Chapter 1 Probabilistic Reasoning

Intelligence

- Understanding, reasoning, planning, problem-solving, ...
- Natural intelligence / Artificial intelligence
- Artificial intelligence(AI)
 - Intelligence demonstrated by machines
- Machine learning (ML)
 - A part of artificial intelligence
 - Study of computer algorithms that improve automatically through experience and by the use of data
 - Goal understanding and prediction

- Machine learning
 - Supervised learning / Unsupervised learning / Reinforcement learning
 - Statistical learning / Artificial neural networks
- Statistical learning
 - A framework for machine learning
 - Drawing from the fields of statistics and functional analysis

1.1 Probability Refresher

Joint distribution

$$p(x, y) = p(x \text{ and } y)$$

Marginalisation

$$p(x) = \sum_{y} p(x, y)$$

$$p(x_1, ..., x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, ... x_n) = \sum_{x_i} p(x_1, ..., x_n)$$

Conditional Probability / Bayes' Rule

$$p(x|y) \equiv \frac{p(x,y)}{p(y)}$$

• Since p(x, y) = p(y, x), we have

$$p(x|y) = \frac{p(y|x)p(x)}{p(y)}$$

or equivalently,

$$p(x,y) = p(x|y)p(y)$$
$$= p(y|x)p(x)$$

Probability Density Function

$$f(x) \ge 0$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = 1$$

• Probability that $x \in [a, b]$

$$p(a \le x \le b) = \int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx$$

1.1.1 Interpreting Conditional Probability

• Dart

$$p(region i) = \frac{1}{20}$$



- the probability that Randy has hit the region 5
- conditioned on the information that he hasn't hit the region 20

p(region 5 | not region 20)



Independence

- Variables x and y are independent if knowing the state of one variable gives no extra information about the other variable
- In equation

$$p(x,y) = p(x)p(y)$$

$$p(x|y) = p(x)$$

Example

$$p(x = a, y = 1) = 1, p(x = a, y = 2) = 0,$$

 $p(x = b, y = 2) = 0, p(x = b, y = 1) = 0$
Are x and y dependent?

• Note variable x, value a

Conditional Independence

• Sets of variables $\mathcal X$ and $\mathcal Y$ are independent under the condition $\mathcal Z$ $\mathcal X \coprod \mathcal Y | \mathcal Z$

that is,

$$p(X, Y|Z) = p(X|Z)p(Y|Z)$$

Dependent

$$X \prod Y \mid Z$$

Note

$$\mathcal{X} \coprod \mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X} \coprod \mathcal{Y} | \emptyset$$

$$\mathcal{X} \prod \mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X} \prod \mathcal{Y} | \emptyset$$

Independence implications

$$a \coprod b$$
, $b \coprod c \Rightarrow a \coprod c$

Prove that

$$p(a,b) = p(a)p(b), p(b,c) = p(b)p(c) \Rightarrow p(a,c) = p(a)p(c)$$

1.1.2 Probability Tables

Populations of UK

- England(E) 60776238
- Scotland(S) 5116900
- Wales(W) 2980700
- Mother Tongue(MT)

$$\begin{array}{ll} p(MT = \mathsf{Eng}|Cnt = \mathsf{E}) = 0.95 & p(MT = \mathsf{Eng}|Cnt = \mathsf{S}) = 0.7 & p(MT = \mathsf{Eng}|Cnt = \mathsf{W}) = 0.6 \\ p(MT = \mathsf{Scot}|Cnt = \mathsf{E}) = 0.04 & p(MT = \mathsf{Scot}|Cnt = \mathsf{S}) = 0.3 & p(MT = \mathsf{Scot}|Cnt = \mathsf{W}) = 0.0 \\ p(MT = \mathsf{Wel}|Cnt = \mathsf{E}) = 0.01 & p(MT = \mathsf{Wel}|Cnt = \mathsf{S}) = 0.0 & p(MT = \mathsf{Wel}|Cnt = \mathsf{W}) = 0.4 \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} p(Cnt = E) \\ p(Cnt = S) \\ p(Cnt = W) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} .88 \\ .08 \\ .04 \end{pmatrix}$$

• p(Cnt, MT) = p(MT|Cnt)p(Cnt)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0.95 \times 0.88 & 0.7 \times 0.08 & 0.6 \times 0.04 \\ 0.04 \times 0.88 & 0.3 \times 0.08 & 0.0 \times 0.04 \\ 0.01 \times 0.88 & 0.0 \times 0.08 & 0.4 \times 0.04 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.836 & 0.056 & 0.024 \\ 0.0352 & 0.024 & 0 \\ 0.0088 & 0 & 0.016 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Eg p(Cnt = E, MT = Eng) = p(MT = Eng|Cnt = E)p(Cnt = E) $= 0.95 \times 0.88$

1.2 Probabilistic Reasoning

- The central paradigm of probabilistic reasoning is
 - to identify all variables $x_1, ..., x_N$ in the environment
 - make a probabilistic model $p(x_1, ..., x_N)$

Hamburgers

Kreuzfeld-Jacob disease (KJ)

$$p(Hamburger\ Eater|KJ) = 0.9$$

 $p(KJ) = 1/100,000$

• Problem

$$p(KJ|Hamburger\ Eater) = ?$$

- Inspector Clouseau
 - Scene of crime victim lies dead, a knife suspects: Butler(B), Maid(M)
 - Prior belief of inspector
 Butler is the murderer 60%
 Maid is the murderer 20%

Mathematical formulation

```
\begin{aligned} \operatorname{dom}(B) &= \operatorname{dom}(M) = \left\{ \text{murderer, not murderer} \right\}, \operatorname{dom}(K) = \left\{ \text{knife used, knife not used} \right\} \\ p(B = \operatorname{murderer}) &= 0.6, \qquad p(M = \operatorname{murderer}) = 0.2 \\ p(\operatorname{knife used}|B = \operatorname{not murderer,} \quad M = \operatorname{not murderer}) &= 0.3 \\ p(\operatorname{knife used}|B = \operatorname{not murderer,} \quad M = \operatorname{murderer}) &= 0.2 \\ p(\operatorname{knife used}|B = \operatorname{murderer,} \quad M = \operatorname{not murderer}) &= 0.6 \\ p(\operatorname{knife used}|B = \operatorname{murderer,} \quad M = \operatorname{murderer}) &= 0.1 \end{aligned}
```

- p(B|K) = ?
- p(B = murderer|K = knife used)

XOR Gate

A	B	$A \operatorname{xor} B$
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

Soft XOR Gate

A	B	p(C=1 A,B)
0	0	0.1
0	1	0.99
1	0	0.8
1	1	0.25

$$p(A = 1 | C = 0) = ?$$

1.3 Prior, Likelihood and Posterior

Our interest

$$p(\theta|\mathcal{D}) = \frac{p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)p(\theta)}{p(\mathcal{D})} = \frac{p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)p(\theta)}{\int_{\theta} p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)p(\theta)}$$

- Prior $p(\theta)$
- Posterior $p(\theta|\mathcal{D})$
- Likelihood $p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)$