

§10. Presheaves and Čech Cohomology.

§10.-PI

Presheaves

Def A presheaf \mathcal{F} on a topo. sp. X is a function assigning to each open set U in X

an abelian gp $\mathcal{F}(U)$ and to each inclusion of open sets $i_U^V: V \hookrightarrow U$ a gp hom. $\mathcal{F}(i_U^V): \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$, called restriction, s.t.

(1) $\mathcal{F}(i_U^U) = \text{identity map}$

(2) $\mathcal{F}(i_V^W) \mathcal{F}(i_U^V) = \mathcal{F}(i_U^W)$. (transitivity)

We often denote $\mathcal{F}(i_U^V)$ by ρ_U^V .

A hom. of two presheaves, $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, is a collection of maps

$$\underline{f}_U: \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U) \text{ s.t. } \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{f_U} & \mathcal{G}(U) \\ \rho_U^V \downarrow & & \rho_U^V \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}(V) & \xrightarrow{f_V} & \mathcal{G}(V) \end{array} \text{ commute, } \forall i_U^V: V \hookrightarrow U.$$

Rmk:

$\text{Open}(X) :=$ the category w/ objects: open sets in X and morphisms: inclusions.

A presheaf on X is actually a contravariant functor from $\text{Open}(X)$ to Ab .

A hom. of two presheaves is simply a natural transformation from a functor to another.

Def G : abelian gp. X : topo. sp.

The constant presheaf with group G is the presheaf \mathcal{F} s.t.

$\mathcal{F}(U) = \{\text{locally const. functions } U \rightarrow G\}$. ρ_U^V are simply defined as the restriction of functions.

Rmk:

(1) We may regard $\mathcal{F}(U)$ as $\prod_{n(U)} G$, where $n(U) :=$ number of connected components of U .

(2) By abuse of notation, the const. presheaf w/ group \mathbb{R} will be denoted by $\underline{\mathbb{R}}$.

Example: \star

$\pi: E \rightarrow M$ fiber bundle w/ fiber F

Define presheaf \mathcal{H}^q on M by $\mathcal{H}^q(U) = H^q(\pi^{-1}(U))$, and for $V \subseteq U$, let

$\rho_V^U: H^q(\pi^{-1}U) \rightarrow H^q(\pi^{-1}V)$ be the natural restriction map.

Fact: If the base sp. of a fiber bundle is contractible, then the bundle is trivial.

Rank:

(1) For contractible U , $\pi^{-1}(U) \cong U \times F$.

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^q(U) \cong H^q(U \times F) \cong H^q(F)$. (The last iso. comes from a homotopy $U \sim \{pt\}$).

(2) For $V \subseteq U$, where U, V : contractible,

(3) By (1), (2), \mathcal{H}^q is a locally const. presheaf on any good cover \mathcal{U} . (as defined below).

$\rho_V^U: \mathcal{H}^q(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^q(V)$ is an iso.

Def \leftarrow P142 P143
 X : topo. sp.

Detour in §13.

nonempty

P147

$\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}$: a good cover of X (meaning any finite intersection is contractible).

A presheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathcal{U} is a contravariant functor \mathcal{F} on the subcategory of $\text{Open}(X)$ consisting of all finite intersections $U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p}$ of open sets in \mathcal{U} . (to the category of abelian gps). Denote it by $\text{Open}(X, \mathcal{U})$.

A constant presheaf with group G on \mathcal{U} is defined as before, but replacing $\text{Open}(X)$ by $\text{Open}(X, \mathcal{U})$.

A locally constant presheaf on \mathcal{U} is a presheaf on \mathcal{U} s.t. all gps are iso. and all arrows are iso.

Two presheaves \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} on X are isomorphic relative to \mathcal{U} if for each $W = U_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_p} \in \text{Open}(X, \mathcal{U})$, \exists iso. $h_W: \mathcal{F}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(W)$ compatible w/ all arrows.

Thm. (13.2) \leftarrow P146

\mathcal{U} : good cover on X , X : connected topo. sp.

$N(\mathcal{U})$: nerve of \mathcal{U} .

If $\pi_1(N(\mathcal{U})) = 0$, then every locally constant presheaf on \mathcal{U} is const.

Thm (13.4) \leftarrow P148 \leftarrow This is a special case of "nerve lemma".

X : topo. sp. having a good cover, say \mathcal{U} .

Then $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi_1(N(\mathcal{U}))$

X : topo. sp. \mathcal{F} : presheaf on X .

$\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$: open cover of X , where J is an ordered set.

Define

$$C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) := \left\{ \text{functions } \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{\omega} \prod_{\alpha \in J} \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha) \text{ s.t. } \omega(U_\alpha) \in \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha), \forall \alpha \in J \right\} \\ \cong \prod_{\alpha \in J} \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha).$$

$$\mathcal{U} = \{ \dots U_\alpha \dots \} \leftrightarrow J = \{ \dots \alpha \dots \} \\ \downarrow \\ \{ \dots \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha) \dots \}$$

Similarly,

$$C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) := \prod_{\alpha < \beta} \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta), \text{ etc.}$$

The inclusions

$$U_\alpha \xleftarrow{\substack{\supseteq \\ \supseteq \\ \supseteq}} U_{\alpha\beta} \xleftarrow{\substack{\supseteq \\ \supseteq}} \dots \text{ gives rise to}$$

$$\prod_{\alpha \in J} \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha) \supseteq \prod_{\alpha < \beta} \mathcal{F}(U_{\alpha\beta}) \supseteq \dots \text{ i.e. } C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \supseteq C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \supseteq \dots$$

Define $\delta: C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^{p+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ as the alternating sum of the $\mathcal{F}(\partial_i)$'s:

$$\delta: C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}), \delta = \mathcal{F}(\partial_0) - \mathcal{F}(\partial_1).$$

$$\delta: C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^{p+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}), \delta = \mathcal{F}(\partial_0) - \mathcal{F}(\partial_1) + \dots + (-1)^{p+1} \mathcal{F}(\partial_{p+1}).$$

To be more explicit, for $\omega \in C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$, we have

$$(\delta\omega) = \sum_{\alpha_0 < \dots < \alpha_{p+1}} (\delta\omega)_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{p+1}}, \text{ where } (\delta\omega)_{\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_{p+1}} = \sum_{i=0}^{p+1} (-1)^i \omega_{\alpha_0 \dots \hat{\alpha}_i \dots \alpha_{p+1}}.$$

(In fact, to be correct, $\omega_{\alpha_0 \dots \hat{\alpha}_i \dots \alpha_{p+1}}$ on RHS should be $\mathcal{F}(\partial_i)(\omega_{\alpha_0 \dots \hat{\alpha}_i \dots \alpha_{p+1}})$).

Remark:

- ① This is simply a generalization of the construction of "generalized Mayer-Vietoris", replacing Ω^k by \mathcal{F} .
- ② $\delta^2 = 0$, as before. Thus, $C^*(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ is a differential complex w/ differential δ .
- ③ The homology of this complex will be denoted by $H_\delta(C^*(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}))$ or simply $H^*(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$, and, called the Čech cohomology of the cover \mathcal{U} with values in \mathcal{F} .
- ④ If we start with a "covariant" functor, then all arrows will be reversed. (see P111).

Def

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$, $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\beta\}_{\beta \in J}$ be open covers of X st. $\mathcal{U} < \mathcal{V}$.

Let $\phi: J \rightarrow I$ be a map w/ $V_\beta \subseteq U_{\phi(\beta)}$, $\forall \beta \in J$. (indicating the refinement).

This induces a map

$$\underline{\phi}^\# : C^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^k(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}) \text{ by}$$

$$(\phi^\# \omega)(V_{\beta_0 \dots \beta_k}) = \omega(U_{\phi(\beta_0) \dots \phi(\beta_k)}).$$

Note that $V_{\beta_0 \dots \beta_k} \neq \emptyset$ will imply $U_{\phi(\beta_0) \dots \phi(\beta_k)} \neq \emptyset$ by $\mathcal{U} < \mathcal{V}$.

Lemme (10.4.1)

$\phi^\#$ commutes with δ . Hence, it is a chain map.

Lemme (10.4.2)

$\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$: open cover, $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\beta\}_{\beta \in J}$: a refinement of \mathcal{U} .

$\phi, \psi: J \rightarrow I$: two refinement maps.

Then \exists homotopy operator between $\phi^\#$ and $\psi^\#$.

Def A direct system of groups is a collection of groups $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$, where I : directed set, s.t. $\forall a < b$ in I , \exists gp hom. $\underline{f}_b^a: G_a \rightarrow G_b$ s.t.

(1) $\underline{f}_a^a = \text{identity on } G_a$.

(2) $\underline{f}_c^a = \underline{f}_c^b \circ \underline{f}_b^a$, $\forall a < b < c$.

On $\coprod_i G_i$, define an equi. relation \sim by $g_a \in G_a \sim g_b \in G_b$ if $\exists c, c > a, b$ s.t. $\underline{f}_c^a(g_a) = \underline{f}_c^b(g_b)$ in G_c .

The direct limit of the system, denoted by $\lim_{i \in I} G_i$, is the quotient $\coprod_i G_i / \sim$, and the gp addition is defined by $[g_a] + [g_b] = [\underline{f}_c^a(g_a) + \underline{f}_c^b(g_b)]$, $\forall a, b \in I$ and any choice c with $c > a, b$.

(indep. of choice of refinement map.)

Remk: By (10.4.1), (10.4.2), we have a well-defined map, for each $\mathcal{U} < \mathcal{V}$, in cohomology

$$H^*(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}), \text{ making } \{H^*(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})\}_{\mathcal{U}} \text{ a direct system of groups.}$$

Def The direct limit of the system $\{H^*(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})\}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is called the Čech cohomology of X with values in \mathcal{F} , denoted by $H^*(X, \mathcal{F})$. (i.e. $H^*(X, \mathcal{F}) = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}} H^*(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$).

Prop (10.6)

• \mathbb{R} : const. presheaf on a mfd M .

Then the Čech cohomology of M with values in \mathbb{R} is iso. to $H_{DR}^*(M)$.

[Pf]

The proof is easy!! 