

## Homework 1

$$1) \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \text{ and } B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} AB \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \\ BA \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(AB) &= \sum_{i=1}^m (AB)_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} b_{ji} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m b_{ji} a_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^n (BA)_{jj} = \text{tr}(BA) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$$

$$2) \quad a) \quad \text{Let } y \in \text{Im}(AG) \Rightarrow y = AGx \text{ for some } x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\Rightarrow y = A(Gx) \Rightarrow y = Az \text{ for some } z = Gx$$

$$\Rightarrow y \in \text{Im}(A) \Rightarrow \text{Im}(AG) \subseteq \text{Im}(A)$$

$$\bullet \text{ Let } x \in \ker(G) \Rightarrow Gx = 0 \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n)$$

$$\Rightarrow A(Gx) = 0 \Rightarrow (AG)x = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in \ker(AG) \Rightarrow \ker(G) \subseteq \ker(AG)$$

b) To prove that  $\text{Im}(AG) = \text{Im}(A)$ , with the conclusion from part A that  $\text{Im}(AG) \subseteq \text{Im}(A)$ , we need to prove that  $\text{Im}(A) \subseteq \text{Im}(AG)$

$$\text{let } y \in \text{Im}(A) \Rightarrow y = Ax \text{ for some } x \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

Since  $G \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times q}$  ( $G: \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ) is surjective

$\Rightarrow$  there exists some  $z$  in  $\mathbb{R}^q$  such that

$$x = Gz \quad (x \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n)$$

$$\Rightarrow y = Ax = A(Gz) = AG(z)$$

$$\Rightarrow y \in \text{Im}(AG)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Im}(A) \subseteq \text{Im}(AG)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Im}(A) = \text{Im}(AG) \text{ if } G \text{ is surjective}$$



c) Let  $y \in \text{Im}(A+B)$

$\Rightarrow$  There exists a vector  $x$  such that

$$y = (A+B)x = Ax + Bx$$

$$\Rightarrow y \in \text{Im}(A) + \text{Im}(B)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Im}(A+B) \subseteq \text{Im}(A) + \text{Im}(B)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} r(A+B) &= \dim(\text{Im}(A+B)) \leq \dim(\text{Im}(A) + \text{Im}(B)) \\ &\leq \dim(\text{Im}(A)) + \dim(\text{Im}(B)) = \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow r(A+B) \leq r(A) + r(B)$$

3) a) Let  $W \cap W^\perp = x \Rightarrow x \in W$  and  $x \in W^\perp$

$$\Rightarrow x^T x = 0 \Rightarrow \|x\|_2^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = 0 \Rightarrow \text{the } x_i\text{'s are all zero}$$

$\Rightarrow x$  has to be zero

$$\Rightarrow W \cap W^\perp = \{0\}$$

Since  $W^\perp$  is the orthogonal complement of  $W$  and  $W$  is a vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$\Rightarrow \dim(W) + \dim(W^\perp) = n$$

Since  $W^{\perp\perp}$  is the orthogonal complement of  $W^\perp$  and  $W^\perp \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\Rightarrow \dim(W^\perp) + \dim(W^{\perp\perp}) = n$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim(W) + \dim(W^\perp) = \dim(W^\perp) + \dim(W^{\perp\perp})$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim(W) = \dim(W^{\perp\perp}) \quad (*)$$

Also, let  $x \in W \Rightarrow y^T x = 0$  for all  $y \in W^\perp$

This property also holds for  $z \in W^{\perp\perp}$  where

$$y^T z = 0 \text{ for all } y \in W^\perp$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in W^{\perp\perp} \Rightarrow W \subseteq W^{\perp\perp} \quad (**)$$

From (\*) and (\*\*) we can conclude

$$W = W^{\perp\perp}$$



b) • Prove  $\ker(A^T) = \text{Im}(A)^\perp$

Let  $y \in \text{Im}(A) \Rightarrow y = Ax$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\Rightarrow y^T = (Ax)^T = x^T A^T$$

Let  $z \in \ker(A^T) \Rightarrow A^T z = 0$

$$\Rightarrow y^T z = (x^T A^T) z = x^T (A^T z) = x^T \cdot 0 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \ker(A^T) = \text{Im}(A)^\perp$$

• Prove  $\text{Im}(A^T) = \ker(A)^\perp$

Let  $y \in \text{Im}(A^T) \Rightarrow y = A^T x$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$

Let  $z \in \ker(A) \Rightarrow Az = 0$

$$\Rightarrow y^T z = (A^T x)^T z = x^T (A^T)^T z$$

$$= x^T A z = x^T (Az) = x^T \cdot 0 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Im}(A^T) = \ker(A)^\perp$$

c) From conclusion  $W \cap W^\perp = \{0\}$  in part a)

and the two conclusions in part b)

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{Im}(A^T) \cap \ker(A) = \{0\} \\ \text{Im}(A) \cap \ker(A^T) = \{0\} \end{cases}$$

d) As  $\dim(\text{Im}(A)) = \text{rank}(A) = r$

$\Rightarrow$  a set of vectors with  $r$  members  $\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$  is a basis for  $\text{Im}(A)$  ( $u_i$ 's are linearly independent)

Also  $\dim(\text{Im}(A)) + \dim(\ker(A^T)) = m$

(as  $\text{Im}(A) = \ker(A^T)^\perp$  and they are subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ )

$$\Rightarrow \dim(\ker(A^T)) = m - r$$

$\Rightarrow$  a set of  $(m-r)$  linearly independent vectors

$(u_{r+1}, \dots, u_m)$  forms a basis for  $\ker(A^T)$

These two sets combined form  $(m-r) + r = m$  total vectors that form a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^m$

