

### **Contents**

1	A Review on QUAPI	5
	1.1 Spin-Boson Model	7
2	Reference	9

4 CONTENTS

### **Chapter 1**

### A Review on QUAPI

In this chapter we give a review of the formalism of the QUAPI [1, 2, 3]. Let us consider a generic many-body system which can be modeled by a finite system of interest coupled to a bath. Let H(t) denote the total Hamiltonian which can split into three parts:

$$H(t) = H_S(t) + H_B + H_{SB},$$
 (1.1)

where  $H_S(t)$  is the Hamiltonian of the system of interest,  $H_B$  is the Hamiltonian of the bath and  $H_{SB}$  represents the coupling between the system and the bath. Here we consider the case where only the system Hamiltonian  $H_S(t)$  is time-dependent. Let  $\rho(t)$  be the total density matrix, then the time evolution of  $\rho(t)$  is given by

$$\rho(t) = U(t)\rho(0)U^{\dagger}(t), \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$U(t) = \operatorname{T}\exp\left[-i\int_0^t H(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\tau\right] = \lim_{\delta t \to 0} \prod_{t_i=0}^t e^{-iH(t_i)\delta_t}.$$
 (1.3)

Here T is the chronological ordering symbol, and the product is understood in that we take the limit over all the infinitesimal intervals  $\delta t$ . Therefore we can write the density matrix  $\rho(t)$  as

$$\rho(t) = \lim_{N \to \infty} e^{-iH(t_N)\delta t} \cdots e^{-iH(t_0)\delta t} \rho(0) e^{iH(t_0)\delta t} \cdots e^{iH(t_N)\delta t}$$
(1.4)

for  $t_0 = 0$  and  $\delta t = t/N$ .

Now we introduce the reduced density matrix of the system  $\rho_B(t) = \text{Tr}_B[\rho(t)]$ , which is obtained by tracing the total density matrix over the bath degrees of freedom, then the time evolution of  $\rho_B(t)$  is given by

$$\rho_S(s'', s'; t) = \operatorname{Tr}_B \left\langle s'' \middle| \lim_{N \to \infty} e^{-iH(t_N)\delta t} \cdots e^{-iH(t_1)\delta t} \rho(0) e^{iH(t_1)\delta t} \cdots e^{iH(t_N)\delta t} \middle| s' \right\rangle. \tag{1.5}$$

For numerical evaluation we can employ finite  $\delta t$  in the above expression which approximates the evolution operator U(t) into a product of finite N exponentials. Inserting the identity operator  $\int |s\rangle \langle s| \, \mathrm{d}s$  between every two exponentials and relabeling s'', s' as  $s_N^+, s_N^-$  gives

$$\rho(s_{N}^{+}, s_{N}^{-}; t) = \int ds_{0}^{+} \cdots ds_{N-1}^{+} \int ds_{0}^{-} \cdots ds_{N-1}^{-} 
\operatorname{Tr}_{B}[\langle s_{N}^{+} | e^{-iH(t_{N})\delta t} | s_{N-1}^{+} \rangle \cdots \langle s_{1}^{+} | e^{-iH(t_{1})\delta t} | s_{0}^{+} \rangle 
\times \langle s_{0}^{+} | \rho(0) | s_{0}^{-} \rangle \langle s_{0}^{-} | e^{iH(t_{1})}\delta t | s_{1}^{-} \rangle \cdots \langle s_{N-1}^{-} | e^{iH(t_{N})\delta t} | s_{N}^{-} \rangle].$$
(1.6)

The integrand in the above expression can be referred as the "influence functional" and we denote it by  $I(s_0^\pm,\ldots,s_N^\pm)$ . The influence functional has an important property which greatly simply the calculation: nonlocal correlations in the influence functional decay exponentially under certain conditions. For spin-boson model, finite temperature is needed, and for spin-fermion model, finite chemical potential difference or temperature is needed. This property enables practical numerical calculation for the influence functional.

In the discretized form,  $\rho(0)$  need to "go" through N steps to arrive at  $\rho(t)$ . Then nonlocal correlations with all length need to be taken into consideration, which means the influence functional can be written as a product of terms corresponding to different correlation length  $\Delta k$ ,

$$I(s_0^{\pm}, \dots, s_N^{\pm}) = \prod_{k=0}^{N} I_0(s_k^{\pm}) \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} I_1(s_k^{\pm}, s_{k+1}^{\pm}) \cdots \prod_{k=0}^{N-\Delta k} I_{\Delta k}(s_k^{\pm}, s_{k+\Delta k}^{\pm}) \cdots I_N(s_0^{\pm}, s_N^{\pm}).$$
(1.7)

Now suppose the influence functional can be truncated beyond a memory time  $\tau_c = N_s \delta t$  for  $N_s$  a positive integer, then we have

$$I(s_0^{\pm}, \dots, s_N^{\pm}) = \prod_{k=0}^{N} I_0(s_k^{\pm}) \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} I_1(s_k^{\pm}, s_{k+1}^{\pm}) \cdots \prod_{k=0}^{N-\Delta k} I_{\Delta k}(s_k^{\pm}, s_{k+\Delta k}^{\pm}) \cdots \prod_{k=0}^{N-N_s} I_{N_s}(s_0^{\pm}, s_{N_s}^{\pm}).$$
(1.8)

