

**Uniwersytet Warszawski**  
Wydział Matematyki, Informatyki i Mechaniki

**Michał Garmulewicz**

Nr albumu: 304742

**$L_p$ -cohomologies of Riemannian  
 $f$ -horns.**

**Praca licencjacka  
na kierunku MATEMATYKA**

Praca wykonana pod kierunkiem  
**dra hab. Andrzeja Webera**  
Instytut Matematyki

Maj 2015

## **Oświadczenie kierującego pracą**

Potwierdzam, że niniejsza praca została przygotowana pod moim kierunkiem i kwalifikuje się do przedstawienia jej w postępowaniu o nadanie tytułu zawodowego.

Data

Podpis kierującego pracą

## **Oświadczenie autora (autorów) pracy**

Świadom odpowiedzialności prawnej oświadczam, że niniejsza praca dyplomowa została napisana przeze mnie samodzielnie i nie zawiera treści uzyskanych w sposób niezgodny z obowiązującymi przepisami.

Oświadczam również, że przedstawiona praca nie była wcześniej przedmiotem procedur związanych z uzyskaniem tytułu zawodowego w wyższej uczelni.

Oświadczam ponadto, że niniejsza wersja pracy jest identyczna z załączoną wersją elektroniczną.

Data

Podpis autora (autorów) pracy

## **Abstract**

In this thesis  $L_p$ -cohomologies of Riemannian  $f$ -horn are calculated.

## **Słowa kluczowe**

kohomologie de Rhama, topologia różniczkowa

## **Dziedzina pracy (kody wg programu Socrates-Erasmus)**

11.0 Matematyka, Informatyka:

11.1 Matematyka

## **Klasyfikacja tematyczna**

14 Algebraic Geometry

14F (Co)homology theory

14F40 de Rham cohomology

## **Tytuł pracy w języku angielskim**

An implementation of a difference blabalizer based on the theory of  $\sigma - \rho$  phetors



# Contents

<b>1. Introduction</b> . . . . .	5
<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	5
<b>2. Preliminaries</b> . . . . .	7
2.1. Vector spaces and tensors . . . . .	7
2.2. Differetial forms . . . . .	7
2.3. Explanation about induced maps etc. . . . .	8
<b>3. Computation</b> . . . . .	9
<b>Computation</b> . . . . .	9
3.1. Setting . . . . .	9
3.2. Norms . . . . .	9
<b>Bibliography</b> . . . . .	13



# Chapter 1

## Introduction

In [Weber] the author considers a cone over Riemannian pseudomanifold. The cone is given a metric of the form  $dt \otimes dt \oplus t^2 g$  and  $g$  is the metric on the nonsingular part of the original pseudomanifold. In the mentioned work,  $L_p$  cohomology of this space is presented.

This line of research can be traced back to Cheeger [Cheeger]. A similar approach was presented by Youssin [Youssin], where  $f$ -horns were considered.

We present a slight modification of this notions, by considering manifolds where the Riemannian metric is of the for





## Chapter 2

# Preliminaries

### 2.1. Vector spaces and tensors

Let us recall some basic facts about behaviour of norm when scaling tensors. If we consider a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  with a given metric  $\|\cdot\|$  and define a new metric  $\|x\|_r = r\|x\|$ . Then in the space  $(V, \|\cdot\|_r)^*$  dual to  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ , the normed is scaled by the factor  $\frac{1}{r}$ , that is for any  $\varphi \in V^*$  we get  $\|\varphi\|_r = \frac{1}{r}\|\varphi\|$ .

We will make a simple observation that we will later use in the computations. We consider a Riemannian manifold  $M$  and tangent  $T_x M$  and cotangent  $T_x^* M$  spaces in the point  $x$ . Let the bases of these spaces be  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  and dual  $e_1^*, e_2^*, \dots, e_n^*$ . The volume form of this manifold is  $d\text{vol} = \pm e_1^* \wedge e_2^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_n^*$ .

