

K -theoretic Catalan functions

George H. Seelinger

ghs9ae@virginia.edu

University of Virginia

April 26, 2021

- Schubert calculus: connecting geometry and combinatorics
- Catalan functions: a new approach to old problems
- K -theoretic Catalan functions

Overview of Schubert Calculus Combinatorics

Geometric problem

Find $c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu = \#$ of points in intersection of subvarieties in a variety X .

Overview of Schubert Calculus Combinatorics

Geometric problem

Find $c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu = \#$ of points in intersection of subvarieties in a variety X .



Cohomology

Schubert basis $\{\sigma_\lambda\}$ for $H^*(X)$ with property $\sigma_\lambda \cup \sigma_\mu = \sum_\nu c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu \sigma_\nu$

Overview of Schubert Calculus Combinatorics

Geometric problem

Find $c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu = \#$ of points in intersection of subvarieties in a variety X .



Cohomology

Schubert basis $\{\sigma_\lambda\}$ for $H^*(X)$ with property $\sigma_\lambda \cup \sigma_\mu = \sum_\nu c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu \sigma_\nu$



Representatives

Special basis of polynomials $\{f_\lambda\}$ such that $f_\lambda \cdot f_\mu = \sum_\nu c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu f_\nu$

Combinatorial study of $\{f_\lambda\}$ enlightens the geometry (and cohomology).

Goal

Identify $\{f_\lambda\}$ in explicit (simple) terms amenable to calculation and proofs.

Algebra of Symmetric Functions

- Polynomials $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ satisfying $\sigma.f = f$ for all $\sigma \in S_n$?

Algebra of Symmetric Functions

- Polynomials $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ satisfying $\sigma.f = f$ for all $\sigma \in S_n$?
- Symmetric polynomials ($n = 3$)

$$e_1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \quad h_1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$$

$$e_2 = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 \quad h_2 = x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2^2 + x_2x_3 + x_3^2$$

$$e_3 = x_1x_2x_3 \quad h_3 = x_1^3 + x_1^2x_2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_1x_2^2 + \dots$$

Algebra of Symmetric Functions

- Polynomials $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ satisfying $\sigma.f = f$ for all $\sigma \in S_n$?
- Symmetric polynomials ($n = 3$)

$$e_1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \quad h_1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$$

$$e_2 = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 \quad h_2 = x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2^2 + x_2x_3 + x_3^2$$

$$e_3 = x_1x_2x_3 \quad h_3 = x_1^3 + x_1^2x_2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_1x_2^2 + \dots$$

- $\{f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid \sigma.f = f \forall \sigma \in S_n\}$ forms a vector space, $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Algebra of Symmetric Functions

- Polynomials $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ satisfying $\sigma.f = f$ for all $\sigma \in S_n$?
- Symmetric polynomials ($n = 3$)

$$e_1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \quad h_1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$$

$$e_2 = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 \quad h_2 = x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2^2 + x_2x_3 + x_3^2$$

$$e_3 = x_1x_2x_3 \quad h_3 = x_1^3 + x_1^2x_2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_1x_2^2 + \dots$$

- $\{f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid \sigma.f = f \forall \sigma \in S_n\}$ forms a vector space, $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$.
- Bases indexed by integer partitions.

Definition

$n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, a *partition of n* is $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_\ell > 0)$ such that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_\ell = n$.

Partitions

Definition

$n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, a *partition* of n is $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_\ell > 0)$ such that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_\ell = n$.

$$5 \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline\Box & \Box & \Box & \Box & \Box \\ \hline\end{array}$$

$$4 + 1 \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline\Box & \Box & \Box & \Box & \Box \\ \hline\end{array}$$

$$3 + 2 \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline\Box & \Box & \Box & \Box \\ \hline\end{array}$$

$$3 + 1 + 1 \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline\Box & \Box & \Box & \Box \\ \hline\end{array}$$

$$2 + 2 + 1 \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline\Box & \Box & \Box & \Box \\ \hline\end{array}$$

$$2 + 1 + 1 + 1 \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline\Box & \Box & \Box & \Box \\ \hline\end{array}$$

$$1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 \rightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline\Box & \Box & \Box & \Box & \Box \\ \hline\end{array}$$

Definition

For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\text{Gr}(m, n) = \{V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{m+n} \mid \dim V = m\}$.

Definition

For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\text{Gr}(m, n) = \{V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{m+n} \mid \dim V = m\}$.

- Topological structure of projective variety.

Definition

For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\text{Gr}(m, n) = \{V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{m+n} \mid \dim V = m\}$.

- Topological structure of projective variety.
- $\text{Gr}(1, n) = \mathbb{C}P^n$

Definition

For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\text{Gr}(m, n) = \{V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{m+n} \mid \dim V = m\}$.

- Topological structure of projective variety.
- $\text{Gr}(1, n) = \mathbb{C}P^n$
- “Schubert cell” decomposition

$$\text{Gr}(m, n) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \subseteq (n^m)} \Omega_\lambda$$

Definition

For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\text{Gr}(m, n) = \{V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{m+n} \mid \dim V = m\}$.

- Topological structure of projective variety.
- $\text{Gr}(1, n) = \mathbb{C}P^n$
- “Schubert cell” decomposition

$$\text{Gr}(m, n) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \subseteq (n^m)} \Omega_\lambda$$

- Schubert varieties $X_\lambda = \overline{\Omega_\lambda}$.

Geometric problem

Find $c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu = \#$ of points in intersection of Schubert varieties $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \subseteq (n^m)}$ in variety $X = \text{Gr}(m, n)$.

Classical Schubert Calculus

Geometric problem

Find $c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu = \#$ of points in intersection of Schubert varieties $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \subseteq (n^m)}$ in variety $X = \text{Gr}(m, n)$.



Cohomology

Schubert basis $\{\sigma_\lambda\}_{\lambda \subseteq (n^m)}$ for $H^*(X)$ with property $\sigma_\lambda \cup \sigma_\mu = \sum_\nu c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu \sigma_\nu$

Classical Schubert Calculus

Geometric problem

Find $c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu = \#$ of points in intersection of Schubert varieties $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \subseteq (n^m)}$ in variety $X = \text{Gr}(m, n)$.



Cohomology

Schubert basis $\{\sigma_\lambda\}_{\lambda \subseteq (n^m)}$ for $H^*(X)$ with property $\sigma_\lambda \cup \sigma_\mu = \sum_\nu c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu \sigma_\nu$



Representatives

Special basis of Schur polynomials $\{s_\lambda\}$ indexed by partitions such that $s_\lambda \cdot s_\mu = \sum_\nu c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu s_\nu$ for Littlewood-Richardson coefficients $c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu$.

