K-theoretic Catalan functions

George H. Seelinger

ghs9ae@virginia.edu

University of Virginia

April 26, 2021

Overview

- Schubert calculus: connecting geometry and combinatorics
- Catalan functions: a new approach to old problems
- K-theoretic Catalan functions

Overview of Schubert Calculus Combinatorics

Geometric problem

Find $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} = \#$ of points in intersection of subvarieties in a variety X.

Overview of Schubert Calculus Combinatorics

Geometric problem

Find $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} = \#$ of points in intersection of subvarieties in a variety X.

$$\downarrow$$

Cohomology

Schubert basis $\{\sigma_\lambda\}$ for $H^*(X)$ with property $\sigma_\lambda \cup \sigma_\mu = \sum_\nu c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}\sigma_\nu$

Overview of Schubert Calculus Combinatorics

Geometric problem

Find $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} = \#$ of points in intersection of subvarieties in a variety X.

$$\downarrow$$

Cohomology

Schubert basis $\{\sigma_\lambda\}$ for $H^*(X)$ with property $\sigma_\lambda \cup \sigma_\mu = \sum_\nu c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} \sigma_\nu$

$$\downarrow$$

Representatives

Special basis of polynomials $\{f_\lambda\}$ such that $f_\lambda \cdot f_\mu = \sum_\nu c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} f_\nu$

Polynomials informing Geometry

Combinatorial study of $\{f_\lambda\}$ enlightens the geometry (and cohomology).

Goal

Identify $\{f_\lambda\}$ in explicit (simple) terms amenable to calculation and proofs.

Algebra of Symmetric Functions

- Polynomials $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ satisfying $\sigma.f = f$ for all $\sigma \in S_n$?

Algebra of Symmetric Functions

- Polynomials $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ satisfying $\sigma.f = f$ for all $\sigma \in S_n$?
- Symmetric polynomials ($n = 3$)

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= x_1 + x_2 + x_3 & h_1 &= x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \\ e_2 &= x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 & h_2 &= x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2^2 + x_2x_3 + x_3^2 \\ e_3 &= x_1x_2x_3 & h_3 &= x_1^3 + x_1^2x_2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_1x_2^2 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Algebra of Symmetric Functions

- Polynomials $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ satisfying $\sigma.f = f$ for all $\sigma \in S_n$?
- Symmetric polynomials ($n = 3$)

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= x_1 + x_2 + x_3 & h_1 &= x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \\ e_2 &= x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 & h_2 &= x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2^2 + x_2x_3 + x_3^2 \\ e_3 &= x_1x_2x_3 & h_3 &= x_1^3 + x_1^2x_2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_1x_2^2 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

- $\{f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \mid \sigma.f = f \, \forall \sigma \in S_n\}$ forms a vector space, $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Algebra of Symmetric Functions

- Polynomials $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ satisfying $\sigma.f = f$ for all $\sigma \in S_n$?
- Symmetric polynomials ($n = 3$)

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= x_1 + x_2 + x_3 & h_1 &= x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \\ e_2 &= x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 & h_2 &= x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2^2 + x_2x_3 + x_3^2 \\ e_3 &= x_1x_2x_3 & h_3 &= x_1^3 + x_1^2x_2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_1x_2^2 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

- $\{f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid \sigma.f = f \, \forall \sigma \in S_n\}$ forms a vector space, $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$.
- Bases indexed by integer partitions.

Partitions

Definition

$n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, a *partition of n* is $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_\ell > 0)$ such that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_\ell = n$.

Definition

$n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, a *partition of n* is $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_\ell > 0)$ such that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_\ell = n$.

$5 \rightarrow$ 

$4 + 1 \rightarrow$ 

$3 + 2 \rightarrow$ 

$3 + 1 + 1 \rightarrow$ 

$2 + 2 + 1 \rightarrow$ 

$2 + 1 + 1 + 1 \rightarrow$ 

$1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 \rightarrow$ 

Grassmannian

Definition

For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\mathrm{Gr}(m, n) = \{V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{m+n} \mid \dim V = m\}$.

Grassmannian

Definition

For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\mathrm{Gr}(m, n) = \{V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{m+n} \mid \dim V = m\}$.

- Topological structure of projective variety.

Grassmannian

Definition

For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\mathrm{Gr}(m, n) = \{V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{m+n} \mid \dim V = m\}$.

- Topological structure of projective variety.
- $\mathrm{Gr}(1, n) = \mathbb{C}P^n$

Grassmannian

Definition

For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\mathrm{Gr}(m,n) = \{V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{m+n} \mid \dim V = m\}$.

- Topological structure of projective variety.
- $\mathrm{Gr}(1,n) = \mathbb{C}P^n$
- "Schubert cell" decomposition

$$\mathrm{Gr}(m,n) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \subseteq (n^m)} \Omega_\lambda$$

Grassmannian

Definition

For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\mathrm{Gr}(m,n) = \{V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{m+n} \mid \dim V = m\}$.

- Topological structure of projective variety.
- $\mathrm{Gr}(1,n) = \mathbb{C}P^n$
- "Schubert cell" decomposition

$$\mathrm{Gr}(m,n) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \subseteq (n^m)} \Omega_\lambda$$

- Schubert varieties $X_\lambda = \overline{\Omega_\lambda}$.

Classical Schubert Calculus

Geometric problem

Find $c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu} = \#$ of points in intersection of Schubert varieties $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \subseteq (n^m)}$ in variety $X = \mathrm{Gr}(m, n)$.

Classical Schubert Calculus

Geometric problem

Find $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} = \#$ of points in intersection of Schubert varieties $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \subseteq (n^m)}$ in variety $X = \mathrm{Gr}(m, n)$.

$$\downarrow$$

Cohomology

Schubert basis $\{\sigma_\lambda\}_{\lambda \subseteq (n^m)}$ for $H^*(X)$ with property $\sigma_\lambda \cup \sigma_\mu = \sum_\nu c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} \sigma_\nu$

Classical Schubert Calculus

Geometric problem

Find $c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu} = \#$ of points in intersection of Schubert varieties $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda\subseteq(n^m)}$ in variety $X = \mathrm{Gr}(m, n)$.

$$\downarrow$$

Cohomology

Schubert basis $\{\sigma_\lambda\}_{\lambda\subseteq(n^m)}$ for $H^*(X)$ with property $\sigma_\lambda \cup \sigma_\mu = \sum_\nu c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}\sigma_\nu$

$$\downarrow$$

Representatives

Special basis of Schur polynomials $\{s_\lambda\}$ indexed by partitions such that $s_\lambda \cdot s_\mu = \sum_\nu c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu} s_\nu$ for Littlewood-Richardson coefficients $c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}$.

Tableaux

Example

Semistandard tableaux: columns increasing and rows non-decreasing.

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \cline{1-1} 5 \\ \cline{1-2} 3 & 4 \\ \cline{1-2} 2 & 3 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 2 & 5 \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \cline{1-1} 8 \\ \cline{1-2} 7 & 9 \\ \cline{1-2} 3 & 4 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Tableaux

Example

Semistandard tableaux: columns increasing and rows non-decreasing.

