Diagonal Harmonics and Shuffle Theorems

George H. Seelinger

ghseeli@umich.edu

joint with Jonah Blasiak, Mark Haiman, Jennifer Morse, and Anna Pun

ISU Algebra Seminar

27 October 2022

Symmetric Group

- Permutations $\sigma\colon \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \to \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$:

Symmetric Group

- Permutations $\sigma\colon \{1,2,\ldots,n\} \to \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} =$$



Symmetric Group

- Permutations $\sigma\colon \{1,2,\ldots,n\} \to \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} =$$



- Stacking = composition

Symmetric Group

- Permutations $\sigma\colon \{1,2,\dots,n\} \to \{1,2,\dots,n\}$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} =$$



- Stacking = composition



$=$



Polynomials

- $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ multivariate polynomial

Polynomials

- $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ multivariate polynomial

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (5x_1^2 + 5x_2^2 + 8x_3^2) = 8x_1^2 + 5x_2^2 + 5x_3^2$$

Polynomials

- $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ multivariate polynomial

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (5x_1^2 + 5x_2^2 + 8x_3^2) = 8x_1^2 + 5x_2^2 + 5x_3^2$$

- $\sigma \in S_n$ acts as $\sigma.f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = f(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)})$

Symmetric Polynomials

- Polynomials $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ satisfying $\sigma.f = f$?

Symmetric Polynomials

- Polynomials $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ satisfying $\sigma.f = f$?
- Symmetric polynomials ($n = 3$)

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = & h_1 \\ e_2 = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 \quad & h_2 = x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2^2 + x_2x_3 + x_3^2 \\ e_3 = x_1x_2x_3 \quad & h_3 = x_1^3 + x_1^2x_2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_1x_2^2 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Symmetric Polynomials

- Polynomials $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ satisfying $\sigma.f = f$?
- Symmetric polynomials ($n = 3$)

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = & h_1 \\ e_2 = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 \quad & h_2 = x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2^2 + x_2x_3 + x_3^2 \\ e_3 = x_1x_2x_3 \quad & h_3 = x_1^3 + x_1^2x_2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_1x_2^2 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

- $\{f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \mid \sigma.f = f \, \forall \sigma \in S_n\}$ forms a vector space, $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Combinatorics of Symmetric Polynomials

Generators

$$e_r = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_r} \text{ or } h_r = \sum_{i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_r} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_r}$$

Combinatorics of Symmetric Polynomials

Generators

$$e_r = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_r} \text{ or } h_r = \sum_{i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_r} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_r}$$

Symmetric functions are polynomials in the $e_1, e_2, \ldots$, or in the $h_1, h_2, \ldots$

$$3h_2 h_1^2 - h_2^2 + 6h_3 h_1 = 3h_{(211)} - h_{(22)} + 6h_{(31)}$$

Generators

$$e_r = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_r} \text{ or } h_r = \sum_{i_1 \le i_2 \le \cdots \le i_r} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_r}$$

Symmetric functions are polynomials in the $e_1, e_2, \ldots$, or in the $h_1, h_2, \ldots$

$$3h_2 h_1^2 - h_2^2 + 6h_3 h_1 = 3h_{(211)} - h_{(22)} + 6h_{(31)}$$

Basis of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$?

Partitions

Definition

$n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, a *partition of n* is $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_\ell > 0)$ such that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_\ell = n$.

Definition

$n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, a *partition of n* is $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_\ell > 0)$ such that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_\ell = n$.

$5 \to$ 

$4 + 1 \to$ 

$3 + 2 \to$ 

$3 + 1 + 1 \to$ 

$2 + 2 + 1 \to$ 

$2 + 1 + 1 + 1 \to$ 

$1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 \to$ 

Tableaux

Definition

Filling of partition diagram of λ with numbers such that

Tableaux

Definition

Filling of partition diagram of λ with numbers such that

1. strictly increasing down columns

Tableaux

Definition

Filling of partition diagram of λ with numbers such that

1. strictly increasing down columns
2. weakly increasing along rows

Tableaux

Definition

Filling of partition diagram of λ with numbers such that

1. strictly increasing down columns
2. weakly increasing along rows

Collection is called $\mathrm{SSYT}(\lambda)$.

Tableaux

Definition

Filling of partition diagram of λ with numbers such that

1. strictly increasing down columns
2. weakly increasing along rows

Collection is called SSYT(λ).

For $\lambda = (2, 1)$,

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}\,, \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline 3 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}\,, \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 2 & 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}\,, \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 2 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}\,, \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 3 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}\,, \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 2 & 3 \\ \hline 3 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}\,, \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}\,, \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}$$

Schur functions

Associate a polynomial to SSYT(λ).

Schur functions

Associate a polynomial to SSYT(λ).

$$\begin{ytableau} 1 & 1 \\ 2 \end{ytableau}\,,\ \begin{ytableau} 1 & 1 \\ 3 \end{ytableau}\,,\ \begin{ytableau} 2 & 2 \\ 3 \end{ytableau}\,,\ \begin{ytableau} 1 & 2 \\ 2 \end{ytableau}\,,\ \begin{ytableau} 1 & 3 \\ 3 \end{ytableau}\,,\ \begin{ytableau} 2 & 3 \\ 3 \end{ytableau}\,,\ \begin{ytableau} 1 & 3 \\ 2 \end{ytableau}\,,\ \begin{ytableau} 1 & 2 \\ 3 \end{ytableau}$$

Schur functions

Associate a polynomial to SSYT(λ).

$$
\begin{array}{r cccccccc}
 & \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}, &
\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline 3 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}, &
\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 2 & 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}, &
\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 2 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}, &
\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 3 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}, &
\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 2 & 3 \\ \hline 3 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}, &
\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}, &
\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array} \\
\text{Weight:} & (2,1,0) & (2,0,1) & (0,2,1) & (1,2,0) & (1,0,2) & (0,1,2) & (1,1,1) & (1,1,1)
\end{array}
$$

Schur functions

Associate a polynomial to SSYT(λ).

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&1\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array},\ \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&1\\\hline 3\\\cline{1-1}\end{array},\ \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 2&2\\\hline 3\\\cline{1-1}\end{array},\ \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&2\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array},\ \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&3\\\hline 3\\\cline{1-1}\end{array},\ \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 2&3\\\hline 3\\\cline{1-1}\end{array},\ \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&3\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array},\ \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&2\\\hline 3\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}$$

Weight: (2,1,0) (2,0,1) (0,2,1) (1,2,0) (1,0,2) (0,1,2) (1,1,1) (1,1,1)

$$s_{(21)}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2x_2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_2^2x_3 + x_1x_2^2 + x_1x_3^2 + x_2x_3^2 + 2x_1x_2x_3$$

Schur functions

Associate a polynomial to SSYT(λ).

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline 3 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 2 & 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 2 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 3 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 2 & 3 \\ \hline 3 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}$$

Weight: (2,1,0) (2,0,1) (0,2,1) (1,2,0) (1,0,2) (0,1,2) (1,1,1) (1,1,1)

$$s_{(21)}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2 x_2 + x_1^2 x_3 + x_2^2 x_3 + x_1 x_2^2 + x_1 x_3^2 + x_2 x_3^2 + 2x_1 x_2 x_3$$

Definition

For λ a partition

$$s_\lambda = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{SSYT}(\lambda)} x^T \text{ for } x^T = \prod_{i \in T} x_i$$

Associate a polynomial to SSYT(λ).

$$\begin{array}{rcccccccc}
& \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array}, & \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline 3 \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array}, & \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 2 & 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array}, & \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 2 \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array}, & \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 3 \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array}, & \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 2 & 3 \\ \hline 3 \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array}, & \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array}, & \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array} \\
\text{Weight:} & (2,1,0) & (2,0,1) & (0,2,1) & (1,2,0) & (1,0,2) & (0,1,2) & (1,1,1) & (1,1,1)
\end{array}$$

$$s_{(21)}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2x_2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_2^2x_3 + x_1x_2^2 + x_1x_3^2 + x_2x_3^2 + 2x_1x_2x_3$$

Definition

For λ a partition

$$s_\lambda = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{SSYT}(\lambda)} x^T \text{ for } x^T = \prod_{i \in T} x_i$$

- s_λ is a symmetric function

Schur functions

Associate a polynomial to SSYT(λ).

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&1\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}\,,\ \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&1\\\hline 3\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}\,,\ \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 2&2\\\hline 3\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}\,,\ \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&2\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}\,,\ \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&3\\\hline 3\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}\,,\ \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 2&3\\\hline 3\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}\,,\ \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&3\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}\,,\ \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&2\\\hline 3\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}$$

Weight: (2,1,0) (2,0,1) (0,2,1) (1,2,0) (1,0,2) (0,1,2) (1,1,1) (1,1,1)

$$s_{(21)}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2x_2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_2^2x_3 + x_1x_2^2 + x_1x_3^2 + x_2x_3^2 + 2x_1x_2x_3$$

Definition

For λ a partition

$$s_\lambda = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{SSYT}(\lambda)} x^T \text{ for } x^T = \prod_{i \in T} x_i$$

- s_λ is a symmetric function
- Schur functions form a basis for $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$

Why Schur functions?

Harmonic polynomials

M = polynomials killed by all symmetric differential operators.

Why Schur functions?

