

K -theoretic Catalan functions

George H. Seelinger (joint with J. Blasiak and J. Morse)

ghs9ae@virginia.edu

arXiv:2010.01759

LACIM at UQAM

19 March 2021

- Schubert calculus
- Catalan functions: a new approach to old problems
- K -theoretic Catalan functions

Overview of Schubert Calculus Combinatorics

Geometric problem

Find $c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu = \#$ of points in intersection of subvarieties in a variety X .

Overview of Schubert Calculus Combinatorics

Geometric problem

Find $c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu = \#$ of points in intersection of subvarieties in a variety X .



Cohomology

Schubert basis $\{\sigma_\lambda\}$ for $H^*(X)$ with property $\sigma_\lambda \cup \sigma_\mu = \sum_\nu c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu \sigma_\nu$

Overview of Schubert Calculus Combinatorics

Geometric problem

Find $c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu = \#$ of points in intersection of subvarieties in a variety X .



Cohomology

Schubert basis $\{\sigma_\lambda\}$ for $H^*(X)$ with property $\sigma_\lambda \cup \sigma_\mu = \sum_\nu c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu \sigma_\nu$



Representatives

Special basis of polynomials $\{f_\lambda\}$ such that $f_\lambda \cdot f_\mu = \sum_\nu c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu f_\nu$

Overview of Schubert Calculus Combinatorics (cont.)

Combinatorial study of $\{f_\lambda\}$ enlightens the geometry (and cohomology).

Goal

Identify $\{f_\lambda\}$ in explicit (simple) terms amenable to calculation and proofs.

Geometric problem

Find $c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu = \#$ of points in intersection of Schubert varieties $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \subseteq (n^m)}$ in variety $X = \text{Gr}(m, n)$.

Classical Schubert Calculus

Geometric problem

Find $c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu = \#$ of points in intersection of Schubert varieties $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \subseteq (n^m)}$ in variety $X = \text{Gr}(m, n)$.



Cohomology

Schubert basis $\{\sigma_\lambda\}_{\lambda \subseteq (n^m)}$ for $H^*(X)$ with property $\sigma_\lambda \cup \sigma_\mu = \sum_\nu c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu \sigma_\nu$

Classical Schubert Calculus

Geometric problem

Find $c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu = \#$ of points in intersection of Schubert varieties $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \subseteq (n^m)}$ in variety $X = \text{Gr}(m, n)$.



Cohomology

Schubert basis $\{\sigma_\lambda\}_{\lambda \subseteq (n^m)}$ for $H^*(X)$ with property $\sigma_\lambda \cup \sigma_\mu = \sum_\nu c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu \sigma_\nu$



Representatives

Special basis of Schur polynomials $\{s_\lambda\}$ such that $s_\lambda \cdot s_\mu = \sum_\nu c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu s_\nu$ for Littlewood-Richardson coefficients $c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu$.

Example

Semistandard tableaux: columns increasing and rows non-decreasing.

5			
3	4		
2	3		
1	2	2	5

8			
7	9		
3	4		
1	2	5	6

standard = no repeated letters

Schur functions s_λ

Example

Semistandard tableaux: columns increasing and rows non-decreasing.

5			
3	4		
2	3		
1	2	2	5

8			
7	9		
3	4		
1	2	5	6

standard = no repeated letters

Schur function s_λ is a “weight generating function” of semistandard tableaux:

2	3	3	2	3	3	2	3
1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1
1	1	2	2	3	3	3	2

$$s_{\square\square}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2 x_2 + x_1^2 x_3 + x_2^2 x_3 + x_1 x_2^2 + x_1 x_3^2 + x_2 x_3^2 + 2x_1 x_2 x_3$$

Schur functions s_λ (cont.)

Pieri rule

Determines multiplicative structure:

$$s_r s_\lambda = \sum (1 \text{ or } 0) s_\nu$$

$$s_{\square} s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}} = s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square & \square \\ \square & \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}} + s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square & \square \\ \square & \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}} + s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square & \square \\ \square & \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}}$$

Schur functions s_λ (cont.)

Pieri rule

Determines multiplicative structure:

$$s_r s_\lambda = \sum (1 \text{ or } 0) s_\nu$$

$$s_{\square} s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \end{smallmatrix}} = s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square & \square \\ \square & \end{smallmatrix}} + s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square & \square \\ \square & \square & \end{smallmatrix}} + s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square & \square \\ \square & \square & \square & \end{smallmatrix}}$$

Iterate Pieri rule

$$s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_r} s_\lambda = \sum (\# \text{ known tableaux}) s_\nu$$

Schur functions s_λ (cont.)

Pieri rule

Determines multiplicative structure:

$$s_\mu s_\lambda = \sum (1 \text{ or } 0) s_\nu$$

$$s_{\square} s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}} = s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square & \square \\ \square & \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}} + s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square & \square \\ \square & \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}} + s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square & \square \\ \square & \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}}$$

Iterate Pieri rule

$$s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_r} s_\lambda = \sum (\# \text{ known tableaux}) s_\nu$$

Since $s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_r} = s_{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r)} + \text{lower order terms}$, subtract to get

$$s_{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r)} s_\lambda = \sum c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu s_\nu$$

for well-understood *Littlewood-Richardson coefficients* $c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu$.

Next Step: Flag Variety

Next Step: Flag Variety

- $X = Fl_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{V_0 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_n \mid \dim V_i = i\}$

Next Step: Flag Variety

- $X = Fl_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{V_0 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_n \mid \dim V_i = i\}$
- Decomposes into Schubert varieties indexed by $w \in S_n$.

Next Step: Flag Variety

- $X = Fl_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{V_0 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_n \mid \dim V_i = i\}$
- Decomposes into Schubert varieties indexed by $w \in S_n$.
- $H^*(Fl_n(\mathbb{C}))$ supported by Schubert polynomials $\mathfrak{S}_w \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$
(Not necessarily symmetric!)