Example

Semistandard tableaux: columns increasing and rows non-decreasing.

$$T =$$

5			
3	4		
2	3		
1	2	2	5

8			
7	9		
3	4		
1	2	5	6

Example

Semistandard tableaux: columns increasing and rows non-decreasing.

$$T =$$

5			
3	4		
2	3		
1	2	2	5

8			
7	9		
3	4		
1	2	5	6

$$\text{wt}(T) = (1, 3, 2, 1, 2) \quad (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$$

Example

Semistandard tableaux: columns increasing and rows non-decreasing.

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 5 & & & \\ \hline 3 & 4 & & \\ \hline 2 & 3 & & \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 2 & 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\text{wt}(T) = (1, 3, 2, 1, 2) \quad (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$$

$$x^{\text{wt}(T)} = x_1^1 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^1 x_5^2 \quad x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5 x_6 x_7 x_8 x_9$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 8 & & & \\ \hline 7 & 9 & & \\ \hline 3 & 4 & & \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Example

Semistandard tableaux: columns increasing and rows non-decreasing.

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 5 & & & \\ \hline 3 & 4 & & \\ \hline 2 & 3 & & \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 2 & 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 8 & & & \\ \hline 7 & 9 & & \\ \hline 3 & 4 & & \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\text{wt}(T) = (1, 3, 2, 1, 2) \quad (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$$

$$x^{\text{wt}(T)} = x_1^1 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^1 x_5^2 \quad x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5 x_6 x_7 x_8 x_9$$

$\text{SSYT}(\lambda) =$ all semistandard tableaux of shape λ .

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|}, \begin{array}{|c|c|}, \begin{array}{|c|c|}, \begin{array}{|c|c|}, \begin{array}{|c|c|}, \begin{array}{|c|c|}, \begin{array}{|c|c|}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline 1 \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array}$$

Schur functions s_λ

Schur function s_λ is a “weight generating function” of semistandard tableaux:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 2 & \\ \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 3 & \\ \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 3 & \\ \hline 2 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 2 & \\ \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 3 & \\ \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 3 & \\ \hline 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 2 & \\ \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 3 & \\ \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$s_{\begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2 x_2 + x_1^2 x_3 + x_2^2 x_3 + x_1 x_2^2 + x_1 x_3^2 + x_2 x_3^2 + 2x_1 x_2 x_3$$

Schur functions s_λ

Schur function s_λ is a “weight generating function” of semistandard tableaux:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 2 & \\ \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 3 & \\ \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 3 & \\ \hline 2 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 2 & \\ \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 3 & \\ \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 3 & \\ \hline 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 2 & \\ \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 3 & \\ \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$s_{\begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2 x_2 + x_1^2 x_3 + x_2^2 x_3 + x_1 x_2^2 + x_1 x_3^2 + x_2 x_3^2 + 2x_1 x_2 x_3$$

$$s_\lambda(x) = \sum_{T \in \text{SSYT}(\lambda)} x^{\text{wt}(T)}$$

Schur functions s_λ

Schur function s_λ is a “weight generating function” of semistandard tableaux:

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 3 \\ \hline 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 3 \\ \hline 2 \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 3 \\ \hline 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 3 \\ \hline 2 \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 3 \\ \hline 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$s_{\begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2 x_2 + x_1^2 x_3 + x_2^2 x_3 + x_1 x_2^2 + x_1 x_3^2 + x_2 x_3^2 + 2x_1 x_2 x_3$$

$$s_\lambda(x) = \sum_{T \in \text{SSYT}(\lambda)} x^{\text{wt}(T)}$$

$s_\lambda(x)$ is homogeneous of degree $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_\ell$.

Schur functions s_λ (cont.)

Pieri rule

Determines multiplicative structure:

$$s_r s_\lambda = \sum (1 \text{ or } 0) s_\nu$$

$$s_{\square} s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}} = s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square & \square \\ \square & \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}} + s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square & \square \\ \square & \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}} + s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square & \square \\ \square & \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}}$$

Schur functions s_λ (cont.)

Pieri rule

Determines multiplicative structure:

$$s_r s_\lambda = \sum (1 \text{ or } 0) s_\nu$$

$$s_{\square} s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \end{smallmatrix}} = s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square & \square \\ \square & \end{smallmatrix}} + s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square & \square \\ \square & \square & \end{smallmatrix}} + s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square & \square \\ \square & \square & \square & \end{smallmatrix}}$$

Iterate Pieri rule

$$s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_r} s_\lambda = \sum (\# \text{ known tableaux}) s_\nu$$

Schur functions s_λ (cont.)

Pieri rule

Determines multiplicative structure:

$$s_r s_\lambda = \sum (1 \text{ or } 0) s_\nu$$

$$s_{\square} s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}} = s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square & \square \\ \square & \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}} + s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square & \square \\ \square & \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}} + s_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square & \square \\ \square & \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}}$$

Iterate Pieri rule

$$s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_r} s_\lambda = \sum (\# \text{ known tableaux}) s_\nu$$

Since $s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_r} = s_{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r)} + \text{lower order terms}$, subtract to get

$$s_{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r)} s_\lambda = \sum c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu s_\nu$$

for well-understood *Littlewood-Richardson coefficients* $c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu$.

Upshot

Let $\{f_\lambda\}$ be a basis of Λ such that

- ① $f_r = s_r$ and
- ② $f_r f_\lambda$ satisfies the Pieri rule.

Then, $f_\lambda = s_\lambda$.

Schur functions s_λ (cont.)

Upshot

Let $\{f_\lambda\}$ be a basis of Λ such that

- ① $f_r = s_r$ and
- ② $f_r f_\lambda$ satisfies the Pieri rule.

Then, $f_\lambda = s_\lambda$.

Looking Ahead

This type of technique will be useful for establishing the equivalence of new formulas for other bases.

When examining Schubert representatives in Λ , we ask

When examining Schubert representatives in Λ , we ask

- Does it have a Pieri rule? ($s_r s_\lambda = \sum s_\nu$)

When examining Schubert representatives in Λ , we ask

- Does it have a Pieri rule? ($s_r s_\lambda = \sum s_\nu$)
- Does it have a direct formula? ($s_\lambda = \sum_{T \in \text{SSYT}(\lambda)} x^T$)

There are many variations on classical Schubert calculus of the Grassmannian (Type A).

Schubert Calculus Variations

There are many variations on classical Schubert calculus of the Grassmannian (Type A).

Theory	f_λ
(Co)homology of Grassmannian	Schur functions
(Co)homology of flag variety	Schubert polynomials
Quantum cohomology of flag variety	Quantum Schuberts
(Co)homology of Types BCD Grassmannian	Schur- P and Q functions
(Co)homology of affine Grassmannian	(dual) k -Schur functions
K -theory of Grassmannian	Grothendieck polynomials
K -homology of affine Grassmannian	K - k -Schur functions


Schubert Calculus Variations

There are many variations on classical Schubert calculus of the Grassmannian (Type A).