Harmonic polynomials

$M =$ polynomials killed by all symmetric differential operators.

Explicitly, for

$$\Delta = \det \begin{vmatrix} x_1^2 & x_1 & 1 \\ x_2^2 & x_2 & 1 \\ x_3^2 & x_3 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = x_1^2(x_2 - x_3) - x_2^2(x_1 - x_3) + x_3^2(x_1 - x_2)$$

Why Schur functions?

Harmonic polynomials

$M =$ polynomials killed by all symmetric differential operators.

Explicitly, for

$$\Delta = \det \begin{vmatrix} x_1^2 & x_1 & 1 \\ x_2^2 & x_2 & 1 \\ x_3^2 & x_3 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = x_1^2(x_2 - x_3) - x_2^2(x_1 - x_3) + x_3^2(x_1 - x_2)$$

M is the vector space given by

Why Schur functions?

Harmonic polynomials

$M =$ polynomials killed by all symmetric differential operators.

Explicitly, for

$$\Delta = \det \begin{vmatrix} x_1^2 & x_1 & 1 \\ x_2^2 & x_2 & 1 \\ x_3^2 & x_3 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = x_1^2(x_2 - x_3) - x_2^2(x_1 - x_3) + x_3^2(x_1 - x_2)$$

M is the vector space given by

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \mathrm{sp}\left\{ \left(\partial_{x_1}^a \partial_{x_2}^b \partial_{x_3}^c \right) \Delta \mid a, b, c \geq 0 \right\} \\ &= \mathrm{sp}\{\Delta, 2x_1(x_2 - x_3) - x_2^2 + x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3 - x_1) - x_3^2 + x_1^2, \\ &\qquad x_3 - x_1, x_2 - x_3, 1\} \end{aligned}$$

Harmonic polynomials

1. S_3 action on M fixes vector subspaces!

$$\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta, 2x_1(x_2 - x_3) - x_2^2 + x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3 - x_1) - x_3^2 + x_1^2, x_3 - x_1, x_2 - x_3, 1\}$$

Harmonic polynomials

1. S_3 action on M fixes vector subspaces!

   $$\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta, 2x_1(x_2 - x_3) - x_2^2 + x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3 - x_1) - x_3^2 + x_1^2, x_3 - x_1, x_2 - x_3, 1\}$$

2. Break M up into smallest S_n fixed subspaces

Harmonic polynomials

1. S_3 action on M fixes vector subspaces!

$$\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta, 2x_1(x_2 - x_3) - x_2^2 + x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3 - x_1) - x_3^2 + x_1^2, x_3 - x_1, x_2 - x_3, 1\}$$

2. Break M up into smallest S_n fixed subspaces

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta\}}_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{2x_1(x_2-x_3)-x_2^2+x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3-x_1)-x_3^2+x_1^2\}}_{\ydiagram{2,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{x_3-x_1, x_2-x_3\}}_{\ydiagram{2,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{1\}}_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

Harmonic polynomials

1. S_3 action on M fixes vector subspaces!

   $$\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta, 2x_1(x_2 - x_3) - x_2^2 + x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3 - x_1) - x_3^2 + x_1^2, x_3 - x_1, x_2 - x_3, 1\}$$

2. Break M up into smallest S_n fixed subspaces

   $$\underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta\}}_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{2x_1(x_2-x_3)-x_2^2+x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3-x_1)-x_3^2+x_1^2\}}_{\ydiagram{2,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{x_3-x_1, x_2-x_3\}}_{\ydiagram{2,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{1\}}_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

3. How many times does an S_n fixed subspace occur?

Harmonic polynomials

1. S_3 action on M fixes vector subspaces!

$$\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta, 2x_1(x_2 - x_3) - x_2^2 + x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3 - x_1) - x_3^2 + x_1^2, x_3 - x_1, x_2 - x_3, 1\}$$

2. Break M up into smallest S_n fixed subspaces

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta\}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{2x_1(x_2 - x_3) - x_2^2 + x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3 - x_1) - x_3^2 + x_1^2\}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{x_3 - x_1, x_2 - x_3\}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{1\}}$$

3. How many times does an S_n fixed subspace occur? Frobenius:

Harmonic polynomials

1. S_3 action on M fixes vector subspaces!

$$\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta, 2x_1(x_2 - x_3) - x_2^2 + x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3 - x_1) - x_3^2 + x_1^2, x_3 - x_1, x_2 - x_3, 1\}$$

2. Break M up into smallest S_n fixed subspaces

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta\}}_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{2x_1(x_2-x_3)-x_2^2+x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3-x_1)-x_3^2+x_1^2\}}_{\ydiagram{2,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{x_3-x_1, x_2-x_3\}}_{\ydiagram{2,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{1\}}_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

3. How many times does an S_n fixed subspace occur? Frobenius:

$$e_1^3 = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^3 = s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} + s_{\ydiagram{2,1}} + s_{\ydiagram{2,1}} + s_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

Harmonic polynomials

1. S_3 action on M fixes vector subspaces!

$$\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta, 2x_1(x_2-x_3)-x_2^2+x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3-x_1)-x_3^2+x_1^2, x_3-x_1, x_2-x_3, 1\}$$

2. Break M up into smallest S_n fixed subspaces

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta\}}_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{2x_1(x_2-x_3)-x_2^2+x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3-x_1)-x_3^2+x_1^2\}}_{\ydiagram{2,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{x_3-x_1, x_2-x_3\}}_{\ydiagram{2,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{1\}}_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

3. How many times does an S_n fixed subspace occur? Frobenius:

$$e_1^3 = (x_1+x_2+x_3)^3 = s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} + s_{\ydiagram{2,1}} + s_{\ydiagram{2,1}} + s_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

Schur basis expansion counts multiplicity of irreducible S_n fixed subspaces!

Recap so far

- Combinatorics: Schur functions are weight generating functions of semistandard tableaux.

Recap so far

- Combinatorics: Schur functions are weight generating functions of semistandard tableaux.
- Algebra: Schur functions count multiplicity of irreducible S_n-fixed vector subspaces.

Recap so far

- Combinatorics: Schur functions are weight generating functions of semistandard tableaux.
- Algebra: Schur functions count multiplicity of irreducible S_n-fixed vector subspaces.

Upshot

Via Frobenius characteristic map, questions about S_n-action on vector spaces (representations) get translated to questions about Schur expansion coefficients in symmetric functions.

Recap so far

- Combinatorics: Schur functions are weight generating functions of semistandard tableaux.
- Algebra: Schur functions count multiplicity of irreducible S_n-fixed vector subspaces.

Upshot

Via Frobenius characteristic map, questions about S_n-action on vector spaces (representations) get translated to questions about Schur expansion coefficients in symmetric functions.

Does a symmetric function expand into Schur basis with nonnegative coefficients? Is there a combinatorial description for coefficients?

Getting more information

Getting more information

Break M up into smallest S_n fixed subspaces

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta\}}_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{2x_1(x_2-x_3)-x_2^2+x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3-x_1)-x_3^2+x_1^2\}}_{\substack{\ydiagram{2,1} \\ \text{deg}=2}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{x_3-x_1, x_2-x_3\}}_{\substack{\ydiagram{2,1} \\ \text{deg}=1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{1\}}_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

Getting more information

Break M up into smallest S_n fixed subspaces

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta\}}_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{2x_1(x_2-x_3)-x_2^2+x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3-x_1)-x_3^2+x_1^2\}}_{\substack{\ydiagram{2,1} \\ \deg=2}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{x_3-x_1, x_2-x_3\}}_{\substack{\ydiagram{2,1} \\ \deg=1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{1\}}_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

Solution: minimal S_n-fixed subspace of degree $d \mapsto q^d s_\lambda$ (graded Frobenius)

$$?? = q^3 s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} + q^2 s_{\ydiagram{2,1}} + q s_{\ydiagram{2,1}} + s_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

Getting more information

Break M up into smallest S_n fixed subspaces

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta\}}_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{2x_1(x_2-x_3)-x_2^2+x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3-x_1)-x_3^2+x_1^2\}}_{\substack{\ydiagram{2,1}\\ \deg=2}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{x_3-x_1, x_2-x_3\}}_{\substack{\ydiagram{2,1}\\ \deg=1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{1\}}_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

Solution: minimal S_n-fixed subspace of degree $d \mapsto q^d s_\lambda$ (graded Frobenius)

$$?? = q^3 s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} + q^2 s_{\ydiagram{2,1}} + q s_{\ydiagram{2,1}} + s_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

Answer: "Hall-Littlewood polynomial" $H_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}}(X; q)$.

A Problem

- In 1988, Macdonald introduces a family of symmetric polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(q, t)$ generalizing Hall-Littlewood polynomials.

A Problem

- In 1988, Macdonald introduces a family of symmetric polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(q,t)$ generalizing Hall-Littlewood polynomials.
- Garsia modifies these polynomials so

$$\tilde{H}_\lambda(X;q,t) = \sum_\mu \tilde{K}(q,t) s_\mu \text{ conjecturally satisfies } \tilde{K}(q,t) \in \mathbb{N}[q,t]$$

A Problem

- In 1988, Macdonald introduces a family of symmetric polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(q, t)$ generalizing Hall-Littlewood polynomials.
- Garsia modifies these polynomials so

$$\tilde{H}_\lambda(X; q, t) = \sum_\mu \tilde{K}(q, t) s_\mu \text{ conjecturally satisfies } \tilde{K}(q, t) \in \mathbb{N}[q, t]$$

- $\tilde{H}_\lambda(X; 1, 1) = e_1^{|\lambda|}$.

