Probability and Wiener process

Aakash Ghosh

19MS129

1st November, 2022



We are going to look at:

1. Probability from a measure theoretic viewpoint



We are going to look at:

- 1. Probability from a measure theoretic viewpoint
- 2. The Law of Large Numbers (LLN)

Timeline

We are going to look at:

- 1. Probability from a measure theoretic viewpoint
- 2. The Law of Large Numbers (LLN)
- 3. The Central Limit Theorem (CTL)

Timeline

We are going to look at:

- 1. Probability from a measure theoretic viewpoint
- 2. The Law of Large Numbers (LLN)
- 3. The Central Limit Theorem (CTL)
- 4. Weiner Processes

Probability from a measure theoretic viewpoint

We look at some terminology used by probabilists and their analysis counterparts.

1. A Sample Space is a Measure Space

- 1. A Sample Space is a Measure Space
- 2. A Measurable set is an Event

- 1. A Sample Space is a Measure Space
- 2. A Measurable set is an Event
- 3. A Measurable function is a Random Variable

- 1. A Sample Space is a Measure Space
- 2. A Measurable set is an Event
- 3. A Measurable function is a Random Variable
- 4. The integral $\int f d\mu$ is called the Expectation or Mean of Random Variable f.

- 1. A Sample Space is a Measure Space
- 2. A Measurable set is an Event
- 3. A Measurable function is a Random Variable
- 4. The integral $\int f d\mu$ is called the Expectation or Mean of Random Variable f. We will denote expectations with $E[\cdot]$

1. The Variance of a Random Variable X is defined as $\sigma^2(X) = \inf_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} E[(X - \alpha)^2].$

1. The Variance of a Random Variable X is defined as $\sigma^2(X) = \inf_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} E[(X - \alpha)^2]$. If X has the second moment, then $\alpha = E[X]$.

- 1. The Variance of a Random Variable X is defined as $\sigma^2(X) = \inf_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} E[(X \alpha)^2]$. If X has the second moment, then $\alpha = E[X]$.
- 2. The Standard Deviation is defined as the $\sigma(X) = \sqrt{Var(X)}$.

- 1. The Variance of a Random Variable X is defined as $\sigma^2(X) = \inf_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} E[(X \alpha)^2]$. If X has the second moment, then $\alpha = E[X]$.
- 2. The Standard Deviation is defined as the $\sigma(X) = \sqrt{Var(X)}$.
- 3. We define the distribution of *X* to be F(t) = P(X < t)

- 1. The Variance of a Random Variable X is defined as $\sigma^2(X) = \inf_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} E[(X \alpha)^2]$. If X has the second moment, then $\alpha = E[X]$.
- 2. The Standard Deviation is defined as the $\sigma(X) = \sqrt{Var(X)}$.
- 3. We define the distribution of *X* to be F(t) = P(X < t)
- 4. For random variables $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$, we can define a joint distribution by considering a map from $\Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and the measure defined by the distribution function given by $F(t_1, t_2 \ldots) = P(\cap \{X_i \le t_i\})$

Independence of events

Independence of Events

A set of events $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is called A set of Independent Events if for any subset $\{E_{i_k}\}$ of those events we have:

$$P(\cap E_{i_k}) = \prod P(E_{i_k})$$

Independence of Events

A set of events $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is called A set of Independent Events if for any subset $\{E_{i_k}\}$ of those events we have:

$$P(\cap E_{i_k}) = \prod P(E_{i_k})$$

We can extend this definition to an infinite collection $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ by requiring $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^k$ to be an independent set of event for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Extension of independence to sub sigma algebras

Let $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a collection of sub sigma algebras. We call this set independent if for any choice of $A_i \in A_i$ we have $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ to be an independent set of events.

Extension of independence to sub sigma algebras

Let $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a collection of sub sigma algebras. We call this set independent if for any choice of $A_i \in A_i$ we have $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ to be an independent set of events.

A thing to note is if we define A_i to be the sigma algebra defined by E_i , then we get the definition given before this.

Independence of Random variables

We call a set of Random variables $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ to be an Indpendent set of random variables if for any collection of measurable sets $B_i \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ we have $\{X_i^{-1}(B_i)\}$ to be a set of independent events.

Independence of Random variables

We call a set of Random variables $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ to be an Indpendent set of random variables if for any collection of measurable sets $B_i \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ we have $\{X_i^{-1}(B_i)\}$ to be a set of independent events.

