

Homework 7

P1

- (a) Code implementation in vs code
- (b) Code implementation in vs code
- (c) Code implementation in vs code
- (d) Each version of Quicksort is different due to how it is selecting and furtherly places the pivot. Swap count(how many elements are swapped), the partition balance(how well the pivots splits the array) and Recursion depth(how many recursive calls are needed) are being affected.

Lomoto Partition:

- typically worse than Hoare due to an excessive number of swaps.
- In random data, swaps seem less costly, Lomoto can still perform well
- In worst-case scenarios, it suffers due to unbalanced partitions

Hoare Partition:

- Expected to be faster than Lomoto due to fewer swaps
- More balanced partitions mean fewer recursive calls, improving efficiency
- In our results, Hoare is slightly slower than Lomoto Partition because if the data is completely random, the while loops inside Hoare's partitioning take longer to find the correct elements to swap

Median-Of-Three:

- Designed to avoid worst-case scenarios
- More overhead due to median calculation, which slightly increases the execution time
- In general the median selection doesn't help much, making it only slightly faster than Lomoto in general cases. In our case this general rule is not followed which may be due to the fact that performing two comparisons and up to two swaps before the start of the partition is extra work which may add a small overhead that is not always justified when dealing with fully randomized data.

P2

- (a)code implementation in vscode

Homework 7

ADS

Problem 7.2

(b) The three-way Quickselect uses two pivots to divide the array into three subarrays. (In the Best Case \Rightarrow 3 subarrays are equal and $\approx n/3$)

1st part ($\leq \text{pivot 1}$) $\approx n/3$ elements
2nd part ($\text{pivot 1} < \text{2nd part} \leq \text{pivot 2}$) $\approx n/3$ elements
3rd part ($> \text{pivot 2}$) $\approx n/3$ elements

The recursive call occurs three times $\Rightarrow 3T(n/3)$

The partitioning step:

- we choose two pivots and we scan the array once to split them into three subcategories. Because the array is scanned once $\Rightarrow O(n)$ time complexity.
So, for best case (~~subcategories~~ subarrays of equal values $\Rightarrow \approx n/3$ elements each) the recurrence relation is:
 $T(n) = 3T(n/3) + O(n)$;

$$a=3, b=3; f(n)=O(n)$$

$$n^{\log_a a} = n^{\log_3 3} = n^1 = n$$

$$n^{\log_a a} = O(n)$$

$$f(n) = O(n) \text{ and } n^{\log_a a} = n^1 \Rightarrow f(n) = O(n^{\log_a a})$$

(Case 2 of the Master's theorem $\Rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_a a})$)
 $= \Theta(n \log n)$

Worst case occurs when partitioning is highly unbalanced (e.g.: pivots are chosen poorly (smallest and highest element \Rightarrow almost all fall under one partition) \Rightarrow problem is not reduced to a smaller number of steps).

Now, instead of getting three equal parts ($\approx n/3$ each),
we will have one partition of size $n-2$ and
the other two are empty (because we got pivot 1 as
the smallest element and pivot 2 as the largest) \Rightarrow
 $\Rightarrow T(n-2)$

Because the n elements of the array are scanned
once $\Rightarrow O(n)$

\Rightarrow recursion formula for worst case:

$$T(n) = T(n-2) + O(n);$$

$$T(n) = T(n-2) + c'n$$

Induction Hypothesis:

We guess that

$$T(m) \leq cm^2$$

Base case: $T(1) = O(1)$, which satisfies $T(m) \leq cm^2$

Induction step: We assume that $T(k) \leq ck^2$, $\forall k < m$

We now need to prove this for m :

$$T(m) = T(m-2) + O(m)$$

By the induction hypothesis: $T(m-2) \leq c(m-2)^2$

$$T(m) \leq c(m-2)^2 + O(m)$$

$$T(m) \leq c(m^2 - 4m + 4) + O(m)$$

$$T(m) \leq cm^2 - 4cm + 4c + O(m)$$

$O(m)$ is at most m multiplied by some constant p :

$O(m) \leq pm$, we rewrite

$$T(m) \leq cm^2 - 4cm + 4c + pm$$

$$T(m) \leq cm^2 - (4c - p)m + 4c$$

For $T(m) \leq cm^2$ to hold, we need the extra term / the residual one's to be ≤ 0

$$-(4c - p)m + 4c \leq 0 \quad / (-1)$$

$$(4c - p)m - 4c \geq 0$$

$$(4c - p)m \geq 4c$$

$$4c - p \geq \frac{4c}{m}$$

As $m \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \frac{4c}{m}$ approaches 0 for sufficiently large m

$$\Rightarrow 4c - p \geq 0 \quad (\Rightarrow) \quad 4c \geq p \quad \Rightarrow c \geq \frac{p}{4}$$

For $c \geq \frac{p}{4}$ our assumption works \Rightarrow The induction holds
 $\forall m \geq 1, c \geq p/4$

$$\Rightarrow T(n) \leq 2n^2 \Rightarrow T(n) = O(n^2);$$

CS Scanned with CamScanner

(c) see code implementation in vs code