A Problem

- In 1988, Macdonald introduces a family of symmetric polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(q,t)$ generalizing Hall-Littlewood polynomials.
- Garsia modifies these polynomials so

$$\tilde{H}_\lambda(X;q,t) = \sum_\mu \tilde{K}(q,t)s_\mu \text{ conjecturally satisfies } \tilde{K}(q,t) \in \mathbb{N}[q,t]$$

- $\tilde{H}_\lambda(X;1,1) = e_1^{|\lambda|}$.
- Does there exist a family of S_n-representations whose (bigraded) Frobenius characteristics equal $\tilde{H}_\lambda(X;q,t)$?

Garsia-Haiman modules

- $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ satisfying $\sigma(x_i) = x_{\sigma(i)}$, $\sigma(y_j) = y_{\sigma(j)}$.

Garsia-Haiman modules

- $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ satisfying $\sigma(x_i) = x_{\sigma(i)}$, $\sigma(y_j) = y_{\sigma(j)}$.
- Garsia-Haiman (1993): $M_\mu =$ span of partial derivatives of $\Delta_\mu = \det_{(i,j)\in\mu, k\in[n]}(x_k^{i-1} y_k^{j-1})$

Garsia-Haiman modules

- $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ satisfying $\sigma(x_i) = x_{\sigma(i)}$, $\sigma(y_j) = y_{\sigma(j)}$.
- Garsia-Haiman (1993): $M_\mu =$ span of partial derivatives of $\Delta_\mu = \det_{(i,j)\in\mu, k\in[n]}(x_k^{i-1}y_k^{j-1})$

$$\Delta_{\ydiagram{2,1}} = \det \begin{vmatrix} 1 & y_1 & x_1 \\ 1 & y_2 & x_2 \\ 1 & y_3 & x_3 \end{vmatrix} = x_3y_2 - y_3x_2 - y_1x_3 + y_1x_2 + y_3x_1 - y_2x_1$$

Garsia-Haiman modules

- $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ satisfying $\sigma(x_i) = x_{\sigma(i)}$, $\sigma(y_j) = y_{\sigma(j)}$.
- Garsia-Haiman (1993): $M_\mu =$ span of partial derivatives of $\Delta_\mu = \det_{(i,j)\in\mu, k\in[n]}(x_k^{i-1} y_k^{j-1})$

$$\Delta_{\ydiagram{2,1}} = \det \begin{vmatrix} 1 & y_1 & x_1 \\ 1 & y_2 & x_2 \\ 1 & y_3 & x_3 \end{vmatrix} = x_3 y_2 - y_3 x_2 - y_1 x_3 + y_1 x_2 + y_3 x_1 - y_2 x_1$$

$$M_{2,1} = \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta_{2,1}\}}_{\deg=(1,1)} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{y_3 - y_1, y_1 - y_2\}}_{\deg=(0,1)} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{x_3 - x_1, x_1 - x_2\}}_{\deg=(1,0)} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{1\}}_{\deg=(0,0)}$$

Garsia-Haiman modules

- $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ satisfying $\sigma(x_i) = x_{\sigma(i)}$, $\sigma(y_j) = y_{\sigma(j)}$.
- Garsia-Haiman (1993): $M_\mu =$ span of partial derivatives of $\Delta_\mu = \det_{(i,j)\in\mu, k\in[n]}(x_k^{i-1} y_k^{j-1})$

$$\Delta_{\ydiagram{2,1}} = \det \begin{vmatrix} 1 & y_1 & x_1 \\ 1 & y_2 & x_2 \\ 1 & y_3 & x_3 \end{vmatrix} = x_3y_2 - y_3x_2 - y_1x_3 + y_1x_2 + y_3x_1 - y_2x_1$$

$$M_{2,1} = \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta_{2,1}\}}_{\deg=(1,1)} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{y_3 - y_1, y_1 - y_2\}}_{\deg=(0,1)} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{x_3 - x_1, x_1 - x_2\}}_{\deg=(1,0)} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{1\}}_{\deg=(0,0)}$$

Irreducible S_n-representation with bidegree $(a, b) \mapsto q^a t^b s_\lambda$

Garsia-Haiman modules

- $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ satisfying $\sigma(x_i) = x_{\sigma(i)}$, $\sigma(y_j) = y_{\sigma(j)}$.
- Garsia-Haiman (1993): M_μ = span of partial derivatives of $\Delta_\mu = \det_{(i,j)\in\mu, k\in[n]}(x_k^{i-1} y_k^{j-1})$

$$\Delta_{\yng(2,1)} = \det \begin{vmatrix} 1 & y_1 & x_1 \\ 1 & y_2 & x_2 \\ 1 & y_3 & x_3 \end{vmatrix} = x_3y_2 - y_3x_2 - y_1x_3 + y_1x_2 + y_3x_1 - y_2x_1$$

$$M_{2,1} = \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta_{2,1}\}}_{\deg=(1,1)} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{y_3 - y_1, y_1 - y_2\}}_{\deg=(0,1)} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{x_3 - x_1, x_1 - x_2\}}_{\deg=(1,0)} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{1\}}_{\deg=(0,0)}$$

Irreducible S_n-representation with bidegree $(a, b) \mapsto q^a t^b s_\lambda$

$$\tilde{H}_{\yng(2,1)} = qts_{\yng(1,1,1)} + ts_{\yng(2,1)} + qs_{\yng(2,1)} + s_{\yng(3)}$$

Garsia-Haiman modules

Theorem (Haiman, 2001)

The Garsia-Haiman module M_λ has bigraded Frobenius characteristic given by $\tilde{H}_\lambda(X; q, t)$

Garsia-Haiman modules

Theorem (Haiman, 2001)

The Garsia-Haiman module M_λ has bigraded Frobenius characteristic given by $\tilde{H}_\lambda(X; q, t)$

- Proved via geometric connection to the Hilbert Scheme $Hilb^n(\mathbb{C}^2)$.

Garsia-Haiman modules

Theorem (Haiman, 2001)

The Garsia-Haiman module M_λ has bigraded Frobenius characteristic given by $\tilde{H}_\lambda(X; q, t)$

- Proved via geometric connection to the Hilbert Scheme $Hilb^n(\mathbb{C}^2)$.

Corollary

$\tilde{H}_\lambda(X; q, t) = \tilde{K}_{\lambda\mu}(q, t) s_\mu$ *satisfies* $\tilde{K}_{\lambda\mu}(q, t) \in \mathbb{N}[q, t]$.

Garsia-Haiman modules

Theorem (Haiman, 2001)

The Garsia-Haiman module M_λ has bigraded Frobenius characteristic given by $\tilde{H}_\lambda(X; q, t)$

- Proved via geometric connection to the Hilbert Scheme $Hilb^n(\mathbb{C}^2)$.

Corollary

$\tilde{H}_\lambda(X; q, t) = \tilde{K}_{\lambda\mu}(q, t) s_\mu$ *satisfies* $\tilde{K}_{\lambda\mu}(q, t) \in \mathbb{N}[q, t]$.

- No combinatorial description of $\tilde{K}_{\lambda\mu}(q, t)$. (Still open!)

Garsia-Haiman modules

Observation

All of these Garsia-Haiman modules are contained in the module of diagonal harmonics:

$$DH_n = \mathrm{sp}\{f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n] \mid \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{x_j}^r \partial_{y_j}^s\right) f = 0, \forall r + s > 0\}$$

Garsia-Haiman modules

Observation

All of these Garsia-Haiman modules are contained in the module of diagonal harmonics:

$$DH_n = \mathrm{sp}\{f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n] \mid \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{x_j}^r \partial_{y_j}^s\right) f = 0, \forall r + s > 0\}$$

Question

What symmetric function is the bigraded Frobenius characteristic of DH_n?