Such a collection is uniquely characterized by the fact that the joint distribution is the product of the individual distributions, i.e.

$$P_{(X_1,X_2,X_3...)}=\prod P_{(X_i)}$$

Independence is a rather strong property, and we get some non-trivial results.

1.
$$P_{X_1+X_2...} = P_{X_1} * P_{X_2} * ...$$

- 1. $P_{X_1+X_2...}=P_{X_1}*P_{X_2}*...$
- 2. $E(\prod |X_j|) = \prod E(|X_j|)$

1.
$$P_{X_1+X_2...} = P_{X_1} * P_{X_2} * ...$$

2.
$$E(\prod |X_j|) = \prod E(|X_j|)$$

3.
$$\sigma^2(\sum X_i) = \sum \sigma^2(X_i)$$

- 1. $P_{X_1+X_2...} = P_{X_1} * P_{X_2} * ...$
- 2. $E(\prod |X_j|) = \prod E(|X_j|)$
- 3. $\sigma^2(\sum X_i) = \sum \sigma^2(X_i)$
- 4. In general for a measurable f, and independent random Variables X, Y, we have $E(X.(f \circ Y)) = E(X)E(f \circ Y)$

- 1. $P_{X_1+X_2...} = P_{X_1} * P_{X_2} * ...$
- 2. $E(\prod |X_j|) = \prod E(|X_j|)$
- 3. $\sigma^2(\sum X_i) = \sum \sigma^2(X_i)$
- 4. In general for a measurable f, and independent random Variables X, Y, we have $E(X.(f \circ Y)) = E(X)E(f \circ Y)$

Law of large numbers(LLN)

The Idea behind LLN

The idea behind LLN is that when an experiment is run multiple times, the resulting result is close to the mean expectations.

The Idea behind LLN

The idea behind LLN is that when an experiment is run multiple times, the resulting result is close to the mean expectations.

Another way to think about this is to note that the probability (measure) the set taking values away from the expectation is low which is something we expect: points with higher probability gets highlighted when we perform integrations.

The Idea behind LLN

The idea behind LLN is that when an experiment is run multiple times, the resulting result is close to the mean expectations.

Another way to think about this is to note that the probability (measure) the set taking values away from the expectation is low which is something we expect: points with higher probability gets highlighted when we perform integrations.

There are some restriction on random variables for which this law can be applied, and we look at three variations.

The Weak Law of large numbers.

The Weak Law of Large Numbers that if $\{X_j\}_1^\infty$ is a sequence of independent L^2 random variables with means $\{\mu_j\}$ and variances $\{\sigma_j^2\}$, and if $n^{-2}\sum_1^n\sigma_j^2\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$, then $n^{-1}\sum_1^n(X_j-\mu_j)\to 0$ in probability as $n\to\infty$.

The Weak Law of large numbers.

The Weak Law of Large Numbers that if $\{X_j\}_1^\infty$ is a sequence of independent L^2 random variables with means $\{\mu_j\}$ and variances $\{\sigma_j^2\}$, and if $n^{-2}\sum_1^n\sigma_j^2\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$, then $n^{-1}\sum_1^n(X_j-\mu_j)\to 0$ in probability as $n\to\infty$. \checkmark This is very easy to prove: Only requires Chebyshev's inequality

The Weak Law of large numbers.

The Weak Law of Large Numbers that if $\{X_j\}_1^\infty$ is a sequence of independent L^2 random variables with means $\{\mu_j\}$ and variances $\{\sigma_j^2\}$, and if $n^{-2}\sum_1^n\sigma_j^2\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$, then $n^{-1}\sum_1^n(X_j-\mu_j)\to 0$ in probability as $n\to\infty$. \checkmark This is very easy to prove: Only requires Chebyshev's inequality

XAs the name of the theorem suggests, the conditions can be relaxed.

The strong law of natural numbers

Let $\{X_j\}_1^{\infty}$ be a sequence of independent L^2 random variables with means $\{\mu_j\}$ and variances $\{\sigma_j^2\}$. If $n^{-2}\sum_1^n\sigma_j^2\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$, then $n^{-1}\sum_1^n(X_j-\mu_j)\to 0$ in probability as $n\to\infty$.

✓ Relaxes the bounds on $\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2$

The strong law of natural numbers

Let $\{X_j\}_1^{\infty}$ be a sequence of independent L^2 random variables with means $\{\mu_j\}$ and variances $\{\sigma_j^2\}$. If $n^{-2}\sum_1^n\sigma_j^2\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$, then $n^{-1}\sum_1^n(X_j-\mu_j)\to 0$ in probability as $n\to\infty$.

