85-P.1 95. The Mayer- Vietoris Argument. (Sq) Existence of a Good Coner. Def M: mtd. U = {Ua]: open over of M. U is called a good conex if every nonemply intersection Udo N-1 Udp are diffeo, to IR, where n = dim (M). A med having a good coner is said to be of finite type. Thm. (5.1) They mid has a good coner. In particular, every cpt until is of finite type. Def U={Ualder, V={VB]BEJ: envo open coners of M. V is a retinement of U, denoted by U < V, if  $\exists \phi: J \rightarrow I$  s.t. VBSUGB, YBEJ. Runk: Slight modification of Proof of Thm (5.1) gives: Every open coner on a med has a retinement that is a good coner, Def A directed set is a set I w/ a relation < s.t. (1) (reflexive) asa, ya eI. (2) (transitive) alb, b(C=) a(C, Ya,b, CEI (3) (upper bound) Ya, bEI, ICEI s.t. acc, bcc. Def Given a directed set I. JEI J is called cofinal in I if, \iEI, \(\frac{1}{2}\) jeJ s.t. icj. I = { open coners on a mtd M } is a directed set. (2) If J is cofinel in I, where I : directed set, then J itself is also a directed set. Cor (5.2) J={good coners on a mtd M} is cotinal in I={open coners on a mtd M}

These concepts will be used when defining the CECh cohomology of a wfol.)

TBC- not end of \$5.

85.-PZ \$5. The Mayer-Vietoris Argument.

The Künneth Formula and the Leray-Hirsch Theonem

Thm. (Kinnech Formula).

H\*(MxF)=H\*(M)&H\*(F), meaning that

H"(MXF) = @ HP(M) @ H2(F), for any 170.

Except for products, we consider a mone general concept, the tiber bundle:

Def Let G be a gp acting on X. We say that G acts on X effectively if  $g \cdot y = y$ ,  $\forall y \in X \Rightarrow g = 1 \in G$ . i.e. the only element of G acting trivially on X is the identity.

Def G: Lie gp. E, B, F: mtds. G acts on F effectively.

A surj. Ti: E-) B is called a fiber bundle with tiber F and seruceure gray Gif I open coner {Ua] of B and fiber-preserving diffes. Pa: E | Ua > Uax F, where Elua = Ti (Ua) s.t. the example on tunctions

gas(x)= Pa PB : F -> F is in G, YX & Wanus, Yais. X

E: total space. B: base space. Ex:= Ti(x) is called the fiber at x, & x & B. Emphasizing the structure gp G, we sometimes use the name G-bundle.

(1)(Dabove) Since Gaces on Feffectively, we may view Gas a subgpof Diff (F)

= { diffeo. F -> F} via G -> Diff (F), g -> Zg, Mere Zg: F -> F, X -> gX.

Tg E Diff (F) by effectiveness of the action.

(2) The transition tunctions gap: Ua NUB - G satisfy the escycle condition: gap Jar = gar, Hais, r.

(3) {Ua]: open coner of B, G = Diff(F), {gap: Ua NUB -> G} a cocycle w/ values hiven in G. (i.e. for each Ua NUB, gap: Ua NUB -> G and, YXEUa NUB NUB, they sectisty the coarde conditions gap. gpr = gar).

Define  $E = (U \cup x F)/(x,y) \sim (x, gap(x)y)$ ,  $\forall (x,y) \in U \not x F$  and  $(x, gap(x)y) \in U \not x F$ . Then E is a fiber bundle having (gap) as its transition tunctions.

In other words, {gap} tells us how to patch these produces Uax = S5-P3.]

together.

Thm. (5.11) [Lexay-Hirsch theonem]

E: fiber bundle oner M w/ fiber F.

M: has finite good coner.

I global cohomology classes e1,..., ex on E which, when restricted to each fiber, freely generate the cohomology of the fiber.

Then H\*(E) = H\*(M) & IR {e, ..., er] = H\*(M) & H\*(F).

Rmk (5.12) (Kunneth tormula for opt cohomology)

Then Hc(M×N)=Hc(M)&Hc(N).

~TBC ~ not end of \$5.