∇e_n

Frobenius characteristic of DH_3

∇e_n

Frobenius characteristic of DH_3

$$= \frac{t^3\tilde{H}_{1,1,1}}{-qt^2+t^3+q^2-qt} - \frac{(q^2t+qt^2+qt)\tilde{H}_{2,1}}{-q^2t^2+q^3+t^3-qt} - \frac{q^3\tilde{H}_3}{-q^3+q^2t+qt-t^2}$$

∇e_n

Frobenius characteristic of DH_3

$$= \frac{t^3 \tilde{H}_{1,1,1}}{-qt^2+t^3+q^2-qt} - \frac{(q^2t+qt^2+qt)\tilde{H}_{2,1}}{-q^2t^2+q^3+t^3-qt} - \frac{q^3\tilde{H}_3}{-q^3+q^2t+qt-t^2}$$

Compare to

$$e_3 = \frac{\tilde{H}_{1,1,1}}{-qt^2+t^3+q^2-qt} - \frac{(q+t+1)\tilde{H}_{2,1}}{-q^2t^2+q^3+t^3-qt} - \frac{\tilde{H}_3}{-q^3+q^2t+qt-t^2}$$

∇e_n

Frobenius characteristic of DH_3

$$= \frac{t^3 \tilde{H}_{1,1,1}}{-qt^2+t^3+q^2-qt} - \frac{(q^2t+qt^2+qt)\tilde{H}_{2,1}}{-q^2t^2+q^3+t^3-qt} - \frac{q^3\tilde{H}_3}{-q^3+q^2t+qt-t^2}$$

Compare to

$$e_3 = \frac{\tilde{H}_{1,1,1}}{-qt^2+t^3+q^2-qt} - \frac{(q+t+1)\tilde{H}_{2,1}}{-q^2t^2+q^3+t^3-qt} - \frac{\tilde{H}_3}{-q^3+q^2t+qt-t^2}$$

Operator ∇

$$\nabla \tilde{H}_\lambda(X;q,t) = q^{n(\lambda)}t^{n(\lambda')}\tilde{H}_\lambda(X;q,t)$$

∇e_n

Frobenius characteristic of DH_3

$$= \frac{t^3 \tilde{H}_{1,1,1}}{-qt^2+t^3+q^2-qt} - \frac{(q^2t+qt^2+qt)\tilde{H}_{2,1}}{-q^2t^2+q^3+t^3-qt} - \frac{q^3\tilde{H}_3}{-q^3+q^2t+qt-t^2}$$

Compare to

$$e_3 = \frac{\tilde{H}_{1,1,1}}{-qt^2+t^3+q^2-qt} - \frac{(q+t+1)\tilde{H}_{2,1}}{-q^2t^2+q^3+t^3-qt} - \frac{\tilde{H}_3}{-q^3+q^2t+qt-t^2}$$

Operator ∇

$$\nabla \tilde{H}_\lambda(X;q,t) = q^{n(\lambda)} t^{n(\lambda')} \tilde{H}_\lambda(X;q,t)$$

Theorem (Haiman, 2002)

The bigraded Frobenius characteristic of DH_n is given by ∇e_n.

A Combinatorial Connection: Shuffle Theorem

Theorem (Carlsson-Mellit, 2018)

$$\nabla e_k(X) = \sum_{\lambda} t^{\mathsf{area}(\lambda)} q^{\mathsf{dinv}(\lambda)} \omega \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\lambda)}(X; q^{-1})$$

- Conjectured by (Haiman-Haglund-Loehr-Remmel-Ulyanov, 2002).

A Combinatorial Connection: Shuffle Theorem

Theorem (Carlsson-Mellit, 2018)

$$\nabla e_k(X) = \sum_{\lambda} t^{\mathrm{area}(\lambda)} q^{\mathrm{dinv}(\lambda)} \omega \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\lambda)}(X; q^{-1})$$

- Conjectured by (Haiman-Haglund-Loehr-Remmel-Ulyanov, 2002).
- Combinatorial RHS: Combinatorics of Dyck paths.

A Combinatorial Connection: Shuffle Theorem

Theorem (Carlsson-Mellit, 2018)

$$\nabla e_k(X) = \sum_{\lambda} t^{\mathrm{area}(\lambda)} q^{\mathrm{dinv}(\lambda)} \omega \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\lambda)}(X; q^{-1})$$

- Conjectured by (Haiman-Haglund-Loehr-Remmel-Ulyanov, 2002).
- Combinatorial RHS: Combinatorics of Dyck paths.
- Summation over all k-by-k Dyck paths.

A Combinatorial Connection: Shuffle Theorem

Theorem (Carlsson-Mellit, 2018)

$$\nabla e_k(X) = \sum_{\lambda} t^{\mathrm{area}(\lambda)} q^{\mathrm{dinv}(\lambda)} \omega \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\lambda)}(X; q^{-1})$$

- Conjectured by (Haiman-Haglund-Loehr-Remmel-Ulyanov, 2002).
- Combinatorial RHS: Combinatorics of Dyck paths.
- Summation over all k-by-k Dyck paths.
- $\mathrm{area}(\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{dinv}(\lambda)$ statistics of Dyck paths.

A Combinatorial Connection: Shuffle Theorem

Theorem (Carlsson-Mellit, 2018)

$$\nabla e_k(X) = \sum_{\lambda} t^{\mathsf{area}(\lambda)} q^{\mathsf{dinv}(\lambda)} \omega \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\lambda)}(X; q^{-1})$$

- Conjectured by (Haiman-Haglund-Loehr-Remmel-Ulyanov, 2002).
- Combinatorial RHS: Combinatorics of Dyck paths.
- Summation over all k-by-k Dyck paths.
- $\mathsf{area}(\lambda)$ and $\mathsf{dinv}(\lambda)$ statistics of Dyck paths.
- $\mathcal{G}_{\nu(\lambda)}(X; q)$ a symmetric LLT polynomial indexed by a tuple of offset rows.

Dyck paths

Dyck paths

A Dyck path λ is a south-east lattice path lying below the line segment from $(0, k)$ to $(k, 0)$.



Dyck paths

Dyck paths

A Dyck path λ is a south-east lattice path lying below the line segment from $(0, k)$ to $(k, 0)$.



- $\text{area}(\lambda) =$ number of squares above λ but below the path δ of alternating S-E steps.

Dyck paths

A Dyck path λ is a south-east lattice path lying below the line segment from $(0, k)$ to $(k, 0)$.



- area(λ) = number of squares above λ but below the path δ of alternating S-E steps.
- E.g., above area$(\lambda) = 10$.

Dyck paths

Dyck paths

A Dyck path λ is a south-east lattice path lying below the line segment from $(0, k)$ to $(k, 0)$.



- area$(\lambda) =$ number of squares above λ but below the path δ of alternating S-E steps.
- E.g., above area$(\lambda) = 10$.
- Catalan-number many Dyck paths for fixed k.

Dyck paths

Dyck paths

A Dyck path λ is a south-east lattice path lying below the line segment from $(0, k)$ to $(k, 0)$.



- $\text{area}(\lambda) =$ number of squares above λ but below the path δ of alternating S-E steps.
- E.g., above $\text{area}(\lambda) = 10$.
- Catalan-number many Dyck paths for fixed k. (1,2,5,14,42,...)

dinv

dinv(λ) $=\#$ of balanced hooks in diagram below λ.



dinv

$\mathrm{dinv}(\lambda) = \#$ of balanced hooks in diagram below λ.



Balanced hook is given by a cell below λ satisfying

$$\frac{\ell}{a+1} < 1 - \epsilon < \frac{\ell + 1}{a}, \quad \epsilon \text{ small.}$$

LLT Polynomials

Defined in general for a tuple of skew shapes $\nu = (\nu^{(1)}, \ldots, \nu^{(r)})$

LLT Polynomials

Defined in general for a tuple of skew shapes $\nu = (\nu^{(1)}, \ldots, \nu^{(r)})$

- $\mathcal{G}_\nu(X; q)$ is a symmetric function

LLT Polynomials

Defined in general for a tuple of skew shapes $\nu = (\nu^{(1)}, \ldots, \nu^{(r)})$

- $\mathcal{G}_\nu(X; q)$ is a symmetric function
- $\mathcal{G}_\nu(X; 1) = s_{\nu^{(1)}} \cdots s_{\nu^{(r)}}$

LLT Polynomials

Defined in general for a tuple of skew shapes $\nu = (\nu^{(1)}, \ldots, \nu^{(r)})$

- $\mathcal{G}_\nu(X; q)$ is a symmetric function
- $\mathcal{G}_\nu(X; 1) = s_{\nu^{(1)}} \cdots s_{\nu^{(r)}}$
- $\mathcal{G}_\nu$ were originally defined by Lascoux, Leclerc, and Thibon to explore connections to Fock space representations of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_r)$

LLT Polynomials

Defined in general for a tuple of skew shapes $\nu = (\nu^{(1)}, \dots, \nu^{(r)})$

- $\mathcal{G}_\nu(X; q)$ is a symmetric function
- $\mathcal{G}_\nu(X; 1) = s_{\nu^{(1)}} \cdots s_{\nu^{(r)}}$
- $\mathcal{G}_\nu$ were originally defined by Lascoux, Leclerc, and Thibon to explore connections to Fock space representations of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_r)$
- When $\nu^{(i)}$ are partitions, the Schur-expansion coefficients are essentially parabolic Kazdhan-Luzstig polynomials.

LLT Polynomials

Defined in general for a tuple of skew shapes $\nu = (\nu^{(1)}, \ldots, \nu^{(r)})$

- $\mathcal{G}_\nu(X; q)$ is a symmetric function
- $\mathcal{G}_\nu(X; 1) = s_{\nu^{(1)}} \cdots s_{\nu^{(r)}}$
- $\mathcal{G}_\nu$ were originally defined by Lascoux, Leclerc, and Thibon to explore connections to Fock space representations of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_r)$
- When $\nu^{(i)}$ are partitions, the Schur-expansion coefficients are essentially parabolic Kazdhan-Luzstig polynomials.
- $\mathcal{G}_\nu$ is Schur-positive for any tuple of skew shapes ν [Grojnowski-Haiman, 2007].

LLT Polynomials

$G_{\nu(\lambda)}(X;q)$ is an LLT polynomial for a tuple of rows, $\nu(\lambda) = (\nu^{(1)}, \ldots, \nu^{(r)})$.