✓Relaxes the bounds on $\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2$ ✗Requires some work for a full prove. In particular, the Borel-Cantelli lemma and Kolmogorov's Inequality identity is required.

The strong law of natural numbers for IIDs

If $\{X_n\}_1^{\infty}$ is a sequence of independent identically distributed L^1 random variables with mean μ , then $n^{-1}\sum_1^n X_j \to \mu$ almost surely as $n \to \infty$.

The strong law of natural numbers for IIDs

If $\{X_n\}_1^{\infty}$ is a sequence of independent identically distributed L^1 random variables with mean μ , then $n^{-1}\sum_1^n X_j \to \mu$ almost surely as $n \to \infty$.

• For IIDs relaxation we get a relaxation with respect to the presence of the second moment.

The Central Limit Theorem (CLT)

Weak/Vague convergence of measure

Let $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of Borel measures on an LCH X. We say the sequence converges to μ vaguely if for any $f \in C_C(X)$ we have $\int f d\mu_n \to \int f d\mu$.

Weak/Vague convergence of measure

Let $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of Borel measures on an LCH X. We say the sequence converges to μ vaguely if for any $f \in C_C(X)$ we have $\int f d\mu_n \to \int f d\mu$.

If $X = \mathbb{R}$, then by Riesz Representation theorem, we have some function f_n such that $\mu_n(E) = \int_E f_n dm$. Then it is sufficient that f_n converges to f on the points of continuity of f.

The Central Limit Theorem (CLT)

Let $\{X_j\}$ be a sequence of independent identically distributed L^2 random variables with mean μ and variance σ^2 . As $n \to \infty$, the distribution of $(\sigma \sqrt{n})^{-1} \sum_1^n (X_j - \mu)$ converges vaguely to the standard normal distribution ν_0^1 , and for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}P\left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}\sum_{1}^{n}(X_n-\mu)\leq a\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{a}e^{-t^2/2}dt$$

Wiener Processes

Wiener Processes occur naturally in nature in the form of Brownian motion. We start with the following assumptions:

1. For an increasing sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, the set of random variables $\{X(t_i+1)-X(t_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are independent.

- 1. For an increasing sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, the set of random variables $\{X(t_i+1)-X(t_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are independent.
- 2. As there are no external factors and the process is homogenous, we can assume X(t+r)-X(t) depends on r only (and not on t).

- 1. For an increasing sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, the set of random variables $\{X(t_i+1)-X(t_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are independent.
- 2. As there are no external factors and the process is homogenous, we can assume X(t+r)-X(t) depends on r only (and not on t).
- 3. Divide n in small sections of length δ . Then we note $X(t+n)-X(n)=\sum_{i=1}^{t/\delta}X(t+(k+1)\delta)-X(t+k\delta)$. We can therefore consider the distribution to be the limiting sum of and infinite series of itself.

- 1. For an increasing sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, the set of random variables $\{X(t_i+1)-X(t_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are independent.
- 2. As there are no external factors and the process is homogenous, we can assume X(t+r)-X(t) depends on r only (and not on t).
- 3. Divide n in small sections of length δ . Then we note $X(t+n)-X(n)=\sum_{i=1}^{t/\delta}X(t+(k+1)\delta)-X(t+k\delta)$. We can therefore consider the distribution to be the limiting sum of and infinite series of itself. Therefore, it stands to reason that the distribution is a normal distribution.

- 1. For an increasing sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, the set of random variables $\{X(t_i+1)-X(t_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are independent.
- 2. As there are no external factors and the process is homogenous, we can assume X(t+r)-X(t) depends on r only (and not on t).
- 3. Divide n in small sections of length δ . Then we note $X(t+n)-X(n)=\sum_{i=1}^{t/\delta}X(t+(k+1)\delta)-X(t+k\delta)$. We can therefore consider the distribution to be the limiting sum of and infinite series of itself. Therefore, it stands to reason that the distribution is a normal distribution.
- 4. Moreover, it is easy to see from the properties of IID's that $\sigma^2(X(t+k)-X(t))=k\sigma^2(X(t+1)-X(t))$

The distribution function

From the above heuristic considerations, owe guess that X has the following distribution :

$$X(t+k)-X(k)\sim \nu_0^{C(k)}$$

... whichindeed supports our assumptions.