

PCA

(= Principal Component Analysis).

Input:

① A data matrix $X = \begin{bmatrix} -x_1 \\ \vdots \\ -x_N \end{bmatrix}$ ($N \times n$),

where each row is a vector in \mathbb{R}^n

always assumed centered.
i.e. $x_1 + \dots + x_N = 0$.

② d : a positive integer w/ $d \leq n$.

Output:

① A matrix $X_d = \begin{bmatrix} -\hat{x}_1 \\ \vdots \\ -\hat{x}_N \end{bmatrix}$ ($N \times d$)

② Principal vectors: $\{u_1, \dots, u_d\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

③ Principal values: $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

There are many ways to talk about PCA.

I will start w one and present some other equivalent interpretations.

Interpretation 1. : (Decorrelate)

Regard the columns of X as random variables.

"Decorrelate" them via rotation (and preserve max. var.)

Let $U = (u_1 \dots u_n)$ be an orthogonal matrix.

(i.e. $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ is an o.n. basis of \mathbb{R}^n).

Then $Y := XU$ ($N \times n$) is the coordinates w.r.t. U .

$\because X$ is centered $\therefore Y$ is again centered.

$$\Rightarrow \text{Cov}(Y) = Y^T Y = U^T X^T X U.$$

To make Y "decorrelated", since $X^T X$ is psd (positive semi-definite), we may choose

$\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ as the eigenvectors of $X^T X$.

In this case,

$$\text{Cov}(Y) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}. \text{ i.e. } Y \text{ is decorrelated.}$$

WLOG, ^{we} may assume $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n (\geq 0)$.

Note that in the new coordinates w.r.t. U , λ_i is the variance of the i th coordinate variable.

Thus,

Principal vectors = $\{u_1, \dots, u_d\}$ and

.. values = $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d\}$. (#)

Interpretation 2. : (Greedy)

Preserve max. var. step-by-step for d times.

Formally,

(1) find $v_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ w/ $\|v_1\| = 1$ s.t.

$$v_1 = \arg \max_{\|v\|=1} \sum_{i=1}^N (\langle x_i, v \rangle)^2$$

(2) find $v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $v_1 \perp v_2$, w/ $\|v_2\| = 1$ s.t.

$$v_2 = \arg \max_{\substack{\|v\|=1 \\ v \perp v_1}} \sum_{i=1}^N (\langle x_i, v \rangle)^2.$$

(3) Repeat the process d times and get v_1, \dots, v_d . Set these as principal vectors.

$$(4) X_d := X \cdot \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & \dots & v_d \end{bmatrix}.$$

Reason of equivalence:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (\langle x_i, v \rangle)^2 = ((Xv)^T (Xv))$$

$$= v^T X^T X v = \langle X^T X v, v \rangle.$$

$$\because \|v\|=1 \therefore v = c_1 u_1 + \dots + c_n u_n \text{ w/ } \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 = 1.$$

$$\text{Then } \langle X^T X v, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i c_i^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i c_i^2 = \lambda_1.$$

However, choosing $v = u_1$ can achieve this max. Thus $v_1 = u_1$.

The same arguments holds subsequently.

Interpretation 3: (Orthogonal proj. / Max var.)

Fix $d \leq n$. Find

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} | & & | \\ v_1 & \dots & v_d \\ | & & | \end{pmatrix} (n \times d) \text{ w/ } \{v_1, \dots, v_d\} : \text{o.n.}$$

s.t. $\text{Var}(XV)$ is maximized.

i.e. We project $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ to the subsp.

$\text{sp}(\{v_1, \dots, v_d\})$ orthogonally and preserve max. variance.

$$\text{Here } \text{Var}(XV) := \text{tr}((XV)^T XV).$$

Reason:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}((XV)^T (XV)) \\ &= \text{tr}(V^T X^T X V) = \text{tr}((V^T U) U^T X^T X U (U^T V)) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \text{tr}(V^T U) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} (U^T V). \quad (*)$$

Denote $\langle v_i, u_j \rangle = v_{ij}$ (i.e. $v_i = \sum_{j=1}^n v_{ij} u_j$).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } (*) &= \lambda_1 (v_{11}^2 + \dots + v_{d1}^2) \\ &+ \lambda_2 (v_{12}^2 + \dots + v_{d2}^2) \\ &+ \dots \\ &+ \lambda_n (v_{1n}^2 + \dots + v_{dn}^2). \end{aligned}$$

sum=1 sum=1

We can claim by Lagrange multiplier that the max of $(*)$ occurs when

$$(v_{11}, v_{12}, \dots, v_{1n}) = (1, 0, \dots, 0),$$

\vdots

$$(v_{d1}, v_{d2}, \dots, v_{dn}) = (0, 0, \dots, 1).$$

Thus, $\max (*) = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_d$ and we may choose

$$v_i = u_i, i=1, \dots, d, \text{ to achieve maximum. } \quad (\#)$$

Interpretation 4: (min. squared loss).

This can be viewed as a lossy compression problem:

We want to compress X as follows: PCA
P2

(i) Encode:

Choose a d -dim. subsp. V of \mathbb{R}^n spanned orthonormally by $\{v_1, \dots, v_d\}$.

Abusing notation, denote $V = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ v_1 & \dots & v_d \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix}$.

$$\text{Compute } X_d = XV. \leftarrow [N \times d]$$

(ii) Decode:

We can recover X w/ some loss as

$$\tilde{X} = X_d V^T = X V V^T \leftarrow [N \times n].$$

The goal is to find o.n. $\{v_1, \dots, v_d\}$ s.t.

X and \tilde{X} have least difference. (min. loss).

Formally, we use $\|X - \tilde{X}\|_2^2$. i.e.

$$V = \underset{V}{\text{argmin}} \|X - \tilde{X}\|_2^2$$

$$= \underset{V}{\text{argmin}} \text{tr}((X - X V V^T)^T (X - X V V^T)).$$

Reason for equivalence:

$$\text{tr}((X - X V V^T)^T (X - X V V^T))$$

$$= \text{tr}((X^T - V V^T X^T) (X - X V V^T))$$

$$= \text{tr}(X^T X - X^T X V V^T - V V^T X^T X + \cancel{V V^T X^T X V V^T})$$

$$= \text{tr}(X^T X) - \text{tr}(V^T X^T X V).$$

$\therefore \text{tr}(X^T X)$ is const.

\therefore It suffices to minimize $-\text{tr}(V^T X^T X V)$.

$$\text{i.e. } V = \underset{V}{\text{argmax}} \text{tr}(V^T X^T X V)$$

This is exactly the same as interpretation

3. $(\#)$