentally rational aspects of governance, and ignore governance’s affective and embodied dimension (Valverde, 2014, p. 384). For example, while governance measures may address water resource management for its calculable benefits (e.g., cleaner stormwater runoff, lower environmental remediation costs), the reasons that populations may support natural resource management may be entirely affective. Citizens may feel a greater sense of wellbeing knowing that they and their children can be near waterways without fear of toxic

exposure. They may feel pride that their city successfully cleaned its waterways. The emphasis on affect, emotion, and (particularly) fear are crucial in understanding policing's relation to urban governance (Valverde, 2014; Caldeira, 2001). A large body of literature attests to how crime panics can very quickly mobilize severe governance changes and reforms (e.g., S. Hall et al., 2013; Muhammad, 2011; Simon, 2009; Anderson, 1995).

The second oversight is that governmentality studies can assume a rigid, deterministic stance, whereby the relationship between power, governance rationality, and the rationality's outcome seem predetermined (Rose, O'Malley, & Valverde, 2006, p. 98). This explicitly contradicts the logic of governmentality, which should assume a study of plural governance *rationalities* (ibid.). Yet, Foucault-inspired theories and epistemologies within planning often grant police power a monolithic status (Fischler, 2000). As such, these studies under-analyze the diversity of agents of power, instead usually relying on in-depth case studies of specific governance agencies (such as public health or environmental authorities) (Forester, 1988, qtd. in Fischler, 2000). This bears heavily on a study of police. The police are a much wider variety of agencies than the municipal patrol police (Brodeur, 2010). The police include an overlooked variety of patrol and administrative agencies (even at the scale of a single city or neighborhood), each of which may deploy its own governance rationalities in the services of governing urban space. Yet this diversity is often overlooked within planning governmentality studies.

I now transition towards the second broad group of literature on policing and planning, addressing race, space, and place. This literature can help address one shortcoming of governmentality literature, specifically, the above-mentioned importance of affect.

Race, space, and place: centering affect

Research into the intersection between race, gender, and space/place helps address the important (and under-addressed) linkage between affect and spatial governance (Hoch, 2006; Sandercock, 2004). Planning (intentionally and unintentionally) can cement racist and gendered oppression into the landscape (Schein, 2012; Hirsch, 1998; Massey & Denton, 1993). For many non-male and non-white citizens, the results are both material *and* affective (Sweet, 2016; Sweet & Escalante, 2015; Inwood & Martin, 2008; Sandercock, 2003; Pain, 2000; Hayden, 1980). Furthermore, segregation or patriarchal dominance occurs not merely through legal or violent exclusion in urban space, but also subtle, embodied practices. Political anthropologists have highlighted the affective practices through which citizens navigate the segregated U.S. city (Ramos-Zayas, 2012). This is an important intervention, as it emphasizes how citizens understand their place within the racial state as not only being marked by phenotype, but a distinct set of affective practices that align in various ways to diverse state agencies. Yet critical planning and urban geography scholarship, while it often addresses the importance of affect, shares a tendency with governmentality literature to treat the police as a monolithic agency, rather than a plurality of agencies. Typically, the police are patrol constables exercising violence, often clearing slums (Watson, 2012, 2009; Baviskar, 2003) or regulating elite spaces of consumption (often in the Global South). This sort of framing neglects how policing cultivates popular affect, attempting to shape popular feelings and sentiments by using diverse (and often non-violent) competencies (J.T. Martin, 2019).

As mentioned prior, the police are comprised of a highly diverse set of competencies and institutional forms. Besides the roughly 20,000 public police agencies in the United States, an array of other public and private regulatory bodies (whose numbers exceed beyond 20,000) are vested with police powers to maintain order within public space (Brodeur, 2010; Dubber, 2005). This

oversight likely arises from the police being a marginal object of study within planning. But like planning, police also cement racial hierarchies in urban space (Shabazz, 2015; Fassin, 2013; Muhammad, 2011). Thus, addressing the police, within planning, will help elucidate the practices of a major agent of state (and non-state) legal power that segregates space.

Stated bluntly, planners' concern about (just) co-existence in shared space requires an investigation into police. To guide this inquiry, I turn towards critical analyses of police from urban geography and socio-legal studies. This literature helps elucidate the prior-mentioned diversity of police, and how these diverse agencies operate within urban space.

WORKS CITED

- Adams, C. (2003). The meds and eds in urban economic development. *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 25(5), 571–588. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9906.2003.00003.x>
- Addie, J.-P. D. (2016). From the urban university to universities in urban society. *Regional Studies*, 0(0), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2016.1224334>
- Akers, J. (2015). Emerging market city. *Environment and Planning A*, 47(9), 1842–1858. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0308518X15604969>
- Alexander, E. (2005). Institutional transformation and planning: From institutionalization theory to institutional design. *Planning Theory*, 4(3), 209–223. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095205058494>
- Alexander, E. (2011). An evolutionary theory of institutions – prescriptive implications: Institutional design lives, but prudential principles apply. *Planning Theory*, 10(2), 187–189. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095210389995>
- Alexander, E., & Faludi, A. (1989). Planning and plan implementation: Notes on evaluation criteria. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 16(2), 127–140. <https://doi.org/10.1068/b160127>
- Alperovitz, G., Howard, T., & Williamson, T. (2010, February 11). The Cleveland model. *The Nation*.
- Anderson, D. C. (1995). *Crime and the politics of hysteria: How the Willie Horton story changed American justice* (1st ed.). New York: Crown.
- Atkinson, R. (2012). The Life story interview as a mutually equitable relationship. In *The SAGE handbook of interview research: The complexity of the craft* (2nd ed., pp. 115–128). <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781452218403>
- Bartik, T. J., & Erickcek, G. (2008). *The local economic impact of “eds & meds”: How policies to expand universities and hospitals affect metropolitan economies*. Washington, DC: Brookings.
- Bates, M. D. (2014, June 8). Steps to nowhere. *This Land Press*. Retrieved from <http://thislandpress.com/2014/06/18/steps-to-nowhere/>
- Baviskar, A. (2003). Between violence and desire: Space, power, and identity in the making of metropolitan Delhi. *International Social Science Journal*, 55(175), 89–98. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2451.5501009>
- Bayley, D. H. (1983). Police: History. In S. H. Kadish (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Crime and Justice*. New York, NY: Free Press.
- Bayley, D. H. (1996). *Police for the future*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Beckett, K., & Herbert, S. (2008). Dealing with disorder: Social control in the post-industrial city. *Theoretical Criminology*, 12(1), 5–30. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362480607085792>
- Beckett, K., & Herbert, S. (2009). *Banished: The new social control in urban America*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Bell, S. L., Phoenix, C., Lovell, R., & Wheeler, B. W. (2014). Green space, health and wellbeing: Making space for individual agency. *Health & Place*, 30, 287–292. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2014.10.005>
- Bénit-Gbaffou, C. (2008). Unbundled security services and urban fragmentation in post-apartheid Johannesburg. *Geoforum*, 39(6), 1933–1950. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2007.10.011>
- Benton, L. (2001). Making order out of trouble: Jurisdictional politics in the spanish colonial borderlands. *Law & Social Inquiry*, 26(2), 373–401. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-4469.2001.tb00182.x>
- Berger, P. L., & Luckmann, T. (1966). *The social construction of reality: A treatise in the sociology of knowledge*. New York, NY: Anchor.