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\cline{1-1} 5 \\ \cline{1-2} 3 & 4 \\ \cline{1-2} 2 & 3 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 2 & 5 \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\cline{1-1} 8 \\ \cline{1-2} 7 & 9 \\ \cline{1-2} 3 & 4 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\mathrm{wt}(T) = (1,3,2,1,2) \quad (1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)$$

Tableaux

Example

Semistandard tableaux: columns increasing and rows non-decreasing.

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\cline{1-1} 5 \\ \cline{1-2} 3 & 4 \\ \cline{1-2} 2 & 3 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 2 & 5 \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\cline{1-1} 8 \\ \cline{1-2} 7 & 9 \\ \cline{1-2} 3 & 4 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\mathrm{wt}(T) = (1,3,2,1,2) \qquad (1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)$$

$$x^{\mathrm{wt}(T)} = x_1^1 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^1 x_5^2 \qquad x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9$$

Tableaux

Example

Semistandard tableaux: columns increasing and rows non-decreasing.

$$T = \begin{ytableau} 5 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 5 \end{ytableau} \qquad \begin{ytableau} 8 \\ 7 & 9 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 5 & 6 \end{ytableau}$$

$$\mathrm{wt}(T) = (1,3,2,1,2) \quad (1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)$$

$$x^{\mathrm{wt}(T)} = x_1^1 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^1 x_5^2 \qquad x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6x_7x_8x_9$$

$\mathrm{SSYT}(\lambda) =$ all semistandard tableaux of shape λ.

$$\begin{ytableau} 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{ytableau}, \begin{ytableau} 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{ytableau}, \begin{ytableau} 3 \\ 2 & 2 \end{ytableau}, \begin{ytableau} 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{ytableau}, \begin{ytableau} 3 \\ 1 & 3 \end{ytableau}, \begin{ytableau} 3 \\ 2 & 3 \end{ytableau}, \begin{ytableau} 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{ytableau}, \begin{ytableau} 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{ytableau}$$

Schur functions s_λ

Schur function s_λ is a "weight generating function" of semistandard tableaux:

$$\begin{ytableau} 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{ytableau}, \begin{ytableau} 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{ytableau}, \begin{ytableau} 3 \\ 2 & 2 \end{ytableau}, \begin{ytableau} 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{ytableau}, \begin{ytableau} 3 \\ 1 & 3 \end{ytableau}, \begin{ytableau} 3 \\ 2 & 3 \end{ytableau}, \begin{ytableau} 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{ytableau}, \begin{ytableau} 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{ytableau}$$

$$s_{\ydiagram{1,2}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2 x_2 + x_1^2 x_3 + x_2^2 x_3 + x_1 x_2^2 + x_1 x_3^2 + x_2 x_3^2 + 2x_1 x_2 x_3$$

Schur function s_λ is a "weight generating function" of semistandard tableaux:

$$\begin{ytableau} 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{ytableau}, \begin{ytableau} 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{ytableau}, \begin{ytableau} 3 \\ 2 & 2 \end{ytableau}, \begin{ytableau} 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{ytableau}, \begin{ytableau} 3 \\ 1 & 3 \end{ytableau}, \begin{ytableau} 3 \\ 2 & 3 \end{ytableau}, \begin{ytableau} 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{ytableau}, \begin{ytableau} 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{ytableau}$$

$$s_{\ydiagram{1,2}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2x_2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_2^2x_3 + x_1x_2^2 + x_1x_3^2 + x_2x_3^2 + 2x_1x_2x_3$$

$$s_\lambda(x) = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{SSYT}(\lambda)} x^{\mathrm{wt}(T)}$$

Schur functions s_λ

Schur function s_λ is a "weight generating function" of semistandard tableaux:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c}\hline 2 & \\ \hline 1 & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{1} \\ \hline\end{array},\ \begin{array}{|c|c}\hline 3 & \\ \hline 1 & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{1} \\ \hline\end{array},\ \begin{array}{|c|c}\hline 3 & \\ \hline 2 & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{2} \\ \hline\end{array},\ \begin{array}{|c|c}\hline 2 & \\ \hline 1 & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{2} \\ \hline\end{array},\ \begin{array}{|c|c}\hline 3 & \\ \hline 1 & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{3} \\ \hline\end{array},\ \begin{array}{|c|c}\hline 3 & \\ \hline 2 & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{3} \\ \hline\end{array},\ \begin{array}{|c|c}\hline 2 & \\ \hline 1 & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{3} \\ \hline\end{array},\ \begin{array}{|c|c}\hline 3 & \\ \hline 1 & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{2} \\ \hline\end{array}$$

$$s_{\begin{smallmatrix}\square & \\ \square & \square\end{smallmatrix}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2 x_2 + x_1^2 x_3 + x_2^2 x_3 + x_1 x_2^2 + x_1 x_3^2 + x_2 x_3^2 + 2 x_1 x_2 x_3$$

$$s_\lambda(x) = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{SSYT}(\lambda)} x^{\mathrm{wt}(T)}$$

$s_\lambda(x)$ is homogeneous of degree $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_\ell$.

Schur functions s_λ (cont.)

Pieri rule

Determines multiplicative structure:

$$s_r s_\lambda = \sum (1 \text{ or } 0) s_\nu$$

$$s_{\ydiagram{1}} s_{\ydiagram{1,2}} = s_{\ydiagram{1,3}} + s_{\ydiagram{2,2}} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,2}}$$

Schur functions s_λ (cont.)

Pieri rule

Determines multiplicative structure:

$$s_r s_\lambda = \sum (1 \text{ or } 0) s_\nu$$

$$s_{\ydiagram{1}} s_{\ydiagram{2,1}} = s_{\ydiagram{3,1}} + s_{\ydiagram{2,2}} + s_{\ydiagram{2,1,1}}$$

Iterate Pieri rule

$$s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_r} s_\lambda = \sum (\# \text{ known tableaux}) s_\nu$$

Schur functions s_λ (cont.)

Pieri rule

Determines multiplicative structure:

$$s_r s_\lambda = \sum (1 \text{ or } 0) s_\nu$$

$$s_{\ydiagram{1}} s_{\ydiagram{1,2}} = s_{\ydiagram{1,3}} + s_{\ydiagram{2,2}} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,2}}$$

Iterate Pieri rule

$$s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_r} s_\lambda = \sum (\# \text{ known tableaux}) s_\nu$$

Since $s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_r} = s_{(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_r)} +$ lower order terms, subtract to get

$$s_{(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_r)} s_\lambda = \sum c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} s_\nu$$

for well-understood *Littlewood-Richardson coefficients* $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$.

Schur functions s_λ (cont.)

Upshot

Let $\{f_\lambda\}$ be a basis of Λ such that

1. $f_r = s_r$ and
2. $f_r f_\lambda$ satisfies the Pieri rule.

Then, $f_\lambda = s_\lambda$.

Schur functions s_λ (cont.)

Upshot

Let $\{f_\lambda\}$ be a basis of Λ such that

1. $f_r = s_r$ and
2. $f_r f_\lambda$ satisfies the Pieri rule.

Then, $f_\lambda = s_\lambda$.

Looking Ahead

This type of technique will be useful for establishing the equivalence of new formulas for other bases.

Symmetric Function Bases

When examining Schubert representatives in Λ, we ask

Symmetric Function Bases

When examining Schubert representatives in Λ, we ask

- Does it have a Pieri rule? $(s_r s_\lambda = \sum s_\nu)$

Symmetric Function Bases

When examining Schubert representatives in Λ, we ask

- Does it have a Pieri rule? ($s_r s_\lambda = \sum s_\nu$)
- Does it have a direct formula? ($s_\lambda = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{SSYT}(\lambda)} x^T$)

Schubert Calculus Variations

There are many variations on classical Schubert calculus of the Grassmannian (Type A).

Schubert Calculus Variations

There are many variations on classical Schubert calculus of the Grassmannian (Type A).

Theory	f_λ
(Co)homology of Grassmannian	Schur functions
(Co)homology of flag variety	Schubert polynomimals
Quantum cohomology of flag variety	Quantum Schuberts
(Co)homology of Types BCD Grassmannian	Schur-P and Q functions
(Co)homology of affine Grassmannian	(dual) k-Schur functions
K-theory of Grassmannian	Grothendieck polynomials
K-homology of affine Grassmannian	K-k-Schur functions

Schubert Calculus Variations

There are many variations on classical Schubert calculus of the Grassmannian (Type A).

Theory	f_λ
(Co)homology of Grassmannian	Schur functions
(Co)homology of flag variety	Schubert polynomimals
Quantum cohomology of flag variety	Quantum Schuberts
(Co)homology of Types BCD Grassmannian	Schur-P and Q functions
(Co)homology of affine Grassmannian	(dual) k-Schur functions
K-theory of Grassmannian	Grothendieck polynomials
K-homology of affine Grassmannian	K-k-Schur functions

And many more!

Big Picture

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
\text{(Co)homology of Grassmannian/ Schur functions} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \text{Homology of Affine Grassmannian/ } k\text{-Schur functions} \arrow[d] \\
K\text{-homology of Grassmannian/ dual Grothendieck polynomials} \arrow[r] & K\text{-homology of affine Grassmannian/ } K\text{-}k\text{-Schur functions}
\end{tikzcd}
$$

k-Schur functions

- $s_\lambda^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).

k-Schur functions

- $s_\lambda^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).

k-Schur functions

- $s_\lambda^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).
- Has a tableaux formulation and Pieri rule: $s_{1^r} s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k)}$

k-Schur functions

- $s_\lambda^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).
- Has a tableaux formulation and Pieri rule: $s_{1^r} s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k)}$
- $s_\lambda^{(k)} = s_\lambda$ as $k \to \infty$.

k-Schur functions

- $s_\lambda^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).
- Has a tableaux formulation and Pieri rule: $s_{1^r} s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k)}$
- $s_\lambda^{(k)} = s_\lambda$ as $k \to \infty$.
- Branching with positive coefficients (Lam et al., 2010):

$$s_{(2,2)}^{(2)} = \underbrace{s_{(2,2)}}_{s_{(2,2)}^{(3)}} + \underbrace{s_{(3,1)} + s_{(4)}}_{s_{(3,1)}^{(3)}}$$

k-Schur functions

- $s_\lambda^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).
- Has a tableaux formulation and Pieri rule: $s_{1^r} s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k)}$
- $s_\lambda^{(k)} = s_\lambda$ as $k \to \infty$.
- Branching with positive coefficients (Lam et al., 2010):

$$s^{(2)}_{(2,2)} = \underbrace{s_{(2,2)}}_{s^{(3)}_{(2,2)}} + \underbrace{s_{(3,1)} + s_{(4)}}_{s^{(3)}_{(3,1)}}$$

- (Lam et al., 2010) gives geometric interpretation,

k-Schur functions

- $s_\lambda^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).
- Has a tableaux formulation and Pieri rule: $s_{1^r} s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k)}$
- $s_\lambda^{(k)} = s_\lambda$ as $k \to \infty$.
- Branching with positive coefficients (Lam et al., 2010):



  - (Lam et al., 2010) gives geometric interpretation,
  - but no combinatorial interpretation of branching coefficients.

k-Schur functions

- $s_\lambda^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).
- Has a tableaux formulation and Pieri rule: $s_{1^r} s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k)}$
- $s_\lambda^{(k)} = s_\lambda$ as $k \to \infty$.
- Branching with positive coefficients (Lam et al., 2010):

$$s^{(2)}_{(2,2)} = \underbrace{s_{(2,2)}}_{s^{(3)}_{(2,2)}} + \underbrace{s_{(3,1)} + s_{(4)}}_{s^{(3)}_{(3,1)}}$$

  - (Lam et al., 2010) gives geometric interpretation,
  - but no combinatorial interpretation of branching coefficients.
  - Branching with t important for Macdonald polynomial positivity.

- $s_\lambda^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).
- Has a tableaux formulation and Pieri rule: $s_{1^r} s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k)}$
- $s_\lambda^{(k)} = s_\lambda$ as $k \to \infty$.
- Branching with positive coefficients (Lam et al., 2010):

$$s^{(2)}_{(2,2)} = \underbrace{s_{(2,2)}}_{s^{(3)}_{(2,2)}} + \underbrace{s_{(3,1)} + s_{(4)}}_{s^{(3)}_{(3,1)}}$$

  - (Lam et al., 2010) gives geometric interpretation,
  - but no combinatorial interpretation of branching coefficients.
  - Branching with t important for Macdonald polynomial positivity.
- Many conjecturally equivalent definitions.

Overview

- Schubert calculus
- **Catalan functions: a new approach to old problems**
- K-theoretic Catalan functions

Why a new definition of k-Schur?

Why a new definition of k-Schur?

Answer

1. (Blasiak et al., 2019) gives a new definition of $s_\lambda^{(k)}$ and shows it is equivalent to many other previous definitions.

Why a new definition of k-Schur?

Answer

1. (Blasiak et al., 2019) gives a new definition of $s_\lambda^{(k)}$ and shows it is equivalent to many other previous definitions.
2. From a new definition, (Blasiak et al., 2019) shows the branching coefficients $b_{\lambda\mu}$ in the expansion $s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu b_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k+1)}$ have combinatorial interpretation!

Key:

Why a new definition of k-Schur?

Answer

1. (Blasiak et al., 2019) gives a new definition of $s_\lambda^{(k)}$ and shows it is equivalent to many other previous definitions.
2. From a new definition, (Blasiak et al., 2019) shows the branching coefficients $b_{\lambda\mu}$ in the expansion $s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu b_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k+1)}$ have combinatorial interpretation!

Key: $\{s_\lambda^{(k)}\}_\lambda \subseteq$ Catalan functions $=$ large class of symmetric functions.

Ingredients for Catalan functions

- Raising operators

Ingredients for Catalan functions

- Raising operators
- Symmetric functions indexed by integer vectors

Ingredients for Catalan functions

- Raising operators
- Symmetric functions indexed by integer vectors
- Root ideals

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

- Raising operators $R_{i,j}$ act on diagrams



Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

- Raising operators $R_{i,j}$ act on diagrams



- Extend action to a symmetric function f_λ by $R_{i,j}(f_\lambda) = f_{\lambda+\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}$.

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

- Raising operators $R_{i,j}$ act on diagrams



- Extend action to a symmetric function f_λ by $R_{i,j}(f_\lambda) = f_{\lambda+\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}$.
- For $h_\lambda = s_{\lambda_1} \cdots s_{\lambda_r}$, we have the *Jacobi-Trudi identity*

$$s_\lambda = \prod_{i<j} (1 - R_{ij}) h_\lambda$$

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

- Raising operators $R_{i,j}$ act on diagrams



- Extend action to a symmetric function f_λ by $R_{i,j}(f_\lambda) = f_{\lambda+\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}$.
- For $h_\lambda = s_{\lambda_1} \cdots s_{\lambda_r}$, we have the *Jacobi-Trudi identity*

$$s_\lambda = \prod_{i<j}(1 - R_{ij})h_\lambda$$

$$\begin{aligned} s_{22} &= (1 - R_{12})h_{22} = h_{22} - h_{31} \\ s_{211} &= (1 - R_{12})(1 - R_{23})(1 - R_{13})h_{211} \\ &= h_{211} - h_{301} - h_{220} \color{red}{- h_{310} + h_{310}} + \underbrace{h_{32\,\text{-}1}}_{=0} + h_{400} - \underbrace{h_{41\,\text{-}1}}_{=0} \end{aligned}$$

some terms cancel

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

Upside: gives definition for Schur function indexed by any integer vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$.

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

Upside: gives definition for Schur function indexed by any integer vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$. Straightening:

$$s_\alpha = \prod_{i<j}(1 - R_{ij})h_\alpha = \begin{cases} \pm s_\lambda & \text{for a partition } \lambda \\ 0 & \end{cases}$$

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

Upside: gives definition for Schur function indexed by any integer vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$. Straightening:

$$s_\alpha = \prod_{i<j}(1 - R_{ij})h_\alpha = \begin{cases} \pm s_\lambda & \text{for a partition } \lambda \\ 0 & \end{cases}$$

Simplifies formulas. E.g., for $\langle s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda, s_\mu \rangle = \langle s_\lambda, s_{1^r} s_\mu \rangle$ (note $\langle s_\lambda, s_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$),

$$s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda =$$

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

Upside: gives definition for Schur function indexed by any integer vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$. Straightening:

$$s_\alpha = \prod_{i<j}(1 - R_{ij})h_\alpha = \begin{cases} \pm s_\lambda & \text{for a partition } \lambda \\ 0 & \end{cases}$$

Simplifies formulas. E.g., for $\langle s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda, s_\mu \rangle = \langle s_\lambda, s_{1^r} s_\mu \rangle$ (note $\langle s_\lambda, s_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$),

$$s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda = \sum_{S \subseteq [1,\ell], |S| = r} s_{\lambda - \epsilon_S}$$

$$s_{1^2}^\perp s_{333} = s_{322} + s_{232} + s_{223}$$

Upside: gives definition for Schur function indexed by any integer vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$. Straightening:

$$s_\alpha = \prod_{i<j}(1 - R_{ij})h_\alpha = \begin{cases} \pm s_\lambda & \text{for a partition } \lambda \\ 0 \end{cases}$$

Simplifies formulas. E.g., for $\langle s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda, s_\mu \rangle = \langle s_\lambda, s_{1^r} s_\mu \rangle$ (note $\langle s_\lambda, s_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$),

$$s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda = \sum_{S \subseteq [1,\ell], |S|=r} s_{\lambda - \epsilon_S}$$

$$s_{1^2}^\perp s_{333} = s_{322} + s_{232} + s_{223}$$

$$s_{1^3}^\perp s_{333} = s_{222}$$

Root Ideals

A root ideal Ψ of type $A_{\ell-1}$ positive roots: given by Dyck path (lattice path above diagonal).



$\Psi =$ Roots above Dyck path

$\Delta_\ell^+ \backslash \Psi =$ Non-roots below

Root Ideals

A root ideal Ψ of type $A_{\ell-1}$ positive roots: given by Dyck path (lattice path above diagonal).



$\Psi =$ Roots above Dyck path
$\Delta_\ell^+ \backslash \Psi =$ Non-roots below

Catalan Function (Chen, 2010; Panyushev, 2010; Blasiak et al., 2019)

For Ψ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$

$$H(\Psi;\gamma)(x) = \prod_{(i,j) \in \Delta_\ell^+ \backslash \Psi} (1 - R_{ij}) h_\gamma(x)$$

Root Ideals

A root ideal Ψ of type $A_{\ell-1}$ positive roots: given by Dyck path (lattice path above diagonal).



$\Psi =$ Roots above Dyck path

$\Delta_\ell^+ \setminus \Psi =$ Non-roots below

Catalan Function (Chen, 2010; Panyushev, 2010; Blasiak et al., 2019)

For Ψ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$

$$H(\Psi;\gamma)(x) = \prod_{(i,j)\in\Delta_\ell^+ \setminus \Psi} (1 - R_{ij}) h_\gamma(x)$$

- $\Psi = \varnothing \implies H(\varnothing;\gamma) = s_\gamma$

Root Ideals

A root ideal Ψ of type $A_{\ell-1}$ positive roots: given by Dyck path (lattice path above diagonal).



$\Psi =$ Roots above Dyck path

$\Delta_\ell^+ \setminus \Psi =$ Non-roots below

Catalan Function (Chen, 2010; Panyushev, 2010; Blasiak et al., 2019)

For Ψ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$

$$H(\Psi;\gamma)(x) = \prod_{(i,j)\in\Delta_\ell^+\setminus\Psi} (1 - R_{ij}) h_\gamma(x)$$

- $\Psi = \varnothing \implies H(\varnothing;\gamma) = s_\gamma$
- $\Psi =$ all roots $\implies H(\Psi;\gamma) = h_\gamma$

Catalan functions

Intuition

Catalan functions interpolate between h_λ and s_λ.

Catalan functions

Intuition

Catalan functions interpolate between h_λ and s_λ.

Theorem (Blasiak et al., 2020)

For Ψ any root ideal and λ a partition, $H(\Psi;\lambda)$ is Schur positive!
Precisely, $H(\Psi;\lambda) = \sum_\nu c^\nu_{\Psi,\lambda} s_\nu$ satisfies $c^\nu_{\Psi,\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Catalan functions

k-Schur root ideal for λ

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi = \Delta^k(\lambda) &= \{(i,j) : j > k - \lambda_i\} \\ &= \text{root ideal with } k - \lambda_i \text{ non-roots in row } i\end{aligned}$$

Catalan functions

k-Schur root ideal for λ

$$\Psi = \Delta^k(\lambda) = \{(i,j) : j > k - \lambda_i\}$$

$$= \text{root ideal with } k - \lambda_i \text{ non-roots in row } i$$

$\Delta^4(3,3,2,2,1,1) =$ 

$\leftarrow$ row i has $4 - \lambda_i$ non-roots

Catalan functions

k-Schur root ideal for λ

$$\Psi = \Delta^k(\lambda) = \{(i,j) : j > k - \lambda_i\}$$
$$= \text{root ideal with } k - \lambda_i \text{ non-roots in row } i$$

$\Delta^4(3,3,2,2,1,1) =$  $\leftarrow$ row i has $4 - \lambda_i$ non-roots

k-Schur is a Catalan function (Blasiak et al., 2019).