Theory	f_λ
(Co)homology of Grassmannian	Schur functions
(Co)homology of flag variety	Schubert polynomials
Quantum cohomology of flag variety	Quantum Schuberts
(Co)homology of Types BCD Grassmannian	Schur- P and Q functions
(Co)homology of affine Grassmannian	(dual) k -Schur functions
K -theory of Grassmannian	Grothendieck polynomials
K -homology of affine Grassmannian	K - k -Schur functions

And many more!

Big Picture



k -Schur functions

- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).

k -Schur functions

- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).

k -Schur functions

- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).
- Has a tableaux formulation and Pieri rule: $s_{1^r} s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k)}$

k -Schur functions

- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).
- Has a tableaux formulation and Pieri rule: $s_1 s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k)}$
- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

k -Schur functions

- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).
- Has a tableaux formulation and Pieri rule: $s_1 s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k)}$
- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- Branching with positive coefficients (Lam et al., 2010):

$$s_{\lambda}^{(2)} = s_{\lambda}^{(3)} + s_{\lambda}^{(3)}$$

k -Schur functions

- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).
- Has a tableaux formulation and Pieri rule: $s_1 s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k)}$
- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- Branching with positive coefficients (Lam et al., 2010):

$$s_{\lambda}^{(2)} = s_{\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}} + s_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}} + s_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{s_{\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}}^{(3)}} \quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{s_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}}^{(3)}}$

- (Lam et al., 2010) gives geometric interpretation,

k -Schur functions

- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).
- Has a tableaux formulation and Pieri rule: $s_1 r s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k)}$
- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- Branching with positive coefficients (Lam et al., 2010):

$$s_{\lambda}^{(2)} = s_{\lambda}^{(3)} + s_{\lambda}^{(3)}$$

The diagram shows the branching of the 2-partition $s_{(2)}^{(2)}$ into 3-partitions. On the left, $s_{(2)}^{(2)}$ is represented by a 2x2 square. On the right, the sum of two 3-partitions is shown: $s_{(2,1)}^{(3)}$ (a 2x2 square with an extra cell to the right) and $s_{(1,1,1)}^{(3)}$ (a vertical column of three cells). Braces indicate the mapping from the 2-partition to the 3-partitions.

- (Lam et al., 2010) gives geometric interpretation,
- but no combinatorial interpretation of branching coefficients.

k -Schur functions

- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).
- Has a tableaux formulation and Pieri rule: $s_1 r s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k)}$
- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- Branching with positive coefficients (Lam et al., 2010):

$$s_{\lambda}^{(2)} = s_{\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}} + s_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}} + s_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{s_{\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}}^{(3)}} \quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{s_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}}^{(3)}}$

- (Lam et al., 2010) gives geometric interpretation,
- but no combinatorial interpretation of branching coefficients.
- Branching with t important for Macdonald polynomial positivity.

k -Schur functions

- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ for $\lambda_1 \leq k$ a basis for $\mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k]$ (Lapointe et al., 2003).
- Schubert representatives for $H_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})$ (Lam, 2008).
- Has a tableaux formulation and Pieri rule: $s_1 r s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k)}$
- $s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- Branching with positive coefficients (Lam et al., 2010):

$$s_{\lambda}^{(2)} = s_{\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}} + s_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}} + s_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{s_{\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}}^{(3)}} \quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{s_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}}^{(3)}}$

- (Lam et al., 2010) gives geometric interpretation,
- but no combinatorial interpretation of branching coefficients.
- Branching with t important for Macdonald polynomial positivity.
- Many conjecturally equivalent definitions.

- Schubert calculus
- **Catalan functions: a new approach to old problems**
- K -theoretic Catalan functions

Why a new definition of k -Schur?

Why a new definition of k -Schur?

Answer

- 1 (Blasiak et al., 2019) gives a new definition of $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ and shows it is equivalent to many other previous definitions.

Why a new definition of k -Schur?

Answer

- 1 (Blasiak et al., 2019) gives a new definition of $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ and shows it is equivalent to many other previous definitions.
- 2 From a new definition, (Blasiak et al., 2019) shows the branching coefficients $b_{\lambda\mu}$ in the expansion $s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} b_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k+1)}$ have combinatorial interpretation!

Key:

Why a new definition of k -Schur?

Answer

- 1 (Blasiak et al., 2019) gives a new definition of $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ and shows it is equivalent to many other previous definitions.
- 2 From a new definition, (Blasiak et al., 2019) shows the branching coefficients $b_{\lambda\mu}$ in the expansion $s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} b_{\lambda\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k+1)}$ have combinatorial interpretation!

Key: $\{s_{\lambda}^{(k)}\}_{\lambda} \subseteq \text{Catalan functions} = \text{large class of symmetric functions.}$

Ingredients for Catalan functions

- Raising operators

Ingredients for Catalan functions

- Raising operators
- Symmetric functions indexed by integer vectors

Ingredients for Catalan functions

- Raising operators
- Symmetric functions indexed by integer vectors
- Root ideals

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

- Raising operators $R_{i,j}$ act on diagrams

$$R_{1,3} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \text{red} & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \text{red} \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad R_{2,3} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \text{red} \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline & & \text{red} \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

- Raising operators $R_{i,j}$ act on diagrams

$$R_{1,3} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \text{red} & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline & & \text{red} \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad R_{2,3} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \text{red} \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \\ \hline & \text{red} \\ \hline & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

- Extend action to a symmetric function f_λ by $R_{i,j}(f_\lambda) = f_{\lambda + \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j}$.

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

- Raising operators $R_{i,j}$ act on diagrams

$$R_{1,3} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \text{red} & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \text{red} \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad R_{2,3} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \text{red} \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline & & \text{red} \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

- Extend action to a symmetric function f_λ by $R_{i,j}(f_\lambda) = f_{\lambda + \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j}$.
- For $h_\lambda = s_{\lambda_1} \cdots s_{\lambda_r}$, we have the *Jacobi-Trudi identity*

$$s_\lambda = \prod_{i < j} (1 - R_{ij}) h_\lambda$$

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

- Raising operators $R_{i,j}$ act on diagrams

$$R_{1,3} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \text{red} & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \text{red} \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad R_{2,3} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \text{red} \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline & & \text{red} \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

- Extend action to a symmetric function f_λ by $R_{i,j}(f_\lambda) = f_{\lambda+\epsilon_i-\epsilon_j}$.
- For $h_\lambda = s_{\lambda_1} \cdots s_{\lambda_r}$, we have the *Jacobi-Trudi identity*

$$s_\lambda = \prod_{i < j} (1 - R_{ij}) h_\lambda$$

$$s_{22} = (1 - R_{12})h_{22} = h_{22} - h_{31}$$

$$s_{211} = (1 - R_{12})(1 - R_{23})(1 - R_{13})h_{211}$$

$$= h_{211} - h_{301} - h_{220} - \text{red } h_{310} + \text{red } h_{310} + \underbrace{h_{32-1}}_{=0} + h_{400} - \underbrace{h_{41-1}}_{=0}$$

some terms cancel

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

Upside: gives definition for Schur function indexed by any integer vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$.

