## AAKASH GHOSH (19MS129)

## ANALYSIS 5

## Contents

1	Sign	gned Measure					
	1.1	Introduction	5				
	1.2	Upper and lower continuity	5				
	1.3	Positive, Negetive and Null Set	5				
	1.4	Hahn Decomposition	6				
	1.5	Jordan Decomposition	6				
	1.6	Total Variation Measure	7				
1.7 Absolute Continuity							
	1.8	Radon-Nikodym theorem $\dots$	8				
	1.9	Solutions to Real Analysis By Folland, Section 3.1,3.2 $$	9				
		1.9.1 Solutions to problems in section 3.1	9				
Bi	ibliog	graphy	13				

### 1 Signed Measure

#### 1.1 Introduction

**Definition 1** (Signed Measure). Given a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ , a signed measure is a function  $\nu : \mathcal{M} \to [-\infty, \infty]$  with the following properties:

- 1.  $\nu(\phi) = 0$
- 2.  $\nu$  can assume either  $\infty$  or  $-\infty$  but not both
- 3. If  $\{E_j\}$  is a sequence of disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{M}$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(E_i) = \nu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i)$

#### 1.2 Upper and lower continuity

**Theorem 1** (Uppercontinuity). Let  $\{E_i\}$  be a countable collection of measurable set with  $E_i \subseteq E_{i+1}$ . Then:

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \nu(E_i) = \nu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \tag{1.1}$$

**Theorem 2** (Lowercontinuity). Let  $\{E_i\}$  be a countable collection of measurable set with  $E_{i+1} \subseteq E_i$ . Then:

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \nu(E_i) = \nu\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \tag{1.2}$$

*Proof.* Same as what we do for unsigned measure

#### 1.3 Positive, Negetive and Null Set

**Definition 2** (Positive set). A set whose every mesurable subset E satisfies  $\nu(E) \geq 0$  is called a positive set.

In a similar fashion we define:

**Definition 3** (Negetive set). A set whose every mesurable subset E satisfies  $\nu(E) \leq 0$  is called a positive set.

One should note that normal measures are also signed measure, the only difference is the extension of the range of the measure function to cover almost all of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 4** (Null set). A set whose every mesurable subset E satisfies  $\nu(E) = 0$  is called a positive set.

We consider an example. Let  $\mu$  be an unsigned measure and let f be a measurable  $L^1$  function . Let us define a measure nu as:

$$\nu(E) = \int_{E} f d\mu \tag{1.3}$$

Then  $\nu$  is a signed measure. If E is a set such that  $f \geq 0$   $\mu.a.e$  on E then E is a positive set. Similarly we can find negetive and null sets.

**Lemma 3.** 1. Subsets of positive sets are positive

2. Countable<sup>1</sup> union of positive sets are positive Similar results are also valid for null and negetive sets.

The next lemma will be required for the proof of **Hahn Decomposition Theorem** in the next section.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\nu$  be a signed measure which doesn't attain  $\infty$ . A set with a positive measure has a positive subset.

# <sup>1</sup> A countable union is needed as in case of uncountable union, there will be a chance that the union will not belong to the sigma algebra; a sigma algebra is closed in countable union and not under arbitary union

#### 1.4 Hahn Decomposition

**Theorem 5** (Hahn Decomposition Theorem). If  $\nu$  is a signed measure on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ , there exist a positive set P and a negative set N for  $\nu$  such that  $P \cup N = X$  and  $P \cap N = \phi$  Moreover if P', N' is another such pair, then  $P\Delta P'(=N\Delta N')$  is null in  $\nu$ .

#### $Proof\ Outline:$

- 1. Define  $m = \sup_{\text{positive sets}} \nu(P)$
- 2. Take a sequence  $\{p_i\}$  such that  $\lim_{i\to\infty} \nu(p_i) = m$
- 3. Show if  $P = \bigcup p_i$  then  $\nu(P) = m$
- 4. Show if  $N = P^c$  and if N has a set with positive measure, then by lemma 4, there is contradiction.
- 5. If  $E \subseteq P\Delta P'$  and  $\nu(E) \neq 0$ . Without loss of generality assume  $E' = E \cap P$  is not null. Then  $E' \subseteq P'^c = N'$  which contradicts negetivity of N'

#### 1.5 Jordan Decomposition

**Definition 5** (Mutually singular measures). Two measures  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  are said to be mutually singular if there exists a partition of X in E and F such that  $X = E \sqcup F$  and E is null in  $\mu$  and F is null in  $\nu^2$ 

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  That is to say that the measures  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  "lives" on different sets.