- Bittner, E. (1974). Florence Nightengale in pursuit of Willie Sutton. In H. Jacobs (Ed.), *The potential for reform of criminal justice*. Retrieved from <https://www.ncjrs.gov/App/publications/Abstract.aspx?id=19990>
- Bonds, A. (2018). Race and ethnicity I: Property, race, and the carceral state. *Progress in Human Geography*, 0309132517751297. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132517751297>
- Bonds, A., & Inwood, J. (2016). Beyond white privilege: Geographies of white supremacy and settler colonialism. *Progress in Human Geography*, 40(6), 715–733. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132515613166>
- Bonilla, Y., & Rosa, J. (2015). #Ferguson: Digital protest, hashtag ethnography, and the racial politics of social media in the United States. *American Ethnologist*, 42(1), 4–17. <https://doi.org/10.1111/amet.12112>
- Borer, M. I., & Fontana, A. (2012). Postmodern trends: Expanding the horizons of interviewing practices and epistemologies. In *The SAGE handbook of interview research: The complexity of the craft* (2nd ed., pp. 45–60). <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781452218403>
- Born, B., & Purcell, M. (2006). Avoiding the local trap: Scale and food systems in planning research. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 26(2), 195–207. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X06291389>
- Boyer, J. W. (2015). *The University of Chicago: A History*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Braverman, I. (2007). Powers of illegality: House demolitions and resistance in East Jerusalem. *Law & Social Inquiry*, 32(2), 333–372. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-4469.2007.00062.x>
- Braverman, I. (2008). Everybody loves trees: Policing American cities through street trees. *Duke Environmental Law & Policy Forum*, 19, 81–118.
- Brenner, N., & Theodore, N. (2003). Cities and the geographies of “actually existing neoliberalism.” In N. Brenner & N. Theodore (Eds.), *Spaces of neoliberalism: Urban restructuring in North America and Western Europe* (1st ed., pp. 2–32). Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Brodeur, J.-P. (2003). Violence and the Police. In *International handbook of violence research* (pp. 207–224). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-306-48039-3_11
- Brodeur, J.-P. (2007a). An encounter with Egon Bittner. *Crime, Law and Social Change*, 48(3), 105–132. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10611-007-9084-2>
- Brodeur, J.-P. (2007b). High and Low Policing in Post-9/11 Times. *Policing*, 1(1), 25–37. <https://doi.org/10.1093/policing/pam002>
- Brodeur, J.-P. (2010). *The policing web*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Browne, S. (2015). *Dark matters: On the surveillance of Blackness*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Brownlow, A. (2011). Between rights and responsibilities: Insurgent performance in an invisible landscape. *Environment and Planning A*, 43(6), 1268–1286. <https://doi.org/10.1068/a436>
- Buliung, R. N., & Kanaroglou, P. S. (2006). A GIS toolkit for exploring geographies of household activity/travel behavior. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 14(1), 35–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2004.10.008>
- Caldeira, T. P. R. (2001). *City of walls: Crime, segregation, and citizenship in São Paulo*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Camera locations. (2019). Retrieved February 12, 2019, from Midtown Atlanta website: <https://www.midtownatl.com/about/programs-and-projects/public-safety-midtown-blue/camera-locations>
- Casper-Futerman, E. (2011). Back to (non)basics: Worker cooperatives as economic development. *Berkeley Planning Journal*, 24(1), 115–130.
- Certomà, C. (2015). Expanding the ‘dark side of planning’: Governmentality and biopolitics in urban garden planning. *Planning Theory*, 14(1), 23–43. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095213506202>

- Certomà, C., & Notteboom, B. (2017). Informal planning in a transactive governmentality: Re-reading planning practices through Ghent's community gardens. *Planning Theory*, 16(1), 51–73. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095215598177>
- Chambers, P., & Andrews, T. (2019). Never mind the bollards: The politics of policing car attacks through the securitisation of crowded urban places. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 0263775818824343. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263775818824343>
- Chazin, R. (2015, February 25). University of Minnesota removes race description from vague crime alerts. *KMSP*. Retrieved from <http://www.fox9.com/news/university-of-minnesota-removes-race-description-from-vague-crime-alerts>
- Chetty, R., Hendren, N., Kline, P., & Saez, E. (2014). Where is the land of opportunity?: The geography of intergenerational mobility in the United States. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 129(4), 1553–1623. <https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qju022>
- Chicago Anchors for a Strong Economy. (n.d.). Retrieved January 10, 2017, from World Business Chicago website: <http://www.worldbusinesschicago.com/case/>
- City of Baltimore, MD. (2014). *Baltimore City anchor plan* [City Plan]. Baltimore, MD.
- Coaffee, J., O'Hare, P., & Hawkesworth, M. (2009). The Visibility of (In)security: The Aesthetics of Planning Urban Defences Against Terrorism. *Security Dialogue*, 40(4–5), 489–511. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010609343299>
- Comelli, T., Anguelovski, I., & Chu, E. (2018). Socio-spatial legibility, discipline, and gentrification through favela upgrading in Rio de Janeiro. *City*, 22(5–6), 633–656. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13604813.2018.1549205>
- Connell, D. J. (2009). Planning and its orientation to the future. *International Planning Studies*, 14(1), 85–98. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13563470902741609>
- Connors, E. E., West, B. S., Roth, A. M., Meckel-Parker, K. G., Kwan, M.-P., Magis-Rodriguez, C., ... Brouwer, K. C. (2016). Quantitative, qualitative and geospatial methods to characterize HIV risk environments. *PloS One*, 11(5), e0155693.
- Constable, M. (2014). Law as language. *Critical Analysis of Law*, 1(1). Retrieved from <https://cal.library.utoronto.ca/index.php/cal/article/view/20973>
- Cook, I. R., & Whowell, M. (2011). Visibility and the policing of public space. *Geography Compass*, 5(8), 610–622. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-8198.2011.00437.x>
- Cormack, B. (2009). *A power to do justice: Jurisdiction, English literature, and the rise of common law*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Cozens, P. M., Saville, G., & Hillier, D. (2005). Crime prevention through environmental design (CPTED): A review and modern bibliography. *Property Management*, 23(5), 328–356. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02637470510631483>
- Crang, M. (2003). Qualitative methods: Touchy, feely, look-see? *Progress in Human Geography*, 27(4), 494–504. <https://doi.org/10.1191/0309132503ph445pr>
- Crawford, A., & Hutchinson, S. (2016). Mapping the contours of 'everyday security': Time, space and emotion. *The British Journal of Criminology*, 56(6), 1184–1202. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjc/azv121>
- Creswell, J. W. (2009). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (3rd ed.). Los Angeles, CA: SAGE.
- Davis, E. F., Alves, A. A., & Sklansky, D. A. (2014). Social media and police leadership: Lessons from Boston. *Australasian Policing*, 6(1), 10.
- Davis, M. (2006). Fortress Los Angeles: The militarization of urban space. In *City of Quartz: Excavating the future in Los Angeles* (2nd ed., pp. 154–180). New York, NY: Verso Books.
- Davoudi, S. (2012). The legacy of positivism and the emergence of interpretive tradition in spatial planning. *Regional Studies*, 46(4), 429–441. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2011.618120>

- de Socio, M. (2012). Regime network restructuring in Akron, Ohio, 1975–2009: A longitudinal social network analysis. *Growth and Change*, 43(1), 27–55. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2257.2011.00576.x>
- Derickson, K. D. (2017). Urban geography II: Urban geography in the Age of Ferguson. *Progress in Human Geography*, 41(2), 230–244. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132515624315>
- Diehl, J. A., Heard, D., Lockhart, S., & Main, D. S. (2017). Access in the food environment: A health equity approach reveals unequal opportunity. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 0739456X17745358. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X17745358>
- Donegan, M. (2019). Bridging commercialisation and redevelopment: Jurisdictions and university policy development. *Urban Studies*, 0042098018804758. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098018804758>
- Dorsett, S. (2017). *Juridical encounters: Maori and the colonial courts, 1840-1852*. Auckland, NZ: Auckland University Press.
- Dorsett, S., & McVeigh, S. (2012). *Jurisdiction*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Dorsett, S., & McVeigh, S. (2014). Jurisprudences of jurisdiction: Matters of public authority. *Griffith Law Review*, 23(4), 569–588. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10383441.2014.1017036>
- Downtown Atlanta real estate report, March 10*. (2010, March). Retrieved from https://www.atlantadowntown.com/_files/docs/downtown-real-estate-report-march-2010-final.pdf
- Drucker, J. (2015). Reconsidering the regional economic development impacts of higher education institutions in the United States. *Regional Studies*, 0(0), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2014.986083>
- Drucker, J., & Goldstein, H. (2007). Assessing the regional economic development impacts of universities: A review of current approaches. *International Regional Science Review*, 30(1), 20–46. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0160017606296731>
- Dubber, M. D. (2005). *The police power: Patriarchy and the foundations of American government*. New York, NY: Columbia University Press.
- Dubber, M. D., & Valverde, M. (Eds.). (2006). *The new police science: The police power in domestic and international governance*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Dubber, M. D., & Valverde, M. (Eds.). (2008). *Police and the liberal state*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Fainstein, N. I., & Fainstein, S. S. (1979). New debates in urban planning. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 3(1–4), 381–403. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2427.1979.tb00796.x>
- Faludi, A. (1973). *Planning theory*. Elmsford, NY: Pergamon Press.
- Farmer, L. (2006). The jurisprudence of security: The police power and criminal law. In Markus Dirk Dubber & M. Valverde (Eds.), *The New Police Science: The Police Power in Domestic and International Governance* (pp. 145–167). Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Fassin, D. (2013). *Enforcing Order: An ethnography of urban policing*. Malden, MA: Polity.
- Fausset, R. (2018, January 20). In Atlanta, a thorny question: Should the next mayor be Black? *The New York Times*. Retrieved from <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/11/03/us/atlanta-mayor-race-civil-rights.html>
- Fischler, R. (2000). Communicative planning theory: A Foucauldian assessment. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 19(4), 358–368. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X0001900405>
- Fishman, R. (1989). *Bourgeois utopias: The rise And fall Of suburbia* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (1996). *The dark side of planning: Rationality and “realrationalität”* (SSRN Scholarly Paper No. ID 2278431). Retrieved from Social Science Research Network website: <http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=2278431>

- Flyvbjerg, B., & Richardson, T. (2002). *Planning and Foucault: In search of the dark side of planning theory* (SSRN Scholarly Paper No. ID 2278389). Retrieved from Social Science Research Network website: <https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=2278389>
- Ford, R. T. (1996). Geography and Sovereignty: Jurisdictional Formation and Racial Segregation. *Stanford Law Review*, 49, 1365–1446.
- Forester, J. (1988). *Planning in the face of power*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Foucault, M. (2007). *Security, Territory, Population* (2009 edition; A. I. Davidson, Ed.; G. Burchell, Trans.). Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Foucault, Michel. (1986). Omnes et singulatim: Towards a critique of political reason. *Le Debat*, (4), 5–36.
- Friedmann, J. (1987). *Planning in the Public Domain: From Knowledge to Action*. Princeton University Press.
- Fulton County police history. (n.d.). Retrieved December 17, 2018, from Fulton County Police Department website: <http://www.fultoncountygga.gov/about-fcpd/history>
- Futerman, C. B., Hunt, C., & Kalven, J. (2016). *Youth/police encounters on Chicago's South Side: Acknowledging the realities* (SSRN Scholarly Paper No. ID 2754761). Retrieved from Social Science Research Network website: <http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=2754761>
- Galtung, J. (1969). Violence, peace, and peace research. *Journal of Peace Research*, 6(3), 167–191.
- Georgia Institute of Technology. (2004a). *Georgia Institute of Technology Campus Master Plan Update, 2004*. Atlanta, GA.
- Georgia Institute of Technology. (2004b). *The campus master plan, 2004 update* (Master Plan No. 4.4). Retrieved from <http://www.space.gatech.edu/sites/default/files/images/2004cmp.pdf>
- Georgia Institute of Technology urban renewal project no. II. (n.d.). Retrieved from Georgia Tech Archives. (Urban Renewal Project Records [Box 1, Folder 1])
- Georgia State University Police. (2017). *Safety net: Annual campus security & fire safety reports*. Atlanta, GA.
- Giddens, A. (1982). *Profiles and critiques in social theory*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Gill, N. (2013). Mobility versus liberty? The punitive uses of movement within and outside carceral environments. In D. Moran, N. Gill, & D. Conlon (Eds.), *Carceral spaces: Mobility and agency in imprisonment and migrant detention* (pp. 19–35). Burlington, VT: Ashgate.
- Gilmore, R. W. (2007). *Golden gulag: Prisons, surplus, crisis, and opposition in globalizing California*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Goffman, E. (1959). *The presentation of self in everyday life* (1 edition). New York, NY: Anchor.
- Goldberg, D. T. (2002). *The racial state*. Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Goldberg, D. T. (2008). Racisms without racism. *PMLA*, 123(5), 1712–1716. Retrieved from JSTOR.
- Goldstein, J. (1959). Police discretion not to invoke the criminal process: Low-visibility decisions in the administration of justice. *Yale Law Journal*, 69, 543–594.
- Graham, S. (2012). Olympics 2012 security. *City*, 16(4), 446–451. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13604813.2012.696900>
- Graham, S., & Healey, P. (1999). Relational concepts of space and place: Issues for planning theory and practice. *European Planning Studies*, 7(5), 623–646. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09654319908720542>
- Graham, S., & Marvin, S. (1996). *Telecommunications and the city: Electronic spaces, urban places* (1 edition). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Graham, S., & Marvin, S. (2001). *Splintering urbanism: Networked infrastructures, technological mobilities and the urban condition*. New York, NY: Routledge.

- Graham, S., & Wood, D. (2003). Digitizing surveillance: Categorization, space, inequality. *Critical Social Policy*, 23(2), 227–248. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0261018303023002006>
- Greenstein, R., Jacobson, A., Coulson, M., & Morales, A. (2015). Innovations in the pedagogy of food system planning. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 35(4), 489–500. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X15586628>
- Grinyer, A., & Thomas, C. (2012). The value of interviewing on multiple occasions or longitudinally. In *The SAGE handbook of interview research: The complexity of the craft* (2nd ed., pp. 219–230). <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781452218403>
- Gunder, M., & Mouat, C. (2002). Symbolic violence and victimization in planning processes: A reconnoitre of the New Zealand Resource Management Act. *Planning Theory*, 1(2), 124–145. <https://doi.org/10.1177/147309520200100203>
- Hall, P. A., & Thelen, K. (2009). Institutional change in varieties of capitalism. *Socio-Economic Review*, 7(1), 7–34. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ser/mwn020>
- Hall, S., Critcher, C., Jefferson, T., Clarke, J., & Roberts, B. (2013). *Policing the crisis: Mugging, the state and law and order* (2nd ed.). Hampshire, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Hamilton, J. T. (2013). *Security: Politics, humanity, and the philology of care*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Harper, S. R., Davis, R. J., Jones, D. E., McGowan, B. L., Ingram, T. N., & Platt, C. S. (2011). Race and Racism in the Experiences of Black Male Resident Assistants at Predominantly White Universities. *Journal of College Student Development*, 52(2), 180–200. <https://doi.org/10.1353/csd.2011.0025>
- Harris, C. I. (1993). Whiteness as property. *Harvard Law Review*, 106(8), 1707–1791. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1341787>
- Harrison, E. D. (1968, May 29). *Letter to George L. Simpson, Jr. From Edwin D. Harrison*. Georgia Tech Archives (Urban Renewal Project Records [Box 1, Folder 1]).
- Harvey, D. (1978). On planning the ideology of planning. *Planning Theory in the 1980s*, 213.
- Harvey, D. (1989). From managerialism to entrepreneurialism: The transformation in urban governance in late capitalism. *Geografiska Annaler. Series B, Human Geography*, 71(1), 3–17. <https://doi.org/10.2307/490503>
- Harvey, D. (2004). The “new imperialism”: Accumulation by dispossession. *Actuel Marx*, No 35(1), 71–90.
- Harwood, S. A., Mendenhall, R., Lee, S. S., Riopelle, C., & Hunt, M. B. (2018a). Everyday racism in integrated spaces: Mapping the experiences of students of color at a diversifying predominantly white institution. *Annals of the American Association of Geographers*, 108(5), 1245–1259. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24694452.2017.1419122>
- Harwood, S. A., Mendenhall, R., Lee, S. S., Riopelle, C., & Hunt, M. B. (2018b). Everyday racism in integrated spaces: Mapping the experiences of students of color at a diversifying predominantly white institution. *Annals of the American Association of Geographers*, 108(5), 1245–1259. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24694452.2017.1419122>
- Harwood, S., & Myers, D. (2002). The Dynamics of Immigration and Local Governance in Santa Ana. *Policy Studies Journal*, 30(1), 70–91. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-0072.2002.tb02130.x>
- Haughton, G., Allmendinger, P., Counsell, D., & Vigar, G. (2009). *The new spatial planning: Territorial management with soft spaces and fuzzy boundaries*. London, UK: Routledge.
- Haughton, G., Allmendinger, P., & Oosterlynck, S. (2013). Spaces of neoliberal experimentation: Soft spaces, postpolitics, and neoliberal governmentality. *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space*, 45(1), 217–234. <https://doi.org/10.1068/a45121>
- Hayden, D. (1980). What would a non-sexist city be like? Speculations on housing, urban design, and human work. *Signs*, 5(3), 517–518.

- Healey, P. (1997). *Collaborative planning: Shaping places in fragmented societies*. Vancouver, BC: UBC Press.
- Healey, P. (2003). Collaborative planning in perspective. *Planning Theory*, 2(2), 101–123.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/14730952030022002>
- Herbert, S. (1996). *Policing space: Territoriality and the Los Angeles Police Department*. Minneapolis, MN: University Of Minnesota Press.
- Hillier, J. (2017). *Stretching beyond the horizon: A multiplanar theory of spatial planning and governance*.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315242255>
- Hirsch, A. R. (1998). *Making the second ghetto*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Hobson, M. J. (2017). *The legend of the Black Mecca: Politics and class in the making of modern Atlanta*. Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press.
- Hoch, C. (2006). Emotions and planning. *Planning Theory & Practice*, 7(4), 367–382.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14649350600984436>
- Holstein, J. A., & Gubrium, J. F. (1995). *The active interview*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
- How directional distribution (standard deviational ellipse) works. (2018). Retrieved December 12, 2018, from ArcGIS Desktop website: <http://pro.arcgis.com/en/pro-app/tool-reference/spatial-statistics/h-how-directional-distribution-standard-deviational.htm>
- Huang, G., Xue, D., & Li, Z. (2014). From revanchism to ambivalence: The changing politics of street vending in Guangzhou. *Antipode*, 46(1), 170–189. <https://doi.org/10.1111/anti.12031>
- Inwood, J., & Bonds, A. (2017). Property and whiteness: The Oregon standoff and the contradictions of the U.S. Settler State. *Space and Polity*, 21(3), 253–268.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13562576.2017.1373425>
- Inwood, J., & Martin, D. G. (2008). Whitewash: White privilege and racialized landscapes at the University of Georgia. *Social & Cultural Geography*, 9(4), 373–395.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14649360802033882>
- Inwood, J., & Yarbrough, R. A. (2010). Racialized places, racialized bodies: The impact of racialization on individual and place identities. *GeoJournal*, 75(3), 299–301.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10708-009-9308-3>
- Jackson, R. L., II. (1999). White space, white privilege: Mapping discursive inquiry into the self. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 85(1), 38–54. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00335639909384240>
- Jacobs, J. (1992). *The death and life of great American cities* (Reissue edition). New York, NY: Vintage.
- Janosik, S. M. (2004). Parents' views on the Clery Act and campus Safety. *Journal of College Student Development*, 45(1), 43–56. <https://doi.org/10.1353/csd.2004.0010>
- Janosik, S. M., & Gehring, D. D. (2003). The impact of the Clery Campus Crime Disclosure Act on student behavior. *Journal of College Student Development*, 44(1), 81–91.
<https://doi.org/10.1353/csd.2003.0005>
- Jefferson, B. J. (2017). Cities, crime, and carcerality: Beyond the ecological perspective. *Journal of Planning Literature*, 32(2), 103–116. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0885412216688217>
- Jefferson, B. J. (2018). Predictable policing: Predictive crime mapping and geographies of policing and race. *Annals of the American Association of Geographers*, 108(1), 1–16.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/24694452.2017.1293500>
- Jessop, B. (2006). Spatial fixes, temporal fixes and spatio- temporal fixes. In N. Castree & D. Gregory (Eds.), *David Harvey: A critical reader* (pp. 142–166).
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470773581.ch8>
- Jessop, B. (2013). Revisiting the regulation approach: Critical reflections on the contradictions, dilemmas, fixes and crisis dynamics of growth regimes. *Capital & Class*, 37(1), 5–24.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0309816812472968>

- Jessop, B. (2014). Capitalist diversity and variety: Variiegation, the world market, compossibility and ecological dominance. *Capital & Class*, 38(1), 45–58.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0309816813513087>
- Kahn, J. S. (2017). Geographies of discretion and the jurisdictional imagination. *PoLAR: Political and Legal Anthropology Review*, 40(1), 5–27. <https://doi.org/10.1111/plar.12205>
- Kahn, J. S. (2018). *Islands of sovereignty*. Retrieved from
<https://www.press.uchicago.edu/ucp/books/book/chicago/I/bo29203032.html>
- Kamete, A. Y. (2012). Interrogating planning's power in an African city: Time for reorientation? *Planning Theory*, 11(1), 66–88. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095211419116>
- Kamete, A. Y. (2017). Governing enclaves of informality: Unscrambling the logic of the camp in urban Zimbabwe. *Geoforum*, 81(Supplement C), 76–86.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2017.02.012>
- Karpiak, K. G. (2010). Of heroes and polemics: “The policeman” in urban ethnography. *PoLAR: Political and Legal Anthropology Review*, 33(s1), 7–31. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1555-2934.2010.01063.x>
- Kaza, N. (2018). Vain foresight: Against the idea of implementation in planning. *Planning Theory*, 1473095218815201. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095218815201>
- Kelley, C. (2018, February 28). Westside story: Atlanta's Westside and West Midtown boom is going strong. *Atlanta INtown Paper*. Retrieved from
<https://atlantaintownpaper.com/2018/02/westside-story-atlantas-westside-west-midtown-boom-going-strong/>
- Kim, Y., & Warner, M. E. (2017). Geographies of local government stress after the Great Recession. *Social Policy & Administration*, 52(1), 365–386. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spol.12307>
- Kinzer, K. (2016). Missed connections: A critical analysis of interconnections between public participation and plan implementation literature. *Journal of Planning Literature*, 31(3), 299–316. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0885412216652889>
- Kitchin, R. (2014). The real-time city? Big data and smart urbanism. *GeoJournal*, 79(1), 1–14.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10708-013-9516-8>
- Klauser, F., Paasche, T., & Söderström, O. (2014). Michel Foucault and the smart city: Power dynamics inherent in contemporary governing through code. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 32(5), 869–885. <https://doi.org/10.1068/d13041p>
- Knaap, G. J., Hopkins, L. D., & Donaghy, K. P. (1998). Do plans gatter? A game-theoretic model for examining the logic and effects of land use planning. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 18(1), 25–34. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X9801800103>
- Koskela, H. (2000). ‘The gaze without eyes’: Video-surveillance and the changing nature of urban space. *Progress in Human Geography*, 24(2), 243–265.
<https://doi.org/10.1191/030913200668791096>
- Kwan, M.-P. (2008). From oral histories to visual narratives: Re-presenting the post-September 11 experiences of the Muslim women in the USA. *Social & Cultural Geography*, 9(6), 653–669.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14649360802292462>
- Kwan, M.-P. (2012). The uncertain geographic context problem. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 102(5), 958–968. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00045608.2012.687349>
- Kwan, M.-P., & Ding, G. (2008). Geo-narrative: Extending geographic information systems for narrative analysis in qualitative and mixed-method research. *The Professional Geographer*, 60(4), 443–465. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00330120802211752>
- Kwan, M.-P., Hawthorne, T., Calder, C., Darneider, W., Jackson, A., & Krivo, L. J. (2009). Activity-space measures for studying spatial crime and social isolation. *Annual Meeting of the Association*

- of American Geographers, Las Vegas, NV. Presented at the Annual Meeting of the Association of American Geographers, Las Vegas, NV.
- Laniyonu, A. (2018). Coffee shops and street stops: Policing practices in gentrifying neighborhoods. *Urban Affairs Review*, 54(5), 898–930. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1078087416689728>
- Law, J. (2004). And if the global were small and noncoherent?: Method, complexity, and the baroque. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 22(1), 13–26. <https://doi.org/10.1068/d316t>
- Lemanski, C. (2012). Everyday human (in)security: Rescaling for the Southern city. *Security Dialogue*, 43(1), 61–78. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010611430435>
- Lepawsky, J. (2012). Legal geographies of e-waste legislation in Canada and the US: Jurisdiction, responsibility and the taboo of production. *Geoforum*, 43(6), 1194–1206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2012.03.006>
- Lewis, J. A., Mendenhall, R., Harwood, S. A., & Hunt, M. B. (2013). Coping with gendered racial microaggressions among Black women college students. *Journal of African American Studies*, 17(1), 51–73. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12111-012-9219-0>
- Lieberman, H. (2018, August 29). The trigger effect. *The Atavist Magazine*, 82. Retrieved from <https://magazine.atavist.com/the-trigger-effect-scout-schultz-georgia-tech>
- Light, J. S. (2004). Urban Planning and Defense Planning, Past and Future. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 70(4), 399–410. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01944360408976390>
- Lipsitz, G. (2006). *The possessive investment in whiteness: How white people profit from identity politics*, Revised and Expanded Edition. Philadelphia, PA: Temple University Press.
- Lipsitz, G. (2011). *How racism takes place*. Philadelphia, PA: Temple University Press.
- Lipsky, M. (2010). *Street-level bureaucracy: Dilemmas of the individual in public service*, 30th Anniversary expanded edition (30 Anv Exp edition). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Loader, B. D., Vromen, A., & Xenos, M. A. (2014). The networked young citizen: Social media, political participation and civic engagement. *Information, Communication & Society*, 17(2), 143–150. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2013.871571>
- Loader, I. (2000). Plural policing and democratic governance. *Social & Legal Studies*, 9(3), 323–345. <https://doi.org/10.1177/096466390000900301>
- Lyon, D. (2006). *Theorizing surveillance*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Manning, P. K. (1978). The police: Mandate, strategies, and appearances. *Policing: A View from the Street*, 7–31.
- Manning, P. K. (1988). *Symbolic communication: Signifying calls and the police response*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.
- Manning, P. K. (1992). *Organizational communication*. New York, NY: Transaction Publishers.
- Manning, P. K. (1997). *Police work: The social organization of policing* (2nd ed.). Prospect Heights, IL: Waveland Press Inc.
- Manning, P. K. (1999). Police: Mandate, strategies, and appearances. In V. E. Kappeler (Ed.), *Police and Society* (2nd ed., pp. 94–122). Retrieved from <https://www.ncjrs.gov/App/publications/Abstract.aspx?id=183266>
- Manning, P. K. (2001). Theorizing policing: The drama and myth of crime control in the NYPD. *Theoretical Criminology*, 5(3), 315–344. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362480601005003002>
- Manning, P. K. (2003). *Policing contingencies*. Chicago, IL: University Of Chicago Press.
- Manning, P. K. (2010). *Democratic Policing in a Changing World*. Routledge.
- Martin, H. (2018, July 18). Reports of an office space glut in Atlanta have been exaggerated. *Atlanta Business Chronicle*. Retrieved from <https://www.bizjournals.com/atlanta/news/2018/07/18/reports-of-an-office-space-glut-in-atlanta-have.html>

- Martin, J. T. (2016). Calling the police, more or less democratically. *Theoretical Criminology*, 20(4), 462–481. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362480616659808>
- Martin, J. T. (2017). Police culture: What it is, what it does, and what we should do with it. In W. Garriott & K. Karpiak (Eds.), *The Anthropology of Police*. London, UK: Routledge.
- Martin, J. T. (2018). Police and policing. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 47(1), 133–148. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-anthro-102317-050322>
- Martin, J. T. (2019). *Sentiment, reason, and law: Policing in the Republic of China on Taiwan*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Martin, R. (2000). Institutional approaches in economic geography. In E. Sheppard & T. J. Barnes (Eds.), *A companion to economic geography* (pp. 77–94). Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishing.
- Massey, D. S., & Denton, N. A. (1993). *American Apartheid: Segregation and the making of the underclass*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- McKittrick, K. (2006). *Demonic grounds*. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.
- McKittrick, K. (2011). On plantations, prisons, and a black sense of place. *Social & Cultural Geography*, 12(8), 947–963. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649365.2011.624280>
- McVeigh, S. (2014). Law as (more or less) itself: On some not very reflective elements of law. *UC Irvine Law Review*, 4, 471–492.
- McVeigh, S. (Ed.). (2016). *Jurisprudence of jurisdiction* (1 edition). Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge-Cavendish.
- Merry, S. E. (1988). Legal pluralism. *Law & Society Review*, 22, 869–896.
- Metzger, J., Soneryd, L., & Tamm Hallström, K. (2017). ‘Power’ is that which remains to be explained: Dispelling the ominous dark matter of critical planning studies. *Planning Theory*, 16(2), 203–222. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095215622502>
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2013). *Qualitative data analysis* (3rd ed.). Los Angeles, CA: SAGE.
- Milner, H. R. (2007). Race, Culture, and Researcher Positionality: Working Through Dangers Seen, Unseen, and Unforeseen. *Educational Researcher*, 36(7), 388–400. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X07309471>
- Miraftab, F. (2007). Governing post-apartheid spatiality: Implementing city improvement districts in Cape Town. *Antipode*, 39(4), 602–626. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8330.2007.00543.x>
- Mitchell, D. (1997). The annihilation of space by law: The roots and implications of anti-homeless laws in the United States. *Antipode*, 29(3), 303–335. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8330.00048>
- Mitchell, K. (2010). Ungoverned space: Global security and the geopolitics of broken windows. *Political Geography*, 29(5), 289–297. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2010.03.004>
- Mosselson, A. (2019). Everyday security: Privatized policing, local legitimacy and atmospheres of control. *Urban Geography*, 40(1), 16–36. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02723638.2018.1482091>
- Moulaert, F., Jessop, B., & Mehmood, A. (2016). Agency, structure, institutions, discourse (ASID) in urban and regional development. *International Journal of Urban Sciences*, 20(2), 167–187. <https://doi.org/10.1080/12265934.2016.1182054>
- Mountz, A. (2018). Political geography III: Bodies. *Progress in Human Geography*, 42(5), 759–769. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132517718642>
- Muhammad, K. G. (2011). *The condemnation of Blackness: Race, crime, and the making of modern Urban America*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Nalla, M. K., & Newman, G. R. (1991). Public versus private control: A reassessment. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 19(6), 537–547. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0047-2352\(91\)90065-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0047-2352(91)90065-4)

- Németh, J., & Hollander, J. (2010). Security zones and New York City's shrinking public space. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 34(1), 20–34.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2427.2009.00899.x>
- Németh, J., & Schmidt, S. (2007). Toward a methodology for measuring the security of publicly accessible spaces. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 73(3), 283–297.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01944360708977978>
- Neocleous, M. (2000). *The fabrication of social order: A critical theory of police power*. London, UK: Pluto Press.
- Neocleous, M. (2006). Theoretical foundations of the “new police science.” In Markus Dirk Dubber & M. Valverde (Eds.), *The New Police Science: The Police Power in Domestic and International Governance* (pp. 17–41). Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Newberry, J. L. (2017). *Racial profiling and the NYPD: The who, what, when, and why of stop and frisk*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer.
- Newman, O. (1996). *Creating defensible space, office of policy development and research*. Washington, DC: Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- Novak, W. J. (1996). *The people's welfare: Law and regulation in nineteenth-century America* (3rd ed.). Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press.
- Novak, W. J. (2008). Police power and the hidden transformation of the American state. In Markus D. Dubber & M. Valverde (Eds.), *Police and the liberal state* (1st ed., pp. 54–73). Stanford, CA: Stanford Law Books.
- Paasche, T. F. (2013). ‘The softer side of security’: The role of social development in Cape Town's policing network. *Geoforum*, 45, 259–265. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2012.11.013>
- Paasche, T. F., Yarwood, R., & Sidaway, J. D. (2013). Territorial Tactics: The Socio-spatial Significance of Private Policing Strategies in Cape Town. *Urban Studies*, 0042098013499084. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098013499084>
- Packer, M. J. (2011). *The science of qualitative research*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Pain, R. (2000). Place, social relations and the fear of crime: A review. *Progress in Human Geography*, 24(3), 365–387. <https://doi.org/10.1191/030913200701540474>
- Patton, M. Q. (1999). Enhancing the quality and credibility of qualitative analysis. *Health Services Research*, 34(5 Pt 2), 1189–1208.
- Peck, J. (2013). Pushing austerity: State failure, municipal bankruptcy and the crises of fiscal federalism in the USA. *Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society*, rst018. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cjres/rst018>
- Perry, D. C., & Wiewel, W. (Eds.). (2005). *The university as urban developer: Case studies and analysis*. Armonk, NY: Routledge.
- Phillips, S. W. (2016). Police discretion and boredom: What officers do when there is nothing to do. *Journal of Contemporary Ethnography*, 45(5), 580–601. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0891241615587385>
- Pothukuchi, K. (2004). Community food assessment: A first step in planning for community food security. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 23(4), 356–377. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X04264908>
- Pothukuchi, K. (2015). Five decades of community food planning in Detroit city and grassroots, growth and equity. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 35(4), 419–434. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X15586630>
- Ramos-Zayas, A. Y. (2012). *Street therapists: Race, affect, and neoliberal personhood in Latino Newark*. Chicago, IL: University Of Chicago Press.