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

Upside: gives definition for Schur function indexed by any integer vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$. Straightening:

$$s_\alpha = \prod_{i < j} (1 - R_{ij}) h_\alpha = \begin{cases} \pm s_\lambda & \text{for a partition } \lambda \\ 0 & \end{cases}$$

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

Upside: gives definition for Schur function indexed by any integer vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$. Straightening:

$$s_\alpha = \prod_{i < j} (1 - R_{ij}) h_\alpha = \begin{cases} \pm s_\lambda & \text{for a partition } \lambda \\ 0 & \end{cases}$$

Simplifies formulas. E.g., for $\langle s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda, s_\mu \rangle = \langle s_\lambda, s_{1^r} s_\mu \rangle$ (note $\langle s_\lambda, s_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$),

$$s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda =$$

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

Upside: gives definition for Schur function indexed by any integer vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$. Straightening:

$$s_\alpha = \prod_{i < j} (1 - R_{ij}) h_\alpha = \begin{cases} \pm s_\lambda & \text{for a partition } \lambda \\ 0 & \end{cases}$$

Simplifies formulas. E.g., for $\langle s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda, s_\mu \rangle = \langle s_\lambda, s_{1^r} s_\mu \rangle$ (note $\langle s_\lambda, s_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$),

$$s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda = \sum_{S \subseteq [1, \ell], |S|=r} s_{\lambda - \epsilon_S}$$

$$s_{1^2}^\perp s_{333} = s_{322} + s_{232} + s_{223}$$

Raising Operators on Symmetric Functions

Upside: gives definition for Schur function indexed by any integer vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$. Straightening:

$$s_\alpha = \prod_{i < j} (1 - R_{ij}) h_\alpha = \begin{cases} \pm s_\lambda & \text{for a partition } \lambda \\ 0 & \end{cases}$$

Simplifies formulas. E.g., for $\langle s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda, s_\mu \rangle = \langle s_\lambda, s_{1^r} s_\mu \rangle$ (note $\langle s_\lambda, s_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$),


$$s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda = \sum_{S \subseteq [1, \ell], |S|=r} s_{\lambda - \epsilon_S}$$

$$s_{1^2}^\perp s_{333} = s_{322} + s_{232} + s_{223}$$

$$s_{1^3}^\perp s_{333} = s_{222}$$

Root Ideals


A root ideal Ψ of type $A_{\ell-1}$ positive roots: given by Dyck path (lattice path above diagonal).



Ψ = Roots above Dyck path
 $\Delta_{\ell}^{+} \setminus \Psi$ = Non-roots below

Root Ideals

A root ideal Ψ of type $A_{\ell-1}$ positive roots: given by Dyck path (lattice path above diagonal).



Ψ = Roots above Dyck path
 $\Delta_{\ell}^+ \setminus \Psi$ = Non-roots below


Catalan Function (Chen, 2010; Panyushev, 2010; Blasiak et al., 2019)

For Ψ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell}$

$$H(\Psi; \gamma)(x) = \prod_{(i,j) \in \Delta_{\ell}^+ \setminus \Psi} (1 - R_{ij}) h_{\gamma}(x)$$

Root Ideals

A root ideal Ψ of type $A_{\ell-1}$ positive roots: given by Dyck path (lattice path above diagonal).



Ψ = Roots above Dyck path
 $\Delta_{\ell}^{+} \setminus \Psi$ = Non-roots below

Catalan Function (Chen, 2010; Panyushev, 2010; Blasiak et al., 2019)


For Ψ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell}$

$$H(\Psi; \gamma)(x) = \prod_{(i,j) \in \Delta_{\ell}^{+} \setminus \Psi} (1 - R_{ij}) h_{\gamma}(x)$$

- $\Psi = \emptyset \implies H(\emptyset; \gamma) = s_{\gamma}$

Root Ideals

A root ideal Ψ of type $A_{\ell-1}$ positive roots: given by Dyck path (lattice path above diagonal).



Ψ = Roots above Dyck path
 $\Delta_{\ell}^{+} \setminus \Psi$ = Non-roots below

Catalan Function (Chen, 2010; Panyushev, 2010; Blasiak et al., 2019)

For Ψ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell}$

$$H(\Psi; \gamma)(x) = \prod_{(i,j) \in \Delta_{\ell}^{+} \setminus \Psi} (1 - R_{ij}) h_{\gamma}(x)$$

- $\Psi = \emptyset \implies H(\emptyset; \gamma) = s_{\gamma}$
- $\Psi = \text{all roots} \implies H(\Psi; \gamma) = h_{\gamma}$

Intuition

Catalan functions interpolate between h_λ and s_λ .

Intuition

Catalan functions interpolate between h_λ and s_λ .

Theorem (Blasiak et al., 2020)

For Ψ any root ideal and λ a partition, $H(\Psi; \lambda)$ is Schur positive!
Precisely, $H(\Psi; \lambda) = \sum_{\nu} c_{\Psi, \lambda}^{\nu} s_{\nu}$ satisfies $c_{\Psi, \lambda}^{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

k -Schur root ideal for λ

$$\begin{aligned}\psi = \Delta^k(\lambda) &= \{(i, j) : j > k - \lambda_i\} \\ &= \text{root ideal with } k - \lambda_i \text{ non-roots in row } i\end{aligned}$$

k -Schur root ideal for λ

$$\begin{aligned}\psi = \Delta^k(\lambda) &= \{(i, j) : j > k - \lambda_i\} \\ &= \text{root ideal with } k - \lambda_i \text{ non-roots in row } i\end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta^4(3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1) =$$

3					
	3				
		2			
			2		
				1	
					1

\leftarrow row i has $4 - \lambda_i$ non-roots

Catalan functions

k -Schur root ideal for λ

$$\begin{aligned}\psi = \Delta^k(\lambda) &= \{(i, j) : j > k - \lambda_i\} \\ &= \text{root ideal with } k - \lambda_i \text{ non-roots in row } i\end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta^4(3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1) =$$

3					
	3				
		2			
			2		
				1	
					1

\leftarrow row i has $4 - \lambda_i$ non-roots

k -Schur is a Catalan function (Blasiak et al., 2019).

For partition λ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$,

$$s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = H(\Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda).$$

Key ingredient of branching proof

Dual vertical Pieri rule: $s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k)}$ for $\langle s_{1^r}^\perp f, g \rangle = \langle f, s_{1^r} g \rangle$.

Key ingredient of branching proof

Dual vertical Pieri rule: $s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k)}$ for $\langle s_{1^r}^\perp f, g \rangle = \langle f, s_{1^r} g \rangle$.

Shift Invariance (Blasiak et al., 2019)

For partition λ of length ℓ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$,

$$s_{1^\ell}^\perp s_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = s_\lambda^{(k)}.$$

Key ingredient of branching proof

Dual vertical Pieri rule: $s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k)}$ for $\langle s_{1^r}^\perp f, g \rangle = \langle f, s_{1^r} g \rangle$.

