The "great hyperbolas". i.e. intersections of IHR w/ 2-planes through the origin. Ball Model: The line segments through the origin, and the Circular arcs intersecting JBR orthogonally. Half-Space Model: The vertical hatt-lines and the semicircles w/ centers on the y=0 hyperplane. Also using the homogeneity and is otropicity. See P84. (#) Chap 6 Geodesics and Diseance. To Study: (on Riem weld). Relocion b/W geodesics, lengths, and distances. Main Resules: DAII longer-minimizing curves are geodesics. (3) All geodesics are locally length minimizing. (3) A Riem mfol is geodesically complete iff it is complete as a metric sp. Most results in this Chap do not apply to pseudo-Riem. metrics. Lengths of Curves $Y: [a,b] \to M$, a curve segment. The length of 7, denoted L(7) or Lg(7), is L(r) = Sa | r(t) | dt.

(2) A reparametrization of 7 is a curve sepment r=rol, where 4:[c,d]→[a,b] is Com Coo inverse. It is called a forward/backward repara if (is orientation preserving/reversing

Lan 6.1 7: [a,b] -> M, a curve segment. T: a repara. of T. Then L(7) = L(7). Change of variable. OA reputer curve is a curve Y: I→M s.t. | T(t) | +0, 4t. (to guarantee im (7) an immersed A cort. 7:[a,b] -> M is called a piecewise regular curve segment if] a=ao(a, <... < ak = b s.t. 7 | [ai-1, ai] is regular, \$\frac{1}{\ilde{l}}. For simplicity, we shall call a piecewise reguler curve segment by admissible curve. By def, for each i, \vec{v(ai)} = lim v(t) and BFor admissible curves, $\gamma(a_i^+) := \lim_{t \to a_i^+} \dot{\gamma}(t)$ exist. define $L(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} L(r|_{[a_{i-1},a_{i}]})$ Repara can be similarly defined (allowing 4 to be a homeo. W/ subdivision C= GolCil... Ck=d s.t. $\ell|_{[c_{i-1},c_{i}]}$ is C^{∞} , $\forall i$). Ψ γ: [a,b] → M, an admissible curve. $S(t) := L(\gamma|_{[a,t]}) = \int_{a}^{\tau} |\dot{\gamma}(u)| du$ is called the arc length function of Y.

S(t) = | 7(t) | , Y t s.t. Y is Co at t.

Prop (Exe 6.2)

Y: [a,b] → M, an admissible curve.

2 = L(7).

Then

O∃! forward para ?: [o,l] → M of 7 5.せ. 1を(も)=1.

3 the arc length tim. S(t) of F satisfies s(t) = t. (Curves w/ ohis property is called by arc length

S: [a,b] -> [o,l] can be used for repara. 7: [4,6] -> M, an admissible curve. f∈C~[a,b], Define Inf ds := Safler | r(e) dt, celled the integral of f w.r.e. the arc length of T. Sytas is indep. of para, of & in the sense That $\int_{\widetilde{\gamma}} \widetilde{f} ds = \int_{\gamma} f ds$, where $\widetilde{f} = \gamma \circ \psi$, and $\widetilde{f} = f \circ \psi$. Def Y: admissible curve. V: [a,b] -> TM w/ Vt ∈ Tylt, M, Ht, is called @ A vec. field along an admissible family T is a piecewise smooth vec. tield along 7 if it is cone. and I (possibly finer than that for 7) a = Qo < Qi < ... < Qim = b set. V | [Qi, Qi) is smooth Ruk: (parallel examstate) uniquely For Va ETyras M, we can parallel translate it along all of r. (simply translate piece by piece) The Riem. Discence Fun. d(p,q)= inf { L(r) | r: admissible from p toq}

M: connected Riem. weld. For pig. EM, define This is called the Riemannian discourse. Lem 6.2 W/ d defined above, any connected Riem. wild is a metric sp. whose induced topology is the same as the given until copology. See P94-95. The property d(x,y) >0, Y x +y is the only one needing to be deelt with. (#)

Geodesics and Minimizing Curves. An admissible curve V in a Riem mital is called minimizing if $L(7) \leq L(7)$ for any admissible I having the same endpts of V.

