

# Addendum – Quantized Time-Scale Hologram

Inventor and Author: Lawrence Byng  
Original Publication Date: Sept 6<sup>th</sup> 2025

Revised: Sept 20<sup>th</sup> 2025: Added Holographic Frequency Multiplexing and Maximum Bit Rate sections.

Revised: Oct 6<sup>th</sup> 2025: Added Observer, Absolute Phase, Quantized Observation and Entanglement sections.

Document Version: 1.05  
© Lawrence Byng 2025. All rights reserved

During development and testing of the LB28 I3 modes that utilize pulse train standing waves, specifically the testing of fixed absolute phase recovery with extrapolation, it has become apparent that not only are these effective techniques for phase recovery, but that the signal produced by the interposed three phase signal generator is in fact a quantized time-scale hologram with some very useful properties. These include:

- increased resilience to phase noise
- characters in the message having the appearance of being locked together or entangled thus eliminating phase drift
- ability to take multiple samples from the hologram to further enhance noise resilience by averaging out the noise.

This document aims to explore these capabilities of the I3 modes and the related noise reduction techniques.

Table of Contents

Quantized Time-Scale Holographic Photons / Phonons.....3

    Extrapolation.....3

Forward Error Correction.....4

    Viterbi + Custom Polynomials.....4

    Extrapolation with Multiple Hologram Samples.....5

Maximum Bit Rate.....6

    Holographic Frequency Multiplexing.....7

Observer.....8

Absolute Phase.....9

Quantized Observation.....9

Entanglement.....10

# Quantized Time-Scale Holographic Photons / Phonons

Utilizing pulse train standing waves for phase extraction requires a signal generated by the interposed three phase signal generator or similar as detailed in the earlier published LB28 design document.

The signal generated by this process is analogous to a time-scale hologram and can be thought of in similar terms to that of a photon or phonon...i.e. a packet of light or sound quantized by the number of pulses in the packet; depending on whether the transmission utilizes electromagnetic waves or sound waves, the process is somewhat similar. For electromagnetic waves, the signal creates a quantized time-scale photon hologram and for sound waves it creates a quantized time-scale phonon hologram.

The hologram / observer have two degrees of freedom; time and scale. These translate to the disposition i.e. the start of the decoding process relative to the signal start representing time and the number of pulses utilized in the pulse train for a specific decode representing scale.

The hologram can be processed from multiple perspectives. The number of permutations / perspectives is derived from the triangular number for the given mode. For example a mode with 64 pulses per block, i.e. 32 pulses per 3 bit sequence has  $T_{32}$  or 528 permutations. In reality, this number is  $T_{32} - 3$  as the pulse train length is truncated to a useable length of pulse train length divisible exactly by 3.

Any of these 525 permutations can be used to make a successful decode. The more noise resilient decodes are from signals that contain the highest number of pulses.

## Extrapolation

Extrapolation to acquire a single sample with the maximum number of extraction points has proven not only that the three phase signal functions as a time-scale hologram, but has also proven to be a highly effective method to further reduce noise and increase signal decode accuracy. However, regardless of the signal to noise ratio, extrapolation of a single sample, although highly effective, is not yet sufficient to achieve a 0.0 BER over a tested 348 bit sample; in combination, all of the techniques described are able to bring the decode to a level near  $4 \times 10^{-3}$ . Interestingly at high SNR the 1 or 2 bit errors always occurred at exactly the same place in the test with the same character sequence for example ck often resolved to dk. This is a future area for further research to determine how character sequencing impacts the decode and to test if techniques such as differential encoding and gray codes are the answer for this method of phase extraction.

Additional techniques such as forward error correction have been successfully incorporated to increase decode accuracy even further.

# Forward Error Correction


## Viterbi + Custom Polynomials

The following diagram shows the combined effect of multiple techniques including interpolation, extrapolation, fixed absolute phase rotation based on rotation tables, pulse train standing waves and Viterbi error correction. The mode in the diagram has a carefully designed signal generator phase pattern along with carefully selected root raised cosine alpha and T coefficients as well as a number of other carefully designed processes.

The Viterbi decoder process utilizes a  $\frac{1}{2}$  convolutional code encoder with custom generator polynomials and no puncture code i.e. 1 bit in and 2 bits out.