Next Step: Flag Variety

- $X = Fl_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{V_0 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_n \mid \dim V_i = i\}$
- Decomposes into Schubert varieties indexed by $w \in S_n$.
- $H^*(Fl_n(\mathbb{C}))$ supported by Schubert polynomials $\mathfrak{S}_w \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$
(Not necessarily symmetric!)

$$\mathfrak{S}_{s_i} = x_1 + \cdots + x_i$$

Open Problem

Structure constants $\mathfrak{S}_w \mathfrak{S}_u = \sum_v c_{wu}^v \mathfrak{S}_v$ have no tableaux description.

There are many variations on classical Schubert calculus of the Grassmannian (Type A).

Schubert Calculus Variations

There are many variations on classical Schubert calculus of the Grassmannian (Type A).

Theory	f_λ
(Co)homology of Grassmannian	Schur functions
(Co)homology of flag variety	Schubert polynomials
Quantum cohomology of flag variety	Quantum Schuberts
(Co)homology of Types BCD Grassmannian	Schur- P and Q functions
(Co)homology of affine Grassmannian	(dual) k -Schur functions
K -theory of Grassmannian	Grothendieck polynomials
K -homology of affine Grassmannian	K - k -Schur functions

Schubert Calculus Variations

There are many variations on classical Schubert calculus of the Grassmannian (Type A).

Theory	f_λ
(Co)homology of Grassmannian	Schur functions
(Co)homology of flag variety	Schubert polynomials
Quantum cohomology of flag variety	Quantum Schuberts
(Co)homology of Types BCD Grassmannian	Schur- P and Q functions
(Co)homology of affine Grassmannian	(dual) k -Schur functions
K -theory of Grassmannian	Grothendieck polynomials
K -homology of affine Grassmannian	K - k -Schur functions

And many more!

Peterson Isomorphism

- $QH^*(Fl_{k+1})$ quantum deformation of $H^*(Fl_{k+1})$ by q_1, \dots, q_k .

Peterson Isomorphism

- $QH^*(Fl_{k+1})$ quantum deformation of $H^*(Fl_{k+1})$ by q_1, \dots, q_k .
- Supported by quantum Schubert polynomials \mathfrak{S}_w^Q (Fomin et al., 1997).

Peterson Isomorphism

- $QH^*(Fl_{k+1})$ quantum deformation of $H^*(Fl_{k+1})$ by q_1, \dots, q_k .
- Supported by quantum Schubert polynomials \mathfrak{S}_w^Q (Fomin et al., 1997). ($\mathfrak{S}_w^Q \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_w$ when $q_i = 0$.)

Peterson Isomorphism

- $QH^*(Fl_{k+1})$ quantum deformation of $H^*(Fl_{k+1})$ by q_1, \dots, q_k .
- Supported by quantum Schubert polynomials \mathfrak{S}_w^Q (Fomin et al., 1997). ($\mathfrak{S}_w^Q \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_w$ when $q_i = 0$.)
- Peterson isomorphism

$$\Phi: QH^*(Fl_{k+1}) \rightarrow H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})_{loc}$$

Peterson Isomorphism

- $QH^*(Fl_{k+1})$ quantum deformation of $H^*(Fl_{k+1})$ by q_1, \dots, q_k .
- Supported by quantum Schubert polynomials \mathfrak{S}_w^Q (Fomin et al., 1997). ($\mathfrak{S}_w^Q \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_w$ when $q_i = 0$.)
- Peterson isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi: QH^*(Fl_{k+1}) &\rightarrow H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})_{loc} \\ \mathfrak{S}_w^Q &\mapsto \frac{s_\lambda^{(k)}}{\prod_{i \in Des(w)} \tau_i}\end{aligned}$$

where $s_\lambda^{(k)}$ is a k -Schur symmetric function and $Gr_{SL_{k+1}}$ is the “affine Grassmannian.”

Upshot

Peterson Isomorphism

- $QH^*(Fl_{k+1})$ quantum deformation of $H^*(Fl_{k+1})$ by q_1, \dots, q_k .
- Supported by quantum Schubert polynomials \mathfrak{S}_w^Q (Fomin et al., 1997). ($\mathfrak{S}_w^Q \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_w$ when $q_i = 0$.)
- Peterson isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi: QH^*(Fl_{k+1}) &\rightarrow H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})_{loc} \\ \mathfrak{S}_w^Q &\mapsto \frac{s_\lambda^{(k)}}{\prod_{i \in Des(w)} \tau_i}\end{aligned}$$

where $s_\lambda^{(k)}$ is a k -Schur symmetric function and $Gr_{SL_{k+1}}$ is the “affine Grassmannian.”

Upshot

Computations for (quantum) Schubert polynomials can be moved into symmetric functions.

k -Schur functions

- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).

k -Schur functions

- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).

k -Schur functions

- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).
- Has a tableaux formulation and Pieri rule: $s_{1^r} s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k)}$


k -Schur functions

- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).
- Has a tableaux formulation and Pieri rule: $s_1 s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k)}$
- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

k -Schur functions

- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).
- Has a tableaux formulation and Pieri rule: $s_1 s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k)}$
- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- Branching with positive coefficients (Lam et al., 2010):

$$s_{\lambda}^{(2)} = s_{\lambda} + s_{\lambda} + s_{\lambda}$$



k -Schur functions

- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).
- Has a tableaux formulation and Pieri rule: $s_1 s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k)}$
- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- Branching with positive coefficients (Lam et al., 2010):

$$s_{\lambda}^{(2)} = s_{\lambda}^{(3)} + s_{\lambda}^{(3)} + s_{\lambda}^{(3)}$$

The diagram shows the branching of the 2-Schur function $s_{\lambda}^{(2)}$ into three 3-Schur functions $s_{\lambda}^{(3)}$. On the left, $s_{\lambda}^{(2)}$ is represented by a 2x2 Young diagram. On the right, the sum of three terms is shown, each with a 3x2 Young diagram. The first term is $s_{\lambda}^{(3)}$ with a 2x2 Young diagram. The second and third terms are $s_{\lambda}^{(3)}$ with a 3x1 Young diagram. Brackets below the diagrams indicate the correspondence between the 2-Schur function and the 3-Schur functions.