For partition λ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$,

$$s_\lambda^{(k)} = H(\Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda).$$

Key ingredient of branching proof

Dual vertical Pieri rule: $s_{1^r}^{\perp} s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k)}$ for $\langle s_{1^r}^{\perp} f, g \rangle = \langle f, s_{1^r} g \rangle$.

Key ingredient of branching proof

Dual vertical Pieri rule: $s_{1^r}^{\perp} s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k)}$ for $\langle s_{1^r}^{\perp} f, g \rangle = \langle f, s_{1^r} g \rangle$.

Shift Invariance (Blasiak et al., 2019)

For partition λ of length ℓ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$,

$$s_{1^\ell}^{\perp} s_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = s_{\lambda}^{(k)}.$$

Key ingredient of branching proof

Dual vertical Pieri rule: $s_{1^r}^{\perp} s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k)}$ for $\langle s_{1^r}^{\perp} f, g\rangle = \langle f, s_{1^r} g\rangle$.

Shift Invariance (Blasiak et al., 2019)

For partition λ of length ℓ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$,

$$s_{1^\ell}^{\perp} s_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = s_\lambda^{(k)} .$$

Proof: $k - \lambda_i = (k+1) - (\lambda_i + 1)$

Key ingredient of branching proof

Dual vertical Pieri rule: $s_{1^r}^{\perp} s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k)}$ for $\langle s_{1^r}^{\perp} f, g \rangle = \langle f, s_{1^r} g \rangle$.

Shift Invariance (Blasiak et al., 2019)

For partition λ of length ℓ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$,

$$s_{1^\ell}^{\perp} s_{\lambda + 1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = s_{\lambda}^{(k)} .$$

Proof: $k - \lambda_i = (k+1) - (\lambda_i + 1)$

$\Delta^4(3,3,2,2,1,1) =$ 

$\Delta^5(4,4,3,3,2,2) =$ 

Dual vertical Pieri rule: $s_{1^r}^{\perp} s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k)}$ for $\langle s_{1^r}^{\perp} f, g \rangle = \langle f, s_{1^r} g \rangle$.

Shift Invariance (Blasiak et al., 2019)

For partition λ of length ℓ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$,

$$s_{1^\ell}^{\perp} s_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = s_{\lambda}^{(k)} .$$

Proof: $k - \lambda_i = (k+1) - (\lambda_i + 1)$

$\Delta^4(3,3,2,2,1,1) =$ 

$\Delta^5(4,4,3,3,2,2) =$ 

Pieri:

$$s_{1^\ell}^{\perp} s_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda+1^\ell,\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k+1)}$$

Key ingredient of branching proof

Dual vertical Pieri rule: $s_{1^r}^{\perp} s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k)}$ for $\langle s_{1^r}^{\perp} f, g \rangle = \langle f, s_{1^r} g \rangle$.

Shift Invariance (Blasiak et al., 2019)

For partition λ of length ℓ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$,

$$s_{1^\ell}^{\perp} s_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = s_{\lambda}^{(k)} .$$

Proof: $k - \lambda_i = (k+1) - (\lambda_i + 1)$

$\Delta^4(3,3,2,2,1,1) =$ 

$\Delta^5(4,4,3,3,2,2) =$ 

Branching is a special case of Pieri:

$$s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{1^\ell}^{\perp} s_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda+1^\ell,\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k+1)}$$

Big Picture

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
\boxed{\begin{array}{c}\text{(Co)homology of}\\ \text{Grassmannian}\\ s_\lambda = H(\varnothing;\lambda)\end{array}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \boxed{\begin{array}{c}\text{Homology of Affine}\\ \text{Grassmannian}\\ s_\lambda^{(k)} = H(\Delta^k(\lambda);\lambda)\end{array}} \arrow[d] \\
\boxed{\begin{array}{c}K\text{-homology of}\\ \text{Grassmannian/}\\ \text{dual Grothendieck}\\ \text{polynomials}\end{array}} \arrow[r] & \boxed{\begin{array}{c}K\text{-homology of affine}\\ \text{Grassmannian/}\\ K\text{-}k\text{-Schur functions}\end{array}}
\end{tikzcd}
$$

Overview

- Schubert calculus
- Catalan functions: a new approach to old problems
- **K-theoretic Catalan functions**

Dual Grothendieck polynomials

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_\lambda = s_\lambda +$ lower degree terms.

Dual Grothendieck polynomials

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_\lambda = s_\lambda +$ lower degree terms.
- Satisfies Pieri rule on "set-valued strips"

Dual Grothendieck polynomials

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_\lambda = s_\lambda +$ lower degree terms.
- Satisfies Pieri rule on "set-valued strips"

$$g_{1^2} g_{3,2} = g_{43} + g_{421} + g_{331} + g_{3211} - g_{42} - g_{33} - 2g_{321} + g_{32}$$



Add (addable) or mark (removable) in any combination of r boxes, but only once per row.

Dual Grothendieck polynomials

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_\lambda = s_\lambda +$ lower degree terms.
- Satisfies Pieri rule on "set-valued strips"

$$g_{1^2} g_{3,2} = g_{43} + g_{421} + g_{331} + g_{3211} - g_{42} - g_{33} - 2g_{321} + g_{32}$$



Add (addable) or mark (removable) in any combination of r boxes, but only once per row.

- $g_\lambda = \prod_{i<j}(1 - R_{ij})k_\lambda$ for k_λ and inhomogeneous analogue of h_λ.

Dual Grothendieck polynomials

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_\lambda = s_\lambda +$ lower degree terms.
- Satisfies Pieri rule on "set-valued strips"

$$g_{1^2} g_{3,2} = g_{43} + g_{421} + g_{331} + g_{3211} - g_{42} - g_{33} - 2g_{321} + g_{32}$$



Add (addable) or mark (removable) in any combination of r boxes, but only once per row.

- $g_\lambda = \prod_{i<j}(1 - R_{ij})k_\lambda$ for k_λ and inhomogeneous analogue of h_λ.
- Dual to Grothendieck polynomials G_λ: Schubert representatives for $K^*(Gr(m,n))$

K-k-Schur functions

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_\lambda^{(k)} = s_\lambda^{(k)}$+lower degree terms

K-k-Schur functions

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_\lambda^{(k)} = s_\lambda^{(k)}$+lower degree terms
- Satisfies Pieri rule on "affine set-valued strips"

K-k-Schur functions

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_\lambda^{(k)} = s_\lambda^{(k)}$+lower degree terms
- Satisfies Pieri rule on "affine set-valued strips"
- A $(k+1)$-core is a partition with no cell of hook length $k+1$. 

K-k-Schur functions

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_\lambda^{(k)} = s_\lambda^{(k)}$+lower degree terms
- Satisfies Pieri rule on "affine set-valued strips"
- A $(k+1)$-core is a partition with no cell of hook length $k+1$. 