Shift Invariance (Blasiak et al., 2019)

For partition λ of length ℓ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$,

$$s_{1^\ell}^\perp s_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = s_\lambda^{(k)}.$$

Proof: $k - \lambda_i = (k + 1) - (\lambda_i + 1)$

Key ingredient of branching proof

Dual vertical Pieri rule: $s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k)}$ for $\langle s_{1^r}^\perp f, g \rangle = \langle f, s_{1^r} g \rangle$.

Shift Invariance (Blasiak et al., 2019)

For partition λ of length ℓ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$,

$$s_{1^\ell}^\perp s_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = s_\lambda^{(k)}.$$

Proof: $k - \lambda_i = (k + 1) - (\lambda_i + 1)$

$$\Delta^4(3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1) =$$

3					
	3				
		2			
			2		
				1	
					1

$$\Delta^5(4, 4, 3, 3, 2, 2) =$$

4					
	4				
		3			
			3		
				2	
					2

Key ingredient of branching proof


Dual vertical Pieri rule: $s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k)}$ for $\langle s_{1^r}^\perp f, g \rangle = \langle f, s_{1^r} g \rangle$.


Shift Invariance (Blasiak et al., 2019)

For partition λ of length ℓ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$,

$$s_{1^\ell}^\perp s_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = s_\lambda^{(k)}.$$

Proof: $k - \lambda_i = (k + 1) - (\lambda_i + 1)$

$$\Delta^4(3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1) =$$


$$\Delta^5(4, 4, 3, 3, 2, 2) =$$


Pieri:

$$s_{1^\ell}^\perp s_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda+1^\ell, \mu} s_\mu^{(k+1)}$$

Key ingredient of branching proof


Dual vertical Pieri rule: $s_{1^r}^\perp s_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} s_\mu^{(k)}$ for $\langle s_{1^r}^\perp f, g \rangle = \langle f, s_{1^r} g \rangle$.


Shift Invariance (Blasiak et al., 2019)

For partition λ of length ℓ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$,

$$s_{1^\ell}^\perp s_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = s_\lambda^{(k)}.$$

Proof: $k - \lambda_i = (k + 1) - (\lambda_i + 1)$


$$\Delta^4(3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1) =$$


$$\Delta^5(4, 4, 3, 3, 2, 2) =$$


Branching is a special case of Pieri:

$$s_\lambda^{(k)} = s_{1^\ell}^\perp s_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = \sum_\mu a_{\lambda+1^\ell, \mu} s_\mu^{(k+1)}$$

Big Picture



- Schubert calculus
- Catalan functions: a new approach to old problems
- **K -theoretic Catalan functions**

Dual Grothendieck polynomials

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_\lambda = s_\lambda + \text{lower degree terms}$.

Dual Grothendieck polynomials

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_\lambda = s_\lambda +$ lower degree terms.
- Satisfies Pieri rule on “set-valued strips”

Dual Grothendieck polynomials

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_\lambda = s_\lambda + \text{lower degree terms}$.
- Satisfies Pieri rule on “set-valued strips”

$$g_{1^2}g_{3,2} = g_{43} + g_{421} + g_{331} + g_{3211} - g_{42} - g_{33} - 2g_{321} + g_{32}$$



Add (addable) or mark (removable) in any combination of r boxes, but only once per row.

Dual Grothendieck polynomials

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_\lambda = s_\lambda + \text{lower degree terms}$.
- Satisfies Pieri rule on “set-valued strips”

$$g_{1^2}g_{3,2} = g_{43} + g_{421} + g_{331} + g_{3211} - g_{42} - g_{33} - 2g_{321} + g_{32}$$




Add (addable) or mark (removable) in any combination of r boxes, but only once per row.

- $g_\lambda = \prod_{i < j} (1 - R_{ij}) k_\lambda$ for k_λ and inhomogeneous analogue of h_λ .

Dual Grothendieck polynomials

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_\lambda = s_\lambda + \text{lower degree terms}$.
- Satisfies Pieri rule on “set-valued strips”

$$g_{1^2}g_{3,2} = g_{43} + g_{421} + g_{331} + g_{3211} - g_{42} - g_{33} - 2g_{321} + g_{32}$$



Add (addable) or mark (removable) in any combination of r boxes, but only once per row.

- $g_\lambda = \prod_{i < j} (1 - R_{ij}) k_\lambda$ for k_λ and inhomogeneous analogue of h_λ .
- Dual to Grothendieck polynomials G_λ : Schubert representatives for $K^*(Gr(m, n))$

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}^{(k)} + \text{lower degree terms}$

K - k -Schur functions

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}^{(k)} + \text{lower degree terms}$
- Satisfies Pieri rule on “affine set-valued strips”

K - k -Schur functions

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}^{(k)} + \text{lower degree terms}$
- Satisfies Pieri rule on “affine set-valued strips”
- A $(k+1)$ -core is a partition with no cell of hook length $k+1$.



K - k -Schur functions

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}^{(k)} + \text{lower degree terms}$
- Satisfies Pieri rule on “affine set-valued strips”
- A $(k+1)$ -core is a partition with no cell of hook length $k+1$.



$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)} = g_{2111}^{(2)} - 2g_{211}^{(2)}$$

2-bounded partitions \leftrightarrow 3-cores


K - k -Schur functions

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}^{(k)} + \text{lower degree terms}$
- Satisfies Pieri rule on “affine set-valued strips”
- A $(k+1)$ -core is a partition with no cell of hook length $k+1$.



$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)} = g_{2111}^{(2)} - 2g_{211}^{(2)}$$

2-bounded partitions \leftrightarrow 3-cores



K - k -Schur functions

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}^{(k)} + \text{lower degree terms}$
- Satisfies Pieri rule on “affine set-valued strips”
- A $(k+1)$ -core is a partition with no cell of hook length $k+1$.



$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)} = g_{2111}^{(2)} - 2g_{211}^{(2)}$$

2-bounded partitions \leftrightarrow 3-cores

K - k -Schur functions

- Inhomogeneous basis: $g_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{\lambda}^{(k)} + \text{lower degree terms}$
- Satisfies Pieri rule on “affine set-valued strips”
- A $(k+1)$ -core is a partition with no cell of hook length $k+1$.



$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)} = g_{2111}^{(2)} - 2g_{211}^{(2)}$$

2-bounded partitions \leftrightarrow 3-cores

Conjecture (Lam et al., 2010; Morse, 2011)

$g_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ have positive branching into $g_{\mu}^{(k+1)}$.

Conjecture (Lam et al., 2010; Morse, 2011)

$g_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ have positive branching into $g_{\mu}^{(k+1)}$.

Problem

No direct formula for $g_{\lambda}^{(k)}$

Solution

Find a formula for $g_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ analogous to raising operator formula for $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$.

Solution

Find a formula for $g_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ analogous to raising operator formula for $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$.