LLT Polynomials

$G_{\nu(\lambda)}(X;q)$ is an LLT polynomial for a tuple of rows, $\nu(\lambda) = (\nu^{(1)}, \dots, \nu^{(r)})$.



LLT Polynomials

$G_{\nu(\lambda)}(X; q)$ is an LLT polynomial for a tuple of rows,
$\nu(\lambda) = (\nu^{(1)}, \dots, \nu^{(r)})$.



$G_{\nu(\lambda)}(X;q)$ is an LLT polynomial for a tuple of rows, $\nu(\lambda) = (\nu^{(1)}, \dots, \nu^{(r)})$.



LLT Polynomials

$G_{\nu(\lambda)}(X;q)$ is an LLT polynomial for a tuple of rows, $\nu(\lambda) = (\nu^{(1)}, \ldots, \nu^{(r)})$.



LLT Polynomials

$$\mathcal{G}_\nu(X;q) = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{SSYT}(\nu)} q^{i(T)} x^T$$

for T a weakly increasing filling of rows and $i(T)$ the number of attacking inversions:

LLT Polynomials

$$\mathcal{G}_\nu(X;q) = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{SSYT}(\nu)} q^{i(T)} x^T$$

for T a weakly increasing filling of rows and $i(T)$ the number of attacking inversions:

$$T = \begin{array}{l} \boxed{1}\boxed{\color{red}2}\boxed{3}\boxed{3}\boxed{5} \\ \boxed{2}\boxed{\color{red}4}\boxed{4}\boxed{\color{blue}7}\boxed{8}\boxed{9}\boxed{9} \\ \qquad\quad\ \boxed{1}\boxed{\color{blue}1}\boxed{6}\boxed{7}\boxed{7}\boxed{7} \end{array}$$

LLT Polynomials

$$\mathcal{G}_\nu(X;q) = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{SSYT}(\nu)} q^{i(T)} x^T$$

for T a weakly increasing filling of rows and $i(T)$ the number of attacking inversions:

$$T = \begin{array}{l} \boxed{1}\boxed{\color{red}2}\boxed{3}\boxed{3}\boxed{5} \\ \boxed{2}\boxed{\color{red}4}\boxed{4}\boxed{\color{blue}7}\boxed{8}\boxed{9}\boxed{9} \\ \qquad\quad\boxed{1}\boxed{\color{blue}1}\boxed{6}\boxed{7}\boxed{7}\boxed{7} \end{array} \to q^{i(T)} x^T = q^{18} x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5 x_6 x_7^4 x_8 x_9^2$$

LLT Polynomials

$$\mathcal{G}_\nu(X;q) = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{SSYT}(\nu)} q^{i(T)} x^T$$

for T a weakly increasing filling of rows and $i(T)$ the number of attacking inversions:

$$T = \begin{array}{l} \boxed{1}\boxed{2}\boxed{3}\boxed{3}\boxed{5} \\ \boxed{2}\boxed{4}\boxed{4}\boxed{7}\boxed{8}\boxed{9}\boxed{9} \\ \qquad\quad \boxed{1}\boxed{1}\boxed{6}\boxed{7}\boxed{7}\boxed{7} \end{array} \rightarrow q^{i(T)} x^T = q^{18} x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5 x_6 x_7^4 x_8 x_9^2$$

- $$\mathcal{G}_{\square\square,\,\square}(x_1, x_2; q) = x_1^3 + x_1^2 x_2 + x_1 x_2^2 + x_2^3 + q x_1^2 x_2 + q x_1 x_2^2$$

  $$\begin{array}{cccccc} \boxed{1}\boxed{1} & \boxed{1}\boxed{2} & \boxed{1}\boxed{2} & \boxed{2}\boxed{2} & \boxed{1}\boxed{1} & \boxed{2}\boxed{2} \\ \quad\;\boxed{1} & \quad\;\boxed{1} & \quad\;\boxed{2} & \quad\;\boxed{2} & \quad\;\boxed{2} & \quad\;\boxed{1} \end{array}$$

  $$= s_3 + q s_{2,1}$$

Example ∇e_3

λ	$q^{\mathrm{dinv}(\lambda)} t^{\mathrm{area}(\lambda)}$	$q^{\mathrm{dinv}(\lambda)} t^{\mathrm{area}(\lambda)} \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\lambda)}(X; q^{-1})$

Example ∇e_3

λ	$q^{\mathsf{dinv}(\lambda)} t^{\mathsf{area}(\lambda)}$	$q^{\mathsf{dinv}(\lambda)} t^{\mathsf{area}(\lambda)} \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\lambda)}(X; q^{-1})$
		
		
		
		
		

Example ∇e_3

λ	$q^{\mathrm{dinv}(\lambda)} t^{\mathrm{area}(\lambda)}$	$q^{\mathrm{dinv}(\lambda)} t^{\mathrm{area}(\lambda)} \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\lambda)}(X; q^{-1})$
	q^3	
	$q^2 t$	
	qt	
	qt^2	
	t^3	

Example ∇e_3

λ	$q^{\mathrm{dinv}(\lambda)} t^{\mathrm{area}(\lambda)}$	$q^{\mathrm{dinv}(\lambda)} t^{\mathrm{area}(\lambda)} \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\lambda)}(X; q^{-1})$
	q^3	$s_3 + q s_{2,1} + q^2 s_{2,1} + q^3 s_{1,1,1}$
	$q^2 t$	$q t s_{2,1} + q^2 t s_{1,1,1}$
	qt	$t s_{2,1} + q t s_{1,1,1}$
	qt^2	$t^2 s_{2,1} + q t^2 s_{1,1,1}$
	t^3	$t^3 s_{1,1,1}$

Example ∇e_3

λ	$q^{\mathrm{dinv}(\lambda)} t^{\mathrm{area}(\lambda)}$	$q^{\mathrm{dinv}(\lambda)} t^{\mathrm{area}(\lambda)} \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\lambda)}(X; q^{-1})$
	q^3	$s_3 + qs_{2,1} + q^2 s_{2,1} + q^3 s_{1,1,1}$
	$q^2 t$	$qts_{2,1} + q^2 ts_{1,1,1}$
	qt	$ts_{2,1} + qts_{1,1,1}$
	qt^2	$t^2 s_{2,1} + qt^2 s_{1,1,1}$
	t^3	$t^3 s_{1,1,1}$

- Entire quantity is q, t-symmetric

Example ∇e_3

λ	$q^{\mathrm{dinv}(\lambda)} t^{\mathrm{area}(\lambda)}$	$q^{\mathrm{dinv}(\lambda)} t^{\mathrm{area}(\lambda)} \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\lambda)}(X; q^{-1})$
	q^3	$s_3 + qs_{2,1} + q^2 s_{2,1} + q^3 s_{1,1,1}$
	$q^2 t$	$qts_{2,1} + q^2 ts_{1,1,1}$
	qt	$ts_{2,1} + qts_{1,1,1}$
	qt^2	$t^2 s_{2,1} + qt^2 s_{1,1,1}$
	t^3	$t^3 s_{1,1,1}$

- Entire quantity is q, t-symmetric
- Coefficient of $s_{1,1,1}$ in sum is a "(q,t)-Catalan number" $(q^3 + q^2 t + qt + qt^2 + t^3)$.

Generalizing Shuffle Theorem

When a problem is too difficult, try generalizing!

Generalizing Shuffle Theorem

When a problem is too difficult, try generalizing!

Algebraic Expression		Combinatorial Expression
$\nabla e_k(X)$	$=$	$\sum q, t$-weighted Dyck paths

Generalizing Shuffle Theorem

When a problem is too difficult, try generalizing!

Algebraic Expression	Combinatorial Expression
$\nabla e_k(X)$	$= \sum q, t$-weighted Dyck paths

Rational Shuffle Conjecture (F. Bergeron, Garsia, Sergel Leven, Xin, 2016) (Proved by Mellit, 2016)

For m, n coprime, the operator $e_k[-MX^{m,n}]$ acting on Λ satisfies

$$e_k[-MX^{m,n}] \cdot 1 = \sum q, t\text{-weighted } (km, kn)\text{-Dyck paths}$$

Generalizing Shuffle Theorem

When a problem is too difficult, try generalizing!

