

(c) Show that  $A_n \rightarrow A$  and  $B_n$  together imply that  $A_n \cup B_n \rightarrow A \cup B$  and  $A_n \cap B_n \rightarrow A \cap B$ .

**Problem 2.6.** For events  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ , consider the  $2^n$  equations

$$P(B_1 \cdots B_n) = P(B_1) \cdots P(B_n),$$

where  $B_i = A_i$  or  $B_i = A_i^c$  for each  $i$ . Show that  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are independent if all these equations hold.

**Problem 2.7.** Suppose  $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$  are  $\pi$ -systems and  $\mathcal{A}_1 \perp \cdots \perp \mathcal{A}_n$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_i = \mathcal{A}_i \cup \{\Omega\}$ . Show that  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  are  $\pi$ -systems and  $B_1 \perp \cdots \perp B_n$ .

**Problem 2.8.** Show that  $1 - x \leq e^{-x}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Problem 2.9.** Suppose  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is a probability space.

1. Show that, for any sequence of independent  $\mathcal{F}$ -sets, say  $\{B_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ , we have

$$P(\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} P(B_n).$$

2. Use the above relation and the inequality in Problem 2.8 to prove the second Borel-Cantelli Lemma.

**Problem 2.10.** Show that a  $\lambda$ -system can be equivalently defined by these three conditions:

1.  $\Omega \in \mathcal{L}$ ;
2. If  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{L}$ , and  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $BA^c \in \mathcal{L}$ ;
3. If  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  are a disjoint sequence of members of  $\mathcal{L}$ , then  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{L}$ .

### 3 HW3: due October 7, 2016

✓ **Problem 3.1.** Show that, in the definition of measure on a field, if condition (i) and (iii) hold, and if  $\mu(A) < \infty$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , then condition (ii) holds.

✓ **Problem 3.2.** On a  $\sigma$ -field of all subsets of  $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ , define the set function

$$\mu(A) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k \in A} 2^{-k} & \text{if } A \text{ is finite} \\ \infty & \text{if } A \text{ is infinite} \end{cases}$$

Is  $\mu$  finitely additive? Is  $\mu$  countably additive?

✓ **Problem 3.3.**

1. In connection with Theorem 10.2 (ii), show that if  $A_n \downarrow A$  and  $\mu(A_k) < \infty$  for some  $k$ , then  $\mu(A_n) \downarrow \mu(A)$ .
2. Find an example in which  $A_n \downarrow A$ ,  $\mu(A_n) = \infty$  for all  $n$ , and  $A = \emptyset$ .

**Problem 3.4.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space. The following is a generalization of Theorem 4.1, part (i).

✓ 1. Show that

$$\mu \left( \liminf_n A_n \right) \leq \liminf_n \mu(A_n)$$

✓ 2. If  $\mu(\cup_{k \geq n} A_k) < \infty$  for some  $n$  then

$$\limsup_n \mu(A_n) \stackrel{?}{=} \mu \left( \limsup_n A_n \right).$$

⊙ Show that this <sup>inequality</sup> equality can fail if  $\mu(\cup_{k \geq n} A_k) = \infty$  for all  $n$ .

The next three problems give an alternative approach to extend a measure from a field to the  $\sigma$ -field generated by it.

✓ **Problem 3.5.** Extend Theorem 3.1 to finite measure. That is, a finite measure on a field has a unique extension to the generated  $\sigma$ -field. Hint: a finite measure can always be re-scaled to a probability measure.

✓ **Problem 3.6.** Suppose  $\Omega$  is a nonempty set,  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is a field on  $\Omega$ , and  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{F}_0$ . Let  $A$  be a nonempty set in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  and  $\mu(A) < \infty$ . Let  $\mu_A$  be  $\mu$  restricted on  $\mathcal{F}_0 \cap A$ ; that is,  $\mu_A$  is the set function

$$\mathcal{F}_0 \cap A \rightarrow [0, \infty], \quad BA \mapsto \mu(BA).$$

1. Show that  $\mathcal{F}_0 \cap A$  is a field;

2.  $\mu_A$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{F}_0 \cap A$ ;

3.  $\mu_A$  has an extension  $\hat{\mu}_A$  on  $\mathcal{F} \cap A$ , where  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{F}_0)$ , and  $\hat{\mu}_A$  is also a finite measure.

✓ ⊗ **Problem 3.7.** Define a set function  $\hat{\mu}$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  as follows. For any  $E \in \mathcal{F}$ , if there exists a sequence of disjoint  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -sets  $A_n$  such that  $E \subseteq \cup_n A_n$  and  $\mu(A_n) < \infty$ , then let

*I think this should be dropped.*

$$\hat{\mu}(E) = \sum_n \hat{\mu}_A(E \cap A_n);$$

*$\hat{\mu}_{A_n}(E \cap A_n)$ .*

if there exists no such sequence then let  $\hat{\mu}(E) = \infty$ .

✓ 1. Show that this definition doesn't depend on the choice of sequence  $\{A_n\}$ .

2. Show that  $\hat{\mu}$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{F}$ , and agrees with  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{F}_0$ .