It is easy to see that (1.8) becomes (1.7) when  $N_s \to \infty$ , i.e., this approach becomes exact when  $\tau_c \to \infty$ . In addition, we have

$$I(s_0^{\pm}, \dots, s_N^{\pm}) = I(s_0^{\pm}, \dots, s_{N-1}^{\pm}) I_0(s_N^{\pm}) \cdots I_{\Delta k}(s_{N-\Delta k}, s_N^{\pm}) \cdots I_{N_s}(s_{N-N_s}^{\pm}, s_N^{\pm})$$

$$= I(s_0^{\pm}, \dots, s_{N-1}^{\pm}) \frac{I(s_{N-N_s}^{\pm}, \dots, s_N^{\pm})}{I(s_{N-N_s}^{\pm}, \dots, s_{N-1}^{\pm})},$$
(1.9)

and recursively applying this expression yields

$$I(s_0^{\pm}, \dots, s_N^{\pm}) = I(s_0^{\pm}, \dots, s_{N_s}^{\pm}) I_s(s_1^{\pm}, \dots, s_{N_s+1}^{\pm}) \cdots I_s(s_{N-N_s}^{\pm}, \dots, s_N^{\pm}), \tag{1.10}$$

where

$$I_s(s_k^{\pm}, \dots, s_{k+N_s}^{\pm}) = \frac{I(s_k^{\pm}, \dots, s_{k+N_s}^{\pm})}{I(s_k^{\pm}, \dots, s_{k+N_s-1}^{\pm})}.$$
(1.11)

To integrate (1.10), we define a multiple time system reduced density matrix  $\tilde{\rho}_S(s_k^\pm,\ldots,s_{k+N_s-1})$  with an initial condition  $\tilde{\rho}_S(s_0^\pm,\ldots,s_{N_s-1}^\pm)=1$  for which all initial elements are one. Then the first evolution step for (1.10) is given by

$$\tilde{\rho}_S(s_1^{\pm}, \dots, s_{N_s}^{\pm}) = \int I(s_0^{\pm}, \dots, s_{N_s}^{\pm}) \, \mathrm{d}s_0^{\pm} \,, \tag{1.12}$$

and beyond the first step the evolution step is given by

$$\tilde{\rho}_S(s_{k+1}^{\pm}, \dots, s_{k+N_s}^{\pm}) = \int \tilde{\rho}_S(s_k^{\pm}, \dots, s_{k+N_s-1}^{\pm}) I_s(s_k^{\pm}, \dots, s_{k+N_s}^{\pm}) \, \mathrm{d}s_k^{\pm}. \tag{1.13}$$

Then the time-local  $(t_k = k\delta t)$  reduced density matrix is obtained by summing over all intermediate states for which

$$\rho_S(s_k^+, s_k^-; t_k) = \int \tilde{\rho}_S(s_{k-N_s+1}, \dots, s_k^{\pm}) \, \mathrm{d}s_k^{\pm} \cdots \, \mathrm{d}s_{k-N_s+1}^{\pm}.$$
 (1.14)

#### 1.1 Spin-Boson Model

The system Hamiltonian of the spin-boson model describes a two level system for which

$$H_S(t) = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{B}(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma},\tag{1.15}$$

where  $\sigma = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$  which is the Pauli matrices vector and  $\boldsymbol{B}(t)$  is the external field. The bath Hamiltonian describes a collection of phonon modes for which

$$H_B = \sum_k \omega_k b_k^{\dagger} b_k, \tag{1.16}$$

where  $b_k^{\dagger}$  ( $b_k$ ) creates (annihilates) a phonon with frequency  $\omega_k$ . The system-bath coupling is taken to be

$$H_{SB} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} V_k(\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) (b_k + b_k^{\dagger}), \tag{1.17}$$

where n is an unit vector with spherical angle  $\theta$ ,  $\phi$  for which  $n = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$ , and

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma} = \sigma_x \sin \theta \cos \phi + \sigma_y \sin \theta \sin \phi + \sigma_z \cos \theta. \tag{1.18}$$

This unit vector n describes the system-bath coupling angle  $\theta, \phi$ . The bath can be characterized by the spectral density

$$J(\omega) = \sum_{k} V_k^2 \delta(\omega - \omega_k) = \alpha \omega^s \Theta(\omega - \omega_c), \tag{1.19}$$

where  $\alpha$  is the control parameter,  $\Theta$  is the heavy step function and  $\omega_c$  is the cutoff frequency of the phonon modes. The exponential factor describes the type of bath: when 0 < s < 1 we have a sub-Ohmic form, when s = 1 we have an Ohmic form, and when s > 1 we have a super-Ohmic form.

# Chapter 2

## Reference

### **Bibliography**

- [1] Dmitrii E. Makarov and Nancy Makri. Path integrals for dissipative systems by tensor multiplication. condensed phase quantum dynamics for arbitrarily long time. *Chemical Physics Letters*, 221(5-6):482–491, 1994. doi:10.1016/0009-2614(94)00275-4.
- [2] Nancy Makri. Numerical path integral techniques for long time dynamics of quantum dissipative systems. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 36(5):2430–2457, 1995. doi:10.1063/1.531046.
- [3] Dvira Segal, Andrew J. Millis, and David R. Reichman. Numerically exact path-integral simulation of nonequilibrium quantum transport and dissipation. *Physical Review B*, 82(20):205323, 2010. doi: 10.1103/physrevb.82.205323.