**Notation:** If  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  are mutually singular, then we denote it as:

$$\nu \perp \mu$$

**Theorem 6** (Jordan Decomposition Theorem). Given a (signed) measure  $\nu$  there exists unique positive measures  $\nu^+, \nu^-$  such that:

$$\nu = \nu^{+} - \nu^{-} \quad \nu^{+} \perp \nu^{-} \tag{1.4}$$

#### Proof Outline: 3

- 1. Existance follows by Hahn decomposition.
- 2. Start by assuming the decomposition is not unique and theere exists two such decomposition  $\nu = \nu^+ \nu^- = \mu^+ \mu^-$ .
- 3. There exists partition of X in E, F due to  $\mu^+, \mu^-$  and in P, N due to  $\nu^+, \nu^-$ . If A is measurable, show that

$$\mu^+(A) = \nu(A \cap E) = \nu(A \cap E \cap P) + \nu(A \cap E \cap N)$$

- 4. As E is positive and N is negetive, show that  $A \cap E \cap N$  is a null set. Repeat or  $\nu^+$  and get similar results
- 5. Show  $\nu^+ = \mu^+$  and in a similar way  $\mu^- = \nu^-$

#### 1.6 Total Variation Measure

**Definition 6** (Total Variation Measure). If a measure  $\nu$  decomposes in singular  $\nu^+$  and  $\nu^-$  then we define the total variation measure  $|\nu|$  as

$$|\nu| = \nu^+ + \nu^- \tag{1.5}$$

**Lemma 7.** The following statements are equivalent:

- 1. E is null in  $\nu$
- 2.  $\nu^+(E) = 0$  and  $\nu^-(E) = 0^4$
- 3.  $|\nu|(E) = 0$

Lemma 8. The following statemwents are equivalent:

- 1.  $\nu \perp \mu$
- 2.  $\nu^+ \perp \mu$  and  $\nu^- \perp \mu$
- 3.  $|\nu| \perp \mu$

Proof for lemma 7 and 8 is at the end, they are given as exercise in Folland, ch3. Other properties which gets reflected are finiteness and  $\sigma$ -finiteness.

- <sup>3</sup> As I understand it, the main idea is if there is two decomposition as outlined in step 2 and 3, then we have 4 sets to deal with:
- $P \cap F$  and  $E \cap N$ : which are null as they are intersection of positive and negetive sets
- $P \cap E$  where  $\mu^+$  and  $\nu^+$  agree and  $\mu^-, \nu^- = 0$
- $N \cap F$  where  $\mu^-$  and  $\nu^-$  agree and  $\mu^+, \nu^+ = 0$

Make this nice and you get the proof outlined.

This works before as by Hahn-Jordan, the decomposition is unique. The definition is important as by Lemma 7 and Lemma 8, we see that properties of  $\nu$  is reflected in  $|\nu|$ 

<sup>4</sup> For unsigned measures, being null and having a measure 0 is same.

#### 1.7 Absolute Continuity

**Definition 7** (Absolute Continuity). Let  $\mu$  be an unsigned measure. We say  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  if for any measurable set E,  $\mu(E) = 0 \implies \nu(E) = 0$ 

**Notation:**  $\nu$  is absolutely continious with respect to  $\mu$  is denoted by:

$$\nu \ll \mu$$

Unlike mutual singulaity,  $\nu \ll \mu$  doesn't imply  $\mu \ll \nu$ . In a sense, being mutually singular and being absolutely continious are exclusive concepts. If  $\nu \perp \mu$  and  $\nu \ll \mu$  then  $\nu = 0$ 

