

Howland's Axiom of Information Locality

Registry: [\[HOWL-INFO-4-2026\]](#)

Series Path: [\[HOWL-INFO-1-2026\]](#) → [\[HOWL-INFO-2-2026\]](#) → [\[HOWL-INFO-3-2026\]](#) → [\[HOWL-INFO-4-2026\]](#)

Parent Framework: [\[HOWL-INFO-1-2026\]](#)

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.zzz

Date: February 2026

Domain: Information Theory

Status: Working Methodology

The Axiom

“For a unique set of information, if a decision needs to be made and accuracy is critical, all non-local data is invalid.”

Definitions

Unique Set of Information

A unique set of information is created any time you abstract any state into a single entity. This could be an environment in a moment of time (fixed state for that moment), or it could be a physical unit (such as a hard drive) in a given moment.

Uniqueness requires constraint, so if you had a physical unit (such as a disk drive) you could consider either a given moment, or a set of moments (total life-span of this drive) as one unique set of state.

However, if you choose the total life-span of this physical disk drive’s state, how much of that local-data do you have access to? Only that local data will be included in the unique state.

The maximum local-data available for physical objects is always in the “now” moment, when one can actually look for data, and then look for related data, and so on. No matter how one creates their unique set of information can be created from any set of information, but there are obvious limits to what local-data is available for a given set of data.

For non-physical objects, such as computer data, the data set is self-contained, and so a “now” moment has the same amount of data as any other stored moment in time (snapshot).

If you have more than 1 physical thing, then you must consider the set of them as a given unique state. The important thing for qualifying uniqueness for this Axiom is that

nothing can be anonymous, nothing can be random, we are talking about a particular set of information, that is a local set of information.

Unique Set of Information, as a Population

- When the population size is one...
- ...And you care about the accuracy of your data to be as precise as possible...
- ...Only local information is valid...
- ...And no external data can determine anything about this single unit's single instance (now)...
- ...Because all its state data is unique and local to that single unit's single instance's current state.

To lay out the requirements for this to be true:

- You have a single unit at a single instance in time.
- You need to make a decision about this unit that requires accurate data, because it's important that whatever it is that you are going to do needs to provide a result that meets your goals.

Accuracy Is Critical

By accuracy being critical, I mean:

- That it is critical that the decisions made from whatever information gathering methods are used...
- ...Provide the highest chances to succeed with the specified goals...
- ...Yielding the best results possible...
- ...Under the realities of the situation.

In short, when you really want whatever it is you are going to do, to work.

Non-Local Data

Non-local data is anything that is not inside the stated unique set of local information. If you have a given single rotating disk drive at a given time as the unique set of information, then only information contained by the physical states (including magnetic/etc states, in which data is stored) is included. Data about that series of rotating disk drive's manufacturing defects is not valid, because while that may be statistically significant for a large-enough population of data, it does not describe anything about the exact state of this single rotating disk drive.

You could also include all the set of non-physical data if you wanted to reference the data that was stored in the magnetic/etc states, but this could also be considered its own unique set of information, separate from the physical state of the device.

What determines the local data set is how you are looking at the problem, as that defines what is local to your information set, and what is non-local (external) to your information set.

Local-Data-Only Problems

What this Axiom defines are “**Local-Data-Only**” problems. These are problems where external data is not valid. Having a label of a “Axiom” provides an easy method to point to this treatise to get full information about this, but in common communication saying it is a “Local-Data-Only” problem is a much shorter and easier to way to communicate what needs to be communicated.

When I think about these problems for myself, I think about them with the “Local-Data-Only” label, which provides sufficient clarity for me.

Labels

- **Unique-Information-Set:** A unique set of information. This is distinct from populations of data, which are not being treated a single unique set, or other non-unique sets of data.

Variable: **UIS**

- **Accuracy-Critical:** Accuracy of a decision about a unique set of information is critical. We must succeed with our goals based on this decision, for this given set of information.

Variable: **AC**

- **Local-Data-Only:** A problem that is Local-Data-Only. Non-local (external) data is invalid.

Variable: **LDO**

Formula

Given **UIS**, if **AC** is True, then **LDO** is True.

Examples

Because this concept seems to be currently overlapped by other concepts, it has not been easy to explain how it exists independent from other concepts.

I believe this is currently a gap in our collective understanding. When seen from “common sense” perspective it is obvious. Once we start to look at problems intellectually, we

abandon our “common sense” mechanisms to work with more refined and precise mechanisms, which happen to just not include a mechanism for separating out local-data-only problems from problems where non-local data is valid.

My plan to solve this is through exhaustion. I will provide an exhaustive number of examples, for many different cases, to underline cases in which only local-data is valid.

These define “Local-Data-Only” problems:

- Example: Driving and Encountering an Obstacle
- Example: Walking Up Stairs and Missing the Step
- Example: A Rotating Disk Drive Intermittently Performs Slowly
- Example: Adding a Feature To A Set of Existing Source Code
- Example: Continuing To Type, Once In The Middle of a Sentence
- Example: Making a Decision For What Software To Use On A Project
- Example: The Angle and Force to Use When Throwing a Basketball To Score a Point

Related Concepts

- “The Map Is Not The Territory”
- Statistics: The Ecological Fallacy

Background

I wrote this in the theme of technological self-named Axioms, when one wants to make a point and have a label to reference that point.

Naming it after myself is primarily because it is my assertion that this is true, and I am not finding consensus or a way to even easily discuss this topic because there does not seem to be matching prior art. So having a unique label to reference this by is required to differentiate it from other ideas that are not covering the same material.

If you can invalidate this Axiom, let me know and I will update it accordingly.

I wrote this because there is a real problem going on with people ignoring local data, when it is the local data that will inform them on what their current problem-state is, and they are often looking outside their local data to determine what to do, and this causes problems.

It happens all the time, every day, to every person I know (including myself), and so I am writing this to point out this issue so it can become known, and thus can be addressed appropriately.

Local-data being required for local-data-only problems does not invalidate the use of non-local data for all sorts of other uses, such as using statistics (non-local data) to make a decision about a unique set of data, when accuracy is not critical. Or when using statistics against a large-enough population, or where we only collect small amounts of local data, and again, where accuracy is not critical.

Accuracy being critical is a key element to this. Statistics take into account an amount of error, as acceptable. When that is not acceptable, then they are invalid. If error is acceptable, then it is not an Accuracy-Critical decision that is being made.

You can see the background for when I testing whether there was already prior art for this here:

<https://github.com/ghowland/StatsInvalidWhenPopIsOne>

Renamed from Law to Axiom for more correctness. Most correct would be “Boundary Constraint”.

References

[[HOWL-INFO-4-2026](#)] The CKS Discovery Process. Github: <https://github.com/ghowland/cks/tree/main/papers/INFO/HOWL-INFO-4-2026>

[[HOWL-INFO-1-2026](#)] The CKS Discovery Process. Github: <https://github.com/ghowland/cks/tree/main/papers/INFO/HOWL-INFO-1-2026>

[[HOWL-INFO-2-2026](#)] The CKS Discovery Process. Github: <https://github.com/ghowland/cks/tree/main/papers/INFO/HOWL-INFO-2-2026>

[[HOWL-INFO-3-2026](#)] The CKS Discovery Process. Github: <https://github.com/ghowland/cks/tree/main/papers/INFO/HOWL-INFO-3-2026>