Algebraic Expression		Combinatorial Expression
$\nabla e_k(X)$	$=$	$\sum q,t$-weighted Dyck paths

Rational Shuffle Conjecture (F. Bergeron, Garsia, Sergel Leven, Xin, 2016) (Proved by Mellit, 2016)

For m, n coprime, the operator $e_k[-MX^{m,n}]$ acting on Λ satisfies

$$e_k[-MX^{m,n}] \cdot 1 = \sum q, t\text{-weighted } (km, kn)\text{-Dyck paths}$$



Schiffmann's Elliptic Hall Algebra $\mathcal{E}$

For an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$, the *Hall algebra* of $\mathcal{A}$ has basis $\{[A]\}_{A \in ob(\mathcal{A})}$ and product

Schiffmann's Elliptic Hall Algebra $\mathcal{E}$

For an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$, the *Hall algebra* of $\mathcal{A}$ has basis $\{[A]\}_{A \in ob(\mathcal{A})}$ and product

$$[A] \cdot [B] = \langle A, B \rangle \sum_{C \in ob(\mathcal{A})} \frac{P_{A,B}^{C}}{a_A a_B}[C]$$

where $P_{A,B}^{C} = \#\{0 \to B \to C \to A \to 0\}$ and
$a_A = |\operatorname{Aut}(A)|$, $a_B = |\operatorname{Aut}(B)|$

Schiffmann's Elliptic Hall Algebra $\mathcal{E}$

For an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$, the *Hall algebra* of $\mathcal{A}$ has basis $\{[A]\}_{A\in ob(\mathcal{A})}$ and product

$$[A]\cdot[B] = \langle A, B\rangle \sum_{C\in ob(\mathcal{A})} \frac{P_{A,B}^{C}}{a_A a_B}[C]$$

where $P_{A,B}^{C} = \#\{0 \to B \to C \to A \to 0\}$ and $a_A = |\operatorname{Aut}(A)|$, $a_B = |\operatorname{Aut}(B)|$

- Burban and Schiffmann studied a subalgebra $\mathcal{E}$ of the Hall algebra of coherent sheaves on an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_p$

Schiffmann's Elliptic Hall Algebra $\mathcal{E}$

For an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$, the *Hall algebra* of $\mathcal{A}$ has basis $\{[A]\}_{A\in ob(\mathcal{A})}$ and product

$$[A]\cdot[B] = \langle A,B\rangle \sum_{C\in ob(\mathcal{A})} \frac{P^C_{A,B}}{a_A a_B}[C]$$

where $P^C_{A,B} = \#\{0\to B\to C\to A\to 0\}$ and $a_A = |\operatorname{Aut}(A)|, a_B = |\operatorname{Aut}(B)|$

- Burban and Schiffmann studied a subalgebra $\mathcal{E}$ of the Hall algebra of coherent sheaves on an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_p$
- $\mathcal{E}$ contains, for every coprime $m,n\in\mathbb{Z}$, subalgebra $\Lambda(X^{m,n})\cong\Lambda$.

Schiffmann's Elliptic Hall Algebra $\mathcal{E}$

For an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$, the *Hall algebra* of $\mathcal{A}$ has basis $\{[A]\}_{A \in ob(\mathcal{A})}$ and product

$$[A] \cdot [B] = \langle A, B \rangle \sum_{C \in ob(\mathcal{A})} \frac{P_{A,B}^{C}}{a_A a_B}[C]$$

where $P_{A,B}^{C} = \#\{0 \to B \to C \to A \to 0\}$ and $a_A = |\operatorname{Aut}(A)|, a_B = |\operatorname{Aut}(B)|$

- Burban and Schiffmann studied a subalgebra $\mathcal{E}$ of the Hall algebra of coherent sheaves on an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_p$
- $\mathcal{E}$ contains, for every coprime $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, subalgebra $\Lambda(X^{m,n}) \cong \Lambda$.
- $e_k[-MX^{m,n}] \in \Lambda(X^{m,n})$.

Schiffmann's Elliptic Hall Algebra $\mathcal{E}$

For an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$, the *Hall algebra* of $\mathcal{A}$ has basis $\{[A]\}_{A \in ob(\mathcal{A})}$ and product

$$[A] \cdot [B] = \langle A, B \rangle \sum_{C \in ob(\mathcal{A})} \frac{P^C_{A,B}}{a_A a_B} [C]$$

where $P^C_{A,B} = \#\{0 \to B \to C \to A \to 0\}$ and $a_A = |\operatorname{Aut}(A)|, a_B = |\operatorname{Aut}(B)|$

- Burban and Schiffmann studied a subalgebra $\mathcal{E}$ of the Hall algebra of coherent sheaves on an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_p$
- $\mathcal{E}$ contains, for every coprime $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, subalgebra $\Lambda(X^{m,n}) \cong \Lambda$.
- $e_k[-MX^{m,n}] \in \Lambda(X^{m,n})$.
- $\mathcal{E}$ acts on Λ.

Any Line

Negut Elements

For $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^l$, special elements $D_{\mathbf{b}} \in \mathcal{E}$ generalizing $e_k[-MX^{m,n}]$.

Any Line

Negut Elements

For $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^l$, special elements $D_{\mathbf{b}} \in \mathcal{E}$ generalizing $e_k[-MX^{m,n}]$.

Theorem (Blasiak-Haiman-Morse-Pun-S., 2021a)

Given $r, s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $p = s/r$ irrational, take $(b_1, \ldots, b_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^l$ to be the south step sequence of highest path δ under the line $y + px = s$.

Any Line

Negut Elements

For $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^l$, special elements $D_{\mathbf{b}} \in \mathcal{E}$ generalizing $e_k[-MX^{m,n}]$.

Theorem (Blasiak-Haiman-Morse-Pun-S., 2021a)

Given $r, s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $p = s/r$ irrational, take $(b_1, \dots, b_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^l$ to be the south step sequence of highest path δ under the line $y + px = s$.

$$D_{(b_1,\dots,b_l)} \cdot 1$$

Any Line

Negut Elements

For $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^l$, special elements $D_{\mathbf{b}} \in \mathcal{E}$ generalizing $e_k[-MX^{m,n}]$.

Theorem (Blasiak-Haiman-Morse-Pun-S., 2021a)

Given $r, s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $p = s/r$ irrational, take $(b_1, \dots, b_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^l$ to be the south step sequence of highest path δ under the line $y + px = s$.

$$D_{(b_1,\dots,b_l)} \cdot 1 = \sum_{\lambda} \qquad\qquad \omega\mathcal{G}_{\nu(\lambda)}(X; q^{-1})$$

where summation is over all lattice paths under the line $y + px = s$,

Any Line

Negut Elements

For $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^l$, special elements $D_{\mathbf{b}} \in \mathcal{E}$ generalizing $e_k[-MX^{m,n}]$.

Theorem (Blasiak-Haiman-Morse-Pun-S., 2021a)

Given $r, s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $p = s/r$ irrational, take $(b_1, \ldots, b_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^l$ to be the south step sequence of highest path δ under the line $y + px = s$.

$$D_{(b_1,\ldots,b_l)} \cdot 1 = \sum_{\lambda} t^{\mathrm{area}(\lambda)} q^{\mathrm{dinv}_p(\lambda)} \omega \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\lambda)}(X; q^{-1})$$

where summation is over all lattice paths under the line $y + px = s$,



area(λ) as before
$\mathrm{dinv}_p(\lambda) = \#p$-balanced hooks $\frac{\ell}{a+1} < p < \frac{\ell+1}{a}$

Proof Overview (algebraic side)

- $\psi \colon \mathcal{E}^+ \cong S$
- $\mathcal{E}^+$ is the "positive half" of $\mathcal{E}$
- S is an algebra of symmetric Laurent series in $\mathbb{Q}(q,t)(z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_l^{\pm 1})^{S_l}$ satisfying extra conditions and equipped with a "shuffle product".

Proof Overview (algebraic side)

- $\psi \colon \mathcal{E}^+ \cong S$
- $\mathcal{E}^+$ is the "positive half" of $\mathcal{E}$
- S is an algebra of symmetric Laurent series in $\mathbb{Q}(q,t)(z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_l^{\pm 1})^{S_l}$ satisfying extra conditions and equipped with a "shuffle product".

Key relationship

For $\xi \in \mathcal{E}^+$,

$$\omega(\xi \cdot 1) = \mathrm{pol}_X(\psi(\xi))$$

for automorphism $\omega \colon \Lambda \to \Lambda$ and $\mathrm{pol}_X \colon S \to \Lambda$ a "polynomial truncation" operation.

Proof Overview (combinatorial side)

- For $\xi = D_{\mathbf{b}}$, we get

$$\operatorname{pol}_X \mathbf{H}_q \left(\frac{z^{\mathbf{b}} \prod_{i<j+1}(1 - qtz_i/z_j)}{\prod_{i<j}(1 - tz_i/z_j)} \right) = \sum_{\lambda} t^{\operatorname{area}(\lambda)} q^{\operatorname{dinv}_p(\lambda)} \omega \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\lambda)}(X; q^{-1})$$

(H_q is a transformation that send this Laurent series to S)

Proof Overview (combinatorial side)

- For $\xi = D_{\mathbf{b}}$, we get

$$\operatorname{pol}_X \mathbf{H}_q \left(\frac{z^{\mathbf{b}} \prod_{i<j+1}(1 - qtz_i/z_j)}{\prod_{i<j}(1 - tz_i/z_j)} \right) = \sum_{\lambda} t^{\mathrm{area}(\lambda)} q^{\mathrm{dinv}_p(\lambda)} \omega \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\lambda)}(X; q^{-1})$$

  (H_q is a transformation that send this Laurent series to S)
- Can we remove $\operatorname{pol}_X H_q$ from left hand side and get something that goes to the righthand side?

$$\begin{array}{ccc} z^{\mathbf{b}} \dfrac{\prod_{i<j+1}(1 - qtz_i/z_j)}{\prod_{i<j}(1 - tz_i/z_j)} & = & ?? \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D_{\mathbf{b}} \cdot 1 & = & \sum_{\lambda} t^{\mathrm{area}(\lambda)} q^{\mathrm{dinv}_p(\lambda)} \omega \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\lambda)}(X; q^{-1}) \end{array}$$

Proof Overview (combinatorial side)

- For $\xi = D_{\mathbf{b}}$, we get

$$\mathrm{pol}_X \, \mathbf{H}_q \left(\frac{z^{\mathbf{b}} \prod_{i<j+1}(1 - qtz_i/z_j)}{\prod_{i<j}(1 - tz_i/z_j)} \right) = \sum_{\lambda} t^{\mathrm{area}(\lambda)} q^{\mathrm{dinv}_p(\lambda)} \omega \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\lambda)}(X; q^{-1})$$

(H_q is a transformation that send this Laurent series to S)

- Can we remove $\mathrm{pol}_X \, H_q$ from left hand side and get something that goes to the righthand side?

$$\begin{array}{ccc} z^{\mathbf{b}} \dfrac{\prod_{i<j+1}(1 - qtz_i/z_j)}{\prod_{i<j}(1 - tz_i/z_j)} & = & ?? \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D_{\mathbf{b}} \cdot 1 & = & \sum_{\lambda} t^{\mathrm{area}(\lambda)} q^{\mathrm{dinv}_p(\lambda)} \omega \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\lambda)}(X; q^{-1}) \end{array}$$

Need an "infinite series" version of LLT polynomials!