**Lemma 9.** The following statements are equivalent:

- 1.  $\nu \ll \mu$
- 2.  $\nu^+ \ll \mu$  and  $\nu^- \ll \mu$
- $3. |\nu| \ll \mu$

**Lemma 10.** If  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  are finite measures,  $\nu \ll \mu$  if and only if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  usch that  $|\nu(E)| < \epsilon$  whenever  $\mu(E) < \delta$ 

#### Proof Outline:

- 1. By Lemma 9, we need to show this is true for  $|\nu|$  and we will be done. This is why, without loss of generality, we can assume  $\nu$  is unsigned.
- 2. Don't understand why this is trivial
- 3. Make a decresing sequence of mesurable sets
- 4. Show if there exists  $\epsilon$  with no such  $\delta$  then  $\mu$  of intersection goes to 0 but  $\nu$  of intersection stays above  $\epsilon$ . This contradicts absolute continuity.

#### Radon-Nikodym theorem

Theorem 11 (Radon-Nikodym theorem). The theorem has two parts:

- 1. For a measure space, with  $\sigma$ -finite measures  $\nu$  (unsigned) and  $\mu$  (unsigned), there is a unique decomposition of  $\nu$  in  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  such that  $\nu_1 \ll \mu$  and  $\nu_2 \perp \mu$
- 2. There exists a function f which is integrable in the etended sense such that  $\nu_1(E) = \int_E f d\mu$ . Moreover, if there are two such functions  $f_1, f_2$  then  $f_1 = f_2 \mu.a.e.$

<sup>5</sup> This lemma gives some motivation for the nomenclature of absolute continuity

#### Proof Outline:

#### 1. Step 1: $\nu$ , $\mu$ are finite

- (a) Note that  $\nu(E) = \int_E f d\mu + \nu_2(E) \Rightarrow \nu(E) \geq \int_E f d\mu$
- (b) Make a family of function  $\mathcal{F}$  which satisfy this.
- (c) Let  $\alpha$  be suprema of the integral of f in family. Find  $f_n$  whose integral approach  $\alpha$ . Set  $g_n(x) = \max\{f_1(x), f_2(x) \dots f_n(x)\}$ . Show  $g_n$  is increasing and is in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Find limit of  $g_n$  as g. Use MCT to show that  $\alpha$  is attained by g.
- (d) Set  $\nu_2 = \nu \nu_1$ . Show  $\nu_2 \perp \mu$ .
- 2. Step 2: Assume  $\sigma, \mu$  are  $\sigma$ -finite.
  - (a) Divide X in disjoint countable  $B_i$  each with finite measure.
  - (b) Restrict  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  in  $B_i$  to get  $\mu_i, \nu_i$ . Repeat step 1 to get  $f_i, \nu_i^1, \nu_i^2$  in  $B_i$ . Set  $f = \sum f_i, \nu^1 = \sum \nu_i^1, \nu^2 = \sum \nu_i^2$
- 3. Step 3: Uniqueness of decomposition: If  $\nu_1, \nu_2$  and  $\hat{\nu}_1, \hat{\nu}_2$  are two decomposition then  $\nu_1 \hat{\nu}_1 = \hat{\nu}_2 \nu_2$ . Now  $(\nu_1 \hat{\nu}_1) \ll \mu$  and  $\nu_2 \hat{\nu}_2 \perp \mu$ . So  $\nu_1 \hat{\nu}_1 = 0$
- 4. Step 4: Uniqueness of f: If f, g are two such functions then  $\int_E (f-g) = 0$  or  $f = g \ \mu.a.e.$
- 1.9 Solutions to Real Analysis By Folland, Section 3.1,3.2
- 1.9.1 Solutions to problems in section 3.1

#### Problem 3.1

Prove Proposition 3.1.

Propotion 3.1 is Theorem 1&2 mentioned here.

**Solution 1 outline:** We do it as instructed in the book, by copying Theorem 1.8 from the book.

Solution 2 outline: Decompose  $\mu$  by Hahn Decomposition and apply upper/lower continuity on each of them individually.<sup>7</sup>

#### Proof 1:

By the second condition of definition 1, we can assume  $\mu > -\infty$ .