Goal:

We shall use ideas of "calculus of variatin" to prove minimizing curves are geodesics.

 $\mathbb{O}_{\Gamma}: (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times [a, b] \rightarrow M$ is called an admissible family of curves if $\exists a=a_0<a_1<...<a_k=b$ s.t.

 $\Gamma_s(t) := \Gamma(s,t)$ is an admissible curve $\forall s \in (-\epsilon,\epsilon)$ and T is smooth on each (-E, E) x [ai, 9iti].

a court. $V: (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times [a,b] \rightarrow TM$ s.t.

V(s,t) ETT(s,t) M, Y s,t and

I subdivision $a = \tilde{a}_0 < \dots < \tilde{a}_k = b$ (possibly finer S.t. each V (-E,E) × [āi, ai+1] is smooth T)

T: admissible family of curves.

Curves of the form Ts(t) = T(s,t), t ∈ [a,b],

are called main curves.

(2) $\Gamma^{(t)}(s) := \Gamma(s,t)$, $s \in (-\epsilon,\epsilon)$, are called transverse curves.

Ruk:

O Transverse curves are always smooth on (-E,E),

(2) Main curves are only piecemise regular in general

Def $\partial_t \Gamma(s,t) := \frac{d}{dt} \Gamma_s(t), \ \partial_s \Gamma(s,t) := \frac{d}{ds} \Gamma^{(t)}(s).$

Del' is not usually cont. at $t=a_i$. Thus not field

(2) 2, T is always cont. on the whole (-E, E) × [a,b]. (indeed, since its values on (-E, E) x {ai} only dep. on the values of I on (-E, E) x [ai] and dsT is cont. on each (-E, E) x [ai, aiti]) V: rec. field along T. De V := covariant derivatives along main curves. " transverse " Lem 6.3 (Symmetry Lemma). Riem. wed M. Then, on any rectangle (-E, E) x [ai, aixi] where T is smooth, we have D, deT = Ded,T. (Pf) (Rmk: Works for any symmetric connection). Since the equality is local, it suffices to prove in coordinates. Given (50, to) and a chare (x', ..., x") around 1 (50, to). Write $T'(s,t) = (\chi'(s,t), ..., \chi^h(s,t))$. Then $\partial_t \Gamma = \frac{\partial \chi^k}{\partial t} \partial_K$ and $\partial_s \Gamma = \frac{\partial \chi^k}{\partial s} \partial_K$. Thus, by (4.10), $D_{s} \partial_{t} \Gamma = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \gamma^{k}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \chi^{i}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \chi^{i}}{\partial s} \right] \partial_{K}, \text{ and}$ i.e. Vr = V. $D_t \partial_s \Gamma' = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial s} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial t} \right] \partial_k.$ $= \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial x^{k}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial s} \frac{\partial x^{j}}{\partial t} \right]_{i}^{K} \left[\partial_{K} \right]$ $\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial s}\right)$ (just shift i.j notetion) = [\frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25k} + \frac{1}{25k} \frac{1}{25 (Recell)

Ruk: (For convenient ref) (4.10)

(by symmetry of come ceion) De V(to) = [VK(to)+Vi(to) ri(to) Tij (Y(to))] dk.

Def V: [a,b] -> M, an admissible curve. A variation of γ is an admissible family P^{15} 1' w/ To(t)=7(t), Yt∈ [a,b]. A proper variation (or fixed-endpt variatin) of T is a variation T of 8 s.t. $\Gamma_s(a) = \gamma(a)$ and $\Gamma_s(b) = \gamma(b)$, $\forall s$. The variation field of T (a variation of 7) is the vector field $V_{i}(t) = \partial_{s} \Gamma(o,t)$ along Y. (4) A rec. field V along 7 is called proper if V(a) = V(b) = 0. If I is proper, then VT is proper. Lem 6.4 7: admissible curve. (7:[a,b] -> M). $V \in \mathcal{J}(7)$ (i.e. V is a vec. field along 7). Then 3 variation T of Y st. Vr=V. If V is proper, then we may choose I' to be proper s.t. $V_{\Gamma} = V$. $\Gamma(s,t) := \exp(s V(t))$. : [a,6] is cpt i. \exists £70 st. $\Gamma(s,t)$ is defined $V_{\Gamma}(t) = \partial_{s}\Gamma(o,t) = \frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=o}\Gamma(s,t)$ on $(s,t) \in (-\epsilon,\epsilon) \times [a,b]$.