Requires an inhomogeneous refinement of Catalan functions.

An Extra Ingredient: Lowering Operators

Lowering Operators $L_j(f_\lambda) = f_{\lambda - \epsilon_j}$

$$L_3 \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \text{red} & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad L_1 \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & \text{red} & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

K -theoretic Catalan function

Let $\Psi, \mathcal{L} \subseteq \Delta_\ell^+$ be order ideals of positive roots and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$, then

$$K(\Psi; \mathcal{L}; \gamma) := \prod_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{L}} (1 - L_j) \prod_{(i,j) \in \Delta_\ell^+ \setminus \Psi} (1 - R_{ij}) k_\gamma$$

K -theoretic Catalan function

Let $\Psi, \mathcal{L} \subseteq \Delta_\ell^+$ be order ideals of positive roots and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$, then

$$K(\Psi; \mathcal{L}; \gamma) := \prod_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{L}} (1 - L_j) \prod_{(i,j) \in \Delta_\ell^+ \setminus \Psi} (1 - R_{ij}) k_\gamma$$

“ Ψ =raising ideal, \mathcal{L} =lowering ideal.”

Affine K -Theory Representatives with Raising Operators

K -theoretic Catalan function

Let $\Psi, \mathcal{L} \subseteq \Delta_\ell^+$ be order ideals of positive roots and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$, then

$$K(\Psi; \mathcal{L}; \gamma) := \prod_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{L}} (1 - L_j) \prod_{(i,j) \in \Delta_\ell^+ \setminus \Psi} (1 - R_{ij}) k_\gamma$$

“ Ψ =raising ideal, \mathcal{L} =lowering ideal.”

Example

non-roots of Ψ , roots of \mathcal{L}

	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
		(23)	(24)	(25)
			(34)	(35)
				(45)

$$\begin{aligned} K(\Psi; \mathcal{L}; 54332) \\ = (1 - L_4)^2 (1 - L_5)^2 (1 - R_{12}) (1 - R_{34}) (1 - R_{45}) k_{54332} \end{aligned}$$

Answer (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

Answer (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)


For K -homology of affine Grassmannian, $g_{\lambda}^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^{k+1}(\lambda); \lambda)$ since this family satisfies the Pieri rule.

Affine K -Theory Representatives with Raising Operators

Answer (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For K -homology of affine Grassmannian, $g_{\lambda}^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^{k+1}(\lambda); \lambda)$ since this family satisfies the Pieri rule.

Example



$$\Delta_9^+ / \Delta^4(332111111), \Delta^5(332111111)$$

Pieri Rule Illustrated (Recurrences)

A “graphical calculus.”

$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)}$$

Pieri Rule Illustrated (Recurrences)

A “graphical calculus.”

$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)}$$

$$=$$

2							
	1						
		1					
			0				
				0			
					0		
						1	

Pieri Rule Illustrated (Recurrences)

A “graphical calculus.”

$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)}$$

$$=$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

$$=$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

$$+$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

Pieri Rule Illustrated (Recurrences)

A “graphical calculus.”

$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)}$

$$=$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

$$=$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

$$+$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

$$=$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

$$+$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

$$+$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

Pieri Rule Illustrated (Straightening)

$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)} =$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

 $+$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

 $+$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

Pieri Rule Illustrated (Straightening)

$$g_1 g_{211}^{(2)} =$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

$$+$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			0			
				0		
					0	
						1

$$+$$

2						
	1					
		1				
			1			
				0		
					0	
						1

$$=$$

2			
	1		
		1	
			1

$$-$$

2		
	1	
		1

$$-$$

2		
	1	
		1


Pieri Rule Illustrated (Straightening)

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_1 g_{211}^{(2)} &= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & & & & \\ \hline & & 1 & & & & \\ \hline & & & 0 & & & \\ \hline & & & & 0 & & \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & \\ \hline & & & & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & & & & \\ \hline & & 1 & & & & \\ \hline & & & 0 & & & \\ \hline & & & & 0 & & \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & \\ \hline & & & & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & & & & \\ \hline & & 1 & & & & \\ \hline & & & 1 & & & \\ \hline & & & & 0 & & \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & \\ \hline & & & & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \\
 &= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & & \\ \hline & & 1 & & \\ \hline & & & 1 & \\ \hline & & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & \\ \hline & & 1 & \\ \hline & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & \\ \hline & & 1 & \\ \hline & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \\
 &= g_{2111}^{(2)} - g_{211}^{(2)} - g_{211}^{(2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Pieri Rule Illustrated (Straightening)

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_1 g_{211}^{(2)} &= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & & & & \\ \hline & & 1 & & & & \\ \hline & & & 0 & & & \\ \hline & & & & 0 & & \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & \\ \hline & & & & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & & & & \\ \hline & & 1 & & & & \\ \hline & & & 0 & & & \\ \hline & & & & 0 & & \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & \\ \hline & & & & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & & & & \\ \hline & & 1 & & & & \\ \hline & & & 0 & & & \\ \hline & & & & 0 & & \\ \hline & & & & & 0 & \\ \hline & & & & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \\
 &= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & & \\ \hline & & 1 & & \\ \hline & & & 1 & \\ \hline & & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & \\ \hline & & 1 & \\ \hline & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & \\ \hline & & 1 & \\ \hline & & & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \\
 &= g_{2111}^{(2)} - g_{211}^{(2)} - g_{211}^{(2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

3-core perspective:



Theorem (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

Theorem (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

The $g_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ are “shift invariant”, i.e. for $\ell = \ell(\lambda)$

$$G_{1^{\ell}}^{\perp} g_{\lambda+1^{\ell}}^{(k+1)} = g_{\lambda}^{(k)}$$

Theorem (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

The $g_\lambda^{(k)}$ are “shift invariant”, i.e. for $\ell = \ell(\lambda)$

$$G_{1^\ell}^\perp g_{\lambda+1^\ell}^{(k+1)} = g_\lambda^{(k)}$$


Theorem (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

The branching coefficients in

$$g_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu} g_\mu^{(k+1)}$$

satisfy $(-1)^{|\lambda|-|\mu|} a_{\lambda\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Big Picture



K -theoretic Peterson isomorphism

$$\Phi: QK^*(Fl_{k+1}) \rightarrow K_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})_{loc}$$

K -theoretic Peterson isomorphism

$$\Phi: QK^*(Fl_{k+1}) \rightarrow K_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})_{loc}$$

Conjecture (Ikeda et al., 2018)

For $w \in S_{k+1}$ and \mathfrak{G}_w^Q a “quantum Grothendieck polynomial”,

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{G}_w^Q) = \frac{\tilde{g}_w}{\prod_{i \in Des(w)} \tau_i}$$

K -theoretic Peterson isomorphism

$$\Phi: QK^*(Fl_{k+1}) \rightarrow K_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})_{loc}$$

Conjecture (Ikeda et al., 2018)