We now want to compute how forms from  $\Lambda(\mathcal{M})$  are scaled with respect to such a change in the norm. Suppose we are considering space of  $k$ -forms on  $M$  at some arbitrary point. Then every  $k$ -form can be locally expressed in a basis consisting of products of covectors belonging to basis dual to the standard basis. That is every  $k$ -form in the point  $x$  using some local coordinates  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  can be written  $\sum_{I \in I} a_I dx_i$ , where  $I$  is the set of  $k$ -indices of form  $\underbrace{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)}_{k \text{ times}}$ , with  $i_1, i_2, \dots \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . (following Einstein convention). Let us see how basis vector is scaled:

$$\begin{aligned} \|dx_{i_1} \wedge dx_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}\|_t &= \|dx_{i_1}\|_t \cdot \|dx_{i_2}\|_t \cdot \dots \cdot \|dx_{i_k}\|_t = \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \|dx_{i_1}\| \cdot \frac{1}{t} \|dx_{i_2}\| \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{1}{t} \|dx_{i_k}\| = \frac{1}{t^k} \|dx_{i_1} \wedge dx_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}\| \end{aligned}$$

This means that any  $k$  form is scaled by  $1/t^k$  when metric is scaled by a factor of  $t$ . This applies also to the volume form, so we obtain:

$$d\text{vol}_t = \frac{1}{t^n} d\text{vol}$$

### 2.2. Differential forms

**Riemannian metric** is a smooth symmetric covariant 2-tensor field on manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  that is positive definite at each point. (attaching a field of linear functions that takes two variables to every point of the manifold).

Consulting page 328 of Lee gives us that in any smooth local coordinates  $(x^i)$ , Riemannian metric can be written as:

$$g = g_{ij}dx^i \otimes dx^j = g_{ij}dx^i dx^j$$

where  $g_{ij}$  is a positive definite matrix of smooth functions.

The simplest example of Riemannian metric is *Euclidean metric* on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  given in standard coordinates by

$$g = \delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j.$$

Citing prof. Lee, it is common to abbreviate the symmetric product of a tensor  $\alpha$  with itself by  $\alpha^2$ , so the Euclidean metric can also be written as

$$g = (dx^1)^2 + \dots + (dx^n)^2,$$

so now it is way easier to understand what exactly is meant by  $dt \otimes dt + f^2g$ , which should be the same as  $dt^2 + f^2g$ .

**Induced map** For any smooth map  $F : M \rightarrow N$  between two smooth manifolds with or without boundary, the pullback  $F^* : \Omega^p N \rightarrow \Omega^p M$  carries closed forms to closed forms and exact forms to exact forms. It thus descends to a linear map, denoted by  $F^* : H^p N \rightarrow H^p M$ , too.

Digression in digression in digression: **Pullback** of  $F^*$  is

$$(F^*\omega)_p(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \omega_{F(p)}(dF_p(v_1), \dots, dF_p(v_k)).$$

## 2.3. Explanation about induced maps etc.

If we have two smooth maps  $F, G : M \rightarrow N$  and we want to prove that the induced maps are equal  $F^* = G^*$ . Given a closed  $p$ -form  $\omega$  on  $N$ , we need to produce a  $(p-1)$ -form  $\eta$  on  $M$  such that

$$G^*\omega - F^*\omega = d\eta$$

from this, it will follow that  $G^*[\omega] - F^*[\omega] = [d\eta] = 0$ , where  $[\ ]$  is just taking homotopy equivalence class of given form. The author suggests a way to make it more systematic, by finding an operator  $h$ , which transforms closed  $p$ -forms on  $N$  to  $(p-1)$ -forms on  $M$  and satisfies

$$d(h\omega) = G^*\omega - F^*\omega.$$

Instead of defining  $h\omega$  only when  $\omega$  is close, it turns out to be far easier to define a map  $h$  from the space of *all* smooth  $p$ -forms on  $N$  to the space of smooth  $(p-1)$ -forms on  $M$ , which satisfies:

$$d(h\omega) + h(d\omega) = G^*\omega - F^*\omega,$$

which implies the above equality when  $\omega$  is closed. (To be completely precise, we define a family of maps, one for each  $p$ , which satisfy said equalities on adequate levels.

$$H(\mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq})_{dR}^* = H(\mathcal{M})_{dR}^*$$

## Chapter 3

# Computation

The purpose of this chapter is to present the computation of  $L_p$ -cohomologies of Riemannian  $f$ -horns.

