A raising operator formula for Macdonald polynomials via LLT polynomials in the Schiffmann algebra

George H. Seelinger
joint work with J. Blasiak, M. Haiman, J. Morse, and A. Pun

ghseeli@umich.edu

Purdue Mathematical Physics Seminar

Based on arXiv:2112.07063 and arXiv:2307.06517

September 13, 2023

Outline

1. **Background on symmetric functions and Macdonald polynomials**
2. A new formula for Macdonald polynomials
3. LLT polynomials in the elliptic Hall algebra

Symmetric Polynomials

- Polynomials $f \in \mathbb{Q}(q,t)[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ satisfying $\sigma.f = f$ for all $\sigma \in S_n$.

Symmetric Polynomials

- Polynomials $f \in \mathbb{Q}(q,t)[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ satisfying $\sigma.f = f$ for all $\sigma \in S_n$.

Generators

$$e_r = \sum_{i_1<i_2<\cdots<i_r} x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_r} \text{ or } h_r = \sum_{i_1\le i_2\le\cdots\le i_r} x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_r}$$

Symmetric Polynomials

- Polynomials $f \in \mathbb{Q}(q,t)[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ satisfying $\sigma.f = f$ for all $\sigma \in S_n$.

Generators

$$e_r = \sum_{i_1<i_2<\cdots<i_r} x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_r} \text{ or } h_r = \sum_{i_1\le i_2\le\cdots\le i_r} x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_r}$$

- E.g. for $n = 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = h_1 \\ e_2 = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 \quad & h_2 = x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2^2 + x_2x_3 + x_3^2 \\ e_3 = x_1x_2x_3 \quad & h_3 = x_1^3 + x_1^2x_2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_1x_2^2 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Symmetric Polynomials

- Polynomials $f \in \mathbb{Q}(q,t)[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ satisfying $\sigma.f = f$ for all $\sigma \in S_n$.

Generators

$$e_r = \sum_{i_1<i_2<\cdots<i_r} x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_r} \text{ or } h_r = \sum_{i_1\le i_2\le\cdots\le i_r} x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_r}$$

- E.g. for $n = 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = h_1 \\ e_2 = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 \quad & h_2 = x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2^2 + x_2x_3 + x_3^2 \\ e_3 = x_1x_2x_3 \quad & h_3 = x_1^3 + x_1^2x_2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_1x_2^2 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

- Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Q}(q,t)[e_1,e_2,\ldots] = \mathbb{Q}(q,t)[h_1,h_2,\ldots]$. Call these "symmetric functions."

Symmetric Polynomials

- Polynomials $f \in \mathbb{Q}(q,t)[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ satisfying $\sigma.f = f$ for all $\sigma \in S_n$.

Generators

$$e_r = \sum_{i_1<i_2<\cdots<i_r} x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_r} \text{ or } h_r = \sum_{i_1\le i_2\le\cdots\le i_r} x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_r}$$

- E.g. for $n = 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = h_1 \\ e_2 = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 \quad & h_2 = x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2^2 + x_2x_3 + x_3^2 \\ e_3 = x_1x_2x_3 \quad & h_3 = x_1^3 + x_1^2x_2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_1x_2^2 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

- Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Q}(q,t)[e_1,e_2,\ldots] = \mathbb{Q}(q,t)[h_1,h_2,\ldots]$. Call these "symmetric functions."
- Λ is a $\mathbb{Q}(q,t)$-algebra.

Symmetric functions and Schur functions

- Convention: $h_0 = 1$ and $h_d = 0$ for $d < 0$.
- For any $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$,

$$s_\gamma = s_\gamma(X) = \det(h_{\gamma_i + j - i}(X))_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$$

Symmetric functions and Schur functions

- Convention: $h_0 = 1$ and $h_d = 0$ for $d < 0$.
- For any $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$,

$$s_\gamma = s_\gamma(X) = \det(h_{\gamma_i + j - i}(X))_{1 \le i,j \le n}$$

Then, for $\rho = (n-1, n-2, \ldots, 1, 0)$,

$$s_\gamma = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(\gamma + \rho) s_{\operatorname{sort}(\gamma+\rho)-\rho} & \text{if } \gamma + \rho \text{ has distinct nonnegative parts,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

- $\operatorname{sort}(\beta)$ = weakly decreasing sequence obtained by sorting β,
- $\operatorname{sgn}(\beta)$ = sign of the shortest permutation taking β to $\operatorname{sort}(\beta)$.

Symmetric functions and Schur functions

- Convention: $h_0 = 1$ and $h_d = 0$ for $d < 0$.
- For any $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$,

$$s_\gamma = s_\gamma(X) = \det(h_{\gamma_i + j - i}(X))_{1 \le i,j \le n}$$

Then, for $\rho = (n-1, n-2, \ldots, 1, 0)$,

$$s_\gamma = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(\gamma + \rho) s_{\operatorname{sort}(\gamma+\rho)-\rho} & \text{if } \gamma + \rho \text{ has distinct nonnegative parts,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

- $\operatorname{sort}(\beta)$ = weakly decreasing sequence obtained by sorting β,
- $\operatorname{sgn}(\beta)$ = sign of the shortest permutation taking β to $\operatorname{sort}(\beta)$.

Example: $s_{201} = 0, s_{2\text{-}11} = -s_{200}$.

Schur Polynomials

Schur Polynomials

- $\{s_\mu\}_\mu$ forms a basis of symmetric polynomials indexed by integer partitions $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^l$ where $\mu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_l \geq 0$.

Schur Polynomials

- $\{s_\mu\}_\mu$ forms a basis of symmetric polynomials indexed by integer partitions $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^l$ where $\mu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_l \geq 0$.
- Frobenius: $s_\lambda = \sum_\mu \frac{\chi_\lambda(\mu)}{z_\mu} p_\mu$ for irreducible S_n-character χ_λ.

Schur Polynomials

- $\{s_\mu\}_\mu$ forms a basis of symmetric polynomials indexed by integer partitions $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^l$ where $\mu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_l \geq 0$.
- Frobenius: $s_\lambda = \sum_\mu \frac{\chi_\lambda(\mu)}{z_\mu} p_\mu$ for irreducible S_n-character χ_λ.

Hidden Guide: Schur Positivity

"Naturally occurring" symmetric functions which are non-negative (coefficients in $\mathbb{N}[q,t]$) linear combinations in Schur polynomial basis are interesting since they could have representation-theoretic models.

Harmonic polynomials

Harmonic polynomials

$M =$ polynomials killed by all symmetric differential operators.

Harmonic polynomials

Harmonic polynomials

$M =$ polynomials killed by all symmetric differential operators.

Explicitly, for

$$\Delta = \det \begin{vmatrix} x_1^2 & x_1 & 1 \\ x_2^2 & x_2 & 1 \\ x_3^2 & x_3 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = x_1^2(x_2 - x_3) - x_2^2(x_1 - x_3) + x_3^2(x_1 - x_2)$$

Harmonic polynomials

Harmonic polynomials

$M =$ polynomials killed by all symmetric differential operators.

Explicitly, for

$$\Delta = \det \begin{vmatrix} x_1^2 & x_1 & 1 \\ x_2^2 & x_2 & 1 \\ x_3^2 & x_3 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = x_1^2(x_2 - x_3) - x_2^2(x_1 - x_3) + x_3^2(x_1 - x_2)$$

M is the vector space given by

Harmonic polynomials

Harmonic polynomials

$M =$ polynomials killed by all symmetric differential operators.