$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)} = g_{2111}^{(2)} - 2g_{211}^{(2)}$$

2-bounded partitions $\leftrightarrow$ 3-cores

K-k-Schur functions

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_\lambda^{(k)} = s_\lambda^{(k)}$+lower degree terms
- Satisfies Pieri rule on "affine set-valued strips"
- A $(k+1)$-core is a partition with no cell of hook length $k+1$.



$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)} = g_{2111}^{(2)} - 2g_{211}^{(2)}$$

2-bounded partitions $\leftrightarrow$ 3-cores



K-k-Schur functions

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_\lambda^{(k)} = s_\lambda^{(k)}$+lower degree terms
- Satisfies Pieri rule on "affine set-valued strips"
- A $(k+1)$-core is a partition with no cell of hook length $k+1$.



$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)} = g_{2111}^{(2)} - 2g_{211}^{(2)}$$

2-bounded partitions $\leftrightarrow$ 3-cores



K-k-Schur functions

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_\lambda^{(k)} = s_\lambda^{(k)}$+lower degree terms
- Satisfies Pieri rule on "affine set-valued strips"
- A $(k+1)$-core is a partition with no cell of hook length $k+1$.



$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)} = g_{2111}^{(2)} - 2g_{211}^{(2)}$$

2-bounded partitions $\leftrightarrow$ 3-cores



K-k-Schur functions

Conjecture (Lam et al., 2010; Morse, 2011)

$g_\lambda^{(k)}$ have positive branching into $g_\mu^{(k+1)}$.

K-k-Schur functions

Conjecture (Lam et al., 2010; Morse, 2011)

$g_\lambda^{(k)}$ have positive branching into $g_\mu^{(k+1)}$.

Problem

No direct formula for $g_\lambda^{(k)}$

K-k-Schur functions

Solution

Find a formula for $g_\lambda^{(k)}$ analogous to raising operator formula for $s_\lambda^{(k)}$.

K-k-Schur functions

Solution

Find a formula for $g_\lambda^{(k)}$ analogous to raising operator formula for $s_\lambda^{(k)}$.

Requires an inhomogeneous refinement of Catalan functions.

An Extra Ingredient: Lowering Operators

Lowering Operators $L_j(f_\lambda) = f_{\lambda-\epsilon_j}$



Affine K-Theory Representatives with Raising Operators

K-theoretic Catalan function

Let $\Psi, \mathcal{L} \subseteq \Delta_\ell^+$ be order ideals of positive roots and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$, then

$$K(\Psi; \mathcal{L}; \gamma) := \prod_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{L}} (1 - L_j) \prod_{(i,j) \in \Delta_\ell^+ \setminus \Psi} (1 - R_{ij}) k_\gamma$$

Affine K-Theory Representatives with Raising Operators

K-theoretic Catalan function

Let $\Psi, \mathcal{L} \subseteq \Delta_\ell^+$ be order ideals of positive roots and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$, then

$$K(\Psi; \mathcal{L}; \gamma) := \prod_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{L}} (1 - L_j) \prod_{(i,j) \in \Delta_\ell^+ \setminus \Psi} (1 - R_{ij}) k_\gamma$$

"Ψ =raising ideal, $\mathcal{L}$ =lowering ideal."

Affine K-Theory Representatives with Raising Operators

K-theoretic Catalan function

Let $\Psi, \mathcal{L} \subseteq \Delta_\ell^+$ be order ideals of positive roots and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$, then

$$K(\Psi; \mathcal{L}; \gamma) := \prod_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{L}} (1 - L_j) \prod_{(i,j) \in \Delta_\ell^+ \setminus \Psi} (1 - R_{ij}) k_\gamma$$

"Ψ =raising ideal, $\mathcal{L}$ =lowering ideal."

Example

non-roots of Ψ, roots of $\mathcal{L}$

	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
		(23)	(24)	(25)
			(34)	(35)
				(45)

$$K(\Psi; \mathcal{L}; 54332)$$
$$= (1 - L_4)^2 (1 - L_5)^2 (1 - R_{12})(1 - R_{34})(1 - R_{45}) k_{54332}$$

Affine K-Theory Representatives with Raising Operators

Answer (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

Affine K-Theory Representatives with Raising Operators

Answer (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For K-homology of affine Grassmannian, $g_\lambda^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^{k+1}(\lambda); \lambda)$ since this family satisfies the Pieri rule.

Affine K-Theory Representatives with Raising Operators

Answer (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For K-homology of affine Grassmannian, $g_\lambda^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^{k+1}(\lambda); \lambda)$ since this family satisfies the Pieri rule.

Example

$g^{(4)}_{332111111} =$



$\Delta_9^+/\Delta^4(332111111), \Delta^5(332111111)$

Pieri Rule Illustrated (Recurrences)

A "graphical calculus."

$$g_1 g^{(2)}_{211}$$

Pieri Rule Illustrated (Recurrences)

A "graphical calculus."

$$g_1 g^{(2)}_{211} =$$



A “graphical calculus.”

$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)}$ $=$ 

$=$ 

$+$ 

A “graphical calculus.”

$g_1 g^{(2)}_{211}$ =



=



+



=



+



+



$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)} =$$



$+$



$+$



Pieri Rule Illustrated (Straightening)

$$g_1 g^{(2)}_{211}$$



Pieri Rule Illustrated (Straightening)

$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)} =$



$= g_{2111}^{(2)} - g_{211}^{(2)} - g_{211}^{(2)}$

Pieri Rule Illustrated (Straightening)

$g_1 g^{(2)}_{211}$ $=$  $+$  $+$ 

$=$  $-$  $-$ 

$$= g^{(2)}_{2111} \qquad - g^{(2)}_{211} \qquad - g^{(2)}_{211}$$

3-core perspective:

 $\to$  $-$  $-$ 

Branching Positivity

Theorem (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

Branching Positivity

Theorem (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

The $g_\lambda^{(k)}$ *are "shift invariant", i.e. for* $\ell = \ell(\lambda)$

$$G_{1^\ell}^{\perp} g_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = g_\lambda^{(k)}$$

Branching Positivity

Theorem (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

The $g_\lambda^{(k)}$ *are "shift invariant", i.e. for* $\ell = \ell(\lambda)$

$$G_{1^\ell}^{\perp} g_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = g_\lambda^{(k)}$$

Theorem (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

The branching coefficients in

$$g_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} g_\mu^{(k+1)}$$

satisfy $(-1)^{|\lambda|-|\mu|} a_{\lambda\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Big Picture

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\begin{array}{c}\text{Schur functions}\\ H(\varnothing;\lambda)\end{array} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \begin{array}{c}k\text{-Schur functions}\\ H(\Delta^k(\lambda);\lambda)\end{array} \arrow[d] \\
\begin{array}{c}\text{dual Grothendieck}\\ \text{polynomials}\\ K(\varnothing;\varnothing;\lambda)\end{array} \arrow[r] & \begin{array}{c}K\text{-}k\text{-Schur functions}\\ {\color{red}K(\Delta^k(\lambda);\Delta^{k+1}(\lambda);\lambda)}\end{array}
\end{tikzcd}$$

K-theoretic Peterson isomorphism

$$\Phi\colon QK^*(Fl_{k+1}) \to K_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})_{loc}$$