• Verify that  $u_1, \dots, u_r, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_m$  are linearly independent

$$\text{Let } a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_r u_r + b_1 u_{r+1} + \dots + b_m u_m = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^r a_i u_i = - \sum_{i=r+1}^m b_i u_i$$

$$\underbrace{\in \text{Im}(A) \cap \ker(A^T)} \quad \underbrace{\in \text{Im}(A) \cap \ker(A^T)}$$



$$\text{But } \text{Im}(A) \cap \ker(A^T) = \{0\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^r a_i u_i = 0 \\ - \sum_{i=r+1}^m b_i u_i = 0 \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{But } u_i \text{'s } (u_1, \dots, u_r) \text{ are} \\ \text{already linearly independent} \Rightarrow a_i = 0 \\ \text{But } u_{r+1}, \dots, u_m \text{ are already linearly} \\ \text{independent} \Rightarrow b_i = 0 \end{array}$$

$\Rightarrow u_1, \dots, u_r, u_{r+1}, u_{r+2}, \dots, u_m$  are linearly independent

$\Rightarrow$  These  $m$  vectors form a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^m$

$\Rightarrow$  Any vector  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  can be written as

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i u_i + \sum_{i=r+1}^m b_i u_i$$

(any  $x$  can be written as a linear combination of these  $m$  vectors that span  $\mathbb{R}^m$ )

$$\Rightarrow x = x_0 + x_1$$

$$\text{with } x_0 = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i u_i \in \text{Im}(A)$$

$$x_1 = \sum_{i=r+1}^m b_i u_i \in \ker(A^T)$$

$$\text{And } \text{Im}(A) = \ker(A^T)^\perp$$

$$\Rightarrow x_0^T x_1 = 0$$

$$\text{We have } \|x\|_2^2 = \|x_0 + x_1\|_2^2$$

$$= \|x_0\|_2^2 + \|x_1\|_2^2 + 2\|x_0\|_2\|x_1\|_2$$

$$= \|x_0\|_2^2 + \|x_1\|_2^2 + \underbrace{2x_0^T x_1}_{=0}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x\|_2^2 = \|x_0\|_2^2 + \|x_1\|_2^2$$



$$4) a) H_0 : p_0 = 0.5$$

$$H_A : p_0 \neq 0.5$$

(with  $p_0$  = probability a child is born female

$\hat{p} = 49.6\%$  - from our data of female population

$n = 7.594 \text{ bn}$  - from our data of Earth population

In order to do hypothesis testing for proportion, we use a z-score calculated as follows

$$z_0 = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}} = \frac{0.496 - 0.5}{\sqrt{\frac{0.5(1-0.5)}{7.594 \text{ bn}}}} \approx -697.1485$$

Using  $\alpha = 0.05$  with a two-tailed test,  $z_c = \pm 1.96$

We have  $z_0 < -1.96 \Rightarrow$  we reject  $H_0$

$\Rightarrow$  We cannot claim that the probability of a child being born female is exactly 50%

b) A 95% confidence interval for  $p$  will be

$$\hat{p} \pm z_c \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}$$

$$\text{Lower bound} = 0.496 - 1.96 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{0.496(1-0.496)}{7.594 \text{ bn}}}$$

$$\approx 0.49599$$

$$\text{Upper bound} = 0.496 + 1.96 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{0.496(1-0.496)}{7.594 \text{ bn}}}$$

$$\approx 0.49601$$

$\Rightarrow$  The 95% confidence interval is between 0.49599 and 0.49601

c) Our proposed  $p_0 = 0.5$  in  $H_0$  doesn't fall into the 95% interval

If repeated samples were taken and the 95% CI was computed each time, 95% of the intervals would contain the population mean, or 95% of the time the population mean would fall into the CI  $\Rightarrow$  We reject the null because it is unlikely that the  $p_0 = 0.5$  is close to the population mean



$$5) a) P(T_v < -t \text{ or } T_v > t)$$

$$= P(T_v^2 > t^2)$$

$$= P\left(\frac{N(0,1)^2}{\chi_v^2/v} > t^2\right)$$

$$= P\left(\frac{\chi_1^2/1}{\chi_v^2/v} > t^2\right) \quad (\text{as } N(0,1)^2 = \chi_1^2)$$

$$= P(F_{1,v} > t^2) \quad (\text{as } \frac{\chi_1^2/1}{\chi_v^2/v} = F_{1,v})$$

$$b) \bullet n^{-1} \chi_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} (Z_1^2 + Z_2^2 + \dots + Z_n^2)$$

$$\text{Also, } Z_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0,1) \Rightarrow Z_i^2 \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(1,2)$$

According to strong law of large numbers, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$n^{-1} \chi_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} (Z_1^2 + Z_2^2 + \dots + Z_n^2) \text{ will get to}$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \underbrace{(1+1+\dots+1)}_{n \text{ numbers}} \text{ which is } 1 \quad (\text{as } Z_i^2 \text{ will converge}$$

to the population mean - 1)

$$\Rightarrow n^{-1} \chi_n^2 \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\bullet P(T_n \leq t) =$$

$$P\left(\frac{N(0,1)}{\sqrt{\chi_n^2/n}} \leq t\right)$$

With the conclusion above that  $n^{-1} \chi_n^2 \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(T_n \leq t) = P\left(\frac{N(0,1)}{1} \leq t\right)$$

$$= P(N(0,1) \leq t)$$