### 3.1. Setting

Here we introduce definitions and make the first observations. The setting is largely similar to the setting presented in [Weber], [Youssin], [Cheeger].

**Definition 3.1.1** ( $f$ -horn). Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a Riemannian manifold. Consider a space  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathcal{M}$ . Define a Riemannian tensor on this product by  $dt^2 \oplus f^2(t)g$ , where  $g$  is the metric on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Such a space will be called an  **$f$ -horn**. We will denote it by  $c^f \mathcal{M}$ .

**Definition 3.1.2.** By  $L_p^k \mathcal{M}$  we will denote the space of  $p$ -integrable  $k$ -forms with measurable coefficients.

At first, we will focus our attention on scaling functions from family  $f_\alpha(x) = e^{\alpha x}$ , parametrized by  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . The intuition behind such manifolds is best presented graphically, as in the Figure ??.

We can make a simple observation here about differential forms associated with  $f$ -horn. The tangent space in the point  $(t, m)$  is:

$$T_{(t,m)}(c^f \mathcal{M}) = \mathbb{R} \times T_m \mathcal{M}$$

In terms of differential forms associated with  $f$ -horn, it means that  $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R} \times T_m \mathcal{M}) = \Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \Lambda^k(\mathcal{M})$ . It can be rephrased in friendlier terms in the following way.

**Remark 3.1.1.** Every  $k$ -form  $\omega \in \Lambda^k T(c^f \mathcal{M})$ , and consequently every form in the space of  $p$ -integrable forms  $L_p^k(c^f \mathcal{M})$  can be written as  $\omega = \eta + \xi \wedge dt$ , where both  $\eta$  and  $\xi$  do not contain  $dt$ . Please note that  $\eta$  is  $k$ -form and  $\xi$  is  $k - 1$  form.

### 3.2. Norms

Here we define norms of different forms used later in the computation.

For  $\omega \in L_p^k \mathcal{M}$  we have the norm:

$$||\omega|| = (\int_{\mathcal{M}} |\omega|^p d\text{vol})^{1/p}$$

We have the standard inclusion:

$$\begin{aligned} i_r : \mathcal{M} &\rightarrow c^f \mathcal{M}, \\ i_r(x) &= (x, r). \end{aligned}$$

With this in mind, for  $\omega = \eta + \xi \wedge dt$  we will write  $\omega_r = i_r^*(\omega) = i_r^*(\eta)$ .

**Definition 3.2.1.** We define norm "at the level  $r$ " to be:

$$||\omega||_r = ||\omega|_{\mathcal{M} \times \{r\}}|| = f(r)^{n/p-k} ||\omega_r||$$

Similarly, when we consider the standard projection operator:

$$\pi : c^f \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

for  $\eta \in L_p^*(c^f \mathcal{M})$  we can write:

$$||\eta||_r := ||\pi^* \eta||_r = f(r)^{n/p-k} ||\eta_r||.$$

As we will be computing cohomologies, we should define the homology operator:

$$I_r : \Omega^*(c^f \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \Omega^{*-1}(c^f \mathcal{I})$$

$$I_r(\omega)(x, t) = \int_r^t \xi(x, s) ds$$

Down here trouble begins: ???

Here probably we need some kind of Poincare lemma

The form  $I_r \omega$  is smooth for  $r \in (0, 1)$ , but will also consider  $r = 0$  in certain cases. If  $r > 0$  then the homotopy formula holds:

$$\omega - \pi^*(\omega_r) = dI_r \omega + I_r d\omega.$$

This one probably is Poincare lemma in a version suited for our situation. This is a good place to rewrite it here using Bott

??