K-theoretic Peterson isomorphism

$$\Phi\colon QK^*(Fl_{k+1}) \to K_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})_{loc}$$

Conjecture (Ikeda et al., 2018)

For $w \in S_{k+1}$ and $\mathfrak{G}_w^Q$ a "quantum Grothtendieck polynomial",

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{G}_w^Q) = \frac{\tilde{g}_w}{\prod_{i \in Des(w)} \tau_i}$$

K-theoretic Peterson isomorphism

$$\Phi\colon QK^*(Fl_{k+1}) \to K_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})_{loc}$$

Conjecture (Ikeda et al., 2018)

For $w \in S_{k+1}$ and $\mathfrak{G}_w^Q$ a "quantum Grothtendieck polynomial",

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{G}_w^Q) = \frac{\tilde{g}_w}{\prod_{i \in Des(w)} \tau_i}$$

satisfies $\tilde{g}_w = g_\lambda^{(k)} + \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} g_\mu^{(k)}$ such that $(-1)^{|\lambda|-|\mu|} a_{\lambda\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

K-theoretic Peterson isomorphism

$$\Phi\colon QK^*(Fl_{k+1}) \to K_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})_{loc}$$

Conjecture (Ikeda et al., 2018)

For $w \in S_{k+1}$ and $\mathfrak{G}_w^Q$ a "quantum Grothtendieck polynomial",

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{G}_w^Q) = \frac{\tilde{g}_w}{\prod_{i \in Des(w)} \tau_i}$$

satisfies $\tilde{g}_w = g_\lambda^{(k)} + \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} g_\mu^{(k)}$ such that $(-1)^{|\lambda|-|\mu|} a_{\lambda\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Theorem (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

If $\lambda \subseteq (d^{k+1-d})$ for some $1 \leq d \leq k$, then $g_\lambda^{(k)} = g_\lambda$. Thus, conjecture is true for w a Grassmannian permutation (i.e. w has only one descent).

K-theoretic Peterson isomorphism

$$\Phi\colon QK^*(Fl_{k+1}) \to K_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})_{loc}$$

Conjecture (Ikeda et al., 2018)

For $w \in S_{k+1}$ and $\mathfrak{G}_w^Q$ a "quantum Grothtendieck polynomial",

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{G}_w^Q) = \frac{\tilde{g}_w}{\prod_{i \in Des(w)} \tau_i}$$

satisfies $\tilde{g}_w = g_\lambda^{(k)} + \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} g_\mu^{(k)}$ such that $(-1)^{|\lambda|-|\mu|} a_{\lambda\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Theorem (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

If $\lambda \subseteq (d^{k+1-d})$ for some $1 \leq d \leq k$, then $g_\lambda^{(k)} = g_\lambda$. Thus, conjecture is true for w a Grassmannian permutation (i.e. w has only one descent).

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

$$\tilde{g}_w = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda)$$

Closed K-k-Schur functions

Definition (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For any partition λ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$, we set

$$\tilde{g}_\lambda^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda)$$

Closed K-k-Schur functions

Definition (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For any partition λ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$, we set

$$\tilde{g}_\lambda^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda)$$

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

These $\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)}$ satisfy the following properties.

Closed K-k-Schur functions

Definition (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For any partition λ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$, we set

$$\tilde{g}_\lambda^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda)$$

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

These $\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)}$ satisfy the following properties.

- The coefficients in $G_{1^m}^{\perp}\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\nu c_{\mu\nu}\tilde{g}_\nu^{(k)}$ satisfy $(-1)^{|\mu|-|\nu|}c_{\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Closed K-k-Schur functions

Definition (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For any partition λ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$, we set

$$\tilde{g}_\lambda^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda)$$

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

These $\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)}$ satisfy the following properties.

- The coefficients in $G_{1^m}^{\perp}\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\nu c_{\mu\nu}\tilde{g}_\nu^{(k)}$ satisfy $(-1)^{|\mu|-|\nu|}c_{\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- The coefficients in $\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\nu a_{\mu\nu}\tilde{g}_\nu^{(k+1)}$ satisfy $(-1)^{|\mu|-|\nu|}a_{\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Closed K-k-Schur functions

Definition (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For any partition λ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$, we set

$$\tilde{g}_\lambda^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda)$$

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

These $\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)}$ satisfy the following properties.

- The coefficients in $G_{1^m}^{\perp} \tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\nu c_{\mu\nu} \tilde{g}_\nu^{(k)}$ satisfy $(-1)^{|\mu|-|\nu|} c_{\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- The coefficients in $\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\nu a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{g}_\nu^{(k+1)}$ satisfy $(-1)^{|\mu|-|\nu|} a_{\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- The coefficients in $\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\nu b_{\mu\nu} g_\nu^{(k)}$ satisfy $(-1)^{|\mu|-|\nu|} b_{\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

k-Rectangle Property

Theorem (S., 2021)

For $1 \leq d \leq k$, set $R_d = ((k+1-d)^d)$ to be the k-rectangle partition.

k-Rectangle Property

Theorem (S., 2021)

For $1 \leq d \leq k$, set $R_d = ((k+1-d)^d)$ to be the k-rectangle partition. Then,

$$\tilde{g}^{(k)}_{R_d} \tilde{g}^{(k)}_{\mu} = \tilde{g}^{(k)}_{\mu \cup R_d} ,$$

where $\mu \cup R_d$ is the partition given by sorting (μ, R_d).

k-Rectangle Property

Theorem (S., 2021)

For $1 \leq d \leq k$, set $R_d = ((k+1-d)^d)$ to be the k-rectangle partition. Then,

$$\tilde{g}^{(k)}_{R_d}\tilde{g}^{(k)}_{\mu} = \tilde{g}^{(k)}_{\mu \cup R_d},$$

where $\mu \cup R_d$ is the partition given by sorting (μ, R_d).

- Must be true for geometric connection with Peterson isomorphism.

k-Rectangle Property

Theorem (S., 2021)

For $1 \leq d \leq k$, set $R_d = ((k+1-d)^d)$ to be the k-rectangle partition. Then,

$$\tilde{g}^{(k)}_{R_d}\tilde{g}^{(k)}_{\mu} = \tilde{g}^{(k)}_{\mu \cup R_d},$$

where $\mu \cup R_d$ is the partition given by sorting (μ, R_d).

- Must be true for geometric connection with Peterson isomorphism.
- Corresponding result for $s^{(k)}_{\lambda}$ is known, but this gives a Catalan/K-theoretic Catalan proof.

k-Rectangle Property

Theorem (S., 2021)

For $1 \leq d \leq k$, set $R_d = ((k+1-d)^d)$ to be the k-rectangle partition. Then,

$$\tilde{g}^{(k)}_{R_d} \tilde{g}^{(k)}_{\mu} = \tilde{g}^{(k)}_{\mu \cup R_d},$$

where $\mu \cup R_d$ is the partition given by sorting (μ, R_d).

- Must be true for geometric connection with Peterson isomorphism.
- Corresponding result for $s^{(k)}_{\lambda}$ is known, but this gives a Catalan/K-theoretic Catalan proof.
- k-Rectangle Property fails for $g^{(k)}_{\lambda}$.