- (Lam et al., 2010) gives geometric interpretation,

k -Schur functions

- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).
- Has a tableaux formulation and Pieri rule: $s_1 r s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k)}$
- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- Branching with positive coefficients (Lam et al., 2010):

$$s_{\lambda}^{(2)} = s_{\lambda}^{(3)} + s_{\lambda}^{(3)}$$

The diagram shows the branching of the 2-partition $s_{(2)}^{(2)}$ into 3-partitions. On the left is a 2x2 square representing $s_{(2)}^{(2)}$. On the right is the sum of two 3-partitions: $s_{(2,1)}^{(3)}$ (a 2x2 square with an extra cell at the bottom right) and $s_{(1,1,1)}^{(3)}$ (a 1x3 horizontal row). Brackets below the right side group these two terms under $s_{(2,1)}^{(3)}$ and $s_{(1,1,1)}^{(3)}$ respectively.

- (Lam et al., 2010) gives geometric interpretation,
- but no combinatorial interpretation of branching coefficients.

k -Schur functions

- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).
- Has a tableaux formulation and Pieri rule: $s_1 r s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k)}$
- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- Branching with positive coefficients (Lam et al., 2010):

$$s_{\lambda}^{(2)} = s_{\lambda}^{(3)} + s_{\lambda}^{(3)}$$

The diagram shows the branching of the 2-partition $s_{(2)}^{(2)}$ into 3-partitions. On the left is a 2x2 square representing $s_{(2)}^{(2)}$. This is equal to the sum of two 3-partitions: $s_{(2,1)}^{(3)}$ (a 2x2 square with an extra cell to the right) and $s_{(1,1,1)}^{(3)}$ (a vertical column of three cells). Brackets below the right side group these two terms under the label $s_{(2)}^{(3)}$.

- (Lam et al., 2010) gives geometric interpretation,
- but no combinatorial interpretation of branching coefficients.
- Branching with t important for Macdonald polynomial positivity.

k -Schur functions

- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).
- Has a tableaux formulation and Pieri rule: $s_1 r s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k)}$
- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- Branching with positive coefficients (Lam et al., 2010):

$$s_{\lambda}^{(2)} = s_{\lambda}^{(3)} + s_{\lambda}^{(3)}$$

The diagram shows the equation $s_{\lambda}^{(2)} = s_{\lambda}^{(3)} + s_{\lambda}^{(3)}$ with Young diagrams. On the left is the Young diagram for $s_{(2)}^{(2)}$, which is a 2x2 square. This is equal to the sum of two Young diagrams for $s_{\lambda}^{(3)}$. The first is the Young diagram for $s_{(2)}^{(3)}$, which is a 2x2 square. The second is the Young diagram for $s_{(1,1)}^{(3)}$, which is a 2x1 rectangle. Braces indicate that the two terms on the right are both $s_{\lambda}^{(3)}$.

- (Lam et al., 2010) gives geometric interpretation,
- but no combinatorial interpretation of branching coefficients.
- Branching with t important for Macdonald polynomial positivity.
- Many conjecturally equivalent definitions.

- Schubert calculus
- **Catalan functions: a new approach to old problems**
- K -theoretic Catalan functions

Why a new definition of k -Schur?

Why a new definition of k -Schur?

Answer

- 1 (Blasiak et al., 2019) gives a new definition of $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ and shows it is equivalent to many other previous definitions.

Why a new definition of k -Schur?

Answer

- 1 (Blasiak et al., 2019) gives a new definition of $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ and shows it is equivalent to many other previous definitions.
- 2 From a new definition, (Blasiak et al., 2019) shows the branching coefficients $b_{\lambda\mu}$ in the expansion $s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} b_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k+1)}$ have combinatorial interpretation!

Key:

Why a new definition of k -Schur?

Answer

- 1 (Blasiak et al., 2019) gives a new definition of $s_\lambda^{(k)}$ and shows it is equivalent to many other previous definitions.
- 2 From a new definition, (Blasiak et al., 2019) shows the branching coefficients $b_{\lambda\mu}$ in the expansion $s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu b_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k+1)}$ have combinatorial interpretation!

Key: Catalan functions = large class of symmetric functions.

Ingredients for Catalan functions

- Raising operators

Ingredients for Catalan functions

- Raising operators
- Symmetric functions indexed by integer vectors

Ingredients for Catalan functions

- Raising operators
- Symmetric functions indexed by integer vectors
- Root ideals

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

- Raising operators $R_{i,j}$ act on diagrams

$$R_{1,3} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \text{red} & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \text{red} \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad R_{2,3} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \text{red} \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline & & \text{red} \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

- Raising operators $R_{i,j}$ act on diagrams

$$R_{1,3} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \text{red} & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline & & \text{red} \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad R_{2,3} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \text{red} \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \\ \hline & \text{red} \\ \hline & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

- Extend action to a symmetric function f_λ by $R_{i,j}(f_\lambda) = f_{\lambda + \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j}$.

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

- Raising operators $R_{i,j}$ act on diagrams

$$R_{1,3} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \text{red} & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \text{red} \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad R_{2,3} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \text{red} \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline & & \text{red} \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

- Extend action to a symmetric function f_λ by $R_{i,j}(f_\lambda) = f_{\lambda + \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j}$.
- For $h_\lambda = s_{\lambda_1} \cdots s_{\lambda_r}$, we have the *Jacobi-Trudi identity*

$$s_\lambda = \prod_{i < j} (1 - R_{ij}) h_\lambda$$

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

- Raising operators $R_{i,j}$ act on diagrams

$$R_{1,3} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \text{red} & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \text{red} \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad R_{2,3} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \text{red} \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline & & \text{red} \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

- Extend action to a symmetric function f_λ by $R_{i,j}(f_\lambda) = f_{\lambda+\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}$.
- For $h_\lambda = s_{\lambda_1} \cdots s_{\lambda_r}$, we have the *Jacobi-Trudi identity*

$$s_\lambda = \prod_{i < j} (1 - R_{ij}) h_\lambda$$

$$s_{22} = (1 - R_{12}) h_{22} = h_{22} - h_{31}$$

$$s_{211} = (1 - R_{12})(1 - R_{23})(1 - R_{13}) h_{211}$$

$$= h_{211} - h_{301} - h_{220} - \text{red } h_{310} + \text{red } h_{310} + \underbrace{h_{32-1}}_{=0} + h_{400} - \underbrace{h_{41-1}}_{=0}$$

some terms cancel

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

Upside: gives definition for Schur function indexed by any integer vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$.

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

Upside: gives definition for Schur function indexed by any integer vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$. Straightening:

$$s_\alpha = \prod_{i < j} (1 - R_{ij}) h_\alpha = \begin{cases} \pm s_\lambda & \text{for a partition } \lambda \\ 0 & \end{cases}$$

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

Upside: gives definition for Schur function indexed by any integer vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$. Straightening:

$$s_\alpha = \prod_{i < j} (1 - R_{ij}) h_\alpha = \begin{cases} \pm s_\lambda & \text{for a partition } \lambda \\ 0 & \end{cases}$$

Simplifies formulas. E.g., for $\langle s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda, s_\mu \rangle = \langle s_\lambda, s_{1^r} s_\mu \rangle$,

$$s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda =$$

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

Upside: gives definition for Schur function indexed by any integer vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$. Straightening:

$$s_\alpha = \prod_{i < j} (1 - R_{ij}) h_\alpha = \begin{cases} \pm s_\lambda & \text{for a partition } \lambda \\ 0 & \end{cases}$$

Simplifies formulas. E.g., for $\langle s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda, s_\mu \rangle = \langle s_\lambda, s_{1^r} s_\mu \rangle$,

$$s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda = \sum_{S \subseteq [1, \ell], |S|=r} s_{\lambda - \epsilon_S}$$

$$s_{1^2}^\perp s_{333} = s_{322} + s_{232} + s_{223}$$

Root Ideals

A root ideal Ψ of type $A_{\ell-1}$ positive roots: given by Dyck path (lattice path above diagonal).



$\Psi =$ Roots above Dyck path
 $\Delta_{\ell}^{+} \setminus \Psi =$ Non-roots below

Root Ideals

A root ideal Ψ of type $A_{\ell-1}$ positive roots: given by Dyck path (lattice path above diagonal).



Ψ = Roots above Dyck path
 $\Delta_{\ell}^{+} \setminus \Psi$ = Non-roots below

Catalan Function (Chen, 2010; Panyushev, 2010; Blasiak et al., 2019)

For Ψ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell}$

$$H(\Psi; \gamma)(x) = \prod_{(i,j) \in \Delta_{\ell}^{+} \setminus \Psi} (1 - R_{ij}) h_{\gamma}(x)$$

Root Ideals

A root ideal Ψ of type $A_{\ell-1}$ positive roots: given by Dyck path (lattice path above diagonal).



Ψ = Roots above Dyck path
 $\Delta_{\ell}^{+} \setminus \Psi$ = Non-roots below

Catalan Function (Chen, 2010; Panyushev, 2010; Blasiak et al., 2019)

For Ψ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell}$

$$H(\Psi; \gamma)(x) = \prod_{(i,j) \in \Delta_{\ell}^{+} \setminus \Psi} (1 - R_{ij}) h_{\gamma}(x)$$

- $\Psi = \emptyset \implies H(\emptyset; \gamma) = s_{\gamma}$

Root Ideals

A root ideal Ψ of type $A_{\ell-1}$ positive roots: given by Dyck path (lattice path above diagonal).



Ψ = Roots above Dyck path
 $\Delta_{\ell}^{+} \setminus \Psi$ = Non-roots below

Catalan Function (Chen, 2010; Panyushev, 2010; Blasiak et al., 2019)

For Ψ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell}$

$$H(\Psi; \gamma)(x) = \prod_{(i,j) \in \Delta_{\ell}^{+} \setminus \Psi} (1 - R_{ij}) h_{\gamma}(x)$$

- $\Psi = \emptyset \implies H(\emptyset; \gamma) = s_{\gamma}$
- $\Psi = \text{all roots} \implies H(\Psi; \gamma) = h_{\gamma}$

Intuition

Catalan functions interpolate between h_λ and s_λ .

Intuition

Catalan functions interpolate between h_λ and s_λ .

Theorem (Blasiak et al., 2020)

For Ψ any root ideal and λ a partition, $H(\Psi; \lambda)$ is Schur positive!

k -Schur root ideal for λ

$$\begin{aligned}\psi = \Delta^k(\lambda) &= \{(i, j) : j > k - \lambda_i\} \\ &= \text{root ideal with } k - \lambda_i \text{ non-roots in row } i\end{aligned}$$

k -Schur root ideal for λ

$$\begin{aligned}\psi = \Delta^k(\lambda) &= \{(i, j) : j > k - \lambda_i\} \\ &= \text{root ideal with } k - \lambda_i \text{ non-roots in row } i\end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta^4(3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1) =$$

3						
	3					
		2				
			2			
				1		
					1	

\leftarrow row i has $4 - \lambda_i$ non-roots

Catalan functions

k -Schur root ideal for λ

$$\begin{aligned}\psi = \Delta^k(\lambda) &= \{(i, j) : j > k - \lambda_i\} \\ &= \text{root ideal with } k - \lambda_i \text{ non-roots in row } i\end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta^4(3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1) =$$

3					
	3				
		2			
			2		
				1	
					1

\leftarrow row i has $4 - \lambda_i$ non-roots

k -Schur is a Catalan function (Blasiak et al., 2019).

For partition λ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$,

$$s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = H(\Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda).$$

Key ingredient of branching proof

Dual vertical Pieri rule: $s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k)}$ for $\langle s_{1^r}^\perp f, g \rangle = \langle f, s_{1^r} g \rangle$.

Key ingredient of branching proof

Dual vertical Pieri rule: $s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k)}$ for $\langle s_{1^r}^\perp f, g \rangle = \langle f, s_{1^r} g \rangle$.

Shift Invariance (Blasiak et al., 2019)

For partition λ of length ℓ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$,

$$s_{1^\ell}^\perp s_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = s_\lambda^{(k)}.$$

Key ingredient of branching proof

Dual vertical Pieri rule: $s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k)}$ for $\langle s_{1^r}^\perp f, g \rangle = \langle f, s_{1^r} g \rangle$.

Shift Invariance (Blasiak et al., 2019)

For partition λ of length ℓ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$,

$$s_{1^\ell}^\perp s_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = s_\lambda^{(k)}.$$

Proof: $k - \lambda_i = (k + 1) - (\lambda_i + 1)$

Key ingredient of branching proof

Dual vertical Pieri rule: $s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k)}$ for $\langle s_{1^r}^\perp f, g \rangle = \langle f, s_{1^r} g \rangle$.

Shift Invariance (Blasiak et al., 2019)

For partition λ of length ℓ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$,

$$s_{1^\ell}^\perp s_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = s_\lambda^{(k)}.$$

Proof: $k - \lambda_i = (k + 1) - (\lambda_i + 1)$

$$\Delta^4(3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1) =$$

3					
	3				
		2			
			2		
				1	
					1

$$\Delta^5(4, 4, 3, 3, 2, 2) =$$

4					
	4				
		3			
			3		
				2	
					2

Key ingredient of branching proof


Dual vertical Pieri rule: $s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k)}$ for $\langle s_{1^r}^\perp f, g \rangle = \langle f, s_{1^r} g \rangle$.


Shift Invariance (Blasiak et al., 2019)

For partition λ of length ℓ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$,

$$s_{1^\ell}^\perp s_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = s_\lambda^{(k)}.$$

Proof: $k - \lambda_i = (k + 1) - (\lambda_i + 1)$

$$\Delta^4(3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1) =$$


$$\Delta^5(4, 4, 3, 3, 2, 2) =$$


Pieri:

$$s_{1^\ell}^\perp s_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda+1^\ell, \mu} s_\mu^{(k+1)}$$

Key ingredient of branching proof


Dual vertical Pieri rule: $s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k)}$ for $\langle s_{1^r}^\perp f, g \rangle = \langle f, s_{1^r} g \rangle$.


Shift Invariance (Blasiak et al., 2019)

For partition λ of length ℓ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$,

$$s_{1^\ell}^\perp s_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = s_\lambda^{(k)}.$$

Proof: $k - \lambda_i = (k + 1) - (\lambda_i + 1)$

$$\Delta^4(3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1) =$$


$$\Delta^5(4, 4, 3, 3, 2, 2) =$$


Branching is a special case of Pieri:

$$s_\lambda^{(k)} = s_{1^\ell}^\perp s_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda+1^\ell, \mu} s_\mu^{(k+1)}$$

- Schubert calculus
- Catalan functions: a new approach to old problems
- ***K*-theoretic Catalan functions**

Dual Grothendieck polynomials

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_\lambda = s_\lambda + \text{lower degree terms}$.

Dual Grothendieck polynomials

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_\lambda = s_\lambda +$ lower degree terms.
- Satisfies Pieri rule on “set-valued strips”

Dual Grothendieck polynomials

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_\lambda = s_\lambda +$ lower degree terms.
- Satisfies Pieri rule on “set-valued strips”

$$g_{1^2}g_{3,2} = g_{43} + g_{421} + g_{331} + g_{3211} - g_{42} - g_{33} - 2g_{321} + g_{31}$$



Add (addable) or mark (removable) in any combination of r boxes, but only once per row.

Dual Grothendieck polynomials

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_\lambda = s_\lambda + \text{lower degree terms}$.
- Satisfies Pieri rule on “set-valued strips”

$$g_{1^2}g_{3,2} = g_{43} + g_{421} + g_{331} + g_{3211} - g_{42} - g_{33} - 2g_{321} + g_{31}$$




Add (addable) or mark (removable) in any combination of r boxes, but only once per row.

- $g_\lambda = \prod_{i < j} (1 - R_{ij}) k_\lambda$ for k_λ and inhomogeneous analogue of h_λ .

Dual Grothendieck polynomials

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_\lambda = s_\lambda + \text{lower degree terms}$.
- Satisfies Pieri rule on “set-valued strips”

$$g_{1^2}g_{3,2} = g_{43} + g_{421} + g_{331} + g_{3211} - g_{42} - g_{33} - 2g_{321} + g_{31}$$



Add (addable) or mark (removable) in any combination of r boxes, but only once per row.

- $g_\lambda = \prod_{i < j} (1 - R_{ij}) k_\lambda$ for k_λ and inhomogeneous analogue of h_λ .
- Dual to Grothendieck polynomials G_λ : Schubert representatives for $K^*(Gr(m, n))$

K - k -Schur functions

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}^{(k)} + \text{lower degree terms}$

K - k -Schur functions

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}^{(k)} + \text{lower degree terms}$
- Satisfies Pieri rule on “affine set-valued strips”

K - k -Schur functions

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}^{(k)} + \text{lower degree terms}$
- Satisfies Pieri rule on “affine set-valued strips”

$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)} = g_{2111}^{(2)} - 2g_{211}^{(2)}$$

2-bounded partitions \leftrightarrow 3-cores

K - k -Schur functions

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}^{(k)} + \text{lower degree terms}$
- Satisfies Pieri rule on “affine set-valued strips”

$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)} = g_{2111}^{(2)} - 2g_{211}^{(2)}$$

2-bounded partitions \leftrightarrow 3-cores

The diagram illustrates the Pieri rule for K -Schur functions. It shows the product of a 1-strip (g_1) and a 2-bounded partition ($g_{211}^{(2)}$) resulting in the difference of two 2-bounded partitions ($g_{2111}^{(2)} - 2g_{211}^{(2)}$). The partitions are represented as 5x5 grids of colored dots (red, blue, black) with some cells shaded gray to indicate the addition or subtraction of strips.

- Conjecture: $g_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ have positive branching into $g_{\mu}^{(k+1)}$ (Lam et al., 2010; Morse, 2011).

K - k -Schur functions

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}^{(k)} + \text{lower degree terms}$
- Satisfies Pieri rule on “affine set-valued strips”

$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)} = g_{2111}^{(2)} - 2g_{211}^{(2)}$$

2-bounded partitions \leftrightarrow 3-cores

- Conjecture: $g_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ have positive branching into $g_{\mu}^{(k+1)}$ (Lam et al., 2010; Morse, 2011).

Problem

No direct formula for $g_{\lambda}^{(k)}$

Solution

Find a formula for $g_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ analogous to raising operator formula for $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$.

Solution

Find a formula for $g_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ analogous to raising operator formula for $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$.

Requires an inhomogeneous refinement of Catalan functions.

An Extra Ingredient: Lowering Operators

Lowering Operators $L_j(f_\lambda) = f_{\lambda - \epsilon_j}$

$$L_3 \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \text{red} & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad L_1 \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \text{red} \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

K -theoretic Catalan function

Let $\Psi, \mathcal{L} \subseteq \Delta_\ell^+$ be order ideals of positive roots and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$, then

$$K(\Psi; \mathcal{L}; \gamma) := \prod_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{L}} (1 - L_j) \prod_{(i,j) \in \Delta_\ell^+ \setminus \Psi} (1 - R_{ij}) k_\gamma$$

Affine K -Theory Representatives with Raising Operators

K -theoretic Catalan function

Let $\Psi, \mathcal{L} \subseteq \Delta_\ell^+$ be order ideals of positive roots and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$, then

$$K(\Psi; \mathcal{L}; \gamma) := \prod_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{L}} (1 - L_j) \prod_{(i,j) \in \Delta_\ell^+ \setminus \Psi} (1 - R_{ij}) k_\gamma$$

Example

non-roots of Ψ , roots of \mathcal{L}

	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
		(23)	(24)	(25)
			(34)	(35)
				(45)

$$\begin{aligned} K(\Psi; \mathcal{L}; 54332) \\ = (1 - L_4)^2 (1 - L_5)^2 (1 - R_{12}) (1 - R_{34}) (1 - R_{45}) k_{54332} \end{aligned}$$

Answer (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

Answer (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For K -homology of affine Grassmannian, $g_{\lambda}^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^{k+1}(\lambda); \lambda)$ since this family satisfies the Pieri rule.

Affine K -Theory Representatives with Raising Operators

Answer (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For K -homology of affine Grassmannian, $g_{\lambda}^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^{k+1}(\lambda); \lambda)$ since this family satisfies the Pieri rule.

Example



$$\Delta_9^+ / \Delta^4(332111111), \Delta^5(332111111)$$

Pieri Rule Illustrated (Recurrences)

A “graphical calculus.”

$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)}$$

Pieri Rule Illustrated (Recurrences)

A “graphical calculus.”

$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)}$$

=

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

Pieri Rule Illustrated (Recurrences)

A “graphical calculus.”

$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)}$$

$$=$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

$$=$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

$$+$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

Pieri Rule Illustrated (Recurrences)

A “graphical calculus.”

$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)}$$

$$=$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

$$=$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

$$+$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

$$=$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

$$+$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

$$+$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

Pieri Rule Illustrated (Straightening)

$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)} =$$

The diagram illustrates the Pieri rule for the product of two Schur functions. The equation shows the product of g_1 and $g_{211}^{(2)}$ equal to the sum of three Young diagrams. Each diagram is a 7x7 grid with rows and columns indexed 1 to 7. The first diagram has red cells at (1,3)-(1,7), (2,4)-(2,7), (3,5)-(3,7), (4,6)-(4,7), (5,7), and blue cells at (2,2), (3,3), (4,4), (5,5), (6,6), and (7,7). The second diagram has red cells at (1,3)-(1,7), (2,4)-(2,7), (3,5)-(3,7), (4,6)-(4,7), (5,7), and blue cells at (2,2), (3,3), (4,4), (5,5), (6,6), and a purple cell at (5,6). The third diagram has red cells at (1,3)-(1,7), (2,4)-(2,7), (3,5)-(3,7), (4,6)-(4,7), (5,7), and blue cells at (2,2), (3,3), (4,4), (5,5), (6,6), and (7,7).

Pieri Rule Illustrated (Straightening)

$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)} =$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

$$+$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

$$+$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			1			
				0		
					0	
						1

$$=$$

2			
	1		
		1	
			1

$$-$$

2		
	1	
		1

$$-$$

2		
	1	
		1


Pieri Rule Illustrated (Straightening)

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_1 g_{211}^{(2)} &= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & & & & \\ \hline & & 1 & & & & \\ \hline & & & 0 & & & \\ \hline & & & & 0 & & \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & \\ \hline & & & & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & & & & \\ \hline & & 1 & & & & \\ \hline & & & 0 & & & \\ \hline & & & & 0 & & \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & \\ \hline & & & & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & & & & \\ \hline & & 1 & & & & \\ \hline & & & 1 & & & \\ \hline & & & & 0 & & \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & \\ \hline & & & & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \\
 &= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & & \\ \hline & & 1 & & \\ \hline & & & 1 & \\ \hline & & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & \\ \hline & & 1 & \\ \hline & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & \\ \hline & & 1 & \\ \hline & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \\
 &= g_{2111}^{(2)} - g_{211}^{(2)} - g_{211}^{(2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Pieri Rule Illustrated (Straightening)

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_1 g_{211}^{(2)} &= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & & & & \\ \hline & & 1 & & & & \\ \hline & & & 0 & & & \\ \hline & & & & 0 & & \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & \\ \hline & & & & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & & & & \\ \hline & & 1 & & & & \\ \hline & & & 0 & & & \\ \hline & & & & 0 & & \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & \\ \hline & & & & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & & & & \\ \hline & & 1 & & & & \\ \hline & & & 0 & & & \\ \hline & & & & 0 & & \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & \\ \hline & & & & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \\
 &= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & & \\ \hline & & 1 & & \\ \hline & & & 1 & \\ \hline & & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & \\ \hline & & 1 & \\ \hline & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & \\ \hline & & 1 & \\ \hline & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \\
 &= g_{2111}^{(2)} - g_{211}^{(2)} - g_{211}^{(2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

3-core perspective:



Theorem (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

Theorem (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

The $g_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ are “shift invariant”, i.e. for $\ell = \ell(\lambda)$

$$G_{1^{\ell}}^{\perp} g_{\lambda+1^{\ell}}^{(k+1)} = g_{\lambda}^{(k)}$$

Theorem (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

The $g_\lambda^{(k)}$ are “shift invariant”, i.e. for $\ell = \ell(\lambda)$

$$G_{1^\ell}^\perp g_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = g_\lambda^{(k)}$$

Theorem (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

The branching coefficients in

$$g_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu} g_\mu^{(k+1)}$$

satisfy $(-1)^{|\lambda|-|\mu|} a_{\lambda\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

K -theoretic Peterson isomorphism

$$\Phi: QK^*(Fl_{k+1}) \rightarrow K_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})_{loc}$$

K -theoretic Peterson isomorphism

$$\Phi: QK^*(Fl_{k+1}) \rightarrow K_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})_{loc}$$

Conjecture (Ikeda et al., 2018)

For $w \in S_{k+1}$ and \mathfrak{G}_w^Q a “quantum Grothendieck polynomial”,

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{G}_w^Q) = \frac{\tilde{g}_w}{\prod_{i \in Des(w)} \tau_i}$$

K -theoretic Peterson isomorphism

$$\Phi: QK^*(Fl_{k+1}) \rightarrow K_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})_{loc}$$

Conjecture (Ikeda et al., 2018)

For $w \in S_{k+1}$ and \mathfrak{G}_w^Q a “quantum Grothendieck polynomial”,

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{G}_w^Q) = \frac{\tilde{g}_w}{\prod_{i \in Des(w)} \tau_i}$$

satisfies $\tilde{g}_w = g_\lambda^{(k)} + \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} g_\mu^{(k)}$ such that $(-1)^{|\lambda|-|\mu|} a_{\lambda\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

K -theoretic Peterson isomorphism

$$\Phi: QK^*(Fl_{k+1}) \rightarrow K_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})_{loc}$$

Conjecture (Ikeda et al., 2018)

For $w \in S_{k+1}$ and \mathfrak{G}_w^Q a “quantum Grothendieck polynomial”,

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{G}_w^Q) = \frac{\tilde{g}_w}{\prod_{i \in Des(w)} \tau_i}$$

satisfies $\tilde{g}_w = g_\lambda^{(k)} + \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} g_\mu^{(k)}$ such that $(-1)^{|\lambda|-|\mu|} a_{\lambda\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Theorem (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

If $\lambda \subseteq (d^{k+1-d})$ for some $1 \leq d \leq k$, then $g_\lambda^{(k)} = g_\lambda$. Thus, conjecture is true for w a Grassmannian permutation (i.e. w has only one descent).

K -theoretic Peterson isomorphism

$$\Phi: QK^*(Fl_{k+1}) \rightarrow K_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})_{loc}$$

Conjecture (Ikeda et al., 2018)

For $w \in S_{k+1}$ and \mathfrak{G}_w^Q a “quantum Grothendieck polynomial”,

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{G}_w^Q) = \frac{\tilde{g}_w}{\prod_{i \in Des(w)} \tau_i}$$

satisfies $\tilde{g}_w = g_\lambda^{(k)} + \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} g_\mu^{(k)}$ such that $(-1)^{|\lambda| - |\mu|} a_{\lambda\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Theorem (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

If $\lambda \subseteq (d^{k+1-d})$ for some $1 \leq d \leq k$, then $g_\lambda^{(k)} = g_\lambda$. Thus, conjecture is true for w a Grassmannian permutation (i.e. w has only one descent).

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

$$\tilde{g}_w = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda)$$

Definition (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For any partition λ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$, we set

$$\tilde{g}_\lambda^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda)$$

Closed K - k -Schur functions

Definition (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For any partition λ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$, we set

$$\tilde{g}_\lambda^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda)$$

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

These $\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)}$ satisfy the following properties.

Closed K - k -Schur functions

Definition (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For any partition λ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$, we set

$$\tilde{g}_\lambda^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda)$$

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

These $\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)}$ satisfy the following properties.

- The coefficients in $G_{1^m}^\perp \tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\nu c_{\mu\nu} \tilde{g}_\nu^{(k)}$ satisfy $(-1)^{|\mu|-|\nu|} a_{\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Closed K - k -Schur functions

Definition (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For any partition λ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$, we set

$$\tilde{g}_\lambda^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda)$$

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

These $\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)}$ satisfy the following properties.

- The coefficients in $G_{1^m}^\perp \tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\nu c_{\mu\nu} \tilde{g}_\nu^{(k)}$ satisfy $(-1)^{|\mu|-|\nu|} a_{\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- The coefficients in $\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\nu a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{g}_\nu^{(k+1)}$ satisfy $(-1)^{|\mu|-|\nu|} a_{\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Closed K - k -Schur functions

Definition (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For any partition λ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$, we set

$$\tilde{g}_\lambda^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda)$$

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

These $\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)}$ satisfy the following properties.

- The coefficients in $G_{1^m}^\perp \tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\nu c_{\mu\nu} \tilde{g}_\nu^{(k)}$ satisfy $(-1)^{|\mu|-|\nu|} a_{\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- The coefficients in $\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\nu a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{g}_\nu^{(k+1)}$ satisfy $(-1)^{|\mu|-|\nu|} a_{\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- The coefficients in $\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\nu b_{\mu\nu} g_\nu^{(k)}$ satisfy $(-1)^{|\mu|-|\nu|} b_{\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Theorem (S. (thesis), 2021)

For $1 \leq d \leq k$, set $R_d = ((k + 1 - d)^d)$ to be the k -rectangle partition.

Theorem (S. (thesis), 2021)

For $1 \leq d \leq k$, set $R_d = ((k+1-d)^d)$ to be the k -rectangle partition. Then,

$$\tilde{g}_{R_d}^{(k)} \tilde{g}_{\mu}^{(k)} = \tilde{g}_{\mu \cup R_d}^{(k)},$$

where $\mu \cup R_d$ is the partition given by sorting (μ, R_d) .

Theorem (S. (thesis), 2021)

For $1 \leq d \leq k$, set $R_d = ((k+1-d)^d)$ to be the k -rectangle partition. Then,

$$\tilde{g}_{R_d}^{(k)} \tilde{g}_{\mu}^{(k)} = \tilde{g}_{\mu \cup R_d}^{(k)},$$

where $\mu \cup R_d$ is the partition given by sorting (μ, R_d) .

- Corresponding result for $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ is known, but this gives a Catalan/Katala-theoretic proof.

k -Rectangle Property

Theorem (S. (thesis), 2021)

For $1 \leq d \leq k$, set $R_d = ((k+1-d)^d)$ to be the k -rectangle partition. Then,

$$\tilde{g}_{R_d}^{(k)} \tilde{g}_{\mu}^{(k)} = \tilde{g}_{\mu \cup R_d}^{(k)},$$

where $\mu \cup R_d$ is the partition given by sorting (μ, R_d) .

- Corresponding result for $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ is known, but this gives a Catalan/Katala-theoretic proof.
- k -Rectangle Property fails for $g_{\lambda}^{(k)}$.

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For Ψ a root ideal and λ a partition,

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For Ψ a root ideal and λ a partition,

- $K(\Psi; \Psi; \lambda) = \sum_{\mu} a_{\mu} g_{\mu}$ satisfies $(-1)^{|\lambda| - |\mu|} a_{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For Ψ a root ideal and λ a partition,

- $K(\Psi; \Psi; \lambda) = \sum_{\mu} a_{\mu} g_{\mu}$ satisfies $(-1)^{|\lambda| - |\mu|} a_{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- $K(\Psi; RC^a(\Psi); \lambda) = \sum_{\mu} b_{\mu} s_{\mu}$ satisfies $b_{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

For $G_\lambda^{(k)}$ an affine Grothendieck polynomial (dual to $g_\lambda^{(k)}$),

For $G_\lambda^{(k)}$ an affine Grothendieck polynomial (dual to $g_\lambda^{(k)}$),

- 1 Combinatorially describe dual Pieri rule:

$$G_{1^r}^\perp g_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu ?? g_\mu^{(k)} \iff G_{1^r} G_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\lambda ?? G_\lambda^{(k)}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq k.$$

For $G_\lambda^{(k)}$ an affine Grothendieck polynomial (dual to $g_\lambda^{(k)}$),

- 1 Combinatorially describe dual Pieri rule:

$$G_{1^r}^\perp g_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu ?? g_\mu^{(k)} \iff G_{1^r} G_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\lambda ?? G_\lambda^{(k)}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq k.$$

- 2 Combinatorially describe branching coefficients: $g_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu ?? g_\mu^{(k+1)}$.

For $G_\lambda^{(k)}$ an affine Grothendieck polynomial (dual to $g_\lambda^{(k)}$),

- 1 Combinatorially describe dual Pieri rule:

$$G_{1^r}^\perp g_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu ?? g_\mu^{(k)} \iff G_{1^r} G_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\lambda ?? G_\lambda^{(k)}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq k.$$

- 2 Combinatorially describe branching coefficients: $g_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu ?? g_\mu^{(k+1)}$.

- 3 Combinatorially describe $g_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu ?? s_\mu^{(k)}$.

Thank you!

- Anderson, David, Linda Chen, and Hsian-Hua Tseng. 2017. *On the quantum K -ring of the flag manifold*, preprint. arXiv: 1711.08414.
- Blasiak, Jonah, Jennifer Morse, Anna Pun, and Daniel Summers. 2019. *Catalan Functions and k -Schur Positivity*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **32**, no. 4, 921–963.
- Blasiak, Jonah, Jennifer Morse, and Anna Pun. 2020. *Demazure crystals and the Schur positivity of Catalan functions*, preprint. arXiv: 2007.04952.
- Blasiak, Jonah, Jennifer Morse, and George H. Seelinger. 2020. *K -theoretic Catalan functions*, preprint. arXiv: 2010.01759.
- Chen, Li-Chung. 2010. *Skew-linked partitions and a representation theoretic model for k -Schur functions*, Ph.D. thesis.
- Fomin, Sergey, Sergei Gelfand, and Alexander Postnikov. 1997. *Quantum Schubert polynomials*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **10**, no. 3, 565–596, DOI 10.1090/S0894-0347-97-00237-3. MR1431829
- Ikeda, Takeshi, Shinsuke Iwao, and Toshiaki Maeno. 2018. *Peterson Isomorphism in K -theory and Relativistic Toda Lattice*, preprint. arXiv: 1703.08664.
- Lam, Thomas. 2008. *Schubert polynomials for the affine Grassmannian*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **21**, no. 1, 259–281.
- Lam, Thomas, Luc Lapointe, Jennifer Morse, and Mark Shimozono. 2010. *Affine insertion and Pieri rules for the affine Grassmannian*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **208**, no. 977.
- Lam, Thomas, Anne Schilling, and Mark Shimozono. 2010. *K -theory Schubert calculus of the affine Grassmannian*, Compositio Math. **146**, 811–852.
- Lapointe, Luc, Alain Lascoux, and Jennifer Morse. 2003. *Tableau atoms and a new Macdonald positivity conjecture*, Duke Mathematical Journal **116**, no. 1, 103–146.
- Morse, Jennifer. 2011. *Combinatorics of the K -theory of affine Grassmannians*, Advances in Mathematics.
- Panyushev, Dmitri I. 2010. *Generalised Kostka-Foulkes polynomials and cohomology of line bundles on homogeneous vector bundles*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **16**, no. 2, 315–342.