Cauchy identity

- For a fixed $\sigma \in S_l$, there exists a basis of $\mathbb{Q}(q)[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_l^{\pm 1}]$ called "non-symmetric Hall-Littlewood polynomials", denoted $E_\lambda^\sigma = E_\lambda^\sigma(z_1, \ldots, z_l; q)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^l$.

Cauchy identity

- For a fixed $\sigma \in S_l$, there exists a basis of $\mathbb{Q}(q)[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_l^{\pm 1}]$ called "non-symmetric Hall-Littlewood polynomials", denoted $E_\lambda^\sigma = E_\lambda^\sigma(z_1, \ldots, z_l; q)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^l$.
- Under an inner-product coming from representation theory of affine Hecke algebras, there is a dual basis $F_\lambda^\sigma = E_{-\lambda}^{\sigma w_0}(z_1^{-1}, \ldots, z_l^{-1}; q^{-1}) = \overline{E_{-\lambda}^{\sigma w_0}}$

Cauchy identity

- For a fixed $\sigma \in S_l$, there exists a basis of $\mathbb{Q}(q)[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_l^{\pm 1}]$ called "non-symmetric Hall-Littlewood polynomials", denoted $E_\lambda^\sigma = E_\lambda^\sigma(z_1, \ldots, z_l; q)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^l$.
- Under an inner-product coming from representation theory of affine Hecke algebras, there is a dual basis $F_\lambda^\sigma = E_{-\lambda}^{\sigma w_0}(z_1^{-1}, \ldots, z_l^{-1}; q^{-1}) = \overline{E_{-\lambda}^{\sigma w_0}}$

Cauchy identity

$$\frac{\prod_{i<j}(1 - q\, t\, x_i\, y_j)}{\prod_{i \leq j}(1 - t\, x_i\, y_j)} = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \geq 0} t^{|\mathbf{a}|}\, E_{\mathbf{a}}^\sigma(x_1, \ldots, x_l; q^{-1})\, F_{\mathbf{a}}^\sigma(y_1, \ldots, y_l; q),$$

Cauchy identity

- For a fixed $\sigma \in S_l$, there exists a basis of $\mathbb{Q}(q)[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_l^{\pm 1}]$ called "non-symmetric Hall-Littlewood polynomials", denoted $E_\lambda^\sigma = E_\lambda^\sigma(z_1, \ldots, z_l; q)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^l$.
- Under an inner-product coming from representation theory of affine Hecke algebras, there is a dual basis $F_\lambda^\sigma = E_{-\lambda}^{\sigma w_0}(z_1^{-1}, \ldots, z_l^{-1}; q^{-1}) = \overline{E_{-\lambda}^{\sigma w_0}}$

Cauchy identity

$$\frac{\prod_{i<j}(1 - q\, t\, x_i\, y_j)}{\prod_{i \leq j}(1 - t\, x_i\, y_j)} = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \geq 0} t^{|\mathbf{a}|}\, E_{\mathbf{a}}^\sigma(x_1, \ldots, x_l; q^{-1})\, F_{\mathbf{a}}^\sigma(y_1, \ldots, y_l; q),$$

- (Grojnowski-Haiman 2007) defines a (symmetric) "series LLT" polynomial $\mathcal{L}_{\beta/\alpha}^\sigma(x_1, \ldots, x_l; q) = H_q(w_0(F_\beta^{\sigma^{-1}} \overline{E_\alpha^{\sigma^{-1}}}))$

Proof Idea

Stable Shuffle Theorem (BHMPS 21a)

For $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^l$ corresponding to highest path under a line of slope $-r/s$,

$$\psi D_{\mathbf{b}} = \sum_{a_1, \ldots, a_{l-1} \geq 0} t^{|\mathbf{a}|} \mathcal{L}^{\sigma}_{((b_l, \ldots, b_1) + (0, a_{l-1}, \ldots, a_1))/(a_{l-1}, \ldots, a_1, 0)}(x_1, \ldots, x_l; q)$$

Under polynomial truncation,
$\mathcal{L}^{\sigma}_{\beta/\alpha}(x_1, \ldots, x_l; q) \to q^{\mathrm{dinv}_p(\lambda)} \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\lambda)}(x_1, \ldots, x_l; q^{-1})$

Proof Idea

Stable Shuffle Theorem (BHMPS 21a)

For $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^l$ corresponding to highest path under a line of slope $-r/s$,

$$\psi D_{\mathbf{b}} = \sum_{a_1,\ldots,a_{l-1} \geq 0} t^{|\mathbf{a}|} \mathcal{L}^{\sigma}_{((b_l,\ldots,b_1)+(0,a_{l-1},\ldots,a_1))/(a_{l-1},\ldots,a_1,0)}(x_1,\ldots,x_l;q)$$

Under polynomial truncation,
$\mathcal{L}^{\sigma}_{\beta/\alpha}(x_1,\ldots,x_l;q) \to q^{\mathrm{dinv}_p(\lambda)} \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\lambda)}(x_1,\ldots,x_l;q^{-1})$

$$\implies \omega(D_{\mathbf{b}} \cdot 1)(x_1,\ldots,x_l) = \sum_{\lambda} t^{\mathrm{area}(\lambda)} q^{\mathrm{dinv}_p(\lambda)} \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\lambda)}(x_1,\ldots,x_l;q^{-1}).$$

Generalizations

Same paradigm works to show the following formula.

Generalizations

Same paradigm works to show the following formula.

- $B_\mu = \sum_{(a,b)\in\mu} q^{a-1}t^{b-1}$, e.g., $\mu = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 11 & 12 & 13 \\ \hline 21 & 22 & \\ \cline{1-2}\end{array} \to B_\mu = 1 + q + q^2 + t + qt$

Generalizations

Same paradigm works to show the following formula.

- $B_\mu = \sum_{(a,b)\in\mu} q^{a-1}t^{b-1}$, e.g., $\mu = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 11 & 12 & 13 \\ \hline 21 & 22 & \\ \cline{1-2}\end{array} \to B_\mu = 1 + q + q^2 + t + qt$
- $\Delta_f \tilde{H}_\mu = f[B_\mu]\tilde{H}_\mu$

Generalizations

Same paradigm works to show the following formula.

- $B_\mu = \sum_{(a,b)\in\mu} q^{a-1}t^{b-1}$, e.g., $\mu = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 11 & 12 & 13 \\ \hline 21 & 22 & \\ \cline{1-2}\end{array} \to B_\mu = 1 + q + q^2 + t + qt$
- $\Delta_f \tilde{H}_\mu = f[B_\mu]\tilde{H}_\mu$
- $\Delta'_f \tilde{H}_\mu = f[B_\mu - 1]\tilde{H}_\mu$

Generalizations

Same paradigm works to show the following formula.

- $B_\mu = \sum_{(a,b)\in\mu} q^{a-1}t^{b-1}$, e.g., $\mu = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 11 & 12 & 13 \\ \hline 21 & 22 & \\ \cline{1-2}\end{array} \to B_\mu = 1 + q + q^2 + t + qt$
- $\Delta_f \tilde{H}_\mu = f[B_\mu]\tilde{H}_\mu$
- $\Delta'_f \tilde{H}_\mu = f[B_\mu - 1]\tilde{H}_\mu$

Extended Delta Theorem (Blasiak-Haiman-Morse-Pun-S., 2021b)

$$\Delta_{h_r}\Delta'_{e_{n-1}} e_k = \langle z^n \rangle \sum_{\lambda,P} q^{\mathrm{dinv}(P)} t^{\mathrm{area}(\lambda)} x^P \prod_{r_i(\lambda)=r_{i-1}(\lambda)+1} \left(1 + zt^{-r_i(\lambda)}\right).$$

Same paradigm works to show the following formula.

