

## TD 8: Binary Decision Diagrams

**Exercise 1** (Some BDDs). Draw the BDDs for the following functions, using the order of your choice on the variables  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ :

1. the majority function  $m(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ : its value is 1 iff the majority of the input bits are 1's,
2. the hidden weighted bit function  $h(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ : its value is that of variable  $x_s$ , where  $s = \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i$  and  $x_0$  is defined as 0.

**Exercise 2** (Symmetric Functions). A *symmetric function* of  $n$  variables has the same value for all permutations of the same  $n$  tuple of arguments.

Show that a BDD for a symmetric function has at most  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + 1$  nodes (when omitting the 0-node).

**Exercise 3** (An Upper Bound on the Size of BDDs). The size  $B(f)$  of a BDD for a function  $f$  is defined as the number of its nodes. Consider an arbitrary boolean function  $f$  on the ordered set  $x_1 \dots x_n$ , and consider a variable  $x_k$ .

1. Show that we can bound the number of nodes labeled by  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  by  $2^k - 1$ .
2. How many different subfunctions on the ordered set of variables  $x_{k+1} \dots x_n$  exist? Deduce another bound for the number of nodes labeled by  $\{x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n\}$ .
3. What global bound do you obtain for  $k = n - \log_2(n - \log_2 n)$ ?

**Exercise 4** (Finding the Optimal Order). Reduce the problem of finding the optimal order for the variables in a BDD (one that minimizes the number of vertices in the BDD) to the problem of finding a path of minimal weight in a weighted graph with subsets of  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  as vertices.

**Exercise 5** (Implication operator). Let us consider variables with the order  $u < v < w < x < y$ . Let  $F_1, F_2$  be propositional formulae with

$$F_1 := u \rightarrow (\neg w \wedge (x \leftrightarrow y)) \quad F_2 := v \wedge (x \rightarrow y)$$

- (1) Draw BDDs for  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , using the given order.

Let  $F, G$  be any two formulae and  $x$  a variable. Using the Shannon partitioning  $F = \text{ite}(x, F[x/1], F[x/0])$  we derived the following equation for the conjunction of two formulae:

$$F \wedge G \equiv \begin{cases} F & \text{if } F \equiv G \\ 0 & \text{if } F = 0 \text{ or } G = 0 \\ G & \text{if } F = 1 \\ F & \text{if } G = 1 \\ \text{ite}(x, F[x/1] \wedge G[x/1], F[x/0] \wedge G[x/0]) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (2) What is the corresponding equation for implication  $F \rightarrow G$ ?
- (3) Using the above, construct a BDD for  $F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ .

**Exercise 6** (Algorithms). Describe linear-time algorithms for the following problems:

1. Counting the number of solutions of a boolean function  $f$  represented by a BDD, i.e. of the number of valuations  $\nu$  s.t.  $\nu \models f$ .
2. Given two BDDs for boolean functions  $f$  and  $g$ , finding a valuation in the symmetric difference of  $f$  and  $g$  (i.e., it can be a satisfying assignment for  $f$  and not  $g$  or vice versa)