If V is proper, then V(a) = 0 = V(b).

=) \(\tag{V(s,a)} = \texp(0.\texp(0.\text{V(b)}) = \text{V(a)} \) and
\(\tag{V(s,b)} = \texp(0.\text{V(b)}) = \text{V(b)}. \) (i.e. \(\text{V:proper}). \(\text{Minimizing Curves are Greadesics.} \)
\(\text{Prop 6.5 (1st Variation Formula}). \)

= V(t) (by def. of exp and rescaling lamma).

7: [9,6] -> M admissible curve w/ unit speed.
T: a proper variation of 7. | w/ partition

Then

 $\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0} L(T_s)$ $=-\int_{a}^{b}\langle V_{\Gamma},D_{t}\dot{\gamma}\rangle dt-\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\langle V_{\Gamma}(a_{i}),\Delta_{i}\dot{\gamma}\rangle,$ where $\Delta_i \dot{\gamma} := \dot{\gamma}(a_i^+) - \dot{\gamma}(a_i^-)$. (i.e. the "jump" of i at ai. Denote $T(s,t) = \partial_t T(s,t)$ and $S(s,t) = \partial_s T(s,t)$. On each [air, ai], $\frac{d}{ds} L(T_s | [a_{i-1}, a_{i}]) = \frac{d}{ds} \int_{a_{i-1}}^{a_i} (T_i T)^{1/2} dt$ Chain rule and compactibility $= \int_{a_{i-1}}^{a_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \langle T, T \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} dt = \int_{a_{i-1}}^{a_i} \frac{1}{2} \langle T, T \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} 2 \langle D_s T, T \rangle dt$ = $\int_{a_{i-1}}^{a_i} \frac{(D_s T, T)}{|T|} dt = \int_{a_{i-1}}^{a_i} \frac{1}{|T|} (D_t S, T) dt$. Note that $S(0,t) = V_{\Gamma}(t)$ and $T(0,t) = \dot{\gamma}(t)$. d | | | | | [ai-1, ai]) = Sai-1 (Dt Vp, i) dt ("Tunit speed of 7) = Sai (d < Vr, i) - (Vr, Dei) dt = [$\langle V_{P}(a_{i}), \dot{\gamma}(a_{i}) \rangle - \langle V_{P}(a_{i-1}), \dot{\gamma}(a_{i-1}) \rangle$] - Jai-1 (VT, Dti) dt. Summing over i=1, ..., k (and noting \fr = 0 at (90 and 9K) the result follows. (#) : Every admissible curve can be repara, as unit i. The assumption of mit speed in Prop 6.5 speed is just for computational convenience.

Thm 6.6 Every minimizing curve is a geodesic when P26 it is given a unit speed para. Given minimizing 7: [a, b] -> M w/ unit speed and a subdivision a= aol ... < ak = b w/ 7 [ai-1,ai] being smooth, Vi. (i.e. Y is "broken geodesic" claim: Dir=0 on each [ait, ai] Choose a bump fum. $\varphi \in C^{20}(|R|) \times |\varphi| = 0$ elsewhere Choose V= 4 Der. (proper along 7). By Lem 6.4, 3 proper variation T s.t. V = VT. By Calculus, since 7 is minimizing, by Prop 6.5, Jain 4(Dti, Dti) dt = 0. = Dti=0 on [a:1, ai]. claim: Dir = 0, Vi. (i.e. Thas no corner) (of claim Use a coordinate chart around Y(ai) to construct a vec. field V along Y W/ V(ai) = Dir and V(ai)=0, 4j+i. By the previous claim, the 1st part of RHS of Prop 65 vanishes. By condition of V, we now have $0 = - |\Delta i \dot{\gamma}|^2$. =) Sir=O. @ of daim daim: T is a geodesic. : Der = 0 : 7 is piecewise geodesic : Dir=o, Vi :. At overlapping pts, r(ait)=r(ai). By uniqueness of geodesic, I is a geodesic itself. We only use the fact $\frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0}L(T_s)=0$, for any proper variation T of 7. An admissible curve Y is called a critical point for L if ods | s=0 L(Ts) =0, & proper variatin T of y. A unit speed admissible curve T is a geodesic iff it is a critical pt for L.