Explicitly, for

$$\Delta = \det \begin{vmatrix} x_1^2 & x_1 & 1 \\ x_2^2 & x_2 & 1 \\ x_3^2 & x_3 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = x_1^2(x_2 - x_3) - x_2^2(x_1 - x_3) + x_3^2(x_1 - x_2)$$

M is the vector space given by

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \mathrm{sp}\left\{ \left(\partial_{x_1}^a \partial_{x_2}^b \partial_{x_3}^c \right) \Delta \mid a, b, c \geq 0 \right\} \\ &= \mathrm{sp}\{\Delta, 2x_1(x_2 - x_3) - x_2^2 + x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3 - x_1) - x_3^2 + x_1^2, \\ &\qquad x_3 - x_1, x_2 - x_3, 1\} \end{aligned}$$

Harmonic polynomials

$$\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta, 2x_1(x_2 - x_3) - x_2^2 + x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3 - x_1) - x_3^2 + x_1^2, x_3 - x_1, x_2 - x_3, 1\}$$

Harmonic polynomials

$$\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta, 2x_1(x_2 - x_3) - x_2^2 + x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3 - x_1) - x_3^2 + x_1^2, x_3 - x_1, x_2 - x_3, 1\}$$

1. Break M up into irreducible S_n-representations.

Harmonic polynomials

$$\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta, 2x_1(x_2-x_3)-x_2^2+x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3-x_1)-x_3^2+x_1^2, x_3-x_1, x_2-x_3, 1\}$$

1. Break M up into irreducible S_n-representations.

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta\}}_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{2x_1(x_2-x_3)-x_2^2+x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3-x_1)-x_3^2+x_1^2\}}_{\ydiagram{2,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{x_3-x_1, x_2-x_3\}}_{\ydiagram{2,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{1\}}_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

Harmonic polynomials

$$\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta, 2x_1(x_2-x_3)-x_2^2+x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3-x_1)-x_3^2+x_1^2, x_3-x_1, x_2-x_3, 1\}$$

1. Break M up into irreducible S_n-representations.

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta\}}_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{2x_1(x_2-x_3)-x_2^2+x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3-x_1)-x_3^2+x_1^2\}}_{\ydiagram{2,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{x_3-x_1, x_2-x_3\}}_{\ydiagram{2,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{1\}}_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

2. How many times does an irreducible S_n-representation occur?

Harmonic polynomials

$$\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta, 2x_1(x_2-x_3)-x_2^2+x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3-x_1)-x_3^2+x_1^2, x_3-x_1, x_2-x_3, 1\}$$

1. Break M up into irreducible S_n-representations.

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta\}}_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{2x_1(x_2-x_3)-x_2^2+x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3-x_1)-x_3^2+x_1^2\}}_{\ydiagram{2,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{x_3-x_1, x_2-x_3\}}_{\ydiagram{2,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{1\}}_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

2. How many times does an irreducible S_n-representation occur?
   Frobenius:

Harmonic polynomials

$$\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta, 2x_1(x_2-x_3)-x_2^2+x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3-x_1)-x_3^2+x_1^2, x_3-x_1, x_2-x_3, 1\}$$

1. Break M up into irreducible S_n-representations.

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta\}}_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{2x_1(x_2-x_3)-x_2^2+x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3-x_1)-x_3^2+x_1^2\}}_{\ydiagram{2,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{x_3-x_1, x_2-x_3\}}_{\ydiagram{2,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{1\}}_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

2. How many times does an irreducible S_n-representation occur? Frobenius:

$$e_1^3 = (x_1+x_2+x_3)^3 = s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} + s_{\ydiagram{2,1}} + s_{\ydiagram{2,1}} + s_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

Harmonic polynomials

$$\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta, 2x_1(x_2-x_3)-x_2^2+x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3-x_1)-x_3^2+x_1^2, x_3-x_1, x_2-x_3, 1\}$$

1. Break M up into irreducible S_n-representations.

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta\}}_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{2x_1(x_2-x_3)-x_2^2+x_3^2, 2x_2(x_3-x_1)-x_3^2+x_1^2\}}_{\ydiagram{2,2}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{x_3-x_1, x_2-x_3\}}_{\ydiagram{2,2}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{1\}}_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

2. How many times does an irreducible S_n-representation occur? Frobenius:

$$e_1^3 = (x_1+x_2+x_3)^3 = s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} + s_{\ydiagram{2,1}} + s_{\ydiagram{2,1}} + s_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

Remark: $M \cong \mathbb{C}[x_1,x_2,x_3]/(\mathbb{C}[x_1,x_2,x_3]_+^{S_3})$.

Getting more information

Getting more information

Break M up into smallest S_n fixed subspaces

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta\}}_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{2x_1(x_2-x_3)-x_2^2+x_3^2,\, 2x_2(x_3-x_1)-x_3^2+x_1^2\}}_{\ydiagram{2,2}\ \deg=2} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{x_3-x_1,\, x_2-x_3\}}_{\ydiagram{2,2}\ \deg=1} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{1\}}_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

Getting more information

Break M up into smallest S_n fixed subspaces

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta\}}_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{2x_1(x_2-x_3)-x_2^2+x_3^2,\, 2x_2(x_3-x_1)-x_3^2+x_1^2\}}_{\ydiagram{2,2}\ \deg=2} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{x_3-x_1,\, x_2-x_3\}}_{\ydiagram{2,1}\ \deg=1} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{1\}}_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

Solution: irreducible S_n-representation of polynomials of degree $d \mapsto q^d s_\lambda$ (graded Frobenius)

$$?? = q^3 s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} + q^2 s_{\ydiagram{2,1}} + q s_{\ydiagram{2,1}} + s_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

Getting more information

Break M up into smallest S_n fixed subspaces

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta\}}_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{2x_1(x_2-x_3)-x_2^2+x_3^2,\, 2x_2(x_3-x_1)-x_3^2+x_1^2\}}_{\ydiagram{2,1}\ \deg=2} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{x_3-x_1,\, x_2-x_3\}}_{\ydiagram{2,1}\ \deg=1} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{1\}}_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

Solution: irreducible S_n-representation of polynomials of degree $d \mapsto q^d s_\lambda$ (graded Frobenius)

$$?? = q^3 s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} + q^2 s_{\ydiagram{2,1}} + q s_{\ydiagram{2,1}} + s_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

Answer: Hall-Littlewood polynomial $H_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}}(X;q)$.

A Problem

- In 1988, Macdonald introduces a family of symmetric polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(q,t)$ generalizing Hall-Littlewood polynomials.

A Problem

- In 1988, Macdonald introduces a family of symmetric polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(q,t)$ generalizing Hall-Littlewood polynomials.
- Garsia modifies these polynomials so

$\tilde{H}_\lambda(X;q,t) = \sum_\mu \tilde{K}_{\lambda\mu}(q,t)s_\mu$ conjecturally satisfies $\tilde{K}_{\lambda\mu}(q,t) \in \mathbb{N}[q,t]$

A Problem

- In 1988, Macdonald introduces a family of symmetric polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(q,t)$ generalizing Hall-Littlewood polynomials.
- Garsia modifies these polynomials so

  $\tilde{H}_\lambda(X;q,t) = \sum_\mu \tilde{K}_{\lambda\mu}(q,t)s_\mu$ conjecturally satisfies $\tilde{K}_{\lambda\mu}(q,t) \in \mathbb{N}[q,t]$

- $\tilde{H}_\lambda(X;1,1) = e_1^{|\lambda|}$.

A Problem

- In 1988, Macdonald introduces a family of symmetric polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(q,t)$ generalizing Hall-Littlewood polynomials.
- Garsia modifies these polynomials so

  $\tilde{H}_\lambda(X;q,t) = \sum_\mu \tilde{K}_{\lambda\mu}(q,t)s_\mu$ conjecturally satisfies $\tilde{K}_{\lambda\mu}(q,t) \in \mathbb{N}[q,t]$

- $\tilde{H}_\lambda(X;1,1) = e_1^{|\lambda|}$.
- Does there exist a family of S_n-regular representations whose bigraded Frobenius characteristics equal $\tilde{H}_\lambda(X;q,t)$?

Garsia-Haiman modules

- $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ satisfying $\sigma(x_i) = x_{\sigma(i)}$, $\sigma(y_j) = y_{\sigma(j)}$.

Garsia-Haiman modules

- $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ satisfying $\sigma(x_i) = x_{\sigma(i)}$, $\sigma(y_j) = y_{\sigma(j)}$.
- Garsia-Haiman (1993): M_μ = span of partial derivatives of $\Delta_\mu = \det_{(i,j)\in\mu, k\in[n]}(x_k^{i-1} y_k^{j-1})$

Garsia-Haiman modules

- $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ satisfying $\sigma(x_i) = x_{\sigma(i)}$, $\sigma(y_j) = y_{\sigma(j)}$.
- Garsia-Haiman (1993): M_μ = span of partial derivatives of $\Delta_\mu = \det_{(i,j)\in\mu, k\in[n]}(x_k^{i-1} y_k^{j-1})$

$$\Delta_{\ydiagram{2,1}} = \det \begin{vmatrix} 1 & y_1 & x_1 \\ 1 & y_2 & x_2 \\ 1 & y_3 & x_3 \end{vmatrix} = x_3y_2 - y_3x_2 - y_1x_3 + y_1x_2 + y_3x_1 - y_2x_1$$