The combination of all of the above techniques is a 95% success rate at  $E_b/N_0$  above 1.0 to bring the error rate to 0.0 BER for a perfect decode. In the test, 1.0  $E_b/N_0$  is equivalent to a -26.67 SNR level.

LB28-6400-64-2-37-13E8-FEC (Viterbi + Custom Polynomials) over AWGN Channel. 95% of decodes at 0.0 BER



## **Extrapolation with Multiple Hologram Samples**

These techniques can be extended to take several samples of the quantized time-scale hologram and then aggregate the bit sequences into a single bit sequence and send this to the Viterbi decoder for final processing. This is currently a work in progress for the prototype to determine the extent to which this impacts the decode quality.

## Maximum Bit Rate

Other than higher capacity constellation encoding such as 16 QAM, 32 QAM etc, there are a number of techniques that the holographic I3 modes can utilize to increase bit rate. These include:

- Reducing the number of pulses per block
- Reducing the block size
- Frequency Multiplexing
- Reducing the number of pulses required to encode bits
- More efficient FEC algorithm

Through experimentation, all of these techniques have proven to be effective with some limitations. Currently the highest bitrate achievable, using a non-frequency multiplexed LB28 I3 mode with a 37Hz carrier spacing, is 64.44 bits per second. This mode utilizes 8 pulses per block, a 400 sample block size, 8kHz sample rate, 37 Hz carrier frequency separation with  $\frac{1}{2}$  convolutional code FEC and can achieve a 0.0 BER at around 6 Eb/N0 dB (-11.7 SNR).

## Holographic Frequency Multiplexing

With frequency multiplexing, bit rate can be multiplied many times over; preliminary testing using the latest prototype indicates that the quantized time-scale hologram can be paired with another similar hologram at a different frequency to double the bit rate while also achieving a 0.0 BER with no Inter-Symbol Interference.

For example, a single hologram can be combined with a second hologram with equal characteristics using a frequency separation of around 76 Hz. As a point of note, solving for hologram frequency separation and downconvert shift simultaneously provides an effective technique to derive the precise values required for holographic frequency multiplexing. This combined hologram is also able to achieve a 0.0 BER. Constraints such as orthogonal carriers or signal spacing buffer zones are unnecessary.

This combined hologram can then be paired again with a similar combined hologram but using a different separation frequency of around 160 Hz. This can also achieve a 0.0 BER. This pair of pairs combination increases bit rate fourfold.

Using this holographic frequency multiplexing pairing technique, the bit rate has been increased from 64.44 bit per second to 257.76 bits per second using approximately 320 Hz of spectrum. Extending this out, a similar mode can theoretically achieve a 1933 bit rate over a 2400Hz spectrum by using holographic frequency multiplexing.

Bit rate can be further increased by reducing the number of pulses used to encode a character from 8 to 4 or 2 i.e. a twofold and fourfold increase respectively, however this will require a switch from I3 type modulation to I2 or I1. As a point of note, the number of phases used to generate the standing waves is a separate and distinct concept from the way in which pulses are combined. The I3 modes currently use 3 phases and 3 pulse groupings for combining. An I1 mode would use multiple phases but essentially eliminate the combining step thus relying on adjacent pulse addition only in the downconvert stage. An I2 mode can utilize multiple phases along with 2 pulse combinations. These are currently areas for further research to determine effectiveness.

Additionally, using a more efficient FEC encoding or removing FEC entirely could potentially double this rate again. Combining these different techniques has the potential to match and even exceed both the bit rate and noise resilience characteristics of the high performance Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) modes. Although currently theoretical, the building blocks for this have already been successfully proven.

# Observer

The pulse train standing waves / amplified phase waves are of fixed amplitude and occur at fixed points along the pulse train. There are multiple different ways that these amplified phase waves can be interpreted depending on the perspective of the observer. These include:-

- 1) As a sequence of amplified phase wave pulses received by a stationary observer.
  - a) When the frequency of the observation interval is synchronized / precisely aligned with the carrier wave frequency of the amplified phase wave, the result is a precisely formed standing wave.
  - b) If the frequency of the observation interval at the stationary observer is not synchronized with the carrier wave frequency, the observer will see a sequence of phases which when combined give a resulting phase that is offset by an amount depending on the disposition.
- 2) If riding along with the waves as they propagate, the effect will be one of a true standing wave on the pulse train.