Define $\Gamma(s,t)=\exp_{\rho}(t\sigma(s))$. The geodesic equation De7 = 0 characterizes the S:= dsT and T:= dtT. critical pers of the "length tunctional". Then S(0,0) = d | = 0 expp(0) = 0 The equation characterizing critical pts of a $T(0,0) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \exp_{p}(tV) = V$ tunctional on a space of maps is called the $S(0,1) = \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} \exp_{P}(\sigma(s)) = (\exp_{P})_{*}(W) = X$ variational equation or the Euler-Laprange equation $T(0,1) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=1} \exp_{P}(tV) = \dot{\gamma}(1).$ of the tunctional. Claim: d (S,T) = 0. d (S, 77 = (DtS, 77 + (S, DtT) is a good.) Three more such egin in this book: Einstein equation (Chap 7), Yamabe eq'n (Chap 7) = (137,77+0=をなくて、て). and minimal surface eq'n. (Chap &). Note that (T(sit), T(sit)) = | d expp(t T(si)) = Geodesics are Locally Minimizing. $= |\sigma(s)|^2 \equiv R^2,$ Thus, d (S,T) = 1 d R2 = 0. @ of deing Thm 6.8 (The Ganss Lemma). U: a geodesic ball centered at $p \in M$. =) $\langle S,T \rangle = const.$ Then dr, the unit radial vec. field is ⇒ (S(0,1), T(0,1)) = (S(0,0), T(0,0)) = (0, V) = 0. i.e. (X, i(11) = 0. (#) g-orthogonal to the geodesic spheres in U. $\frac{\text{Or 6.1}}{(\chi^i): \text{normal coordinates on a good. ball } \text{U}}$ contends Cor 6.9 Liver 2 ∈ U, say 2 ∈ expp(dBR(0)). Y:= The radial dist. - Eum. Criven X & Tal expp(3BR(0))) & TaM. Then grad r = //r on Ulfp3. We need to prove X 1 3/2. i expp is differ once U Given 2 ∈ U1{p} and Y ∈ T2M. (def. for It suffices to prove dr(Y) = (dar, Y). :. ∃! V ∈ TpM s.t. expp(V) = 2. By Gauss lemma, Y = X & + X w/ X tangent to Also, $\exists ! W \in T_V(T_PM) = T_PM$ s.t. $(exp_P)_*(W) = X_PM$ By computation in coordinates, dr (%r) = 1. TpM / Define Y(t) = expp(t V). : X is tengent to a level set of Y Then $\dot{\gamma}(t) = R \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\text{Check}}{\text{in normal coordinates.}} \cdot dy(X) = 0$. / Thus, it suffices to prove [3/4/El Thus dr (Y) = Q. (LHS). P(1) LX. (%x, Y) = (%x, x %x+X) = x | %x | +0 ±d. Choose $\sigma: (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to \partial B_R(0) (\subseteq T_PM)$ s.t. Hence, LHS=RHS, as desired. $\sigma(0)=V$ and $\sigma(0)=W$.