Garsia-Haiman modules

- $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ satisfying $\sigma(x_i) = x_{\sigma(i)}$, $\sigma(y_j) = y_{\sigma(j)}$.
- Garsia-Haiman (1993): M_μ = span of partial derivatives of $\Delta_\mu = \det_{(i,j)\in\mu, k\in[n]}(x_k^{i-1} y_k^{j-1})$

$$\Delta_{\ydiagram{2,1}} = \det \begin{vmatrix} 1 & y_1 & x_1 \\ 1 & y_2 & x_2 \\ 1 & y_3 & x_3 \end{vmatrix} = x_3y_2 - y_3x_2 - y_1x_3 + y_1x_2 + y_3x_1 - y_2x_1$$

$$M_{2,1} = \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta_{2,1}\}}_{\deg=(1,1)} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{y_3 - y_1, y_1 - y_2\}}_{\deg=(0,1)} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{x_3 - x_1, x_1 - x_2\}}_{\deg=(1,0)} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{1\}}_{\deg=(0,0)}$$

Garsia-Haiman modules

- $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ satisfying $\sigma(x_i) = x_{\sigma(i)}$, $\sigma(y_j) = y_{\sigma(j)}$.
- Garsia-Haiman (1993): M_μ = span of partial derivatives of $\Delta_\mu = \det_{(i,j)\in\mu, k\in[n]}(x_k^{i-1} y_k^{j-1})$

$$\Delta_{\ydiagram{2,1}} = \det \begin{vmatrix} 1 & y_1 & x_1 \\ 1 & y_2 & x_2 \\ 1 & y_3 & x_3 \end{vmatrix} = x_3y_2 - y_3x_2 - y_1x_3 + y_1x_2 + y_3x_1 - y_2x_1$$

$$M_{2,1} = \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta_{2,1}\}}_{\deg=(1,1)} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{y_3 - y_1, y_1 - y_2\}}_{\deg=(0,1)} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{x_3 - x_1, x_1 - x_2\}}_{\deg=(1,0)} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{1\}}_{\deg=(0,0)}$$

Irreducible S_n-representation with bidegree $(a, b) \mapsto q^a t^b s_\lambda$

Garsia-Haiman modules

- $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ satisfying $\sigma(x_i) = x_{\sigma(i)}$, $\sigma(y_j) = y_{\sigma(j)}$.
- Garsia-Haiman (1993): M_μ = span of partial derivatives of $\Delta_\mu = \det_{(i,j)\in\mu, k\in[n]}(x_k^{i-1} y_k^{j-1})$

$$\Delta_{\ydiagram{2,1}} = \det \begin{vmatrix} 1 & y_1 & x_1 \\ 1 & y_2 & x_2 \\ 1 & y_3 & x_3 \end{vmatrix} = x_3y_2 - y_3x_2 - y_1x_3 + y_1x_2 + y_3x_1 - y_2x_1$$

$$M_{2,1} = \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{\Delta_{2,1}\}}_{\deg=(1,1)} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{y_3 - y_1, y_1 - y_2\}}_{\deg=(0,1)} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{x_3 - x_1, x_1 - x_2\}}_{\deg=(1,0)} \oplus \underbrace{\mathrm{sp}\{1\}}_{\deg=(0,0)}$$

Irreducible S_n-representation with bidegree $(a, b) \mapsto q^a t^b s_\lambda$

$$\tilde{H}_{\ydiagram{2,1}} = qts_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}} + ts_{\ydiagram{2,1}} + qs_{\ydiagram{2,1}} + s_{\ydiagram{3}}$$

Garsia-Haiman modules

Theorem (Haiman, 2001)

The Garsia-Haiman module M_λ has bigraded Frobenius characteristic given by $\tilde{H}_\lambda(X; q, t)$

Garsia-Haiman modules

Theorem (Haiman, 2001)

The Garsia-Haiman module M_λ has bigraded Frobenius characteristic given by $\tilde{H}_\lambda(X; q, t)$

- Proved via connection to the Hilbert Scheme $Hilb^n(\mathbb{C}^2)$.

Garsia-Haiman modules

Theorem (Haiman, 2001)

The Garsia-Haiman module M_λ has bigraded Frobenius characteristic given by $\tilde{H}_\lambda(X; q, t)$

- Proved via connection to the Hilbert Scheme $Hilb^n(\mathbb{C}^2)$.

Corollary

$\tilde{H}_\lambda(X; q, t) = \tilde{K}_{\lambda\mu}(q, t)s_\mu$ *satisfies* $\tilde{K}_{\lambda\mu}(q, t) \in \mathbb{N}[q, t]$.

Garsia-Haiman modules

Theorem (Haiman, 2001)

The Garsia-Haiman module M_λ has bigraded Frobenius characteristic given by $\tilde{H}_\lambda(X; q, t)$

- Proved via connection to the Hilbert Scheme $Hilb^n(\mathbb{C}^2)$.

Corollary

$\tilde{H}_\lambda(X; q, t) = \tilde{K}_{\lambda\mu}(q, t)s_\mu$ *satisfies* $\tilde{K}_{\lambda\mu}(q, t) \in \mathbb{N}[q, t]$.

- No combinatorial description of $\tilde{K}_{\lambda\mu}(q, t)$.

Outline

1. Background on symmetric functions and Macdonald polynomials
2. **A new formula for Macdonald polynomials**
3. LLT polynomials in the elliptic Hall algebra

Root ideals

$R_+ = \{\alpha_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ denotes the set of positive roots for GL_n, where $\alpha_{ij} = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$.



Root ideals

$R_+ = \{\alpha_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ denotes the set of positive roots for GL_n, where $\alpha_{ij} = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$.



A root ideal $\Psi \subseteq R_+$ is an upper order ideal of positive roots.



Ψ = Roots above Dyck path

Weyl symmetrization

Define the *Weyl symmetrization operator* $\boldsymbol{\sigma} : \mathbb{Q}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_n^{\pm 1}] \to \Lambda(X)$ by linearly extending

$$\boldsymbol{z}^{\gamma} \mapsto s_{\gamma}(X)$$

where $\boldsymbol{z}^{\gamma} = z_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots z_n^{\gamma_n}$.

Weyl symmetrization

Define the *Weyl symmetrization operator* $\boldsymbol{\sigma}: \mathbb{Q}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_n^{\pm 1}] \to \Lambda(X)$ by linearly extending

$$\boldsymbol{z}^{\gamma} \mapsto s_{\gamma}(X)$$

where $\boldsymbol{z}^{\gamma} = z_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots z_n^{\gamma_n}$.

Definition

A *Catalan function* is a symmetric function indexed by a root ideal $\Psi \subseteq R_+$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n$

Weyl symmetrization

Define the *Weyl symmetrization operator* $\boldsymbol{\sigma}\colon \mathbb{Q}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_n^{\pm 1}] \to \Lambda(X)$ by linearly extending

$$\boldsymbol{z}^{\gamma} \mapsto s_{\gamma}(X)$$

where $\boldsymbol{z}^{\gamma} = z_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots z_n^{\gamma_n}$.

Definition

A *Catalan function* is a symmetric function indexed by a root ideal $\Psi \subseteq R_+$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ given by

$$H(\Phi; \gamma) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{z}^{\gamma}}{\prod_{(i,j)\in\Psi}(1 - tz_i/z_j)}\right)$$

Denominator factors are understood as geometric series $(1 - tz_i/z_j)^{-1} = 1 + tz_i/z_j + t^2(z_i/z_j)^2 + \cdots$

Catalan functions

Definition

A *Catalan function* is a symmetric function indexed by a root ideal $\Psi \subseteq R_+$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ given by

$$H(\Phi;\gamma) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{z}^{\gamma}}{\prod_{(i,j)\in\Psi}(1-tz_i/z_j)}\right)$$

Example:

$\Psi =$  $\gamma = (1,1,1)$

Catalan functions

Definition

A *Catalan function* is a symmetric function indexed by a root ideal $\Psi \subseteq R_+$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ given by

$$H(\Phi;\gamma) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{z}^{\gamma}}{\prod_{(i,j)\in\Psi}(1-tz_i/z_j)}\right)$$

Example:

$\Psi =$  $\gamma = (1,1,1)$

$$\begin{aligned} H(\Psi;\gamma) &= \boldsymbol{\sigma}\left((1+t\frac{z_1}{z_2}+t^2\frac{z_1^2}{z_2^2}+\cdots)(1+t\frac{z_1}{z_3}+t^2\frac{z_1^2}{z_3^2}+\cdots)z_1z_2z_3\right) \\ &= s_{111}+t(s_{201}+s_{210})+t^2(s_{3\text{-}10}+s_{300}+s_{31\text{-}1})+\cdots \\ &= s_{111}+ts_{210} \end{aligned}$$

A Catalan function for modified Hall-Littlewoods

B_μ = set of roots above block diagonal matrix with block sizes $\mu_{\ell(\mu)}, \ldots, \mu_1$

$B_{3321} =$ 

A Catalan function for modified Hall-Littlewoods

B_μ = set of roots above block diagonal matrix with block sizes $\mu_{\ell(\mu)}, \ldots, \mu_1$

$B_{3321} =$ 

Theorem (Weyman, Shimozono-Weyman)

$$\tilde{H}_\mu(X; 0, t) = \omega\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(\frac{z_1 \cdots z_n}{\prod_{\alpha \in B_\mu}(1 - t\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha)}\right),$$

where $\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha = z_i/z_j$.

$\omega(s_\lambda) = s_{\lambda'}$ for λ' the transpose partition of λ.

Catalan functions for modified Hall-Littlewoods



row reading order

$b_1 \prec b_2 \prec \cdots \prec b_n$

$$R_\mu := \{\alpha_{ij} \in R_+ \mid \mathrm{south}(b_i) \preceq b_j\}.$$

$R_{3321} =$



Catalan functions for modified Hall-Littlewoods



row reading order

$b_1 \prec b_2 \prec \cdots \prec b_n$

$$R_\mu := \{\alpha_{ij} \in R_+ \mid \mathrm{south}(b_i) \preceq b_j\}.$$

$R_{3321} =$ 

$$\tilde{H}_\mu(X;0,t) = \omega\sigma\left(\frac{z_1\cdots z_n}{\prod_{\alpha\in B_\mu}(1-tz^\alpha)}\right),$$

$$= \omega\sigma\left(\frac{z_1\cdots z_n}{\prod_{\alpha\in R_\mu}(1-tz^\alpha)}\right)$$

A formula for $\tilde{H}_\mu(X; q, t)$



row reading order

$b_1 \prec b_2 \prec \cdots \prec b_n$

$$R_\mu := \{\alpha_{ij} \in R_+ \mid \mathrm{south}(b_i) \preceq b_j\},$$

$$\widehat{R}_\mu := \{\alpha_{ij} \in R_+ \mid \mathrm{south}(b_i) \prec b_j\}.$$

A formula for $\tilde{H}_\mu(X;q,t)$



row reading order

$b_1 \prec b_2 \prec \cdots \prec b_n$

$$R_\mu := \{\alpha_{ij} \in R_+ \mid \mathrm{south}(b_i) \preceq b_j\},$$
$$\widehat{R}_\mu := \{\alpha_{ij} \in R_+ \mid \mathrm{south}(b_i) \prec b_j\}.$$

Theorem (Blasiak-Haiman-Morse-Pun-S.)

The modified Macdonald polynomial $\tilde{H}_\mu = \tilde{H}_\mu(X;q,t)$ is given by

$$\tilde{H}_\mu = \omega\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(z_1\cdots z_n \frac{\prod_{\alpha_{ij}\in R_\mu\setminus\widehat{R}_\mu}\left(1-q^{\mathrm{arm}(b_i)+1}t^{-\mathrm{leg}(b_i)}z_i/z_j\right)\prod_{\alpha\in\widehat{R}_\mu}\left(1-qt\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha\right)}{\prod_{\alpha\in R_+}\left(1-q\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha\right)\prod_{\alpha\in R_\mu}\left(1-t\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha\right)}\right).$$

Example

b_1	
b_2	
b_3	b_4
b_5	b_6
b_7	b_8

partition $\mu = 22211$



Example

$1 - q\frac{z_1}{z_2}$	
$1 - qt^{-1}\frac{z_2}{z_3}$	
$1 - q^2t^{-2}\frac{z_3}{z_5}$	$1 - q\frac{z_4}{z_6}$
$1 - q^2t^{-3}\frac{z_5}{z_7}$	$1 - qt^{-1}\frac{z_6}{z_8}$

numerator factors $1 - q^{\mathrm{arm}+1}t^{-\mathrm{leg}}z_i/z_j$



$q = t = 1$ specialization

$$\omega\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(z_1\cdots z_n \frac{\prod_{\alpha_{ij}\in R_\mu\setminus\widehat{R}_\mu}\left(1-q^{\mathrm{arm}(b_i)+1}t^{-\mathrm{leg}(b_i)}z_i/z_j\right)\prod_{\alpha\in\widehat{R}_\mu}\left(1-qt\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha\right)}{\prod_{\alpha\in R_+}\left(1-q\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha\right)\prod_{\alpha\in R_\mu}\left(1-t\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha\right)}\right)$$

$$\overset{q=t=1}{\to}\omega\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(z_1\cdots z_n \frac{\prod_{\alpha\in R_\mu\setminus\widehat{R}_\mu}(1-\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha)\prod_{\alpha\in\widehat{R}_\mu}(1-\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha)}{\prod_{\alpha\in R_+}(1-\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha)\prod_{\alpha\in R_\mu}(1-\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha)}\right)$$

$$=\omega\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(\frac{z_1\cdots z_n}{\prod_{\alpha\in R_+}(1-\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha)}\right)$$

$$=\omega h_1^n$$

$$=e_1^n$$

$q = 0$ specialization

$$\omega\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(z_1\cdots z_n \frac{\prod_{\alpha_{ij}\in R_\mu\setminus\widehat{R}_\mu}\left(1-q^{\mathrm{arm}(b_i)+1}t^{-\mathrm{leg}(b_i)}z_i/z_j\right)\prod_{\alpha\in\widehat{R}_\mu}\left(1-qt\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha\right)}{\prod_{\alpha\in R_+}\left(1-q\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha\right)\prod_{\alpha\in R_\mu}\left(1-t\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha\right)}\right)$$

$$\overset{q=0}{\to}\omega\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(\frac{z_1\cdots z_n}{\prod_{\alpha\in R_\mu}(1-t\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha)}\right)$$

$$=\tilde{H}_\mu(X;0,t)$$

Proof of formula for $\tilde{H}_\mu$

Definition

∇ is the linear operator on symmetric functions satisfying $\nabla \tilde{H}_\mu = t^{n(\mu)} q^{n(\mu^*)} \tilde{H}_\mu$, where $n(\mu) = \sum_i (i-1)\mu_i$.

Proof of formula for $\tilde{H}_\mu$

Definition

∇ is the linear operator on symmetric functions satisfying $\nabla \tilde{H}_\mu = t^{n(\mu)} q^{n(\mu^*)} \tilde{H}_\mu$, where $n(\mu) = \sum_i (i-1)\mu_i$.

- Start with the Haglund-Haiman-Loehr formula for $\tilde{H}_\mu$ as a sum of LLT polynomials $\mathcal{G}_\nu(X; q)$.

Proof of formula for $\tilde{H}_\mu$

Definition

∇ is the linear operator on symmetric functions satisfying $\nabla \tilde{H}_\mu = t^{n(\mu)} q^{n(\mu^*)} \tilde{H}_\mu$, where $n(\mu) = \sum_i (i-1)\mu_i$.

- Start with the Haglund-Haiman-Loehr formula for $\tilde{H}_\mu$ as a sum of LLT polynomials $\mathcal{G}_\nu(X; q)$.
- Apply $\omega\nabla$ to both sides.

Proof of formula for $\tilde{H}_\mu$

Definition

∇ is the linear operator on symmetric functions satisfying $\nabla \tilde{H}_\mu = t^{n(\mu)} q^{n(\mu^*)} \tilde{H}_\mu$, where $n(\mu) = \sum_i (i-1)\mu_i$.

- Start with the Haglund-Haiman-Loehr formula for $\tilde{H}_\mu$ as a sum of LLT polynomials $\mathcal{G}_\nu(X; q)$.
- Apply $\omega\nabla$ to both sides.
- Use Catalan-like ("Catalanimal") formula for $\omega\nabla\mathcal{G}_\nu(X; q)$ and collect terms.

LLT Polynomials

Let $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_{(1)}, \ldots, \nu_{(k)})$ be a tuple of skew shapes.

$\boldsymbol{\nu} =$ 



LLT Polynomials

Let $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_{(1)}, \ldots, \nu_{(k)})$ be a tuple of skew shapes.

- The *content* of a box in row y, column x is $x - y$.

$\boldsymbol{\nu} =$ 

-4	-3	-2	-1	0	1
-3	-2	-1	0	1	2
-2	-1	0	1	2	3
-1	0	1	2	3	4
0	1	2	3	4	5

LLT Polynomials

Let $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_{(1)}, \ldots, \nu_{(k)})$ be a tuple of skew shapes.

- The *content* of a box in row y, column x is $x - y$.
- *Reading order*: label boxes $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ by scanning each diagonal from southwest to northeast, in order of increasing content.

$\boldsymbol{\nu} =$ 



LLT Polynomials

Let $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_{(1)}, \ldots, \nu_{(k)})$ be a tuple of skew shapes.

- The *content* of a box in row y, column x is $x - y$.
- *Reading order*: label boxes $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ by scanning each diagonal from southwest to northeast, in order of increasing content.
- A pair $(a, b) \in \boldsymbol{\nu}$ is *attacking* if a precedes b in reading order and
  - $\mathrm{content}(b) = \mathrm{content}(a)$, or
  - $\mathrm{content}(b) = \mathrm{content}(a) + 1$ and $a \in \nu_{(i)}, b \in \nu_{(j)}$ with $i > j$.

$\boldsymbol{\nu} =$ 



Attacking pairs: $(b_2, b_3), (b_3, b_4), (b_4, b_5), (b_4, b_6), (b_5, b_7), (b_6, b_7), (b_7, b_8)$

LLT Polynomials

Let $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_{(1)}, \ldots, \nu_{(k)})$ be a tuple of skew shapes.

- The *content* of a box in row y, column x is $x - y$.
- *Reading order*: label boxes $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ by scanning each diagonal from southwest to northeast, in order of increasing content.
- A pair $(a, b) \in \boldsymbol{\nu}$ is *attacking* if a precedes b in reading order and
  - $\mathrm{content}(b) = \mathrm{content}(a)$, or
  - $\mathrm{content}(b) = \mathrm{content}(a) + 1$ and $a \in \nu_{(i)}, b \in \nu_{(j)}$ with $i > j$.

$\boldsymbol{\nu} =$ 



Attacking pairs: $(b_2, b_3), (b_3, b_4), (b_4, b_5), (b_4, b_6), (b_5, b_7), (b_6, b_7), (b_7, b_8)$

LLT Polynomials

Let $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_{(1)}, \ldots, \nu_{(k)})$ be a tuple of skew shapes.

- The *content* of a box in row y, column x is $x - y$.
- *Reading order*: label boxes $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ by scanning each diagonal from southwest to northeast, in order of increasing content.
- A pair $(a, b) \in \boldsymbol{\nu}$ is *attacking* if a precedes b in reading order and
  - $\mathrm{content}(b) = \mathrm{content}(a)$, or
  - $\mathrm{content}(b) = \mathrm{content}(a) + 1$ and $a \in \nu_{(i)}, b \in \nu_{(j)}$ with $i > j$.

$\boldsymbol{\nu} =$ 



Attacking pairs: $(b_2, b_3), (b_3, b_4), (b_4, b_5), (b_4, b_6), (b_5, b_7), (b_6, b_7), (b_7, b_8)$

LLT Polynomials

Let $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_{(1)}, \ldots, \nu_{(k)})$ be a tuple of skew shapes.

- The *content* of a box in row y, column x is $x - y$.
- *Reading order*: label boxes $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ by scanning each diagonal from southwest to northeast, in order of increasing content.
- A pair $(a, b) \in \boldsymbol{\nu}$ is *attacking* if a precedes b in reading order and
  - $\mathrm{content}(b) = \mathrm{content}(a)$, or
  - $\mathrm{content}(b) = \mathrm{content}(a) + 1$ and $a \in \nu_{(i)}, b \in \nu_{(j)}$ with $i > j$.

$\boldsymbol{\nu} =$ 



Attacking pairs: $(b_2, b_3), (b_3, b_4), (b_4, b_5), (b_4, b_6), (b_5, b_7), (b_6, b_7), (b_7, b_8)$

LLT Polynomials

Let $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_{(1)}, \ldots, \nu_{(k)})$ be a tuple of skew shapes.

- The *content* of a box in row y, column x is $x - y$.
- *Reading order*: label boxes $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ by scanning each diagonal from southwest to northeast, in order of increasing content.
- A pair $(a, b) \in \boldsymbol{\nu}$ is *attacking* if a precedes b in reading order and
  - $\mathrm{content}(b) = \mathrm{content}(a)$, or
  - $\mathrm{content}(b) = \mathrm{content}(a) + 1$ and $a \in \nu_{(i)}, b \in \nu_{(j)}$ with $i > j$.

$\boldsymbol{\nu} =$ 



Attacking pairs: $(b_2, b_3), (b_3, b_4), (b_4, b_5), (b_4, b_6), (b_5, b_7), (b_6, b_7), (b_7, b_8)$

LLT Polynomials

Let $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_{(1)}, \ldots, \nu_{(k)})$ be a tuple of skew shapes.

- The *content* of a box in row y, column x is $x - y$.
- *Reading order*: label boxes $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ by scanning each diagonal from southwest to northeast, in order of increasing content.
- A pair $(a, b) \in \boldsymbol{\nu}$ is *attacking* if a precedes b in reading order and
  - $\mathrm{content}(b) = \mathrm{content}(a)$, or
  - $\mathrm{content}(b) = \mathrm{content}(a) + 1$ and $a \in \nu_{(i)}, b \in \nu_{(j)}$ with $i > j$.

$\boldsymbol{\nu} =$ 



Attacking pairs: $(b_2, b_3), (b_3, b_4), (b_4, b_5), (b_4, b_6), (b_5, b_7), (b_6, b_7), (b_7, b_8)$

LLT Polynomials

Let $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_{(1)}, \ldots, \nu_{(k)})$ be a tuple of skew shapes.

- The *content* of a box in row y, column x is $x - y$.
- *Reading order*: label boxes $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ by scanning each diagonal from southwest to northeast, in order of increasing content.
- A pair $(a, b) \in \boldsymbol{\nu}$ is *attacking* if a precedes b in reading order and
  - $\mathrm{content}(b) = \mathrm{content}(a)$, or
  - $\mathrm{content}(b) = \mathrm{content}(a) + 1$ and $a \in \nu_{(i)}, b \in \nu_{(j)}$ with $i > j$.

$\boldsymbol{\nu} =$ 



Attacking pairs: $(b_2, b_3), (b_3, b_4), (b_4, b_5), (b_4, b_6), (b_5, b_7), (b_6, b_7), (b_7, b_8)$

LLT Polynomials

Let $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_{(1)}, \ldots, \nu_{(k)})$ be a tuple of skew shapes.

- The *content* of a box in row y, column x is $x - y$.
- *Reading order*: label boxes $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ by scanning each diagonal from southwest to northeast, in order of increasing content.
- A pair $(a, b) \in \boldsymbol{\nu}$ is *attacking* if a precedes b in reading order and
  - $\mathrm{content}(b) = \mathrm{content}(a)$, or
  - $\mathrm{content}(b) = \mathrm{content}(a) + 1$ and $a \in \nu_{(i)}, b \in \nu_{(j)}$ with $i > j$.

$\boldsymbol{\nu} =$ 



Attacking pairs: $(b_2, b_3), (b_3, b_4), (b_4, b_5), (b_4, b_6), (b_5, b_7), (b_6, b_7), (b_7, b_8)$

LLT Polynomials

- A *semistandard tableau* on $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is a map $T : \boldsymbol{\nu} \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ which restricts to a semistandard tableau on each $\nu_{(i)}$.
- An *attacking inversion* in T is an attacking pair (a, b) such that $T(a) > T(b)$.

The *LLT polynomial* indexed by a tuple of skew shapes $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is

$$\mathcal{G}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\boldsymbol{x}; q) = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{SSYT}(\boldsymbol{\nu})} q^{\mathrm{inv}(T)} \boldsymbol{x}^T,$$

where $\mathrm{inv}(T)$ is the number of attacking inversions in T and $\boldsymbol{x}^T = \prod_{a \in \boldsymbol{\nu}} x_{T(a)}$.

T =



LLT Polynomials

- A *semistandard tableau* on $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is a map $T : \boldsymbol{\nu} \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ which restricts to a semistandard tableau on each $\nu_{(i)}$.
- An *attacking inversion* in T is an attacking pair (a, b) such that $T(a) > T(b)$.

The *LLT polynomial* indexed by a tuple of skew shapes $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is

$$\mathcal{G}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\boldsymbol{x}; q) = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{SSYT}(\boldsymbol{\nu})} q^{\mathrm{inv}(T)} \boldsymbol{x}^T,$$

where $\mathrm{inv}(T)$ is the number of attacking inversions in T and $\boldsymbol{x}^T = \prod_{a \in \boldsymbol{\nu}} x_{T(a)}$.

T =



non-inversion

LLT Polynomials

- A *semistandard tableau* on $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is a map $T : \boldsymbol{\nu} \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ which restricts to a semistandard tableau on each $\nu_{(i)}$.
- An *attacking inversion* in T is an attacking pair (a, b) such that $T(a) > T(b)$.

The *LLT polynomial* indexed by a tuple of skew shapes $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is

$$\mathcal{G}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\boldsymbol{x}; q) = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{SSYT}(\boldsymbol{\nu})} q^{\mathrm{inv}(T)} \boldsymbol{x}^T,$$

where $\mathrm{inv}(T)$ is the number of attacking inversions in T and $\boldsymbol{x}^T = \prod_{a \in \boldsymbol{\nu}} x_{T(a)}$.

T =



inversion

LLT Polynomials

- A *semistandard tableau* on $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is a map $T : \boldsymbol{\nu} \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ which restricts to a semistandard tableau on each $\nu_{(i)}$.
- An *attacking inversion* in T is an attacking pair (a, b) such that $T(a) > T(b)$.

The *LLT polynomial* indexed by a tuple of skew shapes $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is

$$\mathcal{G}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\boldsymbol{x}; q) = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{SSYT}(\boldsymbol{\nu})} q^{\mathrm{inv}(T)} \boldsymbol{x}^T,$$

where $\mathrm{inv}(T)$ is the number of attacking inversions in T and $\boldsymbol{x}^T = \prod_{a \in \boldsymbol{\nu}} x_{T(a)}$.

T =



inversion

LLT Polynomials

- A *semistandard tableau* on $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is a map $T : \boldsymbol{\nu} \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ which restricts to a semistandard tableau on each $\nu_{(i)}$.
- An *attacking inversion* in T is an attacking pair (a, b) such that $T(a) > T(b)$.

The *LLT polynomial* indexed by a tuple of skew shapes $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is

$$\mathcal{G}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\boldsymbol{x}; q) = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{SSYT}(\boldsymbol{\nu})} q^{\mathrm{inv}(T)} \boldsymbol{x}^T,$$

where $\mathrm{inv}(T)$ is the number of attacking inversions in T and $\boldsymbol{x}^T = \prod_{a \in \boldsymbol{\nu}} x_{T(a)}$.

T =



non-inversion

LLT Polynomials

- A *semistandard tableau* on $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is a map $T : \boldsymbol{\nu} \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ which restricts to a semistandard tableau on each $\nu_{(i)}$.
- An *attacking inversion* in T is an attacking pair (a, b) such that $T(a) > T(b)$.

The *LLT polynomial* indexed by a tuple of skew shapes $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is

$$\mathcal{G}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\boldsymbol{x}; q) = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{SSYT}(\boldsymbol{\nu})} q^{\mathrm{inv}(T)} \boldsymbol{x}^T,$$

where $\mathrm{inv}(T)$ is the number of attacking inversions in T and $\boldsymbol{x}^T = \prod_{a \in \boldsymbol{\nu}} x_{T(a)}$.

T =



non-inversion

LLT Polynomials

- A *semistandard tableau* on $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is a map $T : \boldsymbol{\nu} \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ which restricts to a semistandard tableau on each $\nu_{(i)}$.
- An *attacking inversion* in T is an attacking pair (a, b) such that $T(a) > T(b)$.

The *LLT polynomial* indexed by a tuple of skew shapes $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is

$$\mathcal{G}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\boldsymbol{x}; q) = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{SSYT}(\boldsymbol{\nu})} q^{\mathrm{inv}(T)} \boldsymbol{x}^T,$$

where $\mathrm{inv}(T)$ is the number of attacking inversions in T and $\boldsymbol{x}^T = \prod_{a \in \boldsymbol{\nu}} x_{T(a)}$.

T =



inversion

LLT Polynomials

- A *semistandard tableau* on $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is a map $T : \boldsymbol{\nu} \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ which restricts to a semistandard tableau on each $\nu_{(i)}$.
- An *attacking inversion* in T is an attacking pair (a, b) such that $T(a) > T(b)$.

The *LLT polynomial* indexed by a tuple of skew shapes $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is

$$\mathcal{G}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\boldsymbol{x}; q) = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{SSYT}(\boldsymbol{\nu})} q^{\mathrm{inv}(T)} \boldsymbol{x}^T,$$

where $\mathrm{inv}(T)$ is the number of attacking inversions in T and $\boldsymbol{x}^T = \prod_{a \in \boldsymbol{\nu}} x_{T(a)}$.

T =



inversion

$\mathrm{inv}(T) = 4, \quad \boldsymbol{x}^T = x_1^2 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5^2 x_6$

Catalanimals

The *Catalanimal* indexed by $R_q, R_t, R_{qt} \subseteq R_+$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is

$$H(R_q, R_t, R_{qt}, \lambda) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{z}^\lambda \prod_{\alpha \in R_{qt}} \left(1 - qt\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha\right)}{\prod_{\alpha \in R_q} \left(1 - q\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha\right) \prod_{\alpha \in R_t} \left(1 - t\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha\right)}\right).$$

Catalanimals

The *Catalanimal* indexed by $R_q, R_t, R_{qt} \subseteq R_+$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is

$$H(R_q, R_t, R_{qt}, \lambda) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{z}^\lambda \prod_{\alpha \in R_{qt}} (1 - qt\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha)}{\prod_{\alpha \in R_q} (1 - q\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha) \prod_{\alpha \in R_t} (1 - t\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha)}\right).$$

With $n = 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} H(R_+, R_+, \{\alpha_{13}\}, (111)) &= \boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{z}^{111}(1 - qtz_1/z_3)}{\prod_{1 \le i < j \le 3}(1 - qz_i/z_j)(1 - tz_i/z_j)}\right) \\ &= s_{111} + (q + t + q^2 + qt + t^2)s_{21} + (qt + q^3 + q^2t + qt^2 + t^3)s_3 \\ &= \omega \nabla e_3. \end{aligned}$$

LLT Catalanimals

For a tuple of skew shapes $\boldsymbol{\nu}$, the *LLT Catalanimal* $H_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = H(R_q, R_t, R_{qt}, \lambda)$ is determined by

- $R_+ \supseteq R_q \supseteq R_t \supseteq R_{qt}$,
- $R_+ \setminus R_q =$ pairs of boxes in the same diagonal,
- $R_q \setminus R_t \ =$ the attacking pairs,
- $R_t \setminus R_{qt} =$ pairs going between adjacent diagonals,
- λ: fill each diagonal D of $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ with $1 + \chi(D \text{ contains a row start}) - \chi(D \text{ contains a row end})$. Listing this filling in reading order gives λ.

LLT Catalanimals

- $R_+ \setminus R_q$ = pairs of boxes in the same diagonal,
- $R_q \setminus R_t$ = the attacking pairs,
- $R_t \setminus R_{qt}$ = pairs going between adjacent diagonals,
- R_{qt} = all other pairs,

λ: fill each diagonal D of ν with
$1 + \chi(D \text{ contains a row start}) - \chi(D \text{ contains a row end})$.



ν



LLT Catalanimals

- $R_+ \setminus R_q$ = pairs of boxes in the same diagonal,
- $R_q \setminus R_t$ = the attacking pairs,
- $R_t \setminus R_{qt}$ = pairs going between adjacent diagonals,
- R_{qt} = all other pairs,

λ: fill each diagonal D of ν with
$1 + \chi(D \text{ contains a row start}) - \chi(D \text{ contains a row end})$.



λ, as a filling of ν



LLT Catalanimals

Theorem (Blasiak-Haiman-Morse-Pun-S.)

Let $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ be a tuple of skew shapes and let $H_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = H(R_q, R_t, R_{qt}, \lambda)$ be the associated LLT Catalanimal. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \mathcal{G}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(X; q) &= c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\, \omega H_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \\ &= c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\, \omega \boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{z}^{\lambda} \prod_{\alpha \in R_{qt}} \left(1 - qt\, \boldsymbol{z}^{\alpha}\right)}{\prod_{\alpha \in R_q} \left(1 - q\, \boldsymbol{z}^{\alpha}\right) \prod_{\alpha \in R_t} \left(1 - t\, \boldsymbol{z}^{\alpha}\right)} \right)\end{aligned}$$

for some $c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \in \pm q^{\mathbb{Z}} t^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Haglund-Haiman-Loehr formula

Theorem (Haglund-Haiman-Loehr, 2005)

$$\tilde{H}_\mu(X;q,t) = \sum_D \left(\prod_{u \in D} q^{-\mathrm{arm}(u)} t^{\mathrm{leg}(u)+1} \right) \mathcal{G}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}(\mu,D)}(X;q)\,,$$

where

- *the sum runs over all subsets* $D \subseteq \{(i,j) \in \mu \mid j > 1\}$, *and*
- $\boldsymbol{\nu}(\mu, D) = (\nu^{(1)}, \ldots, \nu^{(k)})$ *where* $k = \mu_1$ *is the number of columns of* μ, *and* $\nu^{(i)}$ *is a ribbon of size* μ_i^*, *i.e., box contents* $\{-1, -2, \ldots, -\mu_i^*\}$, *and descent set* $\mathrm{Des}(\nu^{(i)}) = \{-j \mid (i,j) \in D\}$.

Haglund-Haiman-Loehr formula example

$$\tilde{H}_\mu(X;q,t) = \sum_D \left(\prod_{u\in D} q^{-\mathrm{arm}(u)} t^{\mathrm{leg}(u)+1}\right) \mathcal{G}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}(\mu,D)}(X;q)$$



μ



$q^{-1}t^4$

$D = \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$



$q^{-1}t^3$

$D = \{b_2, b_3\}$



$q^{-1}t^3$

$D = \{b_1, b_2\}$



t^2

$D = \{b_1, b_3\}$



$q^{-1}t^2$

$D = \{b_2\}$



t

$D = \{b_3\}$



t

$D = \{b_1\}$



1

$D = \varnothing$

Putting it all together

- Take HHL formula $\tilde{H}_\mu = \sum_D a_{\mu,D} \mathcal{G}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}(\mu,D)}$ and apply $\omega\nabla$.

Putting it all together

- Take HHL formula $\tilde{H}_\mu = \sum_D a_{\mu,D} \mathcal{G}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}(\mu,D)}$ and apply $\omega\nabla$.
- By construction, all the LLT Catalanimals $H_{\boldsymbol{\nu}(\mu,D)}$ appearing on the RHS will have the same root ideal data (R_q, R_t, R_{qt}).

Putting it all together

- Take HHL formula $\tilde{H}_\mu = \sum_D a_{\mu,D} \mathcal{G}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}(\mu,D)}$ and apply $\omega\nabla$.
- By construction, all the LLT Catalanimals $H_{\boldsymbol{\nu}(\mu,D)}$ appearing on the RHS will have the same root ideal data (R_q, R_t, R_{qt}).
- Collect terms to get $\prod_{\alpha_{ij} \in R_\mu \setminus \widehat{R}_\mu} (1 - q^{\mathrm{arm}(b_i)+1} t^{-\mathrm{leg}(b_i)} z_i/z_j)$ factor.

$$\tilde{H}_\mu = \omega\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(z_1 \cdots z_n \frac{\prod_{\alpha_{ij} \in R_\mu \setminus \widehat{R}_\mu} \left(1 - q^{\mathrm{arm}(b_i)+1} t^{-\mathrm{leg}(b_i)} z_i/z_j\right) \prod_{\alpha \in \widehat{R}_\mu} \left(1 - qt\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha\right)}{\prod_{\alpha \in R_+} \left(1 - q\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha\right) \prod_{\alpha \in R_\mu} \left(1 - t\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha\right)} \right).$$

Outline

1. Background on symmetric functions and Macdonald polynomials
2. A new formula for Macdonald polynomials
3. **LLT polynomials in the elliptic Hall algebra**

Elliptic Hall Algebra

Burban and Schiffmann studied a subalgebra $\mathcal{E}$ of the Hall algebra of coherent sheaves on an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_p$.

The *elliptic Hall algebra* $\mathcal{E}$ is generated by subalgebras $\Lambda(X^{a,b})$ isomorphic to the ring of symmetric functions Λ over $\Bbbk = \mathbb{Q}(q,t)$, one for each coprime pair $(a,b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, along with an additional central subalgebra.

Shuffle algebra

Define a linear map

$$\sigma_\Gamma : \bigoplus_n \Bbbk(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \to \bigoplus_n \Bbbk(z_1, \ldots, z_n)^{S_n}$$

whose graded components σ_Γ^n are given by

Shuffle algebra

Define a linear map

$$\sigma_\Gamma : \bigoplus_n \Bbbk(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \to \bigoplus_n \Bbbk(z_1, \ldots, z_n)^{S_n}$$

whose graded components σ_Γ^n are given by

$$\sigma_\Gamma^n : \Bbbk(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \to \Bbbk(z_1, \ldots, z_n)^{S_n}$$

$$\sigma_\Gamma^n(f) = \sum_{w \in S_n} w\Big(f(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \Gamma(z_i, z_j)\Big),$$

$$\text{where } \Gamma(z_i, z_j) = \frac{1 - qtz_i/z_j}{(1 - z_j/z_i)(1 - qz_i/z_j)(1 - tz_i/z_j)}$$

Shuffle algebra

Define a linear map

$$\sigma_\Gamma : \bigoplus_n \Bbbk(z_1, \dots, z_n) \to \bigoplus_n \Bbbk(z_1, \dots, z_n)^{S_n}$$

whose graded components σ_Γ^n are given by

$$\sigma_\Gamma^n : \Bbbk(z_1, \dots, z_n) \to \Bbbk(z_1, \dots, z_n)^{S_n}$$

$$\sigma_\Gamma^n(f) = \sum_{w \in S_n} w\Big(f(z_1, \dots, z_n) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \Gamma(z_i, z_j)\Big),$$

$$\text{where } \Gamma(z_i, z_j) = \frac{1 - qtz_i/z_j}{(1 - z_j/z_i)(1 - qz_i/z_j)(1 - tz_i/z_j)}$$

The *shuffle algebra* $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma$ is the image of $\bigoplus_n \Bbbk[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_n^{\pm 1}]$ under the map σ_Γ, equipped with a variant of the concatenation product.

Shuffle algebra

Define a linear map

$$\sigma_\Gamma : \bigoplus_n \Bbbk(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \to \bigoplus_n \Bbbk(z_1, \ldots, z_n)^{S_n}$$

whose graded components σ_Γ^n are given by

$$\sigma_\Gamma^n : \Bbbk(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \to \Bbbk(z_1, \ldots, z_n)^{S_n}$$

$$\sigma_\Gamma^n(f) = \sum_{w \in S_n} w\Big(f(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \Gamma(z_i, z_j)\Big),$$

$$\text{where } \Gamma(z_i, z_j) = \frac{1 - qtz_i/z_j}{(1 - z_j/z_i)(1 - qz_i/z_j)(1 - tz_i/z_j)}$$

The *shuffle algebra* $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma$ is the image of $\bigoplus_n \Bbbk[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_n^{\pm 1}]$ under the map σ_Γ, equipped with a variant of the concatenation product.

Nice fact (up to some modifications of definitions)

Some Catalanimals are elements in $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma$. ("Tame Catalanimals")

Shuffle to elliptic Hall isomorphism

- The *right half-plane subalgebra* $\mathcal{E}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ is generated by $\Lambda(X^{a,b})$ for $a > 0$.

Shuffle to elliptic Hall isomorphism

- The *right half-plane subalgebra* $\mathcal{E}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ is generated by $\Lambda(X^{a,b})$ for $a > 0$.
- $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma = \sigma_\Gamma \left(\bigoplus_n \mathbb{k}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_n^{\pm 1}] \right)$ (Γ-symmetrized Laurent polynomials).

Shuffle to elliptic Hall isomorphism

- The *right half-plane subalgebra* $\mathcal{E}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ is generated by $\Lambda(X^{a,b})$ for $a > 0$.
- $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma = \sigma_\Gamma\left(\bigoplus_n \Bbbk[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_n^{\pm 1}]\right)$ (Γ-symmetrized Laurent polynomials).

Theorem (Schiffmann-Vasserot)

There is an algebra isomorphism $\psi\colon \mathcal{S}_\Gamma \to \mathcal{E}^+$.

Elliptic Hall algebra action

Schiffmann-Vasserot and Feigin-Tsymbaliuk constructed an action of $\mathcal{E}$ on Λ, where $f(X^{0,1})$ acts by multiplication by $f(X)$.

Elliptic Hall algebra action

Schiffmann-Vasserot and Feigin-Tsymbaliuk constructed an action of $\mathcal{E}$ on Λ, where $f(X^{0,1})$ acts by multiplication by $f(X)$.

Proposition

Conjugation by ∇ provides a symmetry of the action of $\mathcal{E}$ on Λ,

$$\nabla f(X^{a,b}) \nabla^{-1} = f(X^{a+b,b}).$$

Elliptic Hall algebra action

Schiffmann-Vasserot and Feigin-Tsymbaliuk constructed an action of $\mathcal{E}$ on Λ, where $f(X^{0,1})$ acts by multiplication by $f(X)$.