**Proving Uppercontinuity:** If some  $E_i = \infty$  we are done. Else, set  $E_0 = \phi$ . Define  $F_i = E_i \setminus E_{i-1}$ . Not that any two  $F_i, F_j$  is disjoint. Then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$ . It follows that:

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(F_i\right) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \mu(E_i)$$

<sup>6</sup> The reason to take a in first place is because we don't know if  $f_n$  converges. The reason we take such a  $g_n$  is sothat we can intechange the limit and integral by applying MCT.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Proof of Hahn decomposition doesn't assume upper/lower continuity

The last step follows by countable additivity.

**Proving Lowercontinuity:** Set  $F_j = E_1 \setminus E_j$ . Then  $F_i \subseteq F_{i+1}$  and  $\mu(E_1) = \mu(F_j) + \mu(E_j)$ . Also,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_j = E_1 \setminus (\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_j)$ . Apply uppercontinuity to get:

$$\mu(E_1) = \mu\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_j\right) + \lim_{j \to \infty} \mu(F_j) = \mu\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_j\right) + \mu(E_1) - \lim_{j \to \infty} \mu(E_j)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{j \to \infty} \mu(E_j) = \mu\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_j\right)$$

#### Problem 3.2

If  $\nu$  is a signed measure, E is  $\nu$ -null iff  $|\nu|(E)=0$ . Also, if  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  are signed measures,  $\nu \perp \mu$  iff  $\nu^+ \perp \mu$  and  $\nu^- \perp \mu$ 

**Solution outline:** This the problem corresponding to lemma 7 and 8. In both case we shall show  $1 \Rightarrow 2 \Rightarrow 3 \Rightarrow 1$ .

Solution part-1(Proof of lemma 7):

The Steps are based on lemma 7.

Step 1: 1 ⇒ 2
 Let P, N be the decomposition of N in positive and negetive sets using HJD[Hahn Jordan Decomposition]. Let E be a null set.

Then:

$$\nu^{+}(E) = \nu^{+}(E \cap P) - \nu^{-}(E \cap N)$$
$$= \nu^{+}(E \cap P)$$
$$= \nu(E \cap P) - \nu^{-}(E \cap P)$$
$$= \nu(E \cap P) = 0$$

Similar reult is obtained for  $\nu^-$ . For unsigned measures, a measure zero set is null set, so we are done.

• Step 2:  $2 \Rightarrow 3$ This is the easy step.

$$|\nu|(E) = \nu^{+}(E) + \nu^{-}(E) = 0$$

• Step 3:  $3 \Rightarrow 1$ Note that for any measurable subset A of E we have  $|\nu|(A) = 0$ . We also have:

$$|\nu(A)| = |\nu^+(A) - \nu^-(A)| \le \nu^+(A) + \nu^-(A) = |\nu|(A) = 0$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \nu(A) = 0$$

Therefore, E is null in  $\nu$ 

#### Solution part-2(Proof of lemma 8):

The Steps are based on lemma 8.

#### • Step 1: $1 \Rightarrow 2$

Let P,N be the decomposition of N in positive and negetive sets using HJD[Hahn Jordan Decomposition]. Let A,B be the disjoint decomposition of X for  $\nu$  and  $\mu$ . Then it is easy to check every element lies in one of the four sets:  $A\cap P, A\cap N, B\cap P, B\cap N$ . Now note,

- Decomposition of X for  $\nu^+$  and  $\mu$  is achived by  $A \cap P$  and  $(A \cap N) \cup (B \cap P) \cup (B \cap N)$
- Decomposition of X for  $\nu^-$  and  $\mu$  is achived by  $A \cap N$  and  $(A \cap P) \cup (B \cap P) \cup (B \cap N)$

#### • Step 2: $2 \Rightarrow 3$

Let decomposition of X for  $\nu^+$  and  $\mu$  be  $E_1, F_1$  and for  $\nu^-$  and  $\mu$  be  $E_2, F_2$ . We calim the decomposition of X for  $|\nu|$  and  $\mu$  is given by  $E_1 \cup E_2$  and  $F_1 \cap F_2$ .<sup>8</sup> Note that  $|\nu|$  is null in  $F_1 \cap F_2$  both  $\nu^+$  and  $\nu^-$  is null in  $F_1, F_2$ .  $\mu$  is null in both  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ . By lemma 3, part 2,  $\mu$  is null in  $E_1 \cup E_2$ .