Prop 6.10 PEM, QEU, a good. ball around P. then, (up to repara), the radial good. From p to 9 is the unique minimizing curve from p to q in M. Choose E70 s.t. expp(BE(O)): good. ball containing of 7: [O,R] -> M: the radial good. from P to 9 W/ unit speed; denote Y(t) = expp(tV) W/ |V |= 1. SR := expp(3 BR(0)). Minimizing of 7". Given T: [0,6] -> M, unit speed from p to Q. $a_0 := \sup\{t \in [0,b] \mid \sigma(t) = p\}$. bo := inf (t > ao | o(t) ∈ SR]. By Craws lemma, J(t) = o(t) \$1+ X(t), w/ X: tangent to Then | o(t) = d(t) + | X(t) = 7 d(t). Moreover, by Cox 6.9, d(t) = dr(o(t)). Hence, L(0) 2 L (0 | [ao, bo]) = Sao | o(t) dt I Sao d(t) dt = Sao dr(J(t)) dt = Sao dtr(J(t)) dt = $Y(\sigma(b_0)) - Y(\sigma(a_0)) = R - o = R = L(\gamma)$. Uniqueness of minimizing curve". assume L(0)=R. Then ao = o and bo = b = R (2) |X(t)| = 0, \(\alpha(t) \) 70, \(\dagger (t) = \alpha(t) \) 3/8. : | b/3r = | : . d(t) = | o(t) / | b/3r | = 1. Thus it) = gr. =) I and I are both integral curves of \$8. => T=7. (#)

Cor 6.11 Within any good ball around PEM, 8(x) = Riemannian distance from P to X. Use the fact that Is is exactly the velocity of radial geodesics from p to X w/ unit speed. <u>Def</u> (simplifying notation). Within a good, ball around PEM, BR(p) := expp (BR(0)), BR(p) := expp(BR(01), and SR(P) = expp (& BR(0)). By Prop 6.10 Cox 6.11, BR(p), etc, are exacely the open R-ball around P w.r.t. Riem. dist. Def A curve $Y:I \rightarrow M$ is called local minimizing if Yto EI, I ubd U of to in I s.t. Thu is minimizing blu each pair of pes in U. Minimizing curves are arconecically local minimizing Thun 6.12 Every Riem good. is locally minimizing. Given 7: I -1 M, a good. and to EI. Choose a wif. nomel und W of Y(to). U:= the connected component of T'(W) conceining to . (say ti(tz). For titz & U , since P(ti), P(tz) & W, the radial good. from Y(t) to Y(t) is also in W. ": U is a connected component of T(W) :. 1/ [tota] EW. By Prop 6.10, 7 [Enter] is exactly the unique

have JM EJ(M,d). Hence, JM = J(M,d) # RM (Another Pt of Thin 6.67 Recell: (Thun 6.6) A Riem. mtd M is called geodesically complete Every minimizing curve is a good. if every maximal good. is defined $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. Given minimizing 7: I -1 M. For to E I, as the above proof, I und U of to Thm 6.13 (Hopt-Rinow). in I s.t. Y(U) EW w/ W unit. normal. A connected Riem with is good. complete For tito(t;) [tisted is still minimizing. iff it is complete as a metric sp. Moreover, since P([t1,t2]) EW, by Prop 6.10, (E) (metric complete =) good. complete). [[ti,ti] must be a good. (the radial good.) Suppose not. Then I mit speed good. 7: [0, b) -> M not Hence I is smooth at to and good around to. To is expressing .. It is a good. (4) extendible to [0,6+8), 4 870. Choose ti E [0,6) s.t. ti Tb. Completeness Denote li = Y(ti). (Another Pf of Lem 6.2) Then d(qi, qj) = |ti-tj|, y i,j. Recoll: (Lem 6.2) d(p,2) := inf {L(7) | 7 : admissible from p to qui, Thus, {\laid_i=1 is Cauchy, say \li \rightarrow \laid_i in M. for pig EM, is a metric on M whose induced Let W be a wif normal ubd of 9, say topology is the same as the topology of M. 5 70 is such that W & B25 (p), the 25-It is clear that dipiq170, 4 piq, dipip)=0, 4p. good. ball of P, YPEW. We also need 2: EW Choose i large enough s.t. ti7 b-5. Triangle inequality follows from the fact that the adjoining of two consecurive admissible curves is Let I be the good. W/ T(0) = 2; and J(0) admissible. Then I is defined at least on [0,5] = Y(tj). It remains to prove d(p,q)70, 4 p#q. and, by uniqueness, T(t) = Y(tj+t). Let 800 st. expp(BE(0)) is a good. ball around p. =) I can be extended to be defined on If $q \in \exp(B_{E}(0))$, then, by Prop 6.10, d(p,q)>0[0,tj+5)] [0,6). * If 9 \$ expp(Belo)), since every curve from p to Thus, M is good. complete. (#) 2 passes through expp(dBe/2(01), d(p,2) > 8/270. (=) (good. complete =) metric complete). Thus, we do have d(p,q) 70, and d is a metric. <u>Def</u> Given 7: [0,6] →M, a good, segment and QEM. As for the espology, since within any good ball, We say that I aims at a if the R-ball w.r.t. d is open in M, we must have T is minimizing and JM = J(M,d). Conversely, since JM is generated d(7(0),2) = d(7(0),7(b))+d(7(b),2).