For $w \in S_{k+1}$ and \mathfrak{G}_w^Q a “quantum Grothendieck polynomial”,

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{G}_w^Q) = \frac{\tilde{g}_w}{\prod_{i \in Des(w)} \tau_i}$$

satisfies $\tilde{g}_w = g_\lambda^{(k)} + \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} g_\mu^{(k)}$ such that $(-1)^{|\lambda|-|\mu|} a_{\lambda\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

K -theoretic Peterson isomorphism

$$\Phi: QK^*(Fl_{k+1}) \rightarrow K_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})_{loc}$$

Conjecture (Ikeda et al., 2018)

For $w \in S_{k+1}$ and \mathfrak{G}_w^Q a “quantum Grothendieck polynomial”,

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{G}_w^Q) = \frac{\tilde{g}_w}{\prod_{i \in Des(w)} \tau_i}$$

satisfies $\tilde{g}_w = g_\lambda^{(k)} + \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} g_\mu^{(k)}$ such that $(-1)^{|\lambda|-|\mu|} a_{\lambda\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Theorem (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

If $\lambda \subseteq (d^{k+1-d})$ for some $1 \leq d \leq k$, then $g_\lambda^{(k)} = g_\lambda$. Thus, conjecture is true for w a Grassmannian permutation (i.e. w has only one descent).

K -theoretic Peterson isomorphism

$$\Phi: QK^*(Fl_{k+1}) \rightarrow K_*(Gr_{SL_{k+1}})_{loc}$$

Conjecture (Ikeda et al., 2018)

For $w \in S_{k+1}$ and \mathfrak{G}_w^Q a “quantum Grothendieck polynomial”,

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{G}_w^Q) = \frac{\tilde{g}_w}{\prod_{i \in Des(w)} \tau_i}$$

satisfies $\tilde{g}_w = g_\lambda^{(k)} + \sum_\mu a_{\lambda\mu} g_\mu^{(k)}$ such that $(-1)^{|\lambda|-|\mu|} a_{\lambda\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Theorem (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

If $\lambda \subseteq (d^{k+1-d})$ for some $1 \leq d \leq k$, then $g_\lambda^{(k)} = g_\lambda$. Thus, conjecture is true for w a Grassmannian permutation (i.e. w has only one descent).

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

$$\tilde{g}_w = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda)$$

Definition (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For any partition λ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$, we set

$$\tilde{g}_\lambda^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda)$$

Closed K - k -Schur functions

Definition (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For any partition λ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$, we set

$$\tilde{g}_\lambda^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda)$$

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

These $\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)}$ satisfy the following properties.

Closed K - k -Schur functions

Definition (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For any partition λ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$, we set

$$\tilde{g}_\lambda^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda)$$

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

These $\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)}$ satisfy the following properties.

- The coefficients in $G_{1^m}^\perp \tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\nu c_{\mu\nu} \tilde{g}_\nu^{(k)}$ satisfy $(-1)^{|\mu|-|\nu|} c_{\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Closed K - k -Schur functions

Definition (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For any partition λ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$, we set

$$\tilde{g}_\lambda^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda)$$

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

These $\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)}$ satisfy the following properties.

- The coefficients in $G_{1^m}^\perp \tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\nu c_{\mu\nu} \tilde{g}_\nu^{(k)}$ satisfy $(-1)^{|\mu|-|\nu|} c_{\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- The coefficients in $\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\nu a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{g}_\nu^{(k+1)}$ satisfy $(-1)^{|\mu|-|\nu|} a_{\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Closed K - k -Schur functions

Definition (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For any partition λ with $\lambda_1 \leq k$, we set

$$\tilde{g}_\lambda^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda)$$

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

These $\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)}$ satisfy the following properties.

- The coefficients in $G_{1^m}^\perp \tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\nu c_{\mu\nu} \tilde{g}_\nu^{(k)}$ satisfy $(-1)^{|\mu|-|\nu|} c_{\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- The coefficients in $\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\nu a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{g}_\nu^{(k+1)}$ satisfy $(-1)^{|\mu|-|\nu|} a_{\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- The coefficients in $\tilde{g}_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\nu b_{\mu\nu} g_\nu^{(k)}$ satisfy $(-1)^{|\mu|-|\nu|} b_{\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

k -Rectangle Property

Theorem (S., 2021)

For $1 \leq d \leq k$, set $R_d = ((k + 1 - d)^d)$ to be the k -rectangle partition.

k -Rectangle Property

Theorem (S., 2021)

For $1 \leq d \leq k$, set $R_d = ((k+1-d)^d)$ to be the k -rectangle partition. Then,

$$\tilde{g}_{R_d}^{(k)} \tilde{g}_{\mu}^{(k)} = \tilde{g}_{\mu \cup R_d}^{(k)},$$

where $\mu \cup R_d$ is the partition given by sorting (μ, R_d) .

Theorem (S., 2021)

For $1 \leq d \leq k$, set $R_d = ((k+1-d)^d)$ to be the k -rectangle partition. Then,

$$\tilde{g}_{R_d}^{(k)} \tilde{g}_{\mu}^{(k)} = \tilde{g}_{\mu \cup R_d}^{(k)},$$

where $\mu \cup R_d$ is the partition given by sorting (μ, R_d) .

- Must be true for geometric connection with Peterson isomorphism.

k -Rectangle Property

Theorem (S., 2021)

For $1 \leq d \leq k$, set $R_d = ((k+1-d)^d)$ to be the k -rectangle partition. Then,

$$\tilde{g}_{R_d}^{(k)} \tilde{g}_{\mu}^{(k)} = \tilde{g}_{\mu \cup R_d}^{(k)},$$

where $\mu \cup R_d$ is the partition given by sorting (μ, R_d) .

- Must be true for geometric connection with Peterson isomorphism.
- Corresponding result for $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ is known, but this gives a Catalan/ K -theoretic Catalan proof.

k -Rectangle Property

Theorem (S., 2021)

For $1 \leq d \leq k$, set $R_d = ((k+1-d)^d)$ to be the k -rectangle partition. Then,

$$\tilde{g}_{R_d}^{(k)} \tilde{g}_{\mu}^{(k)} = \tilde{g}_{\mu \cup R_d}^{(k)},$$

where $\mu \cup R_d$ is the partition given by sorting (μ, R_d) .

- Must be true for geometric connection with Peterson isomorphism.
- Corresponding result for $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ is known, but this gives a Catalan/ K -theoretic Catalan proof.
- k -Rectangle Property fails for $g_{\lambda}^{(k)}$.

Summary:

Summary:

- $g_{\lambda}^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^{k+1}(\lambda); \lambda)$ used for branching positivity.

Summary:

- $g_{\lambda}^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^{k+1}(\lambda); \lambda)$ used for branching positivity.
- $\tilde{g}_{\lambda}^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda)$ conjecturally related to K -Peterson isomorphism with many positivity conjectures.