- $B_\mu = \sum_{(a,b)\in\mu} q^{a-1}t^{b-1}$, e.g., $\mu = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 11 & 12 & 13 \\ \hline 21 & 22 \\ \cline{1-2}\end{array} \to B_\mu = 1 + q + q^2 + t + qt$
- $\Delta_f \tilde{H}_\mu = f[B_\mu]\tilde{H}_\mu$
- $\Delta'_f \tilde{H}_\mu = f[B_\mu - 1]\tilde{H}_\mu$

Extended Delta Theorem (Blasiak-Haiman-Morse-Pun-S., 2021b)

$$\Delta_{h_r}\Delta'_{e_{n-1}} e_k = \langle z^n \rangle \sum_{\lambda,P} q^{\mathrm{dinv}(P)} t^{\mathrm{area}(\lambda)} x^P \prod_{r_i(\lambda)=r_{i-1}(\lambda)+1} \left(1 + zt^{-r_i(\lambda)}\right).$$

- $\Delta_{h_r}\Delta'_{e_{n-1}} e_k = \sum_{\substack{s\in\mathbb{N}^{k+r}:|s|=n-k \\ 1\in J\subseteq[k+r],|J|=k}} (D_{s+\epsilon_J}\cdot 1)$

Generalizations

Loehr-Warrington Conjecture (2008)

$$\nabla s_\mu = \mathrm{sgn}(\mu) \sum_{(G,R)\in LNDP_\mu} t^{\mathrm{area}(G,R)} q^{\mathrm{dinv}(G,R)} x^R$$

Generalizations

Loehr-Warrington Conjecture (2008)

$$\nabla s_\mu = \mathrm{sgn}(\mu) \sum_{(G,R) \in LNDP_\mu} t^{\mathrm{area}(G,R)} q^{\mathrm{dinv}(G,R)} x^R$$

Generalizing our methods further, we arrive at the following.

Theorem (BHMPS21c)

$$s_\mu[-MX^{m,n}] \cdot 1 = \sum_{\pi} t^{a(\pi)} q^{\mathrm{dinv}_p(\pi)} \omega \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\pi)}(X; q^{-1})$$

For a sum over all "nests" π in a "den" corresponding to s_μ.

Generalizations

Theorem (BHMPS21c)

$$s_\mu[-MX^{m,n}] \cdot 1 = \sum_\pi t^{a(\pi)} q^{\mathrm{dinv}_p(\pi)} \omega \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\pi)}(X; q^{-1})$$

For a sum over all "nests" π in a "den" corresponding to s_μ.





Theorem (BHMPS21c)

$$s_\mu[-MX^{m,n}]\cdot 1 = \sum_{\pi} t^{a(\pi)} q^{\mathrm{dinv}_p(\pi)} \omega \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\pi)}(X;q^{-1})$$

For a sum over all “nests” π in a “den” corresponding to s_μ.





- Implies the Loehr-Warrington Conjecture as a special case.

Generalizations

Theorem (BHMPS21c)

$$s_\mu[-MX^{m,n}] \cdot 1 = \sum_\pi t^{a(\pi)} q^{\mathrm{dinv}_p(\pi)} \omega \mathcal{G}_{\nu(\pi)}(X; q^{-1})$$

For a sum over all “nests” π in a “den” corresponding to s_μ.



- Implies the Loehr-Warrington Conjecture as a special case.
- Also proves $\mathrm{sgn}(\mu)\nabla s_\mu$ is Schur-positive.

Generalizations

$D_{\mathbf{b}}$ defined for any $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^l$. When is $D_{\mathbf{b}} \cdot 1$ nice?

Generalizations

$D_{\mathbf{b}}$ defined for any $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^l$. When is $D_{\mathbf{b}} \cdot 1$ nice?

Generalizations

$D_{\mathbf{b}}$ defined for any $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^l$. When is $D_{\mathbf{b}} \cdot 1$ nice?

Convex Curve Conjecture (Blasiak-Haiman-Morse-Pun-S., 2021a)

For $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_l)$ the south steps of highest path under a convex curve, the Schur expansion of $D_{\mathbf{b}} \cdot 1$ has coefficients in $\mathbb{N}[q, t]$.

Generalizations

$D_{\mathbf{b}}$ defined for any $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^l$. When is $D_{\mathbf{b}} \cdot 1$ nice?

Convex Curve Conjecture (Blasiak-Haiman-Morse-Pun-S., 2021a)

For $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_l)$ the south steps of highest path under a convex curve, the Schur expansion of $D_{\mathbf{b}} \cdot 1$ has coefficients in $\mathbb{N}[q, t]$.

- Experimental computation suggests this is "tight."

Generalizations

$D_{\mathbf{b}}$ defined for any $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^l$. When is $D_{\mathbf{b}} \cdot 1$ nice?

Convex Curve Conjecture (Blasiak-Haiman-Morse-Pun-S., 2021a)

For $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_l)$ the south steps of highest path under a convex curve, the Schur expansion of $D_{\mathbf{b}} \cdot 1$ has coefficients in $\mathbb{N}[q, t]$.

- Experimental computation suggests this is "tight."
- Coefficient of $s_{1,\ldots,1}$ coincides with (q, t)-polynomials found in (Gorsky-Hawkes-Schilling-Rainbolt, 2020), (Galashin-Lam, 2021).

Future Directions

- What are the Schur expansion coefficients of $D_{\mathbf{b}} \cdot 1$?

Future Directions

- What are the Schur expansion coefficients of $D_{\mathbf{b}} \cdot 1$?
- What other rational functions give nice representatives in the Shuffle Algebra? (Catalanimals)

Future Directions

- What are the Schur expansion coefficients of $D_{\mathbf{b}} \cdot 1$?
- What other rational functions give nice representatives in the Shuffle Algebra? (Catalanimals)
- What can we say about Macdonald polynomials?

Future Directions

- What are the Schur expansion coefficients of $D_{\mathbf{b}} \cdot 1$?
- What other rational functions give nice representatives in the Shuffle Algebra? (Catalanimals)
- What can we say about Macdonald polynomials?
- S_l-representation theory interpretations?

References

Thank you!

Bergeron, Francois, Adriano Garsia, Emily Sergel Leven, and Guoce Xin. 2016. *Compositional* (km, kn)*-shuffle conjectures*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **14**, 4229–4270, DOI 10.1093/imrn/rnv272. MR3556418

Blasiak, Jonah, Mark Haiman, Jennifer Morse, Anna Pun, and George H. Seelinger. 2021a. *A Shuffle Theorem for Paths Under Any Line*, arXiv e-prints, available at arXiv:2102.07931.

______. 2021b. *A proof of the Extended Delta Conjecture*, arXiv e-prints, available at arXiv:2102.08815.

______. 2021c. *Dens, nests and the Loehr-Warrington conjecture*, arXiv e-prints, available at arXiv:2112.07070.

Burban, Igor and Olivier Schiffmann. 2012. *On the Hall algebra of an elliptic curve, I*, Duke Math. J. **161**, no. 7, 1171–1231, DOI 10.1215/00127094-1593263. MR2922373

Carlsson, Erik and Anton Mellit. 2018. *A proof of the shuffle conjecture*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **31**, no. 3, 661–697, DOI 10.1090/jams/893. MR3787405

Galashin, Pavel and Thomas Lam. 2021. *Positroid Catalan numbers*, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2104.05701, available at arXiv:2104.05701.

Garsia, Adriano M. and Mark Haiman. 1993. *A graded representation model for Macdonald's polynomials*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **90**, no. 8, 3607–3610, DOI 10.1073/pnas.90.8.3607. MR1214091

Gorsky, Eugene, Graham Hawkes, Anne Schilling, and Julianne Rainbolt. 2020. *Generalized* q,t*-Catalan numbers*, Algebr. Comb. **3**, no. 4, 855–886, DOI 10.5802/alco.120. MR4145982

References

Grojnowski, Ian and Mark Haiman. 2007. *Affine Hecke algebras and positivity of LLT and Macdonald polynomials*, Unpublished manuscript.

Haglund, J. and Haiman, M. and Loehr. 2005. *A combinatorial formula for the character of the diagonal coinvariants*, Duke Math. J. **126**, no. 2, 195–232, DOI 10.1215/S0012-7094-04-12621-1.

Haglund, J., J. B. Remmel, and A. T. Wilson. 2018. *The delta conjecture*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **370**, no. 6, 4029–4057, DOI 10.1090/tran/7096. MR3811519

Haiman, Mark. 2001. *Hilbert schemes, polygraphs and the Macdonald positivity conjecture*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14**, no. 4, 941–1006, DOI 10.1090/S0894-0347-01-00373-3. MR1839919

_______. 2002. *Vanishing theorems and character formulas for the Hilbert scheme of points in the plane*, Invent. Math. **149**, no. 2, 371–407, DOI 10.1007/s002220200219. MR1918676

Lascoux, Alain, Bernard Leclerc, and Jean-Yves Thibon. 1995. *Ribbon tableaux, Hall-Littlewood functions and unipotent varieties*, Sém. Lothar. Combin. **34**, Art. B34g, approx. 23. MR1399754

Loehr, Nicholas A. and Gregory S. Warrington. 2008. *Nested quantum Dyck paths and* $\nabla(s_\lambda)$, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **5**, Art. ID rnm 157, 29, DOI 10.1093/imrn/rnm157. MR2418288

Mellit, Anton. 2016. *Toric braids and* (m,n)*-parking functions*, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1604.07456, available at `arXiv:1604.07456`.

Negut, Andrei. 2014. *The shuffle algebra revisited*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **22**, 6242–6275, DOI 10.1093/imrn/rnt156. MR3283004