

lec # 9 :-

Rules of Inference

P_1
 P_2
 P_3
 \vdots
 $\frac{P_N}{\therefore C}$

Argument

Valid Argument.
 $((P_1 \wedge P_2 \wedge \dots \wedge P_N) \rightarrow C)$

Tautology.
 Fallacy.

Observation:-

- 1) order
- 2) lots of rules.

Principle of Resolution.

literal: A propositional variable or its Negation.
 $\neg p, p, \neg r, \dots$

Clause: A disjunction of literals.
 $\neg p \vee r, p \vee \neg q, p \vee \neg p.$

P_1
 P_2
 P_3
 \vdots
 $\frac{P_N}{\therefore C}$

C_1
 C_2
 \vdots
 $\frac{C_M}{\neg C}$

$\neg v$
 \wedge
 \rightarrow
 \leftrightarrow

How to make clauses.

$p \wedge q \} \begin{matrix} p \\ q \end{matrix}$

$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q.$

Ex $P_1:- P$
 $P_2:- P \rightarrow Q$
 $C:- \therefore Q.$

$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv p \rightarrow q \wedge q \rightarrow p.$
 $(\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \vee p)$

$\neg p \vee q$
 $\neg q \vee p.$

$C_1:- P \quad \checkmark$
 $C_2:- \neg p \vee Q \quad \checkmark$
 $C_3:- \neg Q \quad \checkmark$

Resolution.

$P \quad Q$
 $\neg P \quad \neg Q$

$$\begin{array}{l} C2:- \neg P \vee Q \quad \checkmark \\ C3:- \neg Q \quad \checkmark \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} C4:- Q \quad \text{From } C1, C2 \quad \checkmark \\ C5:- \square \quad \text{" } C3, C4. \end{array}$$

Resolution-

$$\begin{array}{l} P \vee Q \\ \neg Q \vee \delta \\ \hline \therefore P \vee \delta. \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} P \quad Q \\ \textcircled{F} \vee \textcircled{P} \\ \neg P \vee Q \\ \hline \therefore P \vee Q. = Q. \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Ex 11 :-} \\ P65 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} P1:- T \rightarrow M \vee E \\ P2:- S \rightarrow \neg E \\ P3:- T \wedge S \\ C:- \therefore M \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} C1:- \neg T \vee M \vee E \quad \checkmark \\ C2:- \neg S \vee \neg E \quad \checkmark \\ C3:- T \quad \checkmark \\ C4:- S \quad \checkmark \\ C5:- \neg M. \quad \checkmark \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} C6:- \neg T \vee M \vee \neg S \quad \text{From } C1, C2. \quad \checkmark \\ C7:- M \vee \neg S \quad \text{" } C3, C6 \quad \checkmark \\ C8:- M \quad \text{" } C7, C4 \quad \checkmark \\ C9:- \square \quad \text{" } C5, C8 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Ex 6 :-} \\ P62 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} P1:- \neg P \wedge Q \\ P2:- \delta \rightarrow P \\ P3:- \neg \delta \rightarrow S \\ P4:- S \rightarrow E \\ C \quad \therefore E. \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} C1:- \neg P \quad \checkmark \\ C2:- Q \quad \longrightarrow \text{Redundant.} \\ C3:- \neg \delta \vee P \quad \checkmark \\ C4:- \delta \vee S \quad \checkmark \\ C5:- \neg S \vee E \quad \checkmark \\ C6:- \neg E \quad \checkmark \\ C7:- \neg \delta \quad \text{From } C1, C3. \quad \checkmark \\ C8:- S \quad \text{" } C4, C7. \quad \checkmark \\ C9:- E \quad \text{" } C5, C8. \quad \checkmark \\ C10:- \square \quad \text{" } C6, C9 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Ex 7 :-} \\ P62 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} P1:- P \rightarrow Q \\ P2:- \neg P \rightarrow \neg Q \\ P3:- \neg Q \rightarrow S \\ C \quad \therefore \neg P \rightarrow S \end{array}$$

$$\neg(\neg Q \rightarrow S)$$

162

12. $\neg p \rightarrow q$
 P3: $\neg p \rightarrow q$
 C. $\therefore \neg q \rightarrow p$

C1: $\neg p \vee q$ ✓
 C2: $p \vee \neg q$ ✓
 C3: $\neg p \vee p$ ✓
 C4: $\neg q$ ✓
 C5: $\neg p$ ✓
 C6: $p \vee q$
 C7: $p \vee \neg q$
 C8: p
 C9: q

from C1, C2 ✓
 " C3, C4 ✓
 " C5, C6 ✓
 " C7, C8 ✓
 " C9, C5

$\neg(\neg q \rightarrow p)$
 $\neg(q \vee p)$
 $\neg q \wedge \neg p$
 $\neg q$
 $\neg p$

Ex 9 (HW)
 P62

		T	F
P	q		
T	T	T	T
T	F	T	F
F	T	F	T
F	F	F	F

The disjunction of two Contingencies can be a tautology.

there exist x, x is Contingency, there exist y,
 y is Contingency, the disjunction of x & y can be a
 tautology.

$P(x, y) = ?$ ✓
 $\exists x \exists y P(x, y)$

$x, y \in \text{Set of Contingency}$

$p(x) \quad x+3 \geq 5$

$\exists x p(x) = p(1) \vee p(2) \vee p(3)$
 $(1+3 \geq 5) \vee (2+3 \geq 5) \vee (3+3 \geq 5)$

$F \vee T \vee F = T$

10