Summary:

- $g_{\lambda}^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^{k+1}(\lambda); \lambda)$ used for branching positivity.
- $\tilde{g}_{\lambda}^{(k)} = K(\Delta^k(\lambda); \Delta^k(\lambda); \lambda)$ conjecturally related to K -Peterson isomorphism with many positivity conjectures.

What can be said about K -theoretic Catalan functions in general?

Positivity of K -theoretic Catalan functions

Recall (Blasiak et al., 2020)

For Ψ any root ideal and λ a partition, $H(\Psi; \lambda)$ is Schur positive.

Positivity of K -theoretic Catalan functions

Recall (Blasiak et al., 2020)

For Ψ any root ideal and λ a partition, $H(\Psi; \lambda)$ is Schur positive.

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For Ψ a root ideal and λ a partition,

Positivity of K -theoretic Catalan functions

Recall (Blasiak et al., 2020)

For Ψ any root ideal and λ a partition, $H(\Psi; \lambda)$ is Schur positive.

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For Ψ a root ideal and λ a partition,

- $K(\Psi; \Psi; \lambda) = \sum_{\mu} a_{\mu} g_{\mu}$ satisfies $(-1)^{|\lambda| - |\mu|} a_{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Positivity of K -theoretic Catalan functions

Recall (Blasiak et al., 2020)

For Ψ any root ideal and λ a partition, $H(\Psi; \lambda)$ is Schur positive.

Conjecture (Blasiak-Morse-S., 2020)

For Ψ a root ideal and λ a partition,

- $K(\Psi; \Psi; \lambda) = \sum_{\mu} a_{\mu} g_{\mu}$ satisfies $(-1)^{|\lambda| - |\mu|} a_{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- $K(\Psi; RC^a(\Psi); \lambda) = \sum_{\mu} b_{\mu} s_{\mu}$ satisfies $b_{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

For $G_\lambda^{(k)}$ an affine Grothendieck polynomial (dual to $g_\lambda^{(k)}$),

For $G_\lambda^{(k)}$ an affine Grothendieck polynomial (dual to $g_\lambda^{(k)}$),

- 1 Combinatorially describe dual Pieri rule:

$$G_{1^r}^\perp g_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu ?? g_\mu^{(k)} \iff G_{1^r} G_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\lambda ?? G_\lambda^{(k)}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq k.$$

For $G_\lambda^{(k)}$ an affine Grothendieck polynomial (dual to $g_\lambda^{(k)}$),

- 1 Combinatorially describe dual Pieri rule:

$$G_{1^r}^\perp g_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu ?? g_\mu^{(k)} \iff G_{1^r} G_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\lambda ?? G_\lambda^{(k)}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq k.$$

- 2 Combinatorially describe branching coefficients: $g_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu ?? g_\mu^{(k+1)}$.

For $G_\lambda^{(k)}$ an affine Grothendieck polynomial (dual to $g_\lambda^{(k)}$),

- 1 Combinatorially describe dual Pieri rule:

$$G_{1^r}^\perp g_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu ?? g_\mu^{(k)} \iff G_{1^r} G_\mu^{(k)} = \sum_\lambda ?? G_\lambda^{(k)}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq k.$$

- 2 Combinatorially describe branching coefficients: $g_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu ?? g_\mu^{(k+1)}$.

- 3 Combinatorially describe $g_\lambda^{(k)} = \sum_\mu ?? s_\mu^{(k)}$.

Raising operator techniques extend beyond Schubert calculus! Work by Blasiak-Haiman-Morse-Pun-S.:

- Shuffle theorems (Blasiak et al., 2021a; 2021b).
- Macdonald polynomials and LLT polynomials (Blasiak et al., 2021c).
- Much more work to be done!

Thank you!

- Anderson, David, Linda Chen, and Hsian-Hua Tseng. 2017. *On the quantum K -ring of the flag manifold*, preprint. arXiv: 1711.08414.
- Blasiak, Jonah, Mark Haiman, Jennifer Morse, Anna Pun, and George H Seelinger. 2021a. *A Shuffle Theorem for Paths Under Any Line*, arXiv e-prints, available at arXiv:2102.07931.
- Blasiak, Jonah, Mark Haiman, Jennifer Morse, Anna Pun, and George H. Seelinger. 2021b. *A proof of the Extended Delta Conjecture*, arXiv e-prints, available at arXiv:2102.08815.
- . 2021c. *LLT polynomials in the Schiffmann algebra*. In preparation.
- Blasiak, Jonah, Jennifer Morse, Anna Pun, and Daniel Summers. 2019. *Catalan Functions and k -Schur Positivity*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **32**, no. 4, 921–963.
- Blasiak, Jonah, Jennifer Morse, and Anna Pun. 2020. *Demazure crystals and the Schur positivity of Catalan functions*, preprint. arXiv: 2007.04952.
- Blasiak, Jonah, Jennifer Morse, and George H. Seelinger. 2020. *K -theoretic Catalan functions*, preprint. arXiv: 2010.01759.
- Chen, Li-Chung. 2010. *Skew-linked partitions and a representation theoretic model for k -Schur functions*, Ph.D. thesis.
- Fomin, Sergey, Sergei Gelfand, and Alexander Postnikov. 1997. *Quantum Schubert polynomials*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **10**, no. 3, 565–596, DOI 10.1090/S0894-0347-97-00237-3. MR1431829
- Ikeda, Takeshi, Shinsuke Iwao, and Toshiaki Maeno. 2018. *Peterson Isomorphism in K -theory and Relativistic Toda Lattice*, preprint. arXiv: 1703.08664.
- Lam, Thomas. 2008. *Schubert polynomials for the affine Grassmannian*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **21**, no. 1, 259–281.
- Lam, Thomas, Luc Lapointe, Jennifer Morse, and Mark Shimozono. 2010. *Affine insertion and Pieri rules for the affine Grassmannian*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **208**, no. 977.
- Lam, Thomas, Anne Schilling, and Mark Shimozono. 2010. *K -theory Schubert calculus of the affine Grassmannian*, Compositio Math. **146**, 811–852.
- Lapointe, Luc, Alain Lascoux, and Jennifer Morse. 2003. *Tableau atoms and a new Macdonald positivity conjecture*, Duke Mathematical Journal **116**, no. 1, 103–146.
- Morse, Jennifer. 2011. *Combinatorics of the K -theory of affine Grassmannians*, Advances in Mathematics.
- Panyushev, Dmitri I. 2010. *Generalised Kostka-Foulkes polynomials and cohomology of line bundles on homogeneous vector bundles*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **16**, no. 2, 315–342.

$$k_m^{(r)} = \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{r+i-1}{i} h_{m-i} = s_m(X+r),$$

a specialization of “multiSchur functions.” See, e.g., Lascoux-Naruse (2014).

$$k_{\gamma} = k_{\gamma_1}^{(0)} k_{\gamma_2}^{(1)} \dots k_{\gamma_{\ell}}^{(\ell-1)}$$