**Lemma 3.2.1.** Let  $\alpha(n/p - k) < 0$  Then the form  $\pi^* \eta$  is  $p$ -integrable for each  $p$ -integrable form  $\eta \in L_p^k(\mathcal{M})$ .

*Proof.*

$$||\pi^* \eta||^p = \int_{c\mathcal{M}} |\pi^* \eta(x, t)|^p d\text{vol}(c\mathcal{M}) = \int_0^\infty ||\eta||_t^p dt = ||\eta||^p \int_0^\infty f(t)^{n-pk} dt$$

so, if  $f$  is given by  $f(x) = e^\alpha$ , the last integral is  $||\eta||^p \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha(n-pk)} dt$ . This integral is convergent if  $\alpha(n - pk) < 0$ .  $\square$

The following lemma will also be useful in further computation.

**Lemma 3.2.2** (Estimation of  $\int \xi$ ).

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_t^r \xi_x dx \right\| &\leq \int_t^r \|\xi_x\| dx = \\ &= \int_r^t f(x)^{i-n/p} f(x)^{n/p-i} \|\xi_x\| dx \leq \\ &= \left| \int_r^t f(x)^{i-n/p} dx \right|^{1/q} \cdot \left| \int_r^t f(x)^{n/p-i} \|\xi_s\| ds \right|^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 3.2.3.** *Let  $k < (n+1)/p$ . Then for  $p$ -integrable  $\omega \in \Omega^k(\mathcal{C}^f \mathcal{M})$  the form  $I_r \omega$  is integrable.*

*Proof.* For the case  $k < (n+1)/p = n/p - 1/q + 1$  we get from the previous lemma:

$$\|I_r \omega\| = \int_0^\infty \left\| \int_r^t \xi_s ds \right\| dt \leq C \int_0^\infty f(t)^{p/q} dt,$$

and for  $k = (n+1)/p$ , we get:

$$\|I_r \omega\| \leq \int_0^1 |t(\log r - \log t)|^{p/q} dt$$

□

**Remark 3.2.1.** Based on the above lemma, we get that if  $k < (n+1)/p$  then a  $p$ -integrable closed form is cohomologous to  $\pi^* \omega_r$  for almost all  $r$ .

$$\omega = dI_0 \omega + I_0 d\omega$$

*Proof.* We have  $\omega - \pi^*(\omega_r) = dI_r \omega$  and  $I_r \omega$  is  $p$ -integrable. The form  $\omega_r$  is  $p$ -integrable for almost all  $r$ . So for almost all  $r$  the form  $dI_r \omega$  is also  $p$ -integrable. □

**Lemma 3.2.4.** *Let  $k > (n+1)/p$ . Then  $I_0 \omega$  is  $p$ -integrable for  $\omega \in L_p \Omega^k(\mathcal{C}^f \mathcal{M})$  and the homotopy formula holds:*

$$\omega = dI_0 \omega + I_0 d\omega$$

*Proof.* Again, we estimate

$$\|I_0 \omega\| = \int_0^\infty \left\| \int_0^t \xi_s ds \right\|_t^p dt \leq \dots,$$

so this norm is finite. The form  $I_0 \omega$  may not be smooth. We

□



# Bibliography

- [Weber] Andrzej Weber, *An isomorphism from intersection homology to  $L_p$ -cohomology*, Forum Mathematicum, de Gruyter, 1995.
- [Cheeger] Jeff Cheeger, *On the Hodge theory of Riemannian pseudomanifolds*, Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math. vol.36, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1982.
- [Bott] Raou Bott, *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, Springer Verlag, 1982.
- [Youssin] Boris Youssin,  *$L_p$  cohomology of cones and horns* J. Differential Geometry, Volume 39, Number 3, 1994.
- [Lee] Lee, *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds*