

The Containment Problem

Giacomo Borin

May 5, 2021

Abstract

Stuff x 3

1 Introduction

1.1 Associated primes

Let R be a commutative ring with unity, and $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ two ideal, we say that the ideal

$$(\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{b}) = \{x \in R \mid x\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}\}$$

is the *ideal quotient*. For the case in which \mathfrak{a} is the null ideal 0 we define the **annihilator** of \mathfrak{b} as:

$$\text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{b}) = (0 : \mathfrak{b}) = \{x \in R \mid x\mathfrak{b} = 0\}$$

We can obviously omit the index R if it is clear by the context. In general given an R -module M and a set $S \subseteq M$ non empty we can define its annihilator as:

$$\text{Ann}_R(S) = \{x \in R \mid xS = 0\} = \{x \in R \mid \forall s \in S \, xs = 0\}$$

Definiton 1.1 (Associated Prime). Let M be an R -module. A prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ is an **associated prime** of M if there exists a non-zero element $a \in M$ such that $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann}_R(a)$.

We define $\text{Ass}_R(M)$ as the set of the associated primes of M .

For an ideal I we say that a prime is associated to I if it is associated to the R -module R/I .

Remark 1. Another name for associated ideal used by the Bourbaki group is *assasin*, a word play between associated and annihilator.

1.2 Primary decomposition

We would like to have some sort of factorization for the ideals of a ring, more general than the *unique factorization domains*, in fact this is useful only for principal ideals. With this objective **primary decomposition** was introduced.

Now I will recall some of the principal result on this topics, contained in [2, Section 7] and [1, Section 4 and Page 83]

Definiton 1.2. An ideal \mathfrak{a} in a ring R is said primary if R/\mathfrak{a} is different from zero and all its zerodivisors are nilpotent, otherwise we can express this as:

$$fg \in \mathfrak{a} \implies f \in \mathfrak{a} \text{ or } g^n \in \mathfrak{a} \text{ for some } n > 0$$

It is obvious that the radical of a primary ideal is a prime ideal, infact given $fg \in \text{rad}(\mathfrak{a})$ we have $(fg)^m = f^m g^m \in \mathfrak{a}$ for $m > 0$, and so $f^m \in \mathfrak{a} \implies f \in \text{rad}(\mathfrak{a})$ or exists $n > 0$ such that $g^{mn} \in \mathfrak{a} \implies g \in \text{rad}(\mathfrak{a})$.

If \mathfrak{a} is a primary ideal such that $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{p}$ we say that \mathfrak{a} is \mathfrak{p} -primary.

Remarks 2.

1. The power of a prime ideal isn't always primary, for example if in $R = \mathbb{K}[x, y, z]/(xy - z^2)$ we consider the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} = (x, z)$ (it is prime since $R/\mathfrak{p} \simeq \mathbb{K}[y]$ that is an integral domain) we have that y is a zero divisor in R/\mathfrak{p} (since x is not zero and $yx = z^2 = 0$, since $z^2 \in \mathfrak{p}^2$) but it is not nilpotent since $y^k \notin \mathfrak{p}^2$ for all $k > 0$

We say tha an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ has a **primary decomposition** if there exists a finite set of primary ideal $\{\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_n\}$ such that:

$$\mathfrak{a} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{q}_i$$

In general such structure does not exists, but for R noetherian we can prove, using Noetherian induction and the concept of irreducible ideal, that every proper ideal has a primary decomposition.

Definiton 1.3. We say that a proper ideal \mathfrak{a} is irreducible if it cannot be written as a proper intersection of ideal, i.e. :

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{c} \implies (\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b} \text{ or } \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{c})$$

Lemma 1.4. *A proper ideal in a Noetherian ring R is always the intersection of a finite number of irreducible ideals.*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{F} be the set of proper ideal such that the lemma is false. Let \mathfrak{a} be a maximal ideal of \mathfrak{F} , since it cannot be irreducible there exists $\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}$ strictly greater than \mathfrak{a} (so not in \mathfrak{F}) such that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{c}$. This is absurd and so \mathfrak{F} is empty. \square

Lemma 1.5. *In a Noetherian ring every irreducible ideal is primary*

Proof. Modulo working in the quotient ring we can assume to work with the zero ideal. So we assume that the ideal 0 is irreducible and we consider x, y such that $xy = 0$ with $y \neq 0$, then x is a zerodivisor. So we have that $y \in \text{Ann}(x)$ ¹ and we consider the chain:

$$\text{Ann}(x) \subseteq \text{Ann}(x^2) \subseteq \dots$$

¹For $\text{Ann}(x)$ we mean the annihilator of the principal ideal (x)

And for the ascending chain condition there exists m with $\text{Ann}(x^m) = \text{Ann}(x^{m+1})$. Now consider $a \in (x^m) \cap (y)$, then $a = bx^m$ and $a = cy$, so since $y \in \text{Ann}(x)$ we have $0 = cyx = ax = bx^m x = bx^{m+1}$, so $b \in \text{Ann}(x^{m+1}) = \text{Ann}(x^m)$, then $a = bx^m = 0$. So $(x^m) \cap (y) = 0$ and since 0 is irreducible and $y \neq 0$ then $x^m = 0$. \square

Combining this two lemmas we have that the decomposition for Noetherian ring.

Now we need to achieve some kind of uniqueness. First of all we say that a decomposition $\mathfrak{a} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{q}_i$ is **minimal** if:

1. $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{q}_i)$ are all distinct
2. for all i we have $\mathfrak{q}_i \not\subseteq \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{q}_j$

We can easily prove that from every decomposition we can obtain a minimal one using the following lemma:

Lemma 1.6. *If \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are \mathfrak{p} -primary then $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$ is \mathfrak{p} -primary*

Infact we can group the primaty ideal to get 1. and omit the superfluous terms to get 2.

So we have two theorem of uniqueness for the prime *associated*² to a particular decomposition.

Theorem 1.7 (First uniqueness theorem). *Let R be a Noetherian ring and \mathfrak{a} an ideal with minimal decomposition $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{q}_i$, where \mathfrak{q}_i is \mathfrak{p}_i -primary, then:*

$$\text{Ass}(R/\mathfrak{a}) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$$

and so the set of primes $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ is uniquely determined by the ideal

Theorem 1.8 (Second uniqueness theorem). *Let R be a ring and \mathfrak{a} an ideal with minimal decomposition $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{q}_i$, where \mathfrak{q}_i is \mathfrak{p}_i -primary, then if \mathfrak{p}_i is a minimal element of $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ \mathfrak{q}_i is uniquely determined by the ideals \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{p}_i . In particular if $\phi : R \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = S^{-1}R$ is the canonical injection (where $S = R \setminus \mathfrak{p}_i$) we have*

$$\mathfrak{q}_i = \phi^{-1}(S^{-1}\mathfrak{a})$$

1.3 Sybolic power

Definiton 1.9. Let R be a noetherian ring and I an ideal. Given an integer m we define the m -th **symbolic power** of I as:

$$I^{(m)} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(R/I)} (I^m R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap R) \quad (1)$$

²not a random word

1.4 Zarisky-Nagata Theorem

Why do we study symbolic power? The Zarisky-Nagata Theorem give a geometric interpretation of its significance.

1.5 $I^r \subseteq I^{(m)}$

2 The Containment Problem

2.1 The Waldschmidt constant

References

- [1] Michael F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald. *Introduction to commutative algebra. Student economy edition*. English. Student economy edition. Boulder: Westview Press, 2016, pp. ix + 128. ISBN: 978-0-8133-5018-9/print; 978-0-8133-4544-4/ebook.
- [2] Miles Reid. *Undergraduate commutative algebra*. English. Vol. 29. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995, pp. xiii + 153. ISBN: 0-521-45889-7/pbk; 0-521-45255-4/hbk.