Proposition

Conjugation by ∇ provides a symmetry of the action of $\mathcal{E}$ on Λ,

$$\nabla f(X^{a,b}) \nabla^{-1} = f(X^{a+b,b}).$$

Corollary

$f(X^{1,1}) \cdot 1 = \nabla f(X^{0,1}) \nabla^{-1} \cdot 1 = \nabla f.$

Elliptic Hall algebra action

Schiffmann-Vasserot and Feigin-Tsymbaliuk constructed an action of $\mathcal{E}$ on Λ, where $f(X^{0,1})$ acts by multiplication by $f(X)$.

Proposition

Conjugation by ∇ provides a symmetry of the action of $\mathcal{E}$ on Λ,

$$\nabla f(X^{a,b}) \nabla^{-1} = f(X^{a+b,b}).$$

Corollary

$f(X^{1,1}) \cdot 1 = \nabla f(X^{0,1}) \nabla^{-1} \cdot 1 = \nabla f.$

Theorem (Blasiak-Haiman-Morse-Pun-S.)

Let H be a Catalanimal such that $\psi(H) = f(X^{1,1})$. Then

$$\nabla f = \omega H\,.$$

Shuffle to elliptic Hall summary



Theorem (Blasiak-Haiman-Morse-Pun-S.)

$\psi(H) = f(X^{1,1}) \Longrightarrow f(X^{1,1}) \cdot 1 = \nabla f = \omega H.$

Proof of $\nabla \mathcal{G}_\nu$ formula

1. LLT Catalanimals H_ν are tame.
2. LLT Catalanimals lie in $\psi^{-1}(\Lambda(X^{1,1}))$.
3. Describe coproduct Δ on $\mathcal{E}$ explicitly on tame Catalanimals and show ΔH_ν matches $\Delta \mathcal{G}_\nu$.
4. Conclude $\psi(H_\nu) = c_\nu^{-1} \mathcal{G}_\nu(X^{1,1}) \in \mathcal{E}$.
5. Apply previous theorem to conclude $\nabla \mathcal{G}_\nu = c_\nu \omega H_\nu$

A positivity conjecture

What can this formula tell us that other formulas for Macdonald polynomials do not?

A positivity conjecture

What can this formula tell us that other formulas for Macdonald polynomials do not?

$$\tilde{H}_\mu^{(s)} := \omega\sigma\left((z_1\cdots z_n)^s \frac{\prod_{\alpha_{ij}\in R_\mu\setminus\widehat{R}_\mu}\left(1-q^{\mathrm{arm}(b_i)+1}t^{-\mathrm{leg}(b_i)}z_i/z_j\right)\prod_{\alpha\in\widehat{R}_\mu}\left(1-qt\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha\right)}{\prod_{\alpha\in R_+}\left(1-q\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha\right)\prod_{\alpha\in R_\mu}\left(1-t\boldsymbol{z}^\alpha\right)}\right)$$

Conjecture (Blasiak-Haiman-Morse-Pun-S.)

For any partition μ and positive integer s, the symmetric function $\tilde{H}_\mu^{(s)}$ is Schur positive. That is, the coefficients in

$$\tilde{H}_\mu^{(s)} = \sum_\nu K_{\nu,\mu}^{(s)}(q,t)\, s_\nu(X)$$

satisfy $K_{\nu,\mu}^{(s)}(q,t) \in \mathbb{N}[q,t]$.

Thank you!

Blasiak, Jonah, Mark Haiman, Jennifer Morse, Anna Pun, and George H. Seelinger. 2021. *LLT Polynomials in the Schiffmann Algebra*, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2112.07063.

_____. 2023. *A Raising Operator Formula for Macdonald Polynomials*, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2307.06517.

Burban, Igor and Olivier Schiffmann. 2012. *On the Hall algebra of an elliptic curve, I*, Duke Math. J. **161**, no. 7, 1171–1231, DOI 10.1215/00127094-1593263. MR2922373

Feigin, B. L. and Tsymbaliuk, A. I. 2011. *Equivariant K-theory of Hilbert Schemes via Shuffle Algebra*, Kyoto J. Math. **51**, no. 4, 831–854.

Garsia, Adriano M. and Mark Haiman. 1993. *A graded representation model for Macdonald's polynomials*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **90**, no. 8, 3607–3610, DOI 10.1073/pnas.90.8.3607. MR1214091

Haglund, J., M. Haiman, and N. Loehr. 2005. *A Combinatorial Formula for Macdonald Polynomials* **18**, no. 3, 735–761 (electronic).

Haiman, Mark. 2001. *Hilbert schemes, polygraphs and the Macdonald positivity conjecture*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14**, no. 4, 941–1006, DOI 10.1090/S0894-0347-01-00373-3. MR1839919

Lascoux, Alain, Bernard Leclerc, and Jean-Yves Thibon. 1995. *Ribbon tableaux, Hall-Littlewood functions and unipotent varieties*, Sém. Lothar. Combin. **34**, Art. B34g, approx. 23. MR1399754

Negut, Andrei. 2014. *The shuffle algebra revisited*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **22**, 6242–6275, DOI 10.1093/imrn/rnt156. MR3283004

Schiffmann, Olivier and Vasserot, Eric. 2013. *The Elliptic Hall Algebra and the K-theory of the Hilbert Scheme of A2*, Duke Mathematical Journal **162**, no. 2, 279–366, DOI 10.1215/00127094-1961849.

Shimozono, Mark and Jerzy Weyman. 2000. *Graded Characters of Modules Supported in the Closure of a Nilpotent Conjugacy Class*, European Journal of Combinatorics **21**, no. 2, 257–288, DOI 10.1006/eujc.1999.0344.

Weyman, J. 1989. *The Equations of Conjugacy Classes of Nilpotent Matrices*, Inventiones mathematicae **98**, no. 2, 229–245, DOI 10.1007/BF01388851.

Catalanimals in the shuffle algebra

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n$,

$$\sigma_\Gamma^n(z^\lambda) = \sum_{w \in S_n} w\left(\frac{z^\lambda \prod_{\alpha \in R_+}\left(1 - qtz^\alpha\right)}{\prod_{\alpha \in R_+}\left(\left(1 - z^{-\alpha}\right)\left(1 - qz^\alpha\right)\left(1 - tz^\alpha\right)\right)}\right)$$
$$= H(R_+, R_+, R_+, \lambda) \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma.$$

- Technicality: we have redefined $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(z^\gamma) = \sum_{w \in S_n}\left(\frac{z^\gamma}{\prod_{\alpha \in R_+}(1 - z^{-\alpha})}\right) = \chi_\gamma$, the irreducible GL_n character.
- Let pol_X send $\chi_\lambda \mapsto s_\lambda$ if $\lambda_n \geq 0$, otherwise $\chi_\lambda \mapsto 0$.
- The $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ from before is given by $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathrm{old}} = \mathrm{pol}_X\, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathrm{new}}$.

Catalanimals in the Shuffle algebra

$\sigma_\Gamma^n(f)$ can lie in $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma$ even when f is not a Laurent polynomial.

Theorem (Negut)

The following family of Catalanimals lie in the shuffle algebra:

$$\sigma_\Gamma^n\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{z}^\lambda}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(1-qtz_i/z_{i+1})}\right) = H(R_+, R_+, R'_+, \lambda) \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma,$$

where $\quad R'_+ = \{\alpha_{ij} \in R_+ \mid i+1 < j\}.$

The wheel condition

- A symmetric Laurent polynomial $g(\boldsymbol{z})$ satisfies the *wheel condition* if it vanishes whenever any three of the variables z_i, z_j, z_k are in the ratio $(z_i : z_j : z_k) = (1 : q : qt) = (1 : t : qt)$.
- Let $\mathcal{S}_{\check{\Gamma}} \cong \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}$ for $\check{\Gamma}(z_i, z_j) = (1 - z_i/z_j)(1 - qz_j/z_i)(1 - tz_j/z_i)(1 - qtz_i/z_j)$.

Theorem (Negut)

A symmetric Laurent polynomial $g(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{\check{\Gamma}}$ if and only if it satisfies the wheel condition and vanishes whenever $z_i = z_j$ for $i \neq j$.

The wheel condition and tame Catalanimals

A Catalanimal $H(R_q, R_t, R_{qt}, \lambda)$ is *tame* if

$$R_q + R_t \subseteq R_{qt},$$

where $R_q + R_t = \{\alpha + \beta \mid \alpha \in R_q, \beta \in R_t\}$.







The Catalanimals $H(R_+, R_+, R'_+, \lambda)$ and the LLT Catalanimals are tame.

Using Negut's theorem, we show: Tame Catalanimals belong to the shuffle algebra $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma$.