



UNIVERSITÀ
DI TRENTO

The Containment Problem

a general introduction and
the particular case for Steiner systems

Giacomo Borin

Università di Trento

20th july 2021





In this presentation we view a brief introduction to the **Containment Problem**, an open problem in Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra.

Later we will see some connections with the colouration of an hypergraph (from Combinatorics and Graph Theory), in particular for Steiner Systems, mainly inspired by the article:

Edoardo Ballico, Giuseppe Favacchio, Elena Guardo, Lorenzo Milazzo, and Abu Chackalamannil Thomas. *Steiner Configurations ideals: containment and colouring*. 2021. arXiv: 2101.07168 [math.AC].



1 Introduction

- Preliminaries
- Powers of an ideal
- Zariski-Nagata Theorem

2 Containment

- Open questions

3 Colouring and containment





We say that an ideal $I \subseteq R$ has a **primary decomposition** if there exists a finite set of primary ideal $\{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ such that:

$$I = \bigcap_{i=1}^n q_i$$

In a Noetherian Ring we have:

- Existence
- Uniqueness of the minimal primes $p_i = \text{rad}(q_i)$, in particular they are the associated primes $\text{Ass}(R/I)$
- Uniqueness of the primary ideals q_i



We say that an ideal $I \subseteq R$ has a **primary decomposition** if there exists a finite set of primary ideal $\{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ such that:

$$I = \bigcap_{i=1}^n q_i$$

In a Noetherian Ring we have:

- Existence
- Uniqueness of the minimal primes $p_i = \text{rad}(q_i)$, in particular they are the associated primes $\text{Ass}(R/I)$
- Uniqueness of the primary ideals q_i



Given an homogeneous ideal $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_k \rangle$ the n -th power of I is:

$$I^n = \langle \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n \mid \xi_i \in \{f_1, \dots, f_k\} \rangle$$

- Easy algebraic construction
- Unknown primary decomposition
- No clear geometric interpretation



Given an homogeneous ideal $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_k \rangle$ the n -th power of I is:

$$I^n = \langle \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n \mid \xi_i \in \{f_1, \dots, f_k\} \rangle$$

- Easy algebraic construction
- Unknown primary decomposition
- No clear geometric interpretation



Given an ideal I in a Noetherian ring R the m -th symbolic power of I is:

$$I^{(m)} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(R/I)} (I^m R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap R)$$

- $I^m R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap R = \{r \in R \text{ such that exists } s \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p} \text{ with } sr \in I^m\}$ is the minimal \mathfrak{p} -primary ideal that contains I^m
- Clear primary decomposition
- No easy set of generators
- Wonderful geometric interpretation

Given an ideal I in a Noetherian ring R the m -th symbolic power of I is:

$$I^{(m)} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(R/I)} (I^m R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap R)$$

- $I^m R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap R = \{r \in R \text{ such that exists } s \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p} \text{ with } sr \in I^m\}$ is the minimal \mathfrak{p} -primary ideal that contains I^m
- Clear primary decomposition
- No easy set of generators
- Wonderful geometric interpretation

Theorem (Zariski-Nagata Theorem [Zar49; Nag62])

If $R = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ is a polynomial ring and \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal then:

$$\mathfrak{p}^{(m)} = \bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{m} \operatorname{Spec}(R) \\ \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}}} \mathfrak{m}^n$$

So the symbolic power represents the polynomial vanishing with multiplicity m on the variety $\mathcal{V}(I)$:

$$I^{(m)} = I^{\langle m \rangle} = \{f \in R \text{ that vanishes on } \mathcal{V}(I) \text{ with multiplicity } m\}$$

Theorem (Zariski-Nagata Theorem [Zar49; Nag62])

If $R = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ is a polynomial ring and \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal then:

$$\mathfrak{p}^{(m)} = \bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{m} \operatorname{Spec}(R) \\ \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}}} \mathfrak{m}^n$$

So the symbolic power represents the polynomial vanishing with multiplicity m on the variety $\mathcal{V}(I)$:

$$I^{(m)} = I^{\langle m \rangle} = \{f \in R \text{ that vanishes on } \mathcal{V}(I) \text{ with multiplicity } m\}$$



Containment

The natural question that arises is:

Question

Can we find a relation between I^n and $I^{(m)}$?

As a consequence of the Nakayama Lemma we have one direction:

Theorem

If R is a Noetherian reduced ring then $I^r \subseteq I^{(m)}$ if and only if $r \geq m$

The natural question that arises is:

Question

Can we find a relation between I^n and $I^{(m)}$?

As a consequence of the Nakayama Lemma we have one direction:

Theorem

If R is a Noetherian reduced ring then $I^r \subseteq I^{(m)}$ if and only if $r \geq m$



Question

Given a Noetherian Ring R and an ideal I , for which m, r positive integers we have the containment:

$$I^{(m)} \subset I^r$$

Let's see a celebrated result, showed in [HH02; ELS01]:

Theorem

(Ein-Lazarsfeld-Smith, Hochster-Huneke) Let R be a regular ring and I a non-zero, radical ideal, then if h is the big height of I we have that for all $n \geq 0$ we have:

$$I^{(hn)} \subseteq I^n$$

- The height of a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} is the supremum length of a descending chain of primes: $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_h = \mathfrak{p}$
- The big height is the maximum height of its associated primes

Let's see a celebrated result, showed in [HH02; ELS01]:

Theorem

(Ein-Lazarsfeld-Smith, Hochster-Huneke) Let R be a regular ring and I a non-zero, radical ideal, then if h is the big height of I we have that for all $n \geq 0$ we have:

$$I^{(hn)} \subseteq I^n$$

- The height of a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} is the supremum length of a descending chain of primes: $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_h = \mathfrak{p}$
- The big height is the maximum height of its associated primes

An example of a non trivial use of the previous theorem on a reduced subscheme came directly from [ELS01, p. 2.3]:

Example

Consider a finite set of points Z in \mathbb{P}^2 , since the subscheme has dimension 0 the ideal has big height 2, so we have $I^{(2m)} \subseteq I^m$ for $I = I(Z)$. So this implies that all F with multiplicity $\geq 2m$ on Z stays in $I(Z)^m$.

Some important open questions for the Containment Problem are:

Question (Huneke)

Let I be a saturated ideal of a reduced finite set of points in \mathbb{P}^2 , does the containment:

$$I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2$$

hold?

Conjecture (Harbourne, 2009)

Given a non-zero, proper, homogeneous, radical ideal $I \subset k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ with big height h , then for all $m > 0$:

$$I^{(hm-h+1)} \subseteq I^m$$

Some important open questions for the Containment Problem are:

Question (Huneke)

Let I be a saturated ideal of a reduced finite set of points in \mathbb{P}^2 , does the containment:

$$I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2$$

hold?

Conjecture (Harbourne, 2009)

Given a non-zero, proper, homogeneous, radical ideal $I \subset k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ with big height h , then for all $m > 0$:

$$I^{(hm-h+1)} \subseteq I^m$$



Harbourne Conjecture was proven to be false for several ideal and arbitrary $m > 0$, but there are not counterexample for:

Conjecture (Stable Harbourne, 2013)

Given a non-zero, proper, homogeneous, radical ideal $I \subset k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ with big height h , than for all $m \gg 0$:

$$I^{(hm-h+1)} \subseteq I^m$$




An hypergraph is a pair (V, E) where V is a finite set of vertices and E contains non-empty subset of V called hyper edges

Definiton

A **Steiner system** (V, B) of type $S(t, n, v)$ is an *hypergraph* with $|V| = v$ and all the elements of B , called blocks, are n -subsets (of V) such that every t -tuple of elements in V is contained in only one block of B .

The most known example of Steiner is of type $S(2, 3, 7)$ and, up to isomorphism, is the Fano Plane ($\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^2$). It has as blocks all the lines:

$$B := \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{3, 4, 5\}, \{3, 6, 7\}, \{1, 4, 7\}, \\ \{2, 4, 6\}, \{2, 5, 7\}, \{1, 5, 6\}\}$$



Definiton

An m -colouring of the hypergraph $H = (V, E)$ is a partition in m subset of $V = U_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup U_m$ such that for every edge $\beta \in E$ we have $\beta \not\subseteq U_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. A hypergraph H is m -colourable if there exists a proper m -colouring.




Figure: A 3-colouring for the Fano Plane

Definiton

A hypergraph $H = (V, E)$ is said *c-coverable* if there exists a partition in c subset of $V = U_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup U_c$ such that every U_i is a **vertex cover**, which means that for all $\beta \in E$ we have $\beta \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$.




Figure: Steiner System $S(2, 3, v)$ for $v > 3$ are not 2-coverable

For an hypergraph $H = (V, E)$ with $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_v\}$ consider the polynomial ring $k[V] = k[x_1, \dots, x_v]$:

Definiton

The **cover ideal** of the hypergraph H in $k[V]$ is:

$$J(H) := \langle x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_r} \mid \{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_r}\} \text{ is a vertex cover of } H \rangle = \bigcap_{\beta \in E} \mathfrak{p}_\beta$$

Where for every hyperedge $\beta = \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_r}\} \in E$ then \mathfrak{p}_β is the prime ideal $\langle x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_r} \rangle$

For a hypergraph $H = (V, B)$ we define $\tau(H)$ as $\min_{\beta \in B} \{|\beta|\}$, so we obtain:

Theorem (Theorem 4.8 of [Bal+21])

Let $H = (V, B)$ be a hypergraph, if H is not d -coverable then

$$J(H)^{(\tau(H))} \not\subseteq J(H)^d$$

For example for Steiner Systems we have:

Proposition (Proposition 4.9 of [Bal+21])

If $v > 3$ and $S = (V, B)$ is a Steiner Triple System $S(2, 3, v)$, then $J(S)^{(3)} \not\subseteq J(S)^2$

Theorem

Consider a simple hypergraph $H = (V, B)$, if we indicate $\tau = \tau(H)$ and the cover ideal $J = J(H)$, then for all $q \leq |V|$ if H is not q -colourable then we have:

$$J^{(\tau(q-1))} \not\subseteq J^q$$

The proof rely on the two results:

Theorem (Theorem 3.2 of [FHV11])

Let $H = (V, E)$ be a simple hypergraph on $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_v\}$, then for all $d > 0$ we have $(x_1 \cdots x_v)^{d-1} \in J(H)^d$ if and only if $d \geq \chi(H)$, where $\chi(H)$ is the minimum integer c such that H is c -colourable

Proposition

If I is a radical ideal in a polynomial ring we have:

$$I^{(m)} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(R/I)} \mathfrak{p}^m \quad (1)$$



Edoardo Ballico, Giuseppe Favacchio, Elena Guardo, Lorenzo Milazzo, and Abu Chackalamannil Thomas. *Steiner Configurations ideals: containment and colouring*. 2021. arXiv: 2101.07168 [math.AC].



Lawrence Ein, Robert Lazarsfeld, and Karen E. Smith. “Uniform bounds and symbolic powers on smooth varieties”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 144.2 (2001), pp. 241–252. DOI: 10.1007/s002220100121. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002220100121>.



Christopher A. Francisco, Huy Tài Hà, and Adam Van Tuyl. “Colorings of hypergraphs, perfect graphs, and associated primes of powers of monomial ideals”. In: *Journal of Algebra* 331.1 (2011), pp. 224–242. ISSN: 0021-8693. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2010.10.025>. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021869310005442>.



Melvin Hochster and Craig Huneke. “Comparison of symbolic and ordinary powers of ideals”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 147.2 (2002), pp. 349–369. DOI: 10.1007/s002220100176. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002220100176>.



Masayoshi Nagata. *Local rings*. English. Vol. 13. Interscience Publishers, New York, NY, 1962.



Oscar Zariski. “A fundamental lemma from the theory of holomorphic functions on an algebraic variety”. In: *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata* 29.1 (1949), pp. 187–198. DOI: 10.1007/BF02413926. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02413926>.