by such R-balls in normal coordinate charls, we also

RM claim: (Stronger Stotement). let ZESs(y) If I p ∈ M s.t. expp is defined on all TpM, 7: [0, 5] -> M be the radial good from y then M is a complete metric space. as before, I aims at q. (Pf of daim). claim 1: Given such P. Then, Y & EM, 3 minimizing good. segment from p to q. b.c. 7 aims at & Choose & 70 s.t. BE(p) is a closed good ball. Thus d(Z,q) = d(y,q) - d(y,Z) $\chi := argmin d(x, 9).$ = $(T-A)-\delta$ (ineq. b.c. A & S Prop 6.10 T:= unit speed radial good from p to X. =) d(p,z) \$ d(p,2) - d(t,2) By good. completeness of M, & is defined on IR $= T - [(T-A) - 5] = A + \delta$ Subclaim: 7/[0, E] aims at 2. = d(p,y)+ dly, z). 7 d(p, z) i.e. Planes is uninimizing and Thus, d(p, t) = A + 5 and d(p,q) = d(p,x) + d(x,q).That Y/ [0, E) is minimizing comes from Prop 6.10 TIZO, A] followed by ZIZO, 5] is a minimizing curve, and thus has no corner) By triangle ineq, & fails only when Moreover, d(p, 2) + d(2, 2) = (A+S) + (T-A)-S d(p,q) < d(p,x)+d(x,q). =) A+5 ES. + \ = T = d(p.2). =) = o trom p to q s.t. L(0) < d(p,x)+d(x,q). Thus A=T and P|[0,T] is a minimizing curve Ji= J inside BE(P) from P to Q. (1) of dain! Then d(p,x)+d(x,2) 7 L(J)= L(J,)+L(J2) Now we turn to metric completeness. 7 E + L(Tz) = d(p,x) + L(Tz). Given { gir Canchy in M. By assumption of p, Qi = expp(di Vi), w/ =) d(x,q) > L(Jz). * (d(x,q) is minimized). di=d(p. 2i) and ||Vi||=1 in TpM. Thus Plosed aims at &. : [qi] is Cauchy i. {di] is bold. I = d(p, q) =) {di Vi] is bold in TpM. S:= { b ∈ [0,T] | Y | [0,6] aims at 2.1. =) = subseq. dix Vix → V in TpM. = 2ik = expp(dik Vik) -> expp(V) =: q in M. By conti. of dist. tun., S is closed. of exp A:= sup(S). Then A & S. -: {qi]: Candry :. qi -> q. in M. Suppose A < T. (and try to get a contradict'w). Therefore, M is complete as a metric sp. y == 7(A).

Choose 570 s.t. Bs(y) is a closed good. ball.

Cox 6.14 If I p EM s.t. expp is defined on all of TpM, then M is complete. (Pf) This is the claim in Hopf-Rinary's proof. (#) Cor 6.15 M is complete iff every two pts in M can be joined by a minimizing good. segment. (PF) (=) By claim! in the proof of Hopf-Rinow (#) (=) Adopt the same argument of the last part of Hopf-Rinow's proof. #

Cor 6.16

If M is cpt, then every good, can be defined for all time.

RM