K-theoretic Catalan functions

Summary:

K-theoretic Catalan functions

Summary:

- $g_\lambda^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^{k+1}(\lambda); \lambda)$ used for branching positivity.

Summary:

- $g_\lambda^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^{k+1}(\lambda); \lambda)$ used for branching positivity.
- $\tilde{g}_\lambda^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda)$ conjecturally related to K-Peterson isomorphism with many positivity conjectures.

K-theoretic Catalan functions

Summary:

- $g_\lambda^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^{k+1}(\lambda); \lambda)$ used for branching positivity.
- $\tilde{g}_\lambda^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda)$ conjecturally related to K-Peterson isomorphism with many positivity conjectures.

What can be said about K-theoretic Catalan functions in general?

Positivity of K-theoretic Catalan functions

Recall (Blasiak et al., 2020)

For Ψ any root ideal and λ a partition, $H(\Psi; \lambda)$ is Schur positive.

Positivity of K-theoretic Catalan functions

Recall (Blasiak et al., 2020)

For Ψ any root ideal and λ a partition, $H(\Psi;\lambda)$ is Schur positive.

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For Ψ a root ideal and λ a partition,

Positivity of K-theoretic Catalan functions

Recall (Blasiak et al., 2020)

For Ψ any root ideal and λ a partition, $H(\Psi;\lambda)$ is Schur positive.

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For Ψ a root ideal and λ a partition,

- $K(\Psi;\Psi;\lambda) = \sum_\mu a_\mu g_\mu$ satisfies $(-1)^{|\lambda|-|\mu|} a_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Positivity of K-theoretic Catalan functions

Recall (Blasiak et al., 2020)

For Ψ any root ideal and λ a partition, $H(\Psi;\lambda)$ is Schur positive.

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For Ψ a root ideal and λ a partition,

- $K(\Psi;\Psi;\lambda) = \sum_\mu a_\mu g_\mu$ satisfies $(-1)^{|\lambda|-|\mu|} a_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- $K(\Psi; RC^a(\Psi); \lambda) = \sum_\mu b_\mu s_\mu$ satisfies $b_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Future Directions

For $G_\lambda^{(k)}$ an affine Grothendieck polynomial (dual to $g_\lambda^{(k)}$),

Future Directions

For $G_\lambda^{(k)}$ an affine Grothendieck polynomial (dual to $g_\lambda^{(k)}$),

1. Combinatorially describe dual Pieri rule:
   $G_{1^r}^{\perp} g_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu ??g_\mu^{(k)} \iff G_{1^r} G_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\lambda ??G_\lambda^{(k)}$, $1 \leq r \leq k$.

Future Directions

For $G_\lambda^{(k)}$ an affine Grothendieck polynomial (dual to $g_\lambda^{(k)}$),

1. Combinatorially describe dual Pieri rule: $G_{1^r}^\perp g_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu ??g_\mu^{(k)} \iff G_{1^r} G_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\lambda ??G_\lambda^{(k)}$, $1 \leq r \leq k$.
2. Combinatorially describe branching coefficients: $g_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu ??g_\mu^{(k+1)}$.

Future Directions

For $G_\lambda^{(k)}$ an affine Grothendieck polynomial (dual to $g_\lambda^{(k)}$),

1. Combinatorially describe dual Pieri rule:
   $G_{1^r}^\perp g_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu ??g_\mu^{(k)} \iff G_{1^r} G_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\lambda ??G_\lambda^{(k)}$, $1 \leq r \leq k$.
2. Combinatorially describe branching coefficients: $g_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu ??g_\mu^{(k+1)}$.
3. Combinatorially describe $g_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu ??s_\mu^{(k)}$.

Beyond K-theory

Raising operator techniques extend beyond Schubert calculus! Work by Blasiak-Haiman-Morse-Pun-S.:

- Shuffle theorems (Blasiak et al., 2021a; 2021b).
- Macdonald polynomials and LLT polynomials (Blasiak et al., 2021c).
- Much more work to be done!

References

Thank you!

Anderson, David, Linda Chen, and Hsian-Hua Tseng. 2017. *On the quantum K-ring of the flag manifold*, preprint. arXiv: 1711.08414.

Blasiak, Jonah, Mark Haiman, Jennifer Morse, Anna Pun, and George H Seelinger. 2021a. *A Shuffle Theorem for Paths Under Any Line*, arXiv e-prints, available at `arXiv:2102.07931`.

Blasiak, Jonah, Mark Haiman, Jennifer Morse, Anna Pun, and George H. Seelinger. 2021b. *A proof of the Extended Delta Conjecture*, arXiv e-prints, available at `arXiv:2102.08815`.

______. 2021c. *LLT polynomials in the Schiffmann algebra*. In preparation.

Blasiak, Jonah, Jennifer Morse, Anna Pun, and Daniel Summers. 2019. *Catalan Functions and k-Schur Positivity*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **32**, no. 4, 921–963.

Blasiak, Jonah, Jennifer Morse, and Anna Pun. 2020. *Demazure crystals and the Schur positivity of Catalan functions*, preprint. arXiv: 2007.04952.

Blasiak, Jonah, Jennifer Morse, and George H. Seelinger. 2020. *K-theoretic Catalan functions*, preprint. arXiv: 2010.01759.

Chen, Li-Chung. 2010. *Skew-linked partitions and a representation theoretic model for k-Schur functions*, Ph.D. thesis.

Fomin, Sergey, Sergei Gelfand, and Alexander Postnikov. 1997. *Quantum Schubert polynomials*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **10**, no. 3, 565–596, DOI 10.1090/S0894-0347-97-00237-3. MR1431829

Ikeda, Takeshi, Shinsuke Iwao, and Toshiaki Maeno. 2018. *Peterson Isomorphism in K-theory and Relativistic Toda Lattice*, preprint. arXiv: 1703.08664.

Lam, Thomas. 2008. *Schubert polynomials for the affine Grassmannian*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **21**, no. 1, 259–281.

Lam, Thomas, Luc Lapointe, Jennifer Morse, and Mark Shimozono. 2010. *Affine insertion and Pieri rules for the affine Grassmannian*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **208**, no. 977.

Lam, Thomas, Anne Schilling, and Mark Shimozono. 2010. *K-theory Schubert calculus of the affine Grassmannian*, Compositio Math. **146**, 811–852.

Lapointe, Luc, Alain Lascoux, and Jennifer Morse. 2003. *Tableau atoms and a new Macdonald positivity conjecture*, Duke Mathematical Journal **116**, no. 1, 103–146.

Morse, Jennifer. 2011. *Combinatorics of the K-theory of affine Grassmannians*, Advances in Mathematics.

Panyushev, Dmitri I. 2010. *Generalised Kostka-Foulkes polynomials and cohomology of line bundles on homogeneous vector bundles*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **16**, no. 2, 315–342.

Details

$$k_m^{(r)} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \binom{r+i-1}{i} h_{m-i} = s_m(X+r)\,,$$

a specialization of “multiSchur functions.” See, e.g., Lascoux-Naruse (2014).

$$k_\gamma = k_{\gamma_1}^{(0)} k_{\gamma_2}^{(1)} \dots k_{\gamma_\ell}^{(\ell-1)}$$