<sup>8</sup> This is low-key motivated by the decomposition in step 1.

#### • Step 3: $3 \Rightarrow 1$

Let A, B be the disjoint decomposition of X for  $|\nu|$  and  $\mu$ . We claim this is the appropriate decomposition for  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  as well. It is already known  $\mu$  is null in A. As  $|\nu|$  is null in B,  $\nu$  is null in B follows from lemma  $7(3 \Rightarrow 1)$ .

#### Problem 3.3

Let  $\nu$  be a signed measure on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ . Prove

1. 
$$\mathcal{L}^1(\nu) = \mathcal{L}^1(|\nu|)$$

2. If 
$$f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\nu)$$
,  $|\int f d\nu| \leq \int |f| d|\nu|$ 

3. If 
$$e \in \mathcal{M}$$
,  $|\nu|(E)| = \sup\{|\int_E f d\nu| : |f| \le 1\}$ 

Solution outline: Our main goal will be to study f on the decomposition of X made by HJD due to  $\nu$ 

#### Solution part-1:

Let X be decomposed into positive set P and negetive set N. We assume  $\nu > -\infty$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\nu)$ . Let  $\chi_E$  denote the characteristic function on E. Then we have:

$$\int |f|d|\nu| = \int |f|(\chi_P + \chi_N)d(\nu^+ + \nu^-) = \int |f|d\nu^+ + \int |f|d\nu^- < \infty$$
(1.6)

Therefore,  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(|\nu|)$ . Now assume  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(|\nu|)$ . Then as before,

$$\infty > \int |f|d|\nu| = \int |f|(\chi_P + \chi_N)d(\nu^+ + \nu^-) = \int |f|d\nu^+ + \int |f|d\nu^-$$
(1.7)

But as  $\nu+, \nu^-$  are both unsigned we can conclude that  $\int |f| d\nu^+, \int |f| d\nu^- < \infty$ . Therefore,  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\nu)$ 

#### Solution part-2:

$$\left| \int f d\nu \right| = \left| \int f(\chi_P + \chi_N) d(\nu^+ - \nu^-) \right|$$

$$= \left| \int f d\nu^+ - \int f d\nu^- \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \int f d\nu^+ \right| + \left| \int f d\nu^- \right|$$

$$\leq \int |f| d\nu^+ + \int |f| d\nu^- = \int |f| d|\nu|$$

#### Solution part-2:

Firt we show that  $|\nu|(E)$  is an upper bound and then we show that it is attained. For any measurable f with  $|f| \le 1$  we have:

$$\left| \int_{E} f d\nu \right| \le \int_{E} |f| d|\nu| \le \int_{E} d|\nu| = |\nu|(E)$$

Therefore,  $|\nu|(E)$  is an upper bound. Now set  $f = \chi_P - \chi_N$ . For any  $x \in X$ , either  $x \in P$  or  $x \in N$ . Therefore  $f(x) \in \{1, -1\}$ .

$$\left| \int f_E d\nu \right| = \left| \int_E (\chi_P - \chi_N)(\chi_P + \chi_N) d(\nu^+ - \nu^-) \right|$$

$$= \left| \int_E (\chi_P^2 - \chi_N^2) d(\nu^+ - \nu^-) \right|$$

$$= \left| \int_E \chi_P^2 d\nu^+ + \int_E \chi_N^2 d\nu^- \right|$$

$$= \left| \int_E \chi_P d\nu^+ + \int_E \chi_N d\nu^- \right|$$

$$= \left| \nu^+ (E \cap P) + \nu^+ (E \cap N) \right|$$

$$= \left| \nu^+ (E \cap P) + \nu^+ (E \cap N) + \nu^+ (E \cap N) + \nu^+ (E \cap P) \right|$$

$$= \nu^+ (E) + \nu^- (E) = |\nu| (E)$$

## Bibliography