- Rankin, S. R., & Reason, R. D. (2005). Differing Perceptions: How Students of Color and White Students Perceive Campus Climate for Underrepresented Groups. *Journal of College Student Development*, 46(1), 43–61. <https://doi.org/10.1353/csd.2005.0008>
- Reaves, B. A. (2015). *Campus law enforcement, 2011-2012* (No. NCJ 248028). Retrieved from Bureau of Justice Statistics website: <http://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/cle1112.pdf>
- Reichel, P. L. (1988). Southern slave patrols as a transitional police type. *American Journal of Police*, 7, 51–78.
- Richland, J. B. (2008). *Arguing with tradition*. Chicago, IL: University Of Chicago Press.
- Richland, J. B. (2011). Hopi tradition as jurisdiction: On the potentializing limits of Hopi sovereignty. *Law & Social Inquiry*, 36(1), 201–234. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-4469.2010.01229.x>
- Richland, J. B. (2013). Jurisdiction: Grounding law in language. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 42(1), 209–226. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-anthro-092412-155526>
- Riessman, C. K. (1993). *Narrative analysis*. Newbury Park, CA: SAGE.
- Riles, A. (2005). A new agenda for the cultural study of law: Taking on the technicalities essays. *Buffalo Law Review*, 53, 973–1034.
- Robinson, W. I. (2018). Accumulation crisis and global police state. *Critical Sociology*, 0896920518757054. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0896920518757054>
- Rohe, W. M. (2009). From Local to Global: One Hundred Years of Neighborhood Planning. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 75(2), 209–230. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01944360902751077>
- Rose, N., O'Malley, P., & Valverde, M. (2006). Governmentality. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, 2(1), 83–104. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.lawsocsci.2.081805.105900>
- Rosenbaum, D. P., Schuck, A. M., Costello, S. K., Hawkins, D. F., & Ring, M. K. (2005). Attitudes toward the police: The effects of direct and vicarious experience. *Police Quarterly*, 8(3), 343–365. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1098611104271085>
- Rothstein, R. (2017). *The color of law: A forgotten history of how our government segregated America* (1 edition). New York, NY: Liveright.
- Roussell, A. (2015). Policing the anticomunity: Race, deterritorialization, and labor market reorganization in South Los Angeles. *Law & Society Review*, 49(4), 813–845. <https://doi.org/10.1111/lasr.12168>
- Roy, A. (2017). Dis/possessive collectivism: Property and personhood at city's end. *Geoforum*, 80, A1–A11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2016.12.012>
- Rush, P. (1997). An altered jurisdiction: Corporeal traces of law. *Griffith Law Review*, 6, 144–168.
- Sandercock, L. (1997). *Towards cosmopolis: Planning for multicultural cities* (1st ed.). New York, NY: Academy Press.
- Sandercock, L. (2003). *Cosmopolis II: Mongrel cities of the 21st century*. New York, NY: Continuum.
- Sandercock, L. (2004). Towards a planning imagination for the 21st century. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 70(2), 133–141. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01944360408976368>
- Santos, B. de S. (1987). Law: A map of misreading: Toward a postmodern conception of law. *Journal of Law and Society*, 14(3), 279–302. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1410186>
- Sauter, M. B. (2018, July 5). Population migration patterns: US cities we are flocking to. *USA Today*. Retrieved from <https://www.usatoday.com/story/money/economy/2018/07/05/cities-americans-growing-population-migration/35801343/>
- Schein, R. H. (2012). Urban form and racial order. *Urban Geography*, 33(7), 942–960. <https://doi.org/10.2747/0272-3638.33.7.942>
- Schneider, C. J. (2016). *Policing and social media: Social control in an era of new media*. Lanham, MD: Lexington Books.

- Scott, J. C. (1998). *Seeing like a state: How certain schemes to improve the human condition have failed*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Shabazz, R. (2015). *Spatializing blackness: Architectures of confinement and Black masculinity in Chicago*. Champaign, IL: University of Illinois Press.
- Sharp, E. B. (2014). Politics, economics, and urban policing: The postindustrial city thesis and rival explanations of heightened order maintenance policing. *Urban Affairs Review*, 50(3), 340–365. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1078087413490397>
- Shelton, T., & Poorthuis, A. (2019). The Nature of Neighborhoods: Using Big Data to Rethink the Geographies of Atlanta’s Neighborhood Planning Unit System. *Annals of the American Association of Geographers*, 109(5), 1341–1361. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24694452.2019.1571895>
- Sherman, J. E., Spencer, J., Preisser, J. S., Gesler, W. M., & Arcury, T. A. (2005). A suite of methods for representing activity space in a healthcare accessibility study. *International Journal of Health Geographics*, 4(1), 24. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-072X-4-24>
- Sherman, L. W. (2018). Policing domestic violence 1967–2017. *Criminology & Public Policy*, 17(2), 453–465. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1745-9133.12365>
- Short, A., Guthman, J., & Raskin, S. (2007). Food deserts, oases, or mirages?: Small markets and community food security in the San Francisco Bay Area. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 26(3), 352–364. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X06297795>
- Silver, C. (1985). Neighborhood planning in historical perspective. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 51(2), 161–174. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01944368508976207>
- Silverman, R. M., Patterson, K. L., Yin, L., & Wu, L. (2015). Neighborhood characteristics and the location of HUD-subsidized housing in shrinking cities: An analysis to inform anchor-based urban revitalization strategies. *Community Development*, 46(5), 632–651. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15575330.2015.1088875>
- Simon, J. (2009). *Governing through crime: How the war on crime transformed American democracy and created a culture of fear* (1 edition). Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press.
- Singh, N. P. (2014). The whiteness of police. *American Quarterly*, 66(4), 1091–1099. <https://doi.org/10.1353/aq.2014.0060>
- Sites, W. (2003). *Remaking New York: Primitive Globalization and the Politics of Urban Community, Vol. 12* (1st edition). Minneapolis: University Of Minnesota Press.
- Smith, D. (2004). Studentification-ication: The gentrification factory. In Rowland Atkinson & G. Bridge (Eds.), *Gentrification in a Global Context* (pp. 73–90). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Smith, N. (1998). Giuliani time: The revanchist 1990s. *Social Text*, (57), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.2307/466878>
- Smith, N. (2001). Global social cleansing: Postliberal revanchism and the export of zero tolerance. *Social Justice*, 28(3), 68–74.
- Smith, N. (2002). New globalism, new urbanism: Gentrification as global urban strategy. *Antipode*, 34(3), 427–450. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8330.00249>
- Smith, N. (2005). *The new urban frontier: Gentrification and the revanchist city*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203975640>
- Smith, W. A., Allen, W. R., & Danley, L. L. (2007). “Assume the position...you fit the description”: Psychosocial experiences and racial battle fatigue among African-American male college students. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 51(4), 551–578. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764207307742>
- Soss, J., Fording, R. C., & Schram, S. (2011). *Disciplining the poor*. Retrieved from <http://www.press.uchicago.edu/ucp/books/book/chicago/D/bo12120768.html>

- Sousa, W., & Kelling, G. (2014). Order maintenance policing. In G. Bruinsma & D. Weisburd (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Criminology and Criminal Justice* (pp. 3349–3358). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-5690-2_267
- Spade, D. (2007). Documenting gender. *Hastings Law Journal*, 59, 731–842.
- Spain, D. (2014). Gender and Urban Space. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 40(1), 581–598. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-071913-043446>
- Stebbins, R. A. (2001). *Exploratory research in the social sciences*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
- Stone, C. N. (1989). *Regime politics: Governing Atlanta, 1946-1988*. Lawrence, KS: University Press of Kansas.
- Suchman, M. C., & Edelman, L. B. (2006). Legal rational myths: The new institutionalism and the law and society tradition. *Law & Social Inquiry*, 21(4), 903–941. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-4469.1996.tb00100.x>
- Sweet, E. L. (2016). Carceral feminism: Linking the state, intersectional bodies, and the dichotomy of place. *Dialogues in Human Geography*, 6(2), 202–205. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2043820616655041>
- Sweet, E. L., & Escalante, S. O. (2015). Bringing bodies into planning: Visceral methods, fear and gender violence. *Urban Studies*, 52(10), 1826–1845. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098014541157>
- Talen, E. (1996). Do plans get implemented?: A review of evaluation in planning. *Journal of Planning Literature*, 10(3), 248–259. <https://doi.org/10.1177/088541229601000302>
- Thacher, D. (2001). Policing is not a treatment: Alternatives to the medical model of police research. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, 38(4), 387–415. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022427801038004003>
- Thacher, D. (2004). Order maintenance reconsidered: Moving beyond strong causal reasoning. *The Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, 94(2), 381. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3491374>
- Thacher, D. (2014). Order maintenance policing. In R. J. Kane & M. D. Reisig (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Police and Policing* (1st ed.). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Timely warnings/Clery Act Safety Alerts. (n.d.). Retrieved July 22, 2018, from Georgia Institute of Technology Police Department website: <http://police.gatech.edu/timely-warningsclery-act-safety-alerts>
- Torpy, B. (2017, July 26). Torpy at Large: A new kind of lust at the Cheetah: It's a hot property. *Atlanta Journal Constitution*. Retrieved from <https://www.ajc.com/news/local/torpy-large-new-kind-lust-the-cheetah-hot-property/RFJT5VzPgQrKN2ghvSZ8SP/>
- Tulumello, S. (2017a). *Fear, Space and Urban Planning: A Critical Perspective from Southern Europe*. Retrieved from <https://www.springer.com/us/book/9783319439365>
- Tulumello, S. (2017b). Living in a Fearscape? In S. Tulumello (Ed.), *Fear, Space and Urban Planning: A Critical Perspective from Southern Europe* (pp. 1–17). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-43937-2_1
- Tulumello, S. (2017c). Toward a critical understanding of urban security within the institutional practice of urban planning: The case of the Lisbon metropolitan area. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 37(4), 397–410. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X16664786>
- Turner, D. (2008, June 29). More commuter colleges are going residential. Retrieved September 8, 2019, from Msnbc.com website: http://www.nbcnews.com/id/25440027/ns/us_news-education/t/more-commuter-colleges-are-going-residential/
- Tyler-Richards, C., Toro Martinez, I., Pan, L., & Gross, R. (2017, March 2). Crime warning emails perpetuate racism and negative stereotypes. *The Daily Cardinal*. Retrieved from <http://www.dailycardinal.com/article/2017/03/crime-warning-perpetuates-racism>