So to be precise, it really depends from which context the observer is observing the resulting waves.



## Absolute Phase

Think for a moment about traveling along with the wave at the speed of light as in point 2 of the previous section. Think also of this in the context of the phase wave simulation as provided in the LB28 main document. The simulation diagram shows the amplified phase pulse at a single point in time.

Now imagine a window at the peak of the RRC pulse through which the phase of the amplified phase pulse is observed. While it is true that the phase of the amplified phase pulse changes as it propagates, it is also true that the window through which this is observed as we travel along with the wave has also changed. Both the amplified phase pulse and the RRC pulse peak have propagated or evolved in time at the same rate and in the same direction. The net result is that the amplified phase pulse as measured in relation to this observation window has remained constant or fixed thus giving the basis for an absolute phase extraction. A key point is that the phase of the amplified phase wave is measured in relation to the RRC pulse.

Now remember from the earlier explanation that the measured phase is a result of both phase and magnitude. The phase at the pulse peaks is the phase that will determine the result. In this context, effective standing wave phase patterns will be those where the amplified phase wave is concurrent with or near to the RRC pulse peak. A key point here is the location at which the phase is measured relative to the RRC pulse. In the prototype application, `downconvert_shift` is used to adjust this location. The precise value will vary depending on a number of parameters including the phase pattern chosen as well as the nature of any holographic frequency multiplexing. This value is absolutely critical for a successful decode.

## Quantized Observation

Measurement can also be thought of in terms of a quantized observation. When phase is measured at a location relative to the RRC pulse, each RRC pulse will have an absolute phase. As a sequence of RRC pulses are received in sequence, the combination of these pulses each with their own absolute phase, are combined in a similar way to that in which wave phases are added to derive a resulting phase. So at a given observation scale in which a set of pulses are used to derive phase, the resulting phase will be the combination of all of the individual RRC pulse phases at that scale. As described earlier, this resulting phase is relative to the hologram disposition i.e. the number of pulses in the measurement (the scale) as well as the offset from the start of the hologram (time offset). In this sense, measuring the phase of a time-scale hologram and measuring the phase of regular waves is similar albeit that the former represents phase change as discrete steps whereas the latter represents phase change as a continuous flow. In addition, the difference between these two approaches is that the hologram uses an absolute phase reference whereas the regular waves do not.

# Entanglement

Extracting the encoded phase of a quantized time-scale hologram depends on knowing which hologram sub-part of the whole hologram has been retrieved. The sub-part and its specific details, also referred to as the disposition, are a combination of the number of pulses and the offset of the start of the pulses relative to where they should start. Once the disposition is known, then the phase can be extracted from an absolute frame of reference. Several processes facilitate this, including:-

1. Determine the rotation of the detected hologram and reference a pre-calculated lookup table. This rotation plus the detected pulse sequence is used in conjunction with the lookup table to obtain absolute phase.
2. Taking a sample at an exact point in time relative to the encoded block and applying a uniform scale disposition to each sample gives the relative phase of each sample. This technique could be utilized with differential encoding. The advantage is that an initial rotation / initialization sequence is not required. The disadvantage is that extrapolation cannot be utilized so the scale i.e. the number of pulses used in the decode is dependent entirely on the sensitivity of the receiver and the extent to which this is aggregated over time.
3. Using a receiver sensitive enough to receive all pulses thus obtaining a known disposition and an absolute phase decode. If we take a specific example of a single 3 bit encoding for half a character, sent out as a pulse train of 32 pulses, when this is received at the observer, as long as the measuring equipment is sufficiently sensitive to receive all of the 32 transmitted pulses, then this constitutes a fully defined hologram with a known disposition; the first pulse and all subsequent pulses were received thus there is no relative time offset and because all 32 pulses were received, the full disposition is known. In this special case, there is no requirement to apply any rotation or to have an initialization or extrapolation sequence tacked onto the front of the signal; the phase as decoded is an absolute phase decode.

The concept of absolute phase recovery presents a framework in which all signals encoded using a quantized time-scale hologram can be considered to be entangled. However, entanglement is simply an intrinsic property of absolute phase recovery using time-scale holograms. This framework presents an intuitive explanation of entanglement.