#### **Enums: Named Numeric Constants**

An **enum** (short for "enumeration") is a distinct value type that allows you to define a group of named integral constants. Instead of using "magic numbers" (raw numbers with implicit meaning), enums provide more readable and maintainable code by associating names with these numeric values.

For example:

```
public enum BorderSide { Left, Right, Top, Bottom }
```

You can then use this enum type in your code:

```
BorderSide topSide = BorderSide.Top; // Assigning an enum member
bool isTop = (topSide == BorderSide.Top); // Comparing enum values (output:
true)
```

#### **Underlying Integral Values**

Each member of an enum has an underlying integral value. By default:

- The underlying type is int.
- The constants are automatically assigned values starting from 0, incrementing by 1 for each subsequent member in the declaration order.

So, for BorderSide, the default values would be: Left = 0, Right = 1, Top = 2, Bottom = 3.

You can specify an alternative integral type for the enum, such as byte, short, long, etc.:

```
public enum BorderSide : byte { Left, Right, Top, Bottom } // Underlying type
is byte
```

You can also **explicitly assign specific underlying values** to enum members:

```
public enum BorderSide : byte { Left = 1, Right = 2, Top = 10, Bottom = 11 }
```

The compiler also allows you to explicitly assign values to only *some* members. Any unassigned members will continue incrementing from the last explicit value.

```
public enum BorderSide : byte
{
    Left = 1,
    Right, // Automatically assigned 2
    Top = 10,
    Bottom // Automatically assigned 11
}
```

### **Enum Conversions**

You can convert an enum instance to and from its underlying integral value using an **explicit cast**:

You can also explicitly cast one enum type to another, provided their underlying integral values are compatible. The conversion essentially happens via the underlying numeric values.

```
public enum HorizontalAlignment
{
    Left = BorderSide.Left, // Assigns 1
    Right = BorderSide.Right, // Assigns 2
    Center // Automatically assigned 3
}

HorizontalAlignment h = (HorizontalAlignment)BorderSide.Right; // h will be
HorizontalAlignment.Right
// This is effectively: HorizontalAlignment h =
(HorizontalAlignment)(int)BorderSide.Right;
```

## Special Treatment of the Numeric Literal 0

The numeric literal 0 is treated specially by the compiler in enum expressions and **does not require an explicit cast**:

```
BorderSide b = 0; // OK, no cast needed. 'b' will have the underlying value 0. if (b == 0) { /* ... */ } // OK
```

This special treatment is due to two common conventions:

- The first member of an enum (which defaults to 0 if unassigned) is often used as a "default" or "none" value.
- For flag enums (discussed next), 0 typically represents "no flags."

# **Flags Enums**

**Flags enums** (also known as bit field enums) allow you to combine multiple enum members using bitwise operators. This is particularly useful for representing a set of options or permissions.

To prevent ambiguities, members of a combinable enum **must have explicitly assigned values**, typically in powers of two. This ensures that each combination results in a unique underlying integral value.

You use **bitwise operators** (| for OR, & for AND, ^ for XOR, ~ for NOT) to combine and test these enum values. These operations act on the underlying integral values.

#### Convention:

- Always apply the [Flags] attribute to an enum type when its members are combinable.
   Without it, ToString() on a combined value will just emit the numeric value (e.g., "3") instead of the named members ("Left, Right").
- Combinable enum types are conventionally given a **plural name** (e.g., BorderSides).

For convenience, you can include common combinations as members within the enum declaration itself:

```
[Flags]
enum BorderSides
{
    None = 0,
    Left = 1, Right = 1 << 1, Top = 1 << 2, Bottom = 1 << 3,
    LeftRight = Left | Right,
    TopBottom = Top | Bottom,
    All = LeftRight | TopBottom
}</pre>
```

# **Enum Operators**

Most standard operators can be used with enums. They generally operate on the enum's underlying integral values:

- Assignment: =
- Equality/Inequality: ==, !=
- Comparison: <, >, <=, >=
- **Arithmetic:** +, -, ++, -- (additions/subtractions between an enum and an integral type are allowed, but not between two enums).
- **Bitwise:** ^ (XOR), & (AND), | (OR), ~ (NOT)
- Compound Assignment: +=, -=
- **Size:** sizeof (returns the size of the underlying type)

The bitwise, arithmetic, and comparison operators will perform their operations on the underlying integral values of the enum.

# **Type-Safety Issues**

While enums enhance type safety, it's important to be aware of some potential issues stemming from their close relationship with integral types.

Because an enum can be explicitly cast to and from its underlying integral type, it's possible to assign a numeric value that does not correspond to any defined enum member:

```
public enum BorderSide { Left, Right, Top, Bottom }
BorderSide b = (BorderSide)12345; // No compile-time error
Console.WriteLine(b); // Output: 12345 (just prints the numeric value)
```

Similarly, bitwise or arithmetic operators can also produce "invalid" enum values.

To address this, you should:

- Add defensive checks: Include an else clause to handle unexpected values, potentially throwing an ArgumentException.
- **Validate the enum value:** The static Enum.lsDefined method can check if a given integral value corresponds to a named member in a *non-flags* enum.

```
BorderSide side = (BorderSide)12345;
Console.WriteLine(Enum.IsDefined(typeof(BorderSide), side)); // Output:
False
```

However, Enum.IsDefined does not work correctly for [Flags] enums because a
combined flag value (e.g., Left | Right) is not considered a "defined" single member. For
flags enums, a common trick is to use Enum.ToString() and decimal.TryParse() as a
heuristic to check validity, as shown in the example provided in your document.

Enums are a valuable tool for creating clear, readable, and type-safe code when dealing with fixed sets of choices. Understanding their underlying integral nature and potential type-safety pitfalls allows for their effective and robust use.