- Valverde, M. (2003). Police science, British style: Pub licensing and knowledges of urban disorder. *Economy and Society*, 32(2), 234–252. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0308514032000073419>
- Valverde, M. (2008). Police, sovereignty, & law: Foucaultian reflections. In Markus D. Dubber & M. Valverde (Eds.), *Police and the Liberal State* (1st ed., pp. 15–32). Stanford, Calif: Stanford Law Books.
- Valverde, M. (2009). Jurisdiction and scale: Legal ‘technicalities’ as resources for theory. *Social & Legal Studies*, 18(2), 139–157. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0964663909103622>
- Valverde, M. (2011). Seeing like a city: The dialectic of modern and premodern ways of seeing in urban governance. *Law & Society Review*, 45(2), 277–312. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5893.2011.00441.x>
- Valverde, M. (2012). *Everyday law on the street*. Chicago, IL: University Of Chicago Press.
- Valverde, M. (2014). Studying the governance of crime and security: Space, time and jurisdiction. *Criminology & Criminal Justice*, 14(4), 379–391. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1748895814541899>
- Valverde, M. (2015). *Chronotopes of law: Jurisdiction, scale and governance*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Van Dam, A. (2018, January 18). The top 10 places people are moving, and how their choices differ by race. *Washington Post*. Retrieved from https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/work/wp/2018/01/18/the-top-10-places-people-are-moving-and-how-their-choices-differ-by-race/?noredirect=on&utm_term=.c9034f30a54e
- van Zoonen, L. (2016). Privacy concerns in smart cities. *Government Information Quarterly*, 33(3), 472–480. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2016.06.004>
- VanderVelde, L. S. (1989). Local knowledge, legal knowledge, and zoning law. *Iowa Law Review*, 75, 1057–1076.
- Wacquant, L. (2007). *Urban outcasts: A comparative sociology of advanced marginality*. Malden, MA: Polity Press.
- Wacquant, L. (2012). The wedding of workfare and prisonfare in the 21st century. *Journal of Poverty*, 16(3), 236–249. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10875549.2012.695540>
- Walker, S. (1997). *Popular justice: A history of American criminal justice* (2 edition). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Wall, T., & Linnemann, T. (2014). Staring Down the State: Police Power, Visual Economies, and the “War on Cameras.” *Crime, Media, Culture*, 10(2), 133–149. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741659014531424>
- Walters, J. (2014, July). Governments Struggling to Get Social Media Right. *Governing*. Retrieved from <http://www.governing.com/topics/mgmt/gov-government-social-media.html>
- Ward, K. (2006). ‘Policies in motion’, urban management and state restructuring: The trans-local expansion of business improvement districts. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 30(1), 54–75. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2427.2006.00643.x>
- Ward, K. (2007). Business improvement districts: Policy origins, mobile policies and urban liveability. *Geography Compass*, 1(3), 657–672. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-8198.2007.00022.x>
- Watson, V. (2009). ‘The planned city sweeps the poor away...’: Urban planning and 21st century urbanisation. *Progress in Planning*, 72(3), 151–193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.progress.2009.06.002>
- Watson, V. (2012). Planning and the ‘stubborn realities’ of global south-east cities: Some emerging ideas. *Planning Theory*, 1473095212446301. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095212446301>
- Weber, S. (2005). *Targets of Opportunity: On the Militarization of Thinking* (1st ed.). New York: Fordham University Press.

- Wekerle, G. (2005). Gender planning in public transit: Institutionalizing feminist policies, changing discourse, and practices. *Gender and Planning: A Reader*, 275–295.
- Wender, J. M. (2008). *Policing and the poetics of everyday life* (1st ed.). Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press.
- Wickert, D. (2018, February 6). Report finds Atlanta has some of world's worst traffic. *Atlanta Journal Constitution*. Retrieved from <https://www.ajc.com/news/local-govt--politics/report-finds-atlanta-has-some-world-worst-traffic/PhkusU6Vq3buzATfC1hbPM/>
- Wiewel, W., & Perry, D. (Eds.). (2008). *Global universities and urban development: Case studies and analysis*. Armonk, NY: Routledge.
- Wiig, A. (2018). Secure the city, revitalize the zone: Smart urbanization in Camden, New Jersey. *Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space*, 36(3), 403–422. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2399654417743767>
- Williams, M. L., Edwards, A., Housley, W., Burnap, P., Rana, O., Avis, N., ... Sloan, L. (2013). Policing cyber-neighbourhoods: Tension monitoring and social media networks. *Policing and Society*, 23(4), 461–481. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10439463.2013.780225>
- Wilson, B. M. (2000). *Race and Place in Birmingham: The Civil Rights and Neighborhood Movements*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Wolfe, S. E., & Nix, J. (2016). The alleged “Ferguson Effect” and police willingness to engage in community partnership. *Law and Human Behavior*, 40(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1037/lhb0000164>
- Worthy, W. (1977). *The rape of our neighborhoods: And how communities are resisting take-overs by colleges, hospitals, churches, businesses, and public agencies*. New York, NY: William Morrow and Company.
- Yiftachel, O. (1998). Planning and social control: Exploring the dark side. *Journal of Planning Literature*, 12(4), 395–406. <https://doi.org/10.1177/088541229801200401>
- Yin, R. K. (2009). *Case study research: Design and methods* (Vol. 5). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Inc.
- Yosso, T. J. (2006). *Critical race counterstories along the Chicana/Chicano educational pipeline*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203624821>
- Zeiderman, A. (2016). *Endangered city: The politics of security and risk in Bogotá*. Durham: Duke University Press Books.