Contextual Learning with Reward Sensitive Representations

Gonçalo Guiomar Tiago Costa Margarida Sousa

Abstract

...

1 Introduction

Basic points to put here:

- Exploration of high-dimensional state-spaces is costly
- Having a compact representation is necessary to efficiently transverse environments
- Reward signals in nature are not symmetric in frequency of occurrence: positive rewards are sparse and localized and negative rewards are diffused
- These signals are also contextual; animals seem to bootstrap policies of new environments on what has been learned in other environments
- Besides, the brain processes positive and negative reward information through separate pathways
- How can we combine this into a more efficient RL algorithm?
- We'll attempt to define a reward and context sensitive algorithm that learns policies over a set of environments
- We combine graph representation learning techniques with reward sensitivity functions in order to speed up learning across different MDPs

2 Background

3 Feature Representations over Graphs

4 Contextual Learning

We begin by defining a state value function that takes into account the sensitivity to certain reward ranges, as in [REF-Tano] we write

$$V^{h}(s_{t}) = \mathbf{E}^{\pi} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{\tau} f^{h}(r_{t+\tau}) \right]$$
 (1)

Although feature vectors allow for the compression of state relevant information in a way that allows for very high-dimensional state-spaces to be explored, we lose a bit of a notion of context for each state; to which extent is the state that the agent is in at time t is related contextually with states that have a similar representation?

To explore this idea we define a novel value function as dependent on the dot product of the state embeddings in a surrounding ball of size ϵ by weighting the surrounding weights with a function $\Gamma^{\epsilon}(s_t)$

$$\hat{V}^h(s_t) = \sum_i \Gamma^{\epsilon}(s_t)_i \langle \phi_i, \mathbf{w}^h \rangle \tag{2}$$

with $\langle \phi_i, \mathbf{w}^h \rangle$ the inner product between the weights \mathbf{w} and the embeddings of each state ϕ_i

$$\Gamma^{\epsilon}(s_t)_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } ||\phi(s_t) - \phi_i|| > \epsilon \\ \frac{1}{1 + \beta_V ||\phi(s_t) - \phi_i||}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (3)

and the loss function for each RPE function is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^{h} = ||V^{h}(s_t) - \hat{V}^{h}(s_t)||^2 \tag{4}$$

which if we take the following expansion

$$V^{h}(s_{t}) = \mathbf{E}^{\pi} \left[f^{h}(r_{t}) + \gamma \sum_{\tau} \gamma^{\tau} f^{h}(r_{t+1+\tau}) \right] = f^{h}(r_{t}) + V^{h}(s_{t+1})$$
 (5)

allows us to write the following objective function

$$\mathcal{L}^{h} = ||f^{h}(r_{t}) + \gamma V^{h}(s_{t+1}) - \hat{V}^{h}(s_{t})||^{2}.$$
(6)

which implies new definition for the RPE

$$\delta_t^h = f^h(r_t) + \gamma V^h(s_{t+1}) - \hat{V}^h(s_t). \tag{7}$$

We can learn the weights w by the usual gradient descent rule

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^h}{\partial w_j^h} = 2\delta_t^h \frac{\partial \delta_t^h}{\partial w_j^h} \tag{8}$$

where in calculating the latter partial derivative we need to take into account that only the approximated value function can be differentiated in respect to w so

$$\frac{\partial \delta_t^h}{\partial w_j^h} = -\frac{\partial \hat{V}^h(s_t)}{\partial w_j^h} = -\sum_i \Gamma^{\epsilon}(s_t)_i \phi_i \tag{9}$$

which will give us a final update rule for each weight w_j

$$w_j^h \leftarrow w_j^h - \alpha^h 2\delta_t^h \sum_i \Gamma^{\epsilon}(s_t)_i \phi_i \tag{10}$$

4.1 Policy

As a first approach we can try to model the policy with an action preference formalism that takes the RPEs of each channel h and feeds it into a corresponding preference

$$A^h(s,a) \leftarrow A^h(s,a) + \alpha \delta_t^h \tag{11}$$

where we sum all channels

$$A^{T}(s,a) = \sum_{h} \theta_{h} A^{h}(s,a)$$
(12)

and define our policy through a softmax over the total

$$\pi(a|s,\theta) = \frac{e^{\sum_{h} A^{T}(s,a)}}{\sum_{a} A^{T}(s,a)}$$
(13